

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum  
Naturalium. Mathematica

---

Jiří Rachůnek  
On algebra-lattices

*Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, Vol. 23 (1984), No. 1, 5--10

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120140>

**Terms of use:**

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Katedra algebry a geometrie přírodovědecké fakulty University Palackého v Olomouci*  
*Vedoucí katedry: Ladislav Sedláček, Prof., RNDr., CSc.*

## ON ALGEBRA-LATTICES

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

(Received March 31th, 1983)

O. STEINFELD in [1] studies absorbents of elements of groupoid-lattices. This note generalizes some results of [1] for algebra-lattices.

1. We say that  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F, \leq)$  is an *ordered algebra* if

(1)  $(A, F)$  is an algebra with a set  $F$  of finitary operations;

(The set of all  $n$ -ary operations of  $F$  ( $n \in N$ ) is denoted by  $F_n$ .)

(2)  $(A, \leq)$  is an ordered set;

(3)  $a_i \leq a'_i$  implies  $f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \leq f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a'_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$  for all  $n \in N, f \in F_n, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a'_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$ .

2. An ordered algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F, \leq)$  is called an *algebra-lattice* if

(4)  $f(a, \dots, a) \leq a$  for all  $n \geq 1, f \in F_n, a \in A$ ;

(5)  $(A, \leq)$  is a complete lattice;

(We shall denote the smallest element of this lattice by  $o$ , the greatest element by  $e$ .)

(6)  $f(\underbrace{e, \dots, e}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, o, e, \dots, e) = o$  for all  $n \geq 1, f \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

$i - 1$  times

Throughout the paper,  $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F, \leq)$  always mean an algebra-lattice.

3. Note. a) It is clear that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, o, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = o$  for all  $n \geq 1, f \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$ .

b) If  $n \geq 1, f \in F_n, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b_\gamma \in A, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , then for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \leq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \geq \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

4. a) Let  $f \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then an element  $b \in A$  is called an  $f^{(i)}$ -*absorbent of an element*  $a \in A$  if  $b \leq a$  and  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, b, a, \dots, a) \leq b$ .

$i - 1$  times

b)  $b$  is called an  $f$ -*absorbent of*  $a$  if  $b$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

c)  $b$  is called an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$  if  $b \leq a$  and  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq b$ .

5. a) An element  $b \in A$  is called an *absorbent of an element*  $a \in A$  if  $b$  is an  $f$ -absorbent of  $a$  for each  $f \in F$ .

b)  $b$  is called a *quasiabsorbent of*  $a$  if  $b$  is an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$  for each  $f \in F$ .

6. **Note.** By the definition of an algebra-lattice and by 3, it is clear that  $o$  and  $a$  are absorbents of  $a$  for each  $a \in A$ .

7. If  $f \in F_n$  and if  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of an element  $a \in A$ , then  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i$  is an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$ .

Proof. It is

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^n \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i, a, \dots, a)}_{j-1 \text{ times}} \leq \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b_j, a, \dots, a)}_{j-1 \text{ times}} \leq \bigwedge_{j=1}^n b_j.$$

8. a) If  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and if  $b_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) are  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbents ( $f$ -absorbents,  $f$ -quasiabsorbents) of an element  $a \in A$ , then  $\bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent (an  $f$ -absorbent, an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent) of  $a$ .

b) If  $b_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) are absorbents (quasiabsorbents) of an element  $a \in A$ , then  $\bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma$  is an absorbent (a quasiabsorbent) of  $a$ .

Proof. It holds

$$\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b_\gamma, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq b_\gamma$$

for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , hence

$$\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq \bigwedge_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma.$$

The remainder parts can be proved analogously.

9. If  $f \in F_n$  and if  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of an element  $a \in A$ , then

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i.$$

Proof. It holds

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_i, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) \leq \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b_i, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq b_i$$

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , thus  $f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i$ .

**10. a)** Let  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We say that an element  $a \in A$  satisfies the condition  $(P_{f^{(i)}})$  if for each  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent  $b$  of  $a$  and for each element  $x \leq a$  of  $A$ ,  $f(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, x, b, \dots, b)$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$ .

$i-1$  times

b) Let  $f \in F_n$ . We say that  $a \in A$  satisfies the condition  $(P_f)$  if  $a$  satisfies the condition  $(P_{f^{(i)}})$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

c) We say that  $a \in A$  satisfies the condition  $(P)$  if  $a$  satisfies the condition  $(P_f)$  for each  $f \in F$ .

**11.** If  $f \in F_n$  and if an element  $a \in A$  satisfies the condition  $(P_f)$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $a$  has exactly the trivial  $f$ -quasiabsorbents (i.e.  $o$  and  $a$ ) and  $f(a, \dots, a) \neq o$ .

(2)  $a$  has exactly the trivial  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbents for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $f(a, \dots, a) \neq o$ .

(3)  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, x, a, \dots, a) = a$  for each  $o \neq x \leq a$  and for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

$i-1$  times

(4) For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , any  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent  $x$  of  $a$  is  $f$ -idempotent (i.e.  $f(x, \dots, x) = x$ ) and for each  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbents  $b^{(i)} \neq o$ ,  $b_1^{(i)} \neq o$ ,  $b_2^{(i)} \neq o$  of  $a$ ,

$$f(\underbrace{b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_1^{(i)}}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, b^{(i)}, \dots, b_1^{(i)}) = f(\underbrace{b_2^{(i)}, \dots, b_2^{(i)}}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, b^{(i)}, b_2^{(i)}, \dots, b_2^{(i)})$$

implies  $b_1^{(i)} = b_2^{(i)}$ .

