Sudip Kumar Acharyya; Dibyendu De An interesting class of ideals in subalgebras of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 48 (2007), No. 2, 273--280

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119657

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

An interesting class of ideals in subalgebras of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$

Sudip Kumar Acharyya, Dibyendu De

Abstract. In the present paper we give a duality between a special type of ideals of subalgebras of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$ and z-filters of βX by generalization of the notion z-ideal of C(X). We also use it to establish some intersecting properties of prime ideals lying between $C^*(X)$ and C(X). For instance we may mention that such an ideal becomes prime if and only if it contains a prime ideal. Another interesting one is that for such an ideal the residue class ring is totally ordered if and only if it is prime.

Keywords: Stone-Čech compactification, rings of continuous functions, maximal ideals, $z_A^{\mathcal{A}}\text{-ideals}$

Classification: 54D35

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff. For a space X, C(X) stands for the ring of all real valued continuous functions on X, $C^*(X)$ is the subring of C(X) consisting of all bounded functions and $\Sigma(X)$ will denote the collection of all subalgebras of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$.

It is a fascinating fact in the theory of rings of continuous functions that for a space X the structure spaces of both C(X) and $C^*(X)$ produce the Stone-Čech compactification βX of that space. Plank [7] has proved that the structure space of any subalgebra of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$ also produces the Stone-Čech compactification βX of X in an analogous manner. In this course an analogous study of arbitrary subalgebra of C(X) containing $C^*(X)$ becomes important. The study of ideals in C(X) depends strongly on the fact that if I is a proper ideal in C(X) then $Z(I) = \{Z(f) : f \in I\}$ becomes a z-filter on X. But in case of an arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ the analogous statement is not necessarily true. H.L. Byun and S. Watson [2] introduced a method for studying ideals in arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$. For each ideal I in A(X), they associated a family of subsets of X given by $\mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \bigcup \{\mathcal{Z}_A(f) : f \in I\}$, where for each $f \in A(X)$, $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \{E \in Z(X) : \exists g \in A(X) \text{ with } f \cdot g|_{X-E} = 1\}$, which latter turned out to be a z-filter on X. Further they called an ideal I in A(X) to z-filters on X, lacks the sensitivity for distinguishing prime ideals. In fact even in case of
$$\begin{split} A(X) &= C(X) \text{ also, it follows that } \mathcal{Z}_C[O_C^p] &= \mathcal{Z}_C[M_C^p] \text{ for all } p \in \beta X, \text{ where } O_C^p &= \{f \in C(X) : p \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta X}\{\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)\}\}. \text{ More generally, if } P \text{ is a prime ideal contained in a maximal ideal } M_A^p \text{ in } A(X) \text{ then } \mathcal{Z}_A[P] &= \mathcal{Z}_A[M_A^p]. \text{ So by this definition of } \mathcal{B}\text{-ideal there does not exist any non-maximal prime } \mathcal{B}\text{-ideal. In this article we introduce a new type of ideals in } A(X) \text{ called } z_A^\beta\text{-ideals, and a correspondence } z_A^\beta \text{ from the set of all ideals in } A(X) \text{ to the set of a special type of filters in } \beta X \text{ in such a way that the correspondence } z_A^\beta\text{ retains the sensitivity of distinguishing prime ideals to some extent. In fact we shall show that there exists a non-maximal prime <math>z_A^\beta\text{-ideal in } A(X).$$
 Following Plank [7], for any $f \in A(X)$ we denote $\{p \in \beta X : (f \cdot g)^*(p) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in A(X)\}$ as $S_A(f)$ and $Z_A^\beta[I] = \{S_A(f) : f \in I\}.$ Throughout this article we shall call $S_A(f)$ an $A\text{-zeroset in } \beta X,$ and the set $\{S_A(f) : f \in A(X)\}$ will be denoted by $Z_A^\beta[X]. \end{split}$

2. z_A^β -filter on βX

Like z-filters in X, we define z_A^β -filters in βX in the following way.

Definition 2.1. A non empty subset F of $Z_A^{\beta}[X]$ is called a z_A^{β} -filter on βX provided that

- (1) $\varphi \notin F$,
- (2) if Z_1, Z_2 are in \digamma then $Z_1 \cap Z_2 \in \digamma$,
- (3) if Z is in F and $Z' \in Z_A^\beta[X]$ with $Z' \supset Z$ then $Z' \in F$.

