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#### Abstract

In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that a contractive projection on a complex $f$-algebra satisfies Seever's identity.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $T$ be a contractive projection on $C_{0}(X)$, where $X$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Seever [11] showed that if $T$ is positive, then $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f T g)=T(T f T g) \text { for all } f, g \in C_{0}(X) \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some years later, Wulbert [16] proved that if $X$ is compact and if the range of $T$ has a weakly separating quotient, then $(S)$ holds. After that, Huijsmans and de Pagter [6] extended the aforementioned Seever's result to the more general case of semiprime $f$-algebras satisfying the Stone condition. Recently, Triki [12] improved this result by showing that the same result holds true for arbitrary Archimedean $f$-algebras without any extra conditions. In [4] Friedman and Russo found a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a contractive projection on $C_{0}(X)$ verifies the Seever's identity. They proved, in the same paper, that Wulbert's condition (the range of $T$ has a weakly separating quotient) and therefore the condition that $T$ is positive, is not necessary. They gave the following example: Let $A=C([-2,-1] \cup[1,2])$ and let $\chi=\chi_{[1,2]}$. Then $T$ defined by $T f(x)=$ $\frac{\chi(x) f(x)-\chi(-x) f(-x)}{2}$ is a contractive projection which verifies $(S)$, but the range $R(T)$ does not have a weakly separating quotient.

In the present work we shall consider complex $f$-algebras, with additional hypotheses, and we shall give necessary and sufficient condition in order that $(S)$ holds.

## 2. Preliminaries

For terminology and elementary properties of vector lattices and $f$-algebras we refer to the standard works [9], [10] and [15]. All vector lattices and lattice-ordered algebras under consideration are supposed to be Archimedean. The topologies considered on these spaces are the order topology (cf. [9, Sections 16 and 65]) and the (relatively) uniform topology (cf. [9, Sections 16 and 63]).

The (real) algebra $A$ is called a lattice-ordered algebra (briefly, $\ell$-algebra) if $A$ is simultaneously a vector lattice such that the ordering and the multiplication are compatible (i.e., $f, g \in A^{+}$implies $f g \in A^{+}$). The $\ell$-algebra $A$ is called an $f$-algebra whenever $f \wedge g=0$ and $0 \leq h \in A$ imply $f h \wedge g=h f \wedge g=0$. Since every Archimedean $f$-algebra is commutative, we deal only with commutative $f$ algebras in this paper. The $\ell$-algebra $A$ is said to be semiprime if 0 is the only nilpotent element of $A$. Every unital $f$-algebra is semiprime.

Let $A$ and $B$ be vector lattices. The operator $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ is called order bounded if the image under $\pi$ of an order bounded set is again an order bounded set (notation $\pi \in \mathcal{L}_{b}(A, B)$ ). The operator $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be positive if $\pi\left(A^{+}\right) \subset B^{+}$.

Let $A$ be a uniformly complete semiprime $f$-algebra. According to [2], for all elements $f=a+i b$ in the complexification $A_{\mathbb{C}}=A+i A$ of $A$, the familiar modulus

$$
|f|=\sup \{a \cos \theta+b \sin \theta: 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi\}
$$

is equal to $\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$. This implies several properties of the complexification. We list some of them:
(i) $|f g|=|f||g|$ for all $f, g \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular $\left|f^{k}\right|=|f|^{k}$ for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ ( $k=1,2, \ldots$ ).
(ii) $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a "complex $f$-algebra", that is, $|f| \wedge|g|=0$ implies $|h f| \wedge|g|=$ $|f h| \wedge|g|=0$ for all $h \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$.
(iii) $|f| \wedge|g|=0$ if and only if $f g=0$.

It is said that the sequence $\left(f_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right)$ in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is order convergent to the element $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ whenever there exists a sequence $p_{n} \downarrow 0$ in $A$ such that $\left|f_{n}-f\right| \leq p_{n}$ holds for all $n$. This will be denoted by $f_{n} \rightarrow f$.

If $T$ is a linear operator on $A$, then $T$ has a unique extension as a linear operator on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ by defining

$$
T(f+i g)=T f+i T g
$$

for all $f$ and $g$ in $A$. It follows that all the results proved in this paper hold true for real operators. The linear operator $T=T_{1}+i T_{2}$ on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called order bounded (notation $T \in \mathcal{L}_{b}\left(A_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ ) if $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{L}_{b}(A)$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}_{b}\left(A_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is said to be order continuous (or $\sigma$-order continuous) whenever $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are so. For further details on complex $f$-algebras we refer the reader to [2] and [15].

