

Henryk Michalewski

An answer to a question of Arhangel'skii

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 42 (2001), No. 3, 545--550

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119269>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## An answer to a question of Arhangel'skii

HENRYK MICHALEWSKI

*Abstract.* We prove that there exists an example of a metrizable non-discrete space  $X$ , such that  $C_p(X \times \omega) \approx_l C_p(X)$  but  $C_p(X \times S) \not\approx_l C_p(X)$  where  $S = (\{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n+1} : n \in \omega\})$  and  $C_p(X)$  is the space of all continuous functions from  $X$  into reals equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. It answers a question of Arhangel'skii ([2, Problem 4]).

*Keywords:* topology of pointwise convergence

*Classification:* Primary 54C35

### 1. Introduction

All spaces under consideration are completely regular ( $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ ). Symbol  $\mathbb{R}$  stands for the real numbers. For a space  $X$  define  $C_p(X)$  as the space of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the topology inherited from the Tychonoff product  $\mathbb{R}^X$ . We say that a space  $X$  has *the Baire property*, if the intersection of a countable family of open dense sets in  $X$  is dense in  $X$ . For spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the symbol  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(Y)$  means that there exists a linear homeomorphism between the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$ .

In his paper [2] Arhangel'skii investigates spaces  $X$  with the properties that  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times \omega)$  or  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times S)$ , where  $S = (\{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n+1} : n \in \omega\})$ . He asks a question ([2, Problem 4]) whether the first of these properties implies the second. In other words: does there exist a non-discrete space  $X$  such that  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times \omega)$  but  $C_p(X) \not\approx_l C_p(X \times S)$ ? In this note we give two examples of such spaces  $X$ .

### 2. A non-metrizable example

First, we give a relatively simple example of a non-metrizable  $X$  with the property. The example has even a stronger property that  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times \omega)$  but  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(X \times S)$  are not homeomorphic. Its construction is based on a result of Lutzer and McCoy ([5]) and it appeared in other context in a paper by W. Marciszewski and J. van Mill ([6]).

According to Theorem 1.3.4 of [1], if a space  $Y$  contains an infinite compact subspace, then  $C_p(Y)$  does not have the Baire property. It implies in particular

that for any space  $X$  the function space  $C_p(X \times S)$  does not have the Baire property.

In the paper by Lutzer and McCoy ([5]) a countable, non-metrizable space  $Y$  with one non-isolated point is constructed such that  $C_p(Y)$  has the Baire property. The space  $C_p(Y)$  is separable and metrizable as a subspace of the countable Tychonoff product of the real line.

Let us define  $X = \omega \times Y$ . Space  $C_p(X)$  has the Baire property, because it is linearly homeomorphic to  $C_p(Y)^\omega$  and the Baire property is preserved under the countable Tychonoff products of metrizable separable spaces ([7]).

The space  $X \times \omega$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  and, in particular,  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times \omega)$ . Since  $C_p(X)$  has the Baire property and  $C_p(X \times S)$  does not have it, there is no homeomorphism between  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(X \times S)$ . This finishes the presentation of the non-metrizable example.

### 3. The main example

The rest of the paper is devoted to the description of a non-discrete metrizable space  $X$  such that  $C_p(X) \not\approx_l C_p(X \times S)$  but  $C_p(X) \approx_l C_p(X \times \omega)$ . Arhangel'skii's paper [2] concerns mainly metrizable spaces and it seems that it is more natural and important to answer his question by giving a metrizable example.

A map  $x \mapsto S(x)$  which assigns to points in  $X$  nonempty subsets of  $Y$  is called *lower-semicontinuous*, if for each point  $y \in S(x)$  and its neighborhood  $U$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $S(z) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $z \in V$ .

We shall call a set  $C \subset \omega_1$  *closed and unbounded* if it is closed in the sense of ordinal topology on  $\omega_1$  and unbounded in  $\omega_1$  in the sense of natural order on  $\omega_1$ . Countably intersection of closed and unbounded sets is closed and unbounded ([4, Lemma 7.4]).

A subset  $A \subset \omega_1$  is *stationary* if  $A$  intersects all closed and unbounded subsets of  $\omega_1$ . A subset  $A \subset \omega_1$  is *non-stationary* if there exists a closed and unbounded  $C \subset \omega_1$  such that  $C \cap A = \emptyset$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\omega_1$ . A function  $f : A \rightarrow \omega_1$  is called *regressive* if for every  $0 \neq \xi \in A$  it holds  $f(\xi) < \xi$ . *Pressing Down Lemma* ([4, Theorem 22]) says that for every stationary set  $A$  and regressive function  $f : A \rightarrow \omega_1$  there exists a stationary set  $B \subset A$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $B$ .