Now we can easily see that if f is a unit of A(X) then $\frac{1}{f} \in A(X)$ so that $(f \cdot \frac{1}{f})^*(p) = 1$ for all $p \in \beta X$ and therefore $S_A(f) = \varphi$. Again for each $p \in \beta X$ there exists $g_p \in A(X)$ such that $(f \cdot g_p)^*(p) \neq 0$. This means that f is missed by every maximal ideal in A(X), so that f is not a unit of A(X). Therefore we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$. Then for any $f \in A(X)$, $S_A(f) = \varphi$ if and only if f is a unit of A(X).

The above lemma discovers the duality existing between the ideals of A(X) and z_A^{β} -filters on βX .

Theorem 2.3. For any $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ the following holds.

- (1) If I is an ideal in A(X) then the family $Z_A^{\beta}[I] = \{S_A(f) : f \in I\}$ is a z_A^{β} -filter on βX .
- (2) If F is a z_A^{β} -filter on βX then the family $Z_A^{\beta-1}[F]$ given as $\{f \in A(X) : S_A(f) \in F\}$ is an ideal in A(X).

Before talking about the duality between maximal ideals in A(X) and maximal z_A^{β} -filter in βX we simply write down the following results, whose proofs can also be given by using the well-known routine arguments. First we introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.4. A z_A^{β} -ultrafilter on βX is a z_A^{β} -filter on βX which is not contained in any other z_A^{β} -filter on βX .

Theorem 2.5. For any $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ the followings are equivalent.

- (1) Every z_A^{β} -filter on βX can be extended to a z_A^{β} -ultrafilter on βX .
- (2) Every subfamily of $Z_A^{\beta}[X]$ with finite intersection property can be extended to a z_A^{β} -ultrafilter on βX and therefore a z_A^{β} -ultrafilter on βX is a subfamily of $Z_A^{\beta}[X]$ which is maximal with respect to having finite in-tersection property. Conversely a subfamily \digamma of $Z_A^{\beta}[X]$ enjoying finite intersection property and maximal with respect to this property is necessary a z_{Λ}^{β} -ultrafilter on βX .
- (3) A z_A^{β} -filter F on βX is a z_A^{β} -ultrafilter on βX if and only if for any $Z \in$ $Z^{\beta}_{A}[X], Z \cap Z' \neq \varphi$ for any $Z' \in F$, implies that $Z \in F$.

As a straightforward consequence of the above theorem, taking into account the maximality of M and F, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$. Then

- if M is a maximal ideal in A(X) then Z^β_A[M] is a z^β_A-ultrafilter on βX,
 if ℑ is a z^β_A-ultrafilter on βX then Z^{β-1}_A[ℑ] is a maximal ideal in A(X).

Using the duality between maximal ideals in A(X) and ultrafilters in βX we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ and $f \in A(X)$. If M is a maximal ideal in A(X) and $S_A(f)$ meets every member of $Z_A^\beta[M]$ then $f \in M$.

3. z_{A}^{β} -ideals in A(X) and its properties

For any $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ and for any z_A^β -filter \Im on βX , it is obvious that $\Im = Z_A^{\beta}[Z_A^{\beta-1}[\Im]];$ therefore Z_A^{β} can be considered to be a mapping from the set of all ideals in A(X) onto the set of all z_A^{β} -filters on βX . Furthermore, for any ideal I in A(X), we have $I \subset Z_A^{\beta-1}[Z_A^{\beta}[I]]$. The inclusion in the above relation may be proper. In fact in the ring $C(\mathbb{R})$ if we consider the ideal $I = \langle i \rangle$, the smallest ideal in $C(\mathbb{R})$ generated by the identity mapping i, we can easily observe that the mapping $i^{1/3}$ is in $Z_C^{\beta-1}[Z_C^{\beta}[I]]$ but it does not belong to I. This motivates to introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1. An ideal I in $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ is said to be a z_A^{β} -ideal if for any $f \in A(X), S_A(f) \in Z_A^{\beta}[I]$ implies that $f \in I$, that is, $I = Z_A^{\beta-1}[Z_A^{\beta}[I]]$.

Clearly if F is a z_A^{β} -filter on βX then $I = Z_A^{\beta-1}[\Im]$ is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X), in fact $\Im = Z_A^{\beta}[Z_A^{\beta-1}[\Im]]$. Further for any $p \in \beta X$, $O_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \in \operatorname{int}_{\beta X} S_A(f)\}$ is a z_A^{β} -ideal. It is also evident that the intersection of any nonempty collection of z_A^{β} -ideals in A(X) is again a z_A^{β} -ideal. Again from Theorem 2.7 we can prove that for any maximal ideal M in A(X), $M = Z_A^{\beta-1}[Z_A^{\beta}[M]]$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$. Then every maximal ideal in A(X) is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X).