Finally, we recall that a linear map $T$ on a semiprime complex $f$-algebra $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be contractive if $|f|^{2} \leq|f|$ implies $|T(f)|^{2} \leq|T(f)|$. Obviously, if $A$ has a unit element $e$, then $T$ is contractive whenever $|f| \leq e$ implies $|T(f)| \leq e$.

## 3. Seever's identity in unital complex $f$-algebras

We begin this section by recalling the Seever's identity. We say that a linear operator $T$ on a complex $f$-algebra $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the Seever's identity $(S)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f T g)=T(T f T g), \text { for all } f, g \in A_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main topic of this paper is the connection between contractive projections and operators satisfying $(S)$. Our first proposition in this context is the following.

Proposition 1. Let $A$ be a unital $f$-algebra and $T$ be an operator on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $(S)$. Then $T^{2}$ is a projection that verifies $(S)$.

Proof: Let $e$ denote the unit element of $A$ and $f, g \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows from

$$
T^{3} f=T\left(e T^{2} f\right)=T(T e T f)=T(e T f)=T^{2} f
$$

that $T^{2}$ is projection.
On the other hand

$$
T^{2}\left(T^{2} f T^{2} g\right)=T^{2}\left(T f T^{2} g\right)=T^{2}\left(f T^{2} g\right)
$$

and thus $T^{2}$ satisfies the Seever's identity.
Remark 1. An operator $T$, which satisfies $(S)$, needs not be a projection (although $T^{2}$ is projection). Take, for instance, $A=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the pointwise operations and $T: A \rightarrow A \quad(x, y, z) \longmapsto(0, x, z)$.

Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-Dedekind complete $f$-algebra with unit element $e$ and $T$ be a $\sigma$-order continuous contractive projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. So, $T(e)=a+i b$ for some $a, b \in A$. We put

$$
u=\inf \left\{\left(a^{+}\right)^{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

which exists in $A$ because $A$ is $\sigma$-Dedekind complete. This infimum satisfies the following.

Lemma 1. (i) $u$ is idempotent (i.e., $u^{2}=u$ ).
(ii) $u T f \in A^{+}$for all $f \in A^{+}$.

Proof: (i) Since $a^{+} \leq|T e| \leq e$, it follows that the sequence $\left(\left(a^{+}\right)^{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right)$ is decreasing and then

$$
u^{2}=\inf \left\{\left(a^{+}\right)^{2 n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}=\inf \left\{\left(a^{+}\right)^{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}=u
$$

where the first equality is obtained by using the fact that the multiplication by a positive element in $A$ is order continuous.
(ii) We prove first that $u=u T e$. To this end, denote by $B_{u}$ the band generated by $u$ in $A$. Since $A=B_{u}+B_{u}^{d}$ (recall that $A$ is $\sigma$-Dedekind complete and therefore it verifies the principal projection property [9, Theorem 25.1]), there exist $a_{1}, b_{1} \in B_{u}$ and $a_{2}, b_{2} \in B_{u}^{d}$ such that $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ and $b=b_{1}+b_{2}$. It follows from $\left|a_{1}+i b_{1}\right| \wedge\left|a_{2}+i b_{2}\right|=0$ that

$$
|T e|=\left|\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+i\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right|=\left|a_{1}+i b_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}+i b_{2}\right| .
$$

Hence

$$
a_{1}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}=\left|a_{1}+i b_{1}\right|^{2} \leq|T e|^{2} \leq e
$$

So

$$
\left(u a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u b_{1}\right)^{2} \leq u
$$

Moreover

$$
u a=u\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)=u a_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad u b=u\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)=u b_{1},
$$

then

$$
(u a)^{2}+(u b)^{2} \leq u
$$

Observe that $a u=\left(a^{+}-a^{-}\right) u=u$. This together with the last inequality yields $(u b)^{2}=0$ and so $u b=0$, as $A$ is semiprime. Thus $u=u T e$, as required. Now, we define $\widetilde{T}: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow\left(B_{u}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ by putting $\widetilde{T} f=u T f$ for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Obviously, $\widetilde{T}$ is an order bounded contractive operator mapping $e$, the unit element of $A$, onto $u$ the unit element of $B_{u}$. Hence, according to [13, Proposition 4.1], $\widetilde{T}$ is positive. This implies the desired result.

