Jiří Rachůnek A weakly associative generalization of the variety of representable lattice ordered groups

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 37 (1998), No. 1, 107--112

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120377

## Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# A Weakly Associative Generalization of the Variety of Representable Lattice Ordered Groups

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic e-mail: rachunek@risc.upol.cz

(Received March 24, 1998)

#### Abstract

A semi-ordered group is a group endowed with a reflexive and antisymmetric binary relation compatible with the group addition. Circular totally semi-ordered groups (circular to-groups) are very close to linearly ordered groups. In the paper it is proved that the class of all subdirect sums of circular to-groups is a variety of weakly associative lattice groups (wal-groups). Further, an atom in the lattice of varieties of wal-groups is described.

Key words: Weakly associative lattice group, representable lattice ordered group, almost o-group.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F15

A weakly associative lattice (wa-lattice) is an algebra  $A = (A, \lor, \land)$  with two binary operations satisfying the identities

(I)	$x \lor x = x;$	$x \wedge x = x;$
(C)	$x \lor y = y \lor x;$	$x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ ;
(Abs)	$x \lor (x \land y) = x;$	$x \wedge (x \vee y) = x;$
(WA)	$((x \land z) \lor (y \land z)) \lor z = z;$	$((x \lor z) \land (y \lor z)) \land z = z.$

The wa-lattices have been introduced by E. Fried in [3] and [4], and by H. L. Skala in [12] and [13]. It is obvious that the notion of a wa-lattice generalizes that of a lattice because the identities of associativity of the operations  $\vee$ 

and  $\wedge$  are special cases of the identities (WA) of weak associativity. Nevertheless, similarly as for lattices, the properties of  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  make possible to define also for wa-lattices a binary relation < on A as follows:

$$\forall x, y \in A; x \leq y \quad \Longleftrightarrow_{df} \quad x \wedge y = x.$$

The relation < is reflexive and antisymmetric (i.e. < is so-called *semi-order*) and each subset  $\{a, b\} \subset A$  has the join  $\sup\{a, b\} = a \lor b$  and the meet  $\inf\{a, b\} =$  $a \wedge b$  in A. It holds that  $(A, \vee, \wedge)$  is a wa-lattice. Therefore we can equivalently view any wa-lattice as a set with a binary relation <. From this point of view, tournaments are special cases of *wa*-lattices.

Recall that a *tournament* is a set  $T \neq \emptyset$  with a reflexive and antisymmetric binary relation < satisfying

$$\forall x, y \in T; x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x.$$

If  $(G, +, 0, -(\cdot))$  is a group and  $(G, \vee, \wedge)$  is a wa-lattice then the system  $G = (G, +, 0, -(\cdot), \vee, \wedge)$  is called a *weakly associative lattice group* (wal-group) if G satisfies the following mutually equivalent identities and quasi-identity:

- $(\mathbf{D}_{\vee}) \quad x + (y \vee z) + v = (x + y + v) \vee (x + z + v) \,,$
- $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{D}_{\wedge}) & x+(y\wedge z)+v=(x+y+v)\wedge(x+z+v)\,,\\ (\mathbf{M}) & y\leq z\Longrightarrow x+y+u\leq x+z+u\,. \end{array}$

(See [7] and [8]. In [13] a wal-group is called a trellis-group.) If G is a wal-group then  $G^+ = \{x \in G; 0 < x\}$  is called the *positive cone* of G and its elements are positive.

In contrast to lattice ordered groups (l-groups) that are torsion free, there are many finite wal-groups.

It is obvious that the class  $\mathcal{G}_{wal}$  of all wal-groups is a variety of algebras of type  $\langle +, 0, -(\cdot), \vee, \wedge \rangle$  of signature  $\langle 2, 0, 1, 2, 2 \rangle$ . Some properties of the variety  $\mathcal{G}_{wal}$  and the lattice of subvarieties of  $\mathcal{G}_{wal}$  have been investigated in [11] and [10].

If for a wal-group G the wa-lattice (G, <) is a tournament, then G is called a totally semiordered group (a to-group). A tournament  $(T, \leq)$  is said to be circular (see e.g. [2]) if

- (a) there exist  $a, b, c \in T$  such that a < b < c < a,
- (b) whenever  $x, y, z \in T$  satisfy x < y < z < x then there exists no  $w \in T$ such that  $w < \{x, y, z\}$  or  $w > \{x, y, z\}$ .

A to-group G is called *circular* if the tournament (G, <) is circular. The circular to-groups have been introduced and studied in [9].