The following theorem shows that like maximal prime ideals, i.e. maximal ideals, minimal prime ideals in A(X) are also z_A^β -ideals.

Theorem 3.3. If I is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) and P is minimal in the class of prime ideals containing I, then P is a z_A^{β} -ideal.

PROOF: Let J be a prime ideal containing I which is not a z_A^β -ideal. Then to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that J is not minimal in the class of prime ideals containing I. Since J is not a z_A^β -ideal there exists an $f \in J$ and a $g \in A(X)$ with $g \notin J$ such that $S_A(f) = S_A(g)$. Now consider the set $S = (A(X) - J) \cup \{hf^n : h \notin J, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since J is a prime ideal, S is closed under multiplication. Furthermore S does not meet I. In fact $hf^n \in I$ for some $h \in J$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $h \cdot g \in J$, which contradicts that J is a prime ideal. Hence there exists a prime ideal containing I and disjoint from S and, hence, contained in J properly. Therefore J is not minimal.

Remark 3.4. Since the ideal $\langle 0 \rangle$ in any A(X) is a z_A^{β} -ideal, every minimal prime ideal in an arbitrary A(X) is a z_A^{β} -ideal.

It is well known that every z-ideal in C(X) is the intersection of all prime ideals containing it. The basic fact behind the result is that $Z(f^n) = Z(f)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In our setting of A(X) we also see that $S_A(f^n) = S_A(f)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Every z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) is the intersection of all prime ideals in A(X) containing it.

Remark 3.6. Using Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 it is easy to observe that every z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) is the intersection of all minimal prime ideals containing it.

The following theorem shows that z_A^{β} -ideals in A(X) are actually A-analogues of z-ideals in C(X).

Theorem 3.7. In C(X), an ideal I is a z-ideal if and only if it is a z_C^{β} -ideal.

PROOF: Let I be a z-ideal in C(X) and $f \in C(X)$ be such that $S_C(f) \in Z_C^\beta[I]$. Then there exists $g \in I$ such that $S_C(f) = S_C(g)$. Since it is well known that for any $f \in C(X)$, $S_C(f) = \operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ and $\operatorname{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \cap X = Z(f)$, the above relation implies that $Z(f) = Z(g) \in Z[I]$. Hence $f \in I$, as I is a z-ideal. Therefore every z-ideal in C(X) is also a z_C^β -ideal.

Conversely, let I be a z_C^{β} -ideal in C(X) and $f \in C(X)$ with $Z(f) \in Z[I]$. Then there exists an element g of I such that Z(f) = Z(g), so that $cl_{\beta X} Z(f) = cl_{\beta X} Z(g) \in Z_C^{\beta}[I]$. Since I is a z_C^{β} -ideal, it follows that $f \in I$, proving that I is a z-ideal in C(X).

It is known that in case of C(X), an intersection of prime ideals need not be a z-ideal, see Example 2G.1 of [5]. So Theorem 3.7 shows that the converse of Theorem 3.5 is not valid. But like z-ideals in C(X), a z_A^{β} -ideal in an arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ can also be described as a purely algebraic object.

Theorem 3.8. An ideal I in $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ is a z_A^β -ideal if and only if given $f \in A(X)$ there exists $g \in I$ such that whenever f belongs to every maximal ideal in A(X) containing g, then $f \in I$.

PROOF: Let I be a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) and $f \in A(X)$. Again let $g \in I$ be such that f belongs to every maximal ideal in A(X) containing g. Then $S_A(g) \subset S_A(f)$ so that $S_A(f) \in Z_A^{\beta}[I]$. Since I is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X), we have $f \in I$. For the converse, let us assume that the given condition holds and $S_A(f) \in Z_A^{\beta}(f) \in I$.

For the converse, let us assume that the given condition holds and $S_A(f) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$ for some $f \in A(X)$. Taking f = g we see that f belongs to every maximal ideal in A(X) that contains g. Hence $f \in I$ so that I is a z_A^β -ideal.

Now we present an example which shows that the notion of \mathcal{B} -ideal in A(X) [2], already described in Introduction, does not coincide with the notion of z_A^β -ideal even with the choice A(X) = C(X).