Lemma 2. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-Dedekind complete $f$-algebra with unit element $e$ and let $T$ be a $\sigma$-order continuous contractive projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. If $T$ satisfies Seever's identity and if we put $T e=a+i b(a, b \in A)$, then
(i) $T\left(b^{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$;
(ii) $T\left(a^{m} b^{n}\right)=0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 1$;
(iii) $T e=T\left(a^{n}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: First, we note that since $T$ is a projection, by setting $g=e$ in the Seever's identity, we get

$$
T f=T(e T f)=T(f T e)
$$

for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f=T\left(f(T e)^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) The proof is by induction on $n$. Since $A$ is an $f$-algebra, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(T e)^{n}\left(e-|T e|^{2}\right)\right|=\left||T e|^{n}-|T e|^{n+2}\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $\left(|T e|^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is order convergent in $A$, because $A$ is $\sigma$-Dedekind complete and $|T e| \leq e$. Then it follows from (2) that

$$
(T e)^{n}\left(e-|T e|^{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Hence the $\sigma$-order continuity of $T$ implies that

$$
T\left((T e)^{n}\left(e-|T e|^{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore (1) implies that $T\left(e-|T e|^{2}\right)=0$. Consequently, again by using (1) (for $n=1$ ) we get

$$
T e=T(\overline{T e})
$$

and so $T b=0$. This shows that (i) holds for $n=1$. Assume now that $T\left(b^{n}\right)=0$ (for every operator satisfying the hypothesis of this lemma) and prove that $T\left(b^{n+1}\right)=0$. To this end, define the mapping $\widetilde{T}: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\widetilde{T}(f)=$ $T(\overline{T e} f)$ for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is straightforward to show that $\widetilde{T}$ is a $\sigma$-order continuous contractive projection satisfying Seever's identity and $\widetilde{T}(e)=T e=a+i b$. It follows that $\widetilde{T}\left(b^{n}\right)=0$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(a b^{n}\right)-i T\left(b^{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from $T\left(b^{n}\right)=0$ and

$$
T\left(b^{n}\right)=T\left(b^{n} T e\right)=T\left(b^{n}(a+i b)\right)
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(a b^{n}\right)+i T\left(b^{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3) and (4), we infer that $T\left(b^{n+1}\right)=0$, which finishes the induction step.
(ii) We proceed again by induction. Since $T\left(b^{n}\right)=T\left(a b^{n}\right)+i T\left(b^{n+1}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (i) we get $T\left(a b^{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $T\left(a^{m} b^{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. We shall prove that $T\left(a^{m+1} b^{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(a^{m} b^{n}\right) & =T\left(a^{m} b^{n} T e\right)=T\left(a^{m} b^{n}(a+i b)\right) \\
& =T\left(a^{m+1} b^{n}\right)+i T\left(a^{m} b^{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the desired result.
(iii) It follows from (i) that $T(e)=T(a)$. Using (ii), we deduce that

$$
T(a)=T\left(a(T e)^{n}\right)=T\left(a \sum_{k=0}^{n} a^{k}(i b)^{n-k}\right)=T\left(a^{n+1}\right)
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof.
For the sake of simplicity, we introduce the following definition that will be used for later purposes.

Definition 1. Let $A$ be an $f$-algebra and $T$ a projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. We say that $T$ is almost positive if there exists an order projection $\pi_{T}$ on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$
T\left(\pi_{T} T f\right)=T f \text { for all } f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

and

$$
\pi_{T} T f \in A^{+} \text {for all } f \in A^{+}
$$

In this case, we say that $T$ is an almost positive projection with an order projection $\pi_{T}$.
Of course, a positive projection is almost positive.
The proposition below gives another characterization of almost positive projections. Its proof is easy and consequently omitted.

Proposition 2. Let $A$ be an $f$-algebra and let $T$ be a projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $T$ is almost positive if and only if $T$ can be written in the form $T=T_{1}+T_{2}$, where
(i) $T_{1}$ is a positive projection given by $T_{1}=\pi T$, where $\pi$ is an order projection;
(ii) $T_{2}$ is a linear operator on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $T_{1} T_{2}=0$ and $T_{2}^{2}=0$.