In this paper we will deal with circular to-groups and linearly ordered groups (o-groups) (and classes of wal-groups obtained from them) and discuss a question concerning atoms in the lattice of varieties of *wal*-groups.

For necessary results concerning *l*-groups and *o*-groups see e.g. [1], [5], [6].

A weakly associative generalization of the variety ....

**Definition** A to-group G is called an *almost o-group* (an *ao-group*) if G is either an o-group or a circular to-group.

**Proposition 1** Let G be a to-group. Then G is an ao-group if and only if  $G^+$  is a linearly ordered set.

**Proof** a) Let G be a circular to-group,  $a, b, c \in G^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , a < b < c, but a > c. Then a < b < c < a and  $0 < \{a, b, c\}$ , a contradiction. Hence a < c, therefore the restriction of < on  $G^+$  is transitive.

b) Let  $G^+$  be linearly ordered set and let G not be a linearly ordered group. Then there exist  $a, b, c, d \in G$  such that a < b < c < a and, for example,  $d < \{a, b, c\}$ . Hence -d+a < -d+b < -d+c < -d+a, and  $0 < \{-d+a, -d+b, -d+c\}$ . Thus  $G^+$  is not a linearly ordered set, a contradiction. Similarly for  $d > \{a, b, c\}$ .

Now we will recall some notions and results concerning wal-groups and their subgroups. Subalgebras of wal-groups are called wal-subgroups. That means if G is a wal-group and  $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq G$  then H is a wal-subgroup of G if H is both subgroup and wa-sublattice of G. A normal convex wal-subgroup H of a wal-group G is called a wal-ideal of G if it satisfies the following mutually equivalent conditions:

(a)  $\forall a, b \in H, x, y \in G; (x \le a, y \le b \Rightarrow \exists c \in H; x \lor y \le c);$ (b)  $\forall a, b, c \in H, x, y \in G; x \le a, y \le b \Rightarrow (x \lor y) \lor c \in H.$ 

By [7] and [8], the *wal*-ideals of *wal*-groups coincide with the kernels of homomorphisms of *wal*-groups.

If H is a wal-ideal of G, we can define the structure of a wa-lattice on G/H by

 $x + H \leq y + H \iff_{df} \exists a \in H; x + a \leq y,$ 

and with this relation G/H is a wal-group.

A wal-ideal H of G is called *straightening* if it satisfies the following mutually equivalent conditions (see [8]):

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(a)} & x,y \in G, \ 0 \leq x \wedge y \in H \implies x \in H \text{ or } y \in H, \\ \text{(b)} & x,y \in G, \ x \wedge y = 0 \implies x \in H \text{ or } y \in H, \end{array}$
- (c) G/H is a to-group.

A wal-group G is called representable if it is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of to-groups. It is obvious (see also [8]) that a wal-group is representable if and only if the intersection of all its straightening wal-ideals is equal to  $\{0\}$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{wal}$  the class of all representable wal-groups. By [11], Proposition 7,  $\mathcal{R}_{wal}$  is a variety of wal-groups.

Now we will deal with a class of representable wal-groups which is close to the class  $\mathcal{R}_l$  of representable *l*-groups.

**Definition** A wal-ideal H of a wal-group G is called an *ao-straightening wal-ideal* of G if G/H is an *ao*-group.

(Obviously, every *ao*-straightening *wal*-ideal is also straightening.)

**Definition** A wal-group G is called *ao-representable* if it is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of *ao*-groups.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{RA}o$  the class of all *ao*-representable *wal*-groups and  $\mathcal{VA}o$  the variety of *wal*-groups generated by all *ao*-groups. We have:

**Lemma 2** If G is a wal-group, then  $G \in \mathcal{RA}o$  if and only if the intersection of all its ao-straightening wal-ideals is equal to  $\{0\}$ .

**Theorem 3** The class *RAo* is a variety of wal-groups.

**Proof** We will use Birkhoff's characterization of varieties as classes of algebras of a given type closed with respect to products, subalgebras and homomorphic images. Let us put  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{RAo}$ .

a) It is obvious that the product (the cardinal sum) of wal-groups from  $\mathcal{U}$  belongs also to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

b) Let  $G \in \mathcal{U}$  be a subdirect sum of *ao*-groups  $G_i$   $(i \in I)$  and H be a wal-subgroup of G. Let us consider any *ao*-straightening wal-ideal  $S_j$  of G and denote  $H_j = H \cap S_j$ . By [11], proof of Proposition 7,  $H_j$  is a straightening wal-ideal of H.