Let  $\Phi : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$  be a linear surjection between the function spaces on metrizable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then we may associate (Chapter 1.4 of [3]) with each  $y \in Y$  a nonempty finite set  $\text{supp}(y) \subset X$  and non-zero real numbers  $\{a_x\}_{x \in \text{supp}(y)}$  such that for every function  $f \in C_p(X)$  it holds  $\Phi(f)(y) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(y)} a_x f(x)$ . We call  $\text{supp}(y)$  the *support* of the point  $y$ ; for every  $A \subset Y$  symbol  $\text{supp}(A)$  denotes the union of supports of points in  $A$ .

The map  $y \mapsto \text{supp}(y)$  has the following properties:

- it is lower semicontinuous and, in particular, if  $A \subset Y$  and  $y \in \text{cl}_Y(A)$

then (Proposition 1.4.4 of [3])

$$(1) \quad \text{supp}(y) \subset \text{cl}_X(\text{supp}(A));$$

- if  $S \subset Y$  is a compact subset then (Lemma 1.5.6 of [3])

$$(2) \quad \text{cl}_X(\text{supp}(S)) \text{ is a compact subset of } X;$$

- if  $\Phi$  is a linear homeomorphism and  $x \mapsto \text{supp}(x)$  is the map associated with the inverse  $\Phi^{-1}$ , then (Proposition 1.4.3 of [3]) for all  $y \in Y$

$$(3) \quad y \in \text{supp}(\text{supp}(y)).$$

For every limit countable ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we fix an increasing sequence  $(x_n^\alpha)_{n \in \omega}$ , such that  $x_n^\alpha < \alpha$  and  $\sup_{n \in \omega} x_n^\alpha = \alpha$ . We define the *Stone space* (comp. Chapter 5.1 of [10]) as

$$E = \{(x_n^\alpha)_{n \in \omega} : \alpha < \omega_1, \alpha \text{ limit}\} \subset \omega_1^\omega$$

where the distance between two distinct points  $x, y \in E$  is  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  if  $n \in \omega$  is the minimal natural number such that  $x(n) \neq y(n)$ .

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space of weight  $\aleph_1$ . We shall call an increasing sequence

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_\xi \dots \subset X \text{ with } |A_\xi| \leq \aleph_0 \text{ and } \xi < \omega_1,$$

*admissible*, if

$$\text{cl}(\bigcup\{A_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}) = X, \text{ and } A_\xi = \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} \text{ for limit } \xi.$$

We shall call the set

$$\widehat{A}_\xi = \text{cl}(A_\xi) \setminus \bigcup\{\text{cl } A_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\}, \text{ for limit } \xi,$$

the *layer at the level*  $\xi$  determined by the admissible sequence.

We shall need the following theorem proved by R. Pol.

**Theorem 1** (R. Pol, [8]). *For any two admissible sequences of subsets of a metrizable space  $X$  of weight  $\aleph_1$ , the layers determined by these sequences coincide at all levels, apart from a non-stationary set in  $\omega_1$ .* □

Let us fix an admissible sequence for the space  $E$ :

$$E_\xi = \{(x_n^\alpha)_{n \in \omega} : \alpha < \xi\}.$$

Repeating Stone’s arguments ([10, Chapter 5]) one can verify that all layers  $\widehat{E}_\xi$ , except possibly a non-stationary set of levels, are singletons of the form  $\{(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}\}$  for some  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

For the reader’s convenience we give a **proof of this fact**. Firstly let us observe that for every  $\alpha < \xi$  there exists  $n_0 \in \omega$  such that  $x_n^\xi > \alpha$  for all  $n > n_0$ . It proves that  $(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega} \notin \text{cl}(E_\alpha)$  for every  $\alpha < \xi$ . Hence  $\widehat{E}_\xi$  is empty or contains exactly one element, namely the sequence  $(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}$ .