The following result shows that an almost positive projection $T$ is uniquely determined by its range $R(T)$ and the positive projection $T_{1}=\pi_{T} T$.
Proposition 3. Let $A$ be an $f$-algebra and let $T, T^{\prime}$ be almost positive projections on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ with order projections $\pi_{T}$ and $\pi_{T^{\prime}}$ respectively. If $R(T)=R\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and if $\pi_{T}, \pi_{T^{\prime}}$ satisfy $\pi_{T} T=\pi_{T^{\prime}} T^{\prime}$, then $T=T^{\prime}$.

Proof: We have

$$
T=T \pi_{T} T=T \pi_{T^{\prime}} T^{\prime}=T\left(T^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime}\right)
$$

where I is the identity mapping. From $R(T)=R\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $T T^{\prime}=T^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T^{\prime}-T\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

multiplying by $\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime} & =T^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime}-T\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{I}-\pi_{T^{\prime}}\right) T^{\prime} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (1) implies that $T=T^{\prime}$.
Remark 2. Recall that if $A$ is a unital $f$-algebra, then order projections on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ are multiplications by idempotent elements in $A$. Thus a projection $T$ on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is almost positive if and only if there exists $u \in A$ such that $u^{2}=u, T(u T f)=T f$ for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $u T f \in A^{+}$for all $f \in A^{+}$.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 1. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-Dedekind complete $f$-algebra, with unit element and let $T$ be a $\sigma$-order continuous contractive projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity if and only if $T$ is almost positive.
Proof: Suppose that $T$ verifies the Seever's identity $(S)$ and let $e$ denote the unit element of $A$. Put $T e=a+i b(a, b \in A)$. By Lemma 1, $u=\inf \left\{\left(a^{+}\right)^{n}: n=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$ verifies $u^{2}=u$ and $u T f \in A^{+}$for all $f \in A^{+}$. We claim that $T u=T e$. Indeed, since $A$ is $\sigma$-Dedekind complete and $a^{-} \leq e$, there exists $v \in A^{+}$such that $\left(a^{-}\right)^{n} \downarrow v$. Consequently

$$
a^{2 n}=\left(a^{+}\right)^{2 n}+\left(a^{-}\right)^{2 n} \rightarrow u+v
$$

and

$$
a^{2 n+1}=\left(a^{+}\right)^{2 n+1}-\left(a^{-}\right)^{2 n+1} \rightarrow u-v
$$

Thus the $\sigma$-order continuity of $T$ together with (iii) of Lemma 2 imply $T v=0$ and so $T u=T e$. Hence $T f=T(T(u) f)=T(u T f)$. So by Remark $2, T$ is almost positive.

Conversely, we consider the operator $\widetilde{T}: A_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $\widetilde{T} f=u T f$ for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the hypothesis imply that $\widetilde{T}$ is a positive contractive projection. Thus by [12, Theorem 3.4] Seever's identity holds for $\widetilde{T}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{T}(f \widetilde{T} g) & =\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{T} e f \widetilde{T} g)=\widetilde{T}\left(u^{2} T e f T g\right) \\
& =\widetilde{T}(u T e f T g)=\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{T} e f T g) \\
& =\widetilde{T}(f T g)=u T(f T g)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f, g \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$.
Similarly,

$$
\widetilde{T}(\widetilde{T} f \widetilde{T} g)=u T(T f T g)
$$

Then the identity $(S)$ for $\widetilde{T}$ yields

$$
u T(f T g)=u T(T f T g)
$$

Applying $T$ and using the hypothesis, we get

$$
T(f T g)=T(T f T g)
$$

and we are done.
The assumption that $T$ is $\sigma$-order continuous in the above theorem cannot be dropped as it is shown in the next example.
Example 1. Let $A$ be the Dedekind completion of $C([-1,1])$. Then there exists a one-one lattice homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{[-1,1]}$ such that $\varphi$ preserves the unit element of $A$ (see [15, Theorem 83.18] and its proof). In view of [7, Corollary 5.5], $\varphi$ is an algebra homomorphism and consequently $A$ can be embedded as a sub-$f$-algebra in $\mathbb{R}^{[-1,1]}$. Let $T: A \rightarrow A$ be the operator defined by $T f=f(1) g_{1}-$ $f(-1) g_{2}$, where $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are given respectively by:

$$
g_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for }-1 \leq x \leq 1 / 3 \\ \frac{3}{2} x-\frac{1}{2} & \text { for } 1 / 3 \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
g_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{4}{3} x+\frac{1}{3} & \text { for }-1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ \frac{-1}{3} x+\frac{1}{3} & \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