Let  $(S_j; j \in J)$  be the system of all *ao*-straightening wal-ideals of G. Then

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} H_j = \bigcap_{j \in J} (H \cap S_j) \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} S_j = \{0\},\$$

hence by Lemma 2,  $H \in \mathcal{U}$ .

c) Let f be a wal-homomorphism of a wal-group G onto a wal-group G'. For any wal-ideal H of G put H' = f(H). If H is a straightening wal-ideal of G then, by [11], proof of Proposition 7, H' is a straightening wal-ideal of G'. Let now H be an ao-straightening wal-ideal of G. Let us consider a'+H', b'+H',  $c'+H' \in (G'/H')^+$  such that  $a' + H' \leq b' + H'$ ,  $b' + H' \leq c' + H'$ . Let  $a, b, c \in G$  be such that a' = f(a), b' = f(b), c' = f(c), and  $a + H, b + H, c + H \in (G/H)^+$ . Since G/H is a to-group, a + H and b + H are comparable. If  $a + H \geq b + H$  then  $a' + H' \geq b' + H'$ , hence a' + H' = b' + H', and thus  $a' + H' \leq c' + H'$ . Similarly for  $b + H \geq c + H$ . Therefore we can suppose that  $a + H \leq b + H$  and  $b + H \leq c + H$ . Since G/H is an ao-group, we have, by Proposition 1,  $a + H \leq c + H$ , and hence also  $a' + H' \leq c' + H'$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1, H' is an ao-straightening wal-ideal of G'.

Let now  $G \in \mathcal{U}$  and let  $(H_i; i \in I)$  be the system of all ao-straightening wal-ideals of G. If there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $H'_j = f(H_j) = \{0'\}$ , then  $\{0'\}$  is an ao-straightening wal-ideal of G', and thus G' is an ao-group. Let  $H'_i = f(H_i) \neq \{0'\}$  for each  $i \in I$ . Because f induces a bijection (which respects set inclusions) between the set of wal-ideals of G which are not contained in Ker f and the set of all wal-ideals of G', and because the wa-lattices  $G/H_i$  and  $G'/H'_i$  are isomorphic, f also induces a bijection between the set of ao-straightening wal-ideals of G'.

If  $H' = \bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \neq \{0'\}$ , then  $H = f^{-1}(H')$  is a wal-ideal which is contained in all ao-straightening wal-ideals of G, hence  $H = \{0\}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $H' = \{0'\}$ , and therefore by Lemma 2, G' is an ao-group.

#### Corollary 4 The class RAo and the variety VAo coincide.

Let us consider the following identities:

$$(\mathbf{A}^+) \begin{cases} (x \lor 0) \lor ((y \lor 0) \lor (z \lor 0)) = ((x \lor 0) \lor (y \lor 0)) \lor (z \lor 0), \\ (x \lor 0) \land ((y \lor 0) \land (z \lor 0)) = ((x \lor 0) \land (y \lor 0)) \land (z \lor 0). \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that any  $G \in \mathcal{RA}o$  satisfies both identities (A<sup>+</sup>) because  $G^+$  is a lattice.

At the same time, for any to-group G which is not an ao-group, the tournament  $G^+$  is not a linearly ordered set, hence such G does not satisfy  $(A^+)$ .

Let us consider the variety  $\mathcal{R}_l$  of all representable *l*-groups, i.e. the variety of *l*-groups generated by all linearly ordered groups. By the preceding we have:

### **Theorem 5** $\mathcal{R}_l \subset \mathcal{RAo} \subset \mathcal{R}_{wal}$ .

Let us denote by **WAL** the class of all varieties of *wal*-groups (considered in the language  $\mathcal{L} = (+, 0, -(\cdot), \vee, \wedge)$ ). It is clear that **WAL** ordered by inclusion is a complete lattice. By [11], Theorem 5, it holds that the lattice **WAL** is distributive and contains the lattice **L** of all varieties of *l*-groups (considered also in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ ) as a complete  $\wedge$ -sublattice. Furthemore, in [11], pp. 238-239, it is shown that the variety  $\mathcal{A}b_l$  of all abelian *l*-groups is an atom of **WAL**, but it is not the least non-trivial variety of **WAL** (in contrast to the lattice **L**).