Example. Let us consider the z-ideal $O_0 = \{f \in C(X) : 0 \in \operatorname{int}_X Z(f)\}$. Then the z-filter $\mathcal{Z}_C(i) = \{Z \in Z(\mathbb{R}) : \exists g \in C(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } i \cdot g|_{\mathbb{R}-Z} = 1\} \subset \mathcal{Z}_C[O_0]$. In fact if $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_C(i)$ then there exists $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $i \cdot g|_{\mathbb{R}-Z} = 1$, which implies that $i \cdot g(\operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}-Z)) = \{1\}$. It then clearly follows that $0 \notin \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}-Z)$. Therefore there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $(\mathbb{R}-Z) \cap (-\delta, \delta) = \emptyset$. We define $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ as follows: if $|x| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ then h(x) = 0, if $\frac{\delta}{2} \leq x \leq \delta$ then $h(x) = \frac{g(\delta)}{\delta}(2x - \delta)$, if $|x| \geq \delta$ then h(x) = g(x), and if $-\delta \leq x \leq -\frac{\delta}{2}$ then $h(x) = \frac{g(-\delta)}{-\delta}(2x + \delta)$. Then clearly $h \in O_0$ and $i \cdot h|_{\mathbb{R}-Z} = 1$, so that $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_C(h)$. Hence $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_C[O_0]$. But as $i \notin O_0$, O_0 cannot be an \mathcal{B} -ideal in $C(\mathbb{R})$.

Next we recall the definition of e-ideal [5]. An ideal I in $C^*(X)$ is called an e-ideal if $E_{\epsilon}(f) \in E(I) = \bigcup_{\epsilon} E_{\epsilon}(f)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ implies that $f \in I$, where

 $E_{\epsilon}(f) = f^{-1}[(-\epsilon, \epsilon)]$. But the following example shows that the notion of *e*-ideal in $C^*(X)$ does not coincide with the notion of $z_{C^*}^{\beta}$ -ideal.

Example. In the ring $C^*(\mathbb{R})$ let us consider the ideal $O_0 = \{f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}) : 0 \in int_{\beta\mathbb{R}} Z(f^{\beta})\}$. Since $Z(f^{\beta}) = S_{C^*}(f)$ for any $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$, it is easy to see that O_0 is a $z_{C^*}^{\beta}$ -ideal in $C^*(\mathbb{R})$. Now taking $f = (i \vee -1) \wedge 1$ we see that $E_{\epsilon}(f) \in E(O_0)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, but $f \notin O_0$. Hence O_0 is not an e-ideal.

In case of C(X) it is well known that a z-ideal need not be prime. In fact if X is not an F-space then there exists some $p \in \beta X$ such that O_C^p is not a prime ideal. But O_C^p is a z-ideal for every $p \in \beta X$, i.e. a z_C^β -ideal. The following theorem tells us that if a z_A^β -ideal contains a prime ideal then it becomes prime.

Theorem 3.9. Suppose $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ and let I be a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X). Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) I is a prime ideal in A(X).
- (2) I contains a prime ideal in A(X).
- (3) For all g, h in A(X), $g \cdot h = 0$ implies that $g \in I$ or $h \in I$.
- (4) For every $f \in A(X)$ there exists an A-zero set Z in $Z_A^{\beta}[I]$ such that either

 $M^p_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \ge 0 \ \forall p \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } M^p_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \le 0 \ \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}.$

PROOF: $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is trivial.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let us assume that P is a prime ideal in A(X) contained in I. Now for any two g, h in A(X) if $g \cdot h = 0$ then $g \cdot h \in P$. So either $g \in P$ or $h \in P$, that is, either $g \in I$ or $h \in I$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ For any given $f \in A(X)$, $(f \vee 0) \cdot (f \wedge 0) = 0$. Hence from (3) it follows that $f \vee 0 \in I$ or $f \wedge 0 \in I$. If $f \vee 0 \in I$ then $S_A(f \vee 0) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$. In this case for any $p \in S_A(f \vee 0)$, we have $f \vee 0 \in M_A^p$, that is, $M_A^p(f) \vee 0 = 0$. Clearly this implies that $M_A^p(f) \leq 0$ for all $p \in S_A(f \vee 0) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$. Similarly in case $f \wedge 0 \in I$ we have $M_A^p(f) \geq 0$ for all $p \in S_A(f \wedge 0) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let us assume $g \cdot h \in I$, $g, h \in A(X)$, and consider the function |g| - |h| in A(X). Then there exists an A-zeroset Z such that $M_A^p(|g| - |h|) \ge 0$ for all $p \in Z$, say for definiteness. Then clearly

$$M^p_A(|g|) \ge [M^p_A(|h|) \text{ for all } p \in Z.$$

Now we claim that $Z \cap S_A(g \cdot h) = Z \cap S_A(h) \subset S_A(h)$. In fact, by the above relation, $p \in S_A(g) \cap Z$ implies that $p \in S_A(h) \cap Z$, here we use the absolute convexity of maximal ideals in A(X). Now because $S_A(f \cdot g) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$, it follows that $S_A(h) \in Z_A^\beta[I]$. Therefore I is a z_A^β -ideal and we have $h \in I$. Analogously, if $M_A^p(|g| - |h|) \ge 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we would have obtained $g \in I$. Hence I is a prime ideal in A(X).