It is an easy task to verify that $T$ is a contractive projection satisfying the Seever's identity. Assume that there exists $u \in A$ such that $u^{2}=u, u T f \in A^{+}$, for all $f \in A^{+}$, and $T(u T f)=T f$ for all $f \in A$. Put $u=\chi_{S}, S \subset[-1,1]$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $f_{n}$ the positive function in $A$ defined by:

$$
f_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}n^{2} x^{2} & \text { for }-1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ n x & \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Then the inequality $\chi_{S} T f_{n} \geq 0$ implies $\chi_{S}(x) g_{1}(x) \geq n \chi_{S}(x) g_{2}(x)$, for all $x \in$ $[-1,1]$. This being true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Archimedean property yields $S \subset[-1,0[$ $\cup\{1\}$, as $g_{2}(x)>0$ for all $x \in[0,1[$. Hence we deduce from the equality

$$
\chi_{S}=\sup \left\{f \in C[-1,1] \quad 0 \leq f \leq \chi_{S}\right\}
$$

that $\chi_{S}(1)=0$. Therefore

$$
f(1)=T(f)(1)=T\left(\chi_{S} T f\right)(1)=0
$$

for all $f \in A$, which is wrong.

## 4. Seever's identity for nonunital complex $f$-algebras

In this section we give a nonunital version of Theorem 1. To this end, we make some preparations. First we recall some definitions and properties of orthomorphisms.

Let $A$ be a vector lattice. The order bounded operator $\pi: A \longrightarrow A$ is called orthomorphism if $|f| \wedge|g|=0$ implies $|\pi(f)| \wedge|g|=0$. The collection $\operatorname{Orth}(A)$ of all orthomorphisms on $A$ is an Archimedean $f$-algebra with respect to the usual vector spaces operations and composition as multiplication. Besides, the identity mapping I on $A$ is the unit element of $\operatorname{Orth}(A)$. The principal order ideal in $\operatorname{Orth}(A)$ generated by the identity mapping I is called the center of $A$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{Z}(A)$. If $A$ is Dedekind complete then $\operatorname{Orth}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ are likewise Dedekind complete. If $A$ is a semiprime $f$-algebra, then the mapping $\rho$ defined from $A$ into $\operatorname{Orth}(A)$ by $\rho(f)=\pi_{f}$, where $\pi_{f}(g)=f g$ for all $g \in A$, is an injective $f$-algebra homomorphism. Throughout this section a semiprime $f$-algebra $A$ will be identified with $\rho(A)$. If $T$ is a linear operator on a semiprime complex $f$ algebra, then $T$ is contractive if and only if $|T(f)| \leq \mathrm{I}$ whenever $|f| \leq \mathrm{I}$. We shall denote by $A_{b}$ the subalgebra of all bounded elements in $A$, i.e., $A_{b}=\{f \in$ $\left.A,|f| \leq \alpha \mathrm{I} ; \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}$. More details about orthomorphisms can be found in [15].

Now recall that a vector sublattice $F$ of a vector lattice $E$ is order dense if $x=\sup \{y: 0 \leq y \leq x, y \in F\}$ for every $x \in E^{+}$(cf. [3, Section 14]). It follows from [8, Proposition 2.1] that $A_{b}$ is order dense in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$.

To prove the first proposition in this section, we need the following result for the proof of which we refer to [3, Theorem 17.B].

Theorem 2. Let $E$ and $G$ be vector lattices and $F$ an order dense vector sublattice of $E$. Suppose that $T: F \rightarrow G$ is a positive order continuous linear map such that

$$
\widetilde{T} x=\sup \{T y: y \in F, 0 \leq y \leq x\}
$$

exists in $G$ for every $x \in E^{+}$. Then $T$ has a unique extension to a positive order continuous linear map from $E$ to $G$.

Proposition 4. Let $A$ be a semiprime Dedekind complete $f$-algebra and let $T: A_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be an order continuous contractive operator. Then there exists an order continuous contractive operator $\widetilde{T}:(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\widetilde{T} f=T f$ for all $f \in\left(A_{b}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof: First, consider the case that $T$ is positive. Let $T_{b}$ denote the restriction of $T$ to $A_{b}, T_{b}: A_{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}(A) f \longmapsto T f$. Since $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is Dedekind complete and $T$ is contractive,

$$
\sup \{T f: f \in A, 0 \leq f \leq \pi\}
$$

exists in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ for every $\pi \in \mathrm{Z}(A)^{+}$. Moreover $A_{b}$ is order dense in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$. Then, by Theorem $2, T_{b}$ extends to an order continuous operator from $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ into $\mathrm{Z}(A)$.