Now we will describe another atom of the lattice **WAL**. Let us consider the group  $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$  with the addition mod 3. If we put  $\mathbb{Z}_3^+ = \{0, 1\}$  then  $\mathbb{Z}_3^+$  is the positive cone of the total semi-order on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  such that 0 < 1, 1 < 2, 2 < 0.  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is then an *ao*-group. Let us denote  $\mathcal{V}_3 = \mathcal{V}_{wal}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$  (i.e. the variety of *wal*-groups generated by  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ) and  $\mathcal{T}_3$  the variety of *wal*-groups satisfying the identity

$$(T_3) 3x = 0.$$

Obviously  $\mathcal{V}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{RAo}$ .

**Theorem 6**  $\mathcal{V}_3$  is an atom of the lattice **WAL**.

**Proof** Let  $\{0\} \neq G \in \mathcal{V}_3$ . Since  $G \neq \{0\}$ , there exists  $0 < a \in G$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{V}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_3$ , thus 3a = 0. Hence we have 0 < a, a < 2a, 2a < 0, that means the subgroup  $[a] = \operatorname{grp}(a)$  is a *wal*-subgroup of G which is (as a *wal*-group) isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \in \mathcal{V}_{wal}(G)$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{V}_{wal}(G) = \mathcal{V}_3$ .

Now, let us consider the group  $\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the addition mod 5 and put  $\mathbb{Z}_5^+ = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  is an *ao*-group. Moreover,  $\mathbb{Z}_5^+$  is, up to isomorphism, the unique positive cone of a *wa*-lattice semi-order of the group  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ .

Let us denote  $\mathcal{V}_5 = \mathcal{V}_{wal}(\mathbb{Z}_5)$  and consider  $\{0\} \neq G \in \mathcal{V}_5$ . (It holds again that  $\mathcal{V}_5 \subseteq \mathcal{RA}o$ .) Let us choose any  $0 < a \in G$ . Then we have also a < 2a, 2a < 3a, 3a < 4a, 4a < 0. If 0 < 2a then  $[a] = \operatorname{grp}(a)$  is a *to*-subgroup of G and the *to*-groups [a] and  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  are isomorphic.

Let 0 > 2a, Then again [a] is a to-group with the positive cone  $\{0, 3a, a\}$  of G which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ .

The preceding considerations imply:

**Theorem 7** If  $G \in \mathcal{V}_5$  and G is a to-group then  $\mathcal{V}_{wal}(G) = \mathcal{V}_5$ .

**Question** It remains as an open problem: Is  $\mathcal{V}_5$  an atom of **WAL**?

Note Similarly as the varieties  $\mathcal{V}_3$  and  $\mathcal{V}_5$  have been introduced, one can also define the varieties  $\mathcal{V}_n$  for arbitrary  $n \geq 3$  odd. Then one can also asks, more generally, the question, whether  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is an atom of WAL for any p prime. But note that for p > 5 the situation becomes more complicated. For instance, for p = 7, there are wa-lattice semi-orders on  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  such that the corresponding walgroups are not mutually isomorphic. For example, for  $\mathbb{Z}_7^+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  we get an *ao*-group which generates  $\mathcal{V}_7$ , for  $\mathbb{Z}_7^+ = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$  we get a *to*-group which is not an *ao*-group (1 < 2 < 4 < 1 and  $0 < \{1, 2, 4\}$ ), and for  $\mathbb{Z}_7^+ = \{0, 1, 5\}$  we get a *wal*-group which is not a *to*-group.

## References

- Anderson F., Feil T.: Lattice-Ordered Groups (An introduction). Reidel, Dordrecht-Boston-Lancaster-Tokyo, 1988.
- [2] Droste M.: k-homogenous relations and tournaments. Quart. J. Math. Oxford 40, 2 (1989), 1-11.
- [3] Fried E.: Tournaments and non-associative lattices. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math. 13 (1970), 151-164.
- [4] Fried E.: A generalization of ordered algebraic systems. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 31 (1970), 233-244.
- [5] Glass A. M. W., Holland Charles W.: Lattice-Ordered Groups (Advances and Techniques). Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1989.
- [6] Kopytov V. M., Medvedev N. Ya.: The Theory of Lattice Ordered Groups. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.
- [7] Rachůnek J.: Semi-ordered groups. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat.61 (1979), 5-20.
- [8] Rachůnek J.: Solid subgroups of weakly associative lattice groups. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat. 105, Math. 31 (1992), 13-24.
- [9] Rachůnek J.: Circular totally semi-ordered groups. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat. 114, Math. 33 (1994), 109-116.
- [10] Rachůnek J.: The semigroup of varieties of weakly associative lattice groups. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat. 34 (