In [6] we have observed that in any uniformly closed ϕ -algebra every prime ideal can be extended to a unique maximal ideal, where by a ϕ -algebra we mean an archimedean lattice ordered algebra over the real field \mathbb{R} which has an identity element 1 that is a weak order unit (i.e. $x \wedge 0$ implies x = 0) and it is called uniformly closed if every Cauchy sequence of its elements converges in it. Here we present a different proof of the above result for arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$. We recall that in any commutative ring if I and J are two prime ideals neither containing the other then $I \cap J$ is not a prime ideal. Therefore in arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ if two distinct maximal ideals contain a single prime ideal we get a contradiction as intersection of two maximal ideals is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) and by the above theorem any z_A^{β} -ideal containing a prime ideal is prime. This gives an alternative proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. Every prime ideal in an $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ can be extended to a unique maximal ideal.

To end this article we are interested in knowing when a partially ordered residue class ring modulo a z_A^{β} -ideal is *totally ordered*. The following theorem shows that these are only when z_A^{β} -ideals are prime. We recall that every prime ideal in arbitrary $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ is absolutely convex. From this it is easy to conclude that every z_A^{β} -ideal is also absolutely convex.

Theorem 3.11. Suppose that $A(X) \in \Sigma(X)$ and that I is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X). Then A(X)/I is totally ordered if and only if I is prime.

PROOF: Let A(X)/I be a totally ordered ring and $f \in A(X)$. We assume that $I(f) \geq 0$. Since I is absolutely convex we have $f - |f| \in I$, and therefore $S_A(f) \in Z_A^{\beta}[I]$. Hence for any $p \in S_A(f)$ it follows that $M_A^p(f - |f|) = 0$ that is $M_A^p(f) = M_A^p(|f|)$. This implies that $M_A^p(f) \geq 0$ for all $p \in Z = S_A(f - |f|) \in Z_A^{\beta}[I]$. Therefore by Theorem 3.9 I becomes a prime ideal.

Conversely let I be a prime ideal in A(X) and $f \in A(X)$. Then again by Theorem 3.9 there exists a $Z \in Z_A^{\beta}[I]$ such that either $M_A^p(f) \ge 0$ for all $p \in Z$ or $M_A^p(f) \le 0$ for all $p \in Z$. Let us assume that $M_A^p(f) \ge 0$ for all $p \in Z$. This implies that $f - |f| \in M_A^p$ so that $M_A^p(f) = M_A^p(|f|)$ for all $p \in Z$. Hence $M_A^p(f - |f|) = 0$ for all $p \in Z$, that is $Z \subset S_A(f - |f|)$. Now as $Z_A^{\beta}[I]$ is a z_A^{β} -filter on βX and I is a z_A^{β} -ideal in A(X) we have $f - |f| \in I$ and hence $I(f) \ge 0$. Similarly $M_A^p(f) \le 0$ for all $p \in Z$ implies that $I(f) \le 0$. Therefore A(X)/Ibecomes totally ordered.

S.K. Acharyya, D. De

References

- Acharyya S.K., Chattopadhyay K.C., Ghosh D.P., A class of subalgebras of C(X) and the associated compactness, Kyungpook Math. J. 41 (2001), no. 2, 323–324.
- [2] Byun H.L., Watson S., Prime and maximal ideals of C(X), Topology Appl. 40 (1991), 45–62.
- [3] De D., Acharyya S.K., Characterization of function rings between C*(X) and C(X), Kyungpook Math. J. 46 (2006), 503-507.
- [4] Dominguege J.M., Gomez J., Mulero M.A., Intermediate algebras between C*(X) and C(X) as rings of fractions of C*(X), Topology Appl. 77 (1997), 115–130.
- [5] Gillman L., Jerison M., Rings of Continuous Functions, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [6] Henriksen M., Johnson D.G., On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice ordered algebras, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), 73–94.
- [7] Plank D., On a class of subalgebras of C(X) with application to $\beta X X$, Fund. Math. **64** (1969), 41–54.
- [8] Redlin L., Watson S., Maximal ideals in subalgebras of C(X), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 763–766.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, 35 BALLYGUNGE CIRCU-LAR ROAD, KOLKATA-700019, INDIA

E-mail: sudipkumaracharyya@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KRISHNAGAR WOMEN'S COLLEGE, KRISHNAGAR, NADIA-741101, INDIA

E-mail: dibyendude@gmail.com

(Received September 10, 2006, revised February 21, 2007)