There exists, therefore, an order continuous operator $\widetilde{T}$ from $(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ into $(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\widetilde{T} f=T f$ for all $f \in\left(A_{b}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now consider the case where $T$ is arbitrary. Then

$$
T=T_{1}+i T_{2}=\left(T_{1}^{+}-T_{1}^{-}\right)+i\left(T_{2}^{+}-T_{2}^{-}\right)
$$

where $T_{i}^{+}, T_{i}^{-}(i \in\{1,2\})$ are positive contractive and order continuous operators. Therefore applying the above result for each of $T_{i}^{+}$and $T_{i}^{-}$, we prove the existence of an order continuous operator $\widetilde{T}:(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\widetilde{T} f=T f$ for all $f \in\left(A_{b}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$. It remains to show that $\widetilde{T}$ is contractive. Let $\pi \in(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $|\pi| \leq \mathrm{I}$. It follows from the order density of $A_{b}$ in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ that there exists a directed system $\left\{a_{j} j \in J\right\}$ in $A^{+}$for which $0 \leq a_{j} \uparrow \mathrm{I}$. Then $\left|\pi a_{j}-\pi\right| \downarrow 0$ and $\left|\pi a_{j}\right| \leq \mathrm{I}$. Hence the order continuity of $\widetilde{T}$ together with the contractivity of $T$ imply that $|\widetilde{T} \pi| \leq \mathrm{I}$ and so $\widetilde{T}$ is contractive.

We are now in a position to prove our main result.
Theorem 3. Let $A$ be a semiprime Dedekind complete $f$-algebra and let $T$ be an order continuous contractive projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity if and only if $T$ is almost positive.

Proof: First, suppose that $T$ satisfies Seever's identity. By Proposition 4 there exists an order continuous contractive operator $\widetilde{T}:(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\widetilde{T} f=T f$ for all $f \in\left(A_{b}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows from the order density of $A_{b}$ in $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ and the order continuity of $\widetilde{T}$ that $\widetilde{T}$ is a projection satisfying Seever's identity. Therefore by Theorem 1 (applied to the pair $(\mathrm{Z}(A), \widetilde{T})$ ), there exists $\pi \in \mathrm{Z}(A)$ such that $\pi^{2}=\pi, \pi \widetilde{T} f \in \mathrm{Z}(A)^{+}$for all $f \in \mathrm{Z}(A)^{+}$and $\widetilde{T}(\pi \widetilde{T} f)=\widetilde{T} f$ for all $f \in(\mathrm{Z}(A))_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then using the order density of $A_{b}$ in $A$ and the order continuity of $T$, we get the desired result.

The proof of the "only if" part follows the same lines as the proof of the "if" part and therefore is omitted.

## 5. The case where $A$ has a point separating order dual

Throughout this section $A^{\prime}$ will denote the order dual of $A$. Recall that if $A$ is an $f$-algebra with point separating order dual, then a multiplication can be introduced in the complexfication of the order bidual $A^{\prime \prime}$ of $A$ (the so called Arens multiplication). Which is accomplished in three steps: Given $x, y \in A, f \in A^{\prime}$ and $F, G \in A^{\prime \prime}$, we define $f \cdot x \in A^{\prime}, G \cdot f \in A^{\prime}$ and $F \cdot G \in A^{\prime \prime}$ by the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
(f \cdot x)(y)=f(x y)  \tag{1}\\
(G \cdot f)(x)=G(f \cdot x)  \tag{2}\\
(F \cdot G)(f)=F(G \cdot f) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $A^{\prime \prime}$ is a Dedekind complete $f$-algebra with respect to this multiplication (see [5, Theorem 2.8]). The band of all order continuous linear functionals on $A^{\prime}\left(\right.$ denoted by $\left.\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is also a Dedekind complete $f$-algebra ([8, Theorem 4.4]). From now on, $A$ denotes an $f$-algebra with point separating order dual $A^{\prime}$.