Proof.  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ : Trivial.

$2 \Rightarrow 3$ : Since  $a$  satisfies the condition  $(P_f)$ ,  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, x, a, \dots, a)$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$ , hence it is equal to  $o$  or  $a$ . Let  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, x, a, \dots, a) = o$ .

Then  $x$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$ , and so  $f(a, \dots, a) = o$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, x, a, \dots, a) = a$ .

$i-1$  times

$3 \Rightarrow 1$ : Let  $o \neq b$  be an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$ . Then

$$a = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, b, a, \dots, a) \leq b,$$

hence  $b = a$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 4$ : Trivial.

$4 \Rightarrow 2$ : Let  $o \neq b$  be an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$ . Then  $f(b, \dots, b) = b$  and

$$f(b, \dots, b) \leq f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, b, a, \dots, a) \leq b.$$

Consequently

$$\underbrace{f(b, \dots, b, b, b, \dots, b)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} = \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}},$$

hence  $b = a$ .

**12.** Let  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent (an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent)  $b$  of an element  $a \in A$  is called *minimal* if  $b$  is a minimal element in the ordered set of all non-zero  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbents ( $f$ -quasiabsorbents) of  $a$ .

**13.** Let  $f \in F_n$ , let an element  $a \in A$  satisfy the condition  $(P_f)$  and let  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be a minimal  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$ . Then  $b = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i$  is either equal to  $o$  or it is a minimal  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b \neq o$ . Then by 7,  $b$  is an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$ . Let  $o < b' < b$  be an  $f$ -quasiabsorbent of  $a$ . Since  $a$  satisfies  $(P_f)$ ,  $\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b', \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}}$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$  and  $\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b', a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b_i, a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq b_i$ . Hence  $\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b', a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}}$  is equal to  $o$  or  $b_i$ . In the first case,  $b'$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -absorbent of  $a$  and  $o < b' < b \leq b_i$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b', a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} = b_i$ . This implies

$$b = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n b_i = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \underbrace{f(a, \dots, a, b', a, \dots, a)}_{i-1 \text{ times}} \leq b',$$

a contradiction.

**14.** Let  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $a, b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n \in A$ . We shall denote by  $(a : b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)_{f^{(i)}}$  such element of  $A$  that  $x \leq (a : b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)_{f^{(i)}}$  if and only if  $f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, x, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) \leq a$  for each element  $x \in A$ .

$(a : b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)_{f^{(i)}}$  is called an  $f^{(i)}$ -division of  $a$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, b_n$ .

**15. a)** Let  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called an  $f^{(i)}$ -division algebra-lattice if there exists an  $f^{(i)}$ -division of any  $a$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n$  of  $A$ .

**b)**  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called an  $f$ -division algebra-lattice if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -division algebra-lattice for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**c)**  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a division algebra-lattice if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f$ -division algebra-lattice for each  $f \in F$ .

**16. a)** Let  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -complete distributive algebra-lattice if

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

for each  $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) of  $A$ .

b) If  $f \in F_n$ , then we say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f$ -complete distributive algebra-lattice if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f^{(i)}$ -complete distributive algebra-lattice for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

c) We say that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a complete distributive algebra-lattice if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $f$ -complete distributive algebra-lattice for each  $f \in F$ .

**17.**  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a division algebra-lattice if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a complete distributive algebra-lattice.

Proof. “ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a division algebra-lattice,  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) of  $A$ . Let us suppose that  $c$  is an element of  $A$  such that  $f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \leq c$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $b_\gamma \leq (c : a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)_{f^{(i)}}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This means that

$$\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma \leq (c : a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)_{f^{(i)}}$$

hence we obtain

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_\gamma, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \leq c,$$

therefore  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $f^{(i)}$ -complete distributive. Since  $f$  is an arbitrary operation of  $F$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a complete distributive algebra-lattice.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a complete distributive algebra-lattice,  $f \in F_n$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $a, b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n \in A$ . Let  $c_\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) be all elements of  $A$  such that  $f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, c_\gamma, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) \leq a$ .

Then

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) = \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, c_\gamma, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) \leq a,$$

hence

$$f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_\gamma, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n) = (a : b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)_{f^{(i)}}.$$

This means that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a division algebra-lattice.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] O. Steinfeld: *Über Gruppoid-Verbände I*, Acta Sci. Math., 31 (1970), 203–218.

*Souhrn*

## O SVAZOVÝCH ALGEBRÁCH

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

V článku jsou studovány absorbenty prvků ve svazových algebrách. Je tím dosaženo zobecnění výsledků získaných O. Steinfeldem pro svazové grupoidy.

Doc. RNDr. Jiří Rachůnek, CSc.  
katedra algebry a geometrie  
přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého  
Leninova 26  
771 46 Olomouc – ČSSR

*Резюме*

## К РЕШЕТОЧНЫМ АЛГЕБРАМ

И. РАХУНЕК

В статье рассматриваются абсорбенты элементов в решеточных алгебрах. Этим достигается обобщения результатов полученных О. Штейнфельдом для решеточных группоидов.