Let us assume, on the contrary, that there exists a closed and unbounded set  $C \subset \omega_1$  such that for all  $\xi \in C$  layers  $\widehat{E}_\xi$  are empty. We may assume that the set  $C$  is a subset of the limit ordinals. With every number  $\xi \in C$  we may associate a natural number  $n_\xi \in \omega$  such that the open ball with radius  $\frac{1}{n_\xi}$  around the sequence  $(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}$  does not contain any sequence  $(x_n^\alpha)_{n \in \omega}$  for  $\alpha < \xi$ . Since the ball contains exactly those sequences which coincide with  $(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}$  on at least the first  $n_\xi$  places, it means that for every  $\alpha < \xi$  there exists  $n < n_\xi$  such that  $x_n^\alpha \neq x_n^\xi$ .

Let us define  $C_n = \{\xi \in C : n_\xi = n\}$ . Since the union of countably many non-stationary sets is non-stationary, there exists  $n_0 \in \omega$  such that  $C_{n_0}$  is stationary.

Let us define  $A_0 = C_{n_0}$  and  $f_n : C \rightarrow \omega_1$  by the formula  $f_n(\xi) = x_n^\xi$  ( $n \in \omega$ ). The function  $f_0$  is regressive and the set  $A_0$  is stationary. According to the Pressing Down Lemma there exists a stationary set  $A_1 \subset A_0$  such that  $f_0$  is constant on  $A_1$ . Inductively we may construct a decreasing sequence  $\{A_n\}_{0 \leq n \leq n_0}$  of stationary subsets of  $C$  such that  $f_n$  is constant on  $A_{n+1}$  ( $0 \leq n < n_0$ ).

Let us fix some  $\alpha, \xi \in A_{n_0}$ ,  $\alpha < \xi$ . Since the functions  $f_n$  ( $0 \leq n < n_0$ ) are constant on the set  $A_{n_0}$  it holds

$$x_n^\xi = f_n(\xi) = f_n(\alpha) = x_n^\alpha$$

for every  $0 \leq n < n_0$ . Since  $n_\xi = n_0$ , it is a contradiction with the fact that the ball with radius  $\frac{1}{n_\xi}$  around the sequence  $(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}$  does not contain  $(x_n^\alpha)_{n \in \omega}$ . It finishes the proof of the fact.

**Remark.** Stone’s arguments quoted above together with Pol’s theorem give that for every admissible decomposition of  $E$  all layers, except possibly a non-stationary set of levels, are singletons. Therefore, for all  $\xi < \omega_1$  except possibly a non-stationary subset of  $\omega_1$ , the layers of  $E \times \omega$  and the layers of  $E \times \omega \times S$  are of the form  $\{(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}\} \times \omega$ ,  $\{(x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega}\} \times \omega \times S$  respectively.

This remark shall be used in the course of the proof of the following

**Theorem 2.** *There is no linear homeomorphism between  $C_p(E \times \omega)$  and  $C_p(E \times \omega \times S)$ .*

Before the proof of the theorem let us observe that the space  $E \times \omega$  gives the example mentioned in the abstract and in the beginning of Section 3. We have  $C_p(E \times \omega \times \omega) \approx_l C_p(E \times \omega)$  because  $E \times \omega \times \omega$  is homeomorphic to  $E \times \omega$ . The second property of the example is expressed in the statement of the theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: To obtain a contradiction, suppose that there exists a linear homeomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $C_p(X)$  onto  $C_p(Y)$ , where  $X$  denotes  $E \times \omega$  and  $Y$  denotes  $E \times \omega \times S$ . Let

$$A_1^0 \subset A_2^0 \subset \dots \subset A_\xi^0 \dots \subset X \quad (\xi < \omega_1)$$

be any admissible sequence for the space  $X$ . We define inductively for  $n \in \omega$

$$B_\xi^n = \bigcup \{\text{supp}(x) : x \in A_\xi^n\}$$

and

$$A_\xi^{n+1} = \bigcup \{\text{supp}(y) : y \in B_\xi^n\}.$$

Finally, for every  $\xi < \omega_1$  let

$$C_\xi = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_\xi^n$$

and

$$D_\xi = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_\xi^n.$$

Then the sequences

$$C_0 \subset C_1 \subset \dots \subset C_\xi \subset \dots \subset X, \quad \xi < \omega_1,$$

and

$$D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_\xi \subset \dots \subset Y, \quad \xi < \omega_1,$$

are admissible and have the property that  $C_\xi = \text{supp}(D_\xi)$  and  $D_\xi = \text{supp}(C_\xi)$  for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ . The only fact which requires an explanation is that the union of the sequence  $(D_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  is dense in  $Y$ . Let us fix a point  $y \in Y$ . According to the property (3) of support maps there exists some  $x \in \text{supp}(y)$  such that  $y \in \text{supp}(x)$ . The sequence  $\{C_\xi\}_{\xi < \omega_1}$  is admissible. In particular,  $x \in \text{cl}_X(\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} C_\xi)$ . According to the property (1) of support maps it holds  $\text{supp}(x) \subset \text{cl}_Y(\text{supp} \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} C_\xi)$ . Together with the fact that  $\text{supp}(\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} C_\xi) = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} D_\xi$  it gives that  $y \in \text{supp}(x) \subset \text{cl}_Y(\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} D_\xi)$ . It finishes the proof of the fact that  $\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} D_\xi$  is dense in  $Y$ .

According to the Remark formulated before the proof of Theorem 2, there exists  $\xi < \omega_1$  such that  $\widehat{C}_\xi = (x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega} \times \omega$  and  $\widehat{D}_\xi = (x_n^\xi)_{n \in \omega} \times \omega \times S$ . We fix

a copy of  $S$  in  $\widehat{D}_\xi$ . Due to the property (2) of support maps we know that the space  $T = \text{cl}_X(\text{supp}(S))$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Moreover, the property (1) of support maps together with the fact that  $\text{supp}(D_\xi) = C_\xi$  imply that the set  $T$  is a subspace of  $\text{cl}_X(C_\xi)$ . The intersection of  $T$  with  $\widehat{C}_\xi$  is finite, because  $\widehat{C}_\xi$  is discrete and  $T$  is compact. This implies that we can find  $y \in S \setminus \text{supp}(T \cap \widehat{C}_\xi)$ .

We strive to obtain a contradiction with the fact that  $y \in \bigcup\{\text{supp}(x) : x \in \text{supp}(y)\}$  (property (3) of support maps). We can represent the set  $\text{supp}(y)$  as a union of two subsets  $\text{supp}(y) = X_1 \cup X_2$ , where  $X_1 = \text{supp}(y) \cap \widehat{C}_\xi$  and  $X_2 = \text{supp}(y) \setminus X_1 \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} \text{cl}_X(C_\alpha)$ ; it implies that exists  $\alpha < \xi$  such that  $X_2 \subset \text{cl}_X(C_\alpha)$ .

According to our choice of the point  $y$ , we have  $y \notin \text{supp}(X_1)$ . On the other hand

$$\text{supp}(X_2) \subset \text{supp}(\text{cl}_X(C_\alpha)) \subset \text{cl}_Y(D_\alpha),$$

thanks to the property (1) of support maps and the equality  $\text{supp}(C_\alpha) = D_\alpha$ . In particular  $\text{supp}(X_2) \cap \widehat{D}_\xi = \emptyset$ . Finally we obtain  $y \notin \text{supp}(X_1) \cup \text{supp}(X_2) = \bigcup\{\text{supp}(x) : x \in \text{supp}(y)\}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** My warm thanks are addressed to Witold Marciszewski and Roman Pol for useful suggestions concerning the problem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Topological Function Spaces* (in Russian), Moskov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1989.
- [2] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions in the topology of pointwise convergence* (in Russian), Mat. Sb. **181** (1990), no. 5, 705–718.
- [3] Baars J., Groot J., *On Topological and Linear Equivalence of the Function Spaces*, CWI Tract **86**, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [4] Jech T., *Set Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [5] Lutzer D.J., McCoy R.A., *Category in function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 145–168.
- [6] Marciszewski W., van Mill J., *An example of  $t_p^*$ -equivalent spaces which are not  $t_p$ -equivalent*, Topology Appl. **85** (1998), 281–285.
- [7] Oxtoby J., *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. **49** (1961), 157–166.
- [8] Pol R., *Note on decompositions of metric spaces II*, Fund. Math. **100** (1978), 129–143.
- [9] Pol R., *On metrizable  $E$  with  $C_p(E) \not\cong C_p(E) \times C_p(E)$* , Mathematika **42** (1995), 49–55.
- [10] Stone A.H., *On  $\sigma$ -discreteness and Borel isomorphism*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 655–666.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY, BANACHA 2, 02–097 WARSAW, POLAND  
*E-mail:* henrykm@mimuw.edu.pl

(Received October 30, 2000, revised December 27, 2000)