Let the map $\sigma: A \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ denote the canonical evaluation map, that is, $\sigma(g)(\mu)=\mu(g)$ where $\mu \in A^{\prime}$. Then $\sigma$ is an injective algebra homomorphism. The extension of $\sigma$ as a linear operator from $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ into $A_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime \prime}$ is also denoted by $\sigma$. We have $\sigma\left(A_{\mathbb{C}}\right) \subset\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$. If $T=T_{1}+i T_{2}$ is an order bounded operator on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$, then the biadjoint $T^{\prime \prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}=T_{1}^{\prime \prime}+i T_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ verifies $T^{\prime \prime} \circ \sigma=\sigma \circ T$. Moreover $T^{\prime \prime}$ maps $\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ into itself. The restriction of $T^{\prime \prime}$ to $\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is also denoted by $T^{\prime \prime}$.
Proposition 5. The operator $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity if and only if $T^{\prime \prime}$ does.

Proof: Suppose that $T$ verifies $(S)$ and let $F, G \in A_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}\left(F \cdot T^{\prime \prime} G\right)(f)=F\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right) \text { for all } f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)(a) & =G\left(T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot a\right)\right) \text { for all } a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}  \tag{2}\\
T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot a\right)(b) & =\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot a\right)(T b)=f(T(a T b)) \\
& =f(T(T a T b))=T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot T a\right)(b)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $b \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. This implies that $T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot a\right)=T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot T a\right)$.
Then (2) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)(a) & =G\left(T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} f \cdot T a\right)\right)=\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)(T a) \\
& =T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Consequently

$$
T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f=T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)
$$

Combining this with (1) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{\prime \prime}\left(F \cdot T^{\prime \prime} G\right)(f) & =F\left(T^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right)\right)=T^{\prime \prime} F\left(T^{\prime \prime} G \cdot T^{\prime} f\right) \\
& =T^{\prime \prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime} F \cdot T^{\prime \prime} G\right)(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f \in A_{\mathbb{C}}^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
T^{\prime \prime}\left(F \cdot T^{\prime \prime} G\right)=T^{\prime \prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime} F \cdot T^{\prime \prime} G\right)
$$

and we are done.
Conversely, suppose that $T^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies the identity $(S)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(T(f T g)) & =T^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma(f) \cdot T^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(g))\right) \\
& =T^{\prime \prime}\left(T^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(f)) \cdot T^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(g))\right) \\
& =T^{\prime \prime}(\sigma(T f T g))=\sigma(T(T f T g))
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f, g \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$, where we use that $\sigma \circ T=T^{\prime \prime} \circ \sigma$. Since $\sigma$ is injective, we infer that $T(f T g)=T(T f T g)$, which completes the proof.

Recall that an upward directed net $\left\{a_{j} j \in J\right\}$ is said to be a weak approximate unit if

$$
f(b)=\sup \left\{f\left(a_{j} b\right): j \in J\right\}
$$

for all $b \in A^{+}$and all $f \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{+}$(cf. [8, Definition 7.1]). If $A$ is a semiprime $f$-algebra, such that $A$ has a weak approximate unit, then $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ is semiprime ( $\left[14\right.$, Theorem 4.3]). We recall also that if $T: A_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is order bounded, then $T^{\prime \prime}:\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is order continuous (see [15, Section 97$]$ ).

Now combining Proposition 5 and Theorem 3, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Let $A$ be a semiprime $f$-algebra with point separating order dual, and such that $A$ has a weak approximate unit. Suppose that $T$ is an order bounded contractive projection on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity if and only if the restriction of $T^{\prime \prime}$ to $\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is almost positive.

Let $A=C_{0}(X)$ be the collection of all continuous functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space $X$ with values in a field $F$ which is either the real or complex numbers and let $T$ be a contractive projection on $A$. In the proof of [4, Theorem 1] Friedman and Russo have defined an order projection $M$ on $A^{\prime \prime}$, which verifies $T^{\prime \prime} M T^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime \prime}$. In the same paper they proved that $T$ satisfies the Seever's identity $(S)$ if and only if $M T^{\prime \prime}$ is positive (see [4, Theorem 3]). Thus the authors proved that if $T$ verifies $(S)$, then $T^{\prime \prime}$ is almost positive. Since $A=C_{0}(X)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4 and $A^{\prime \prime}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$, we can see clearly that the aforementioned Friedman and Russo's result is a consequence of Theorem 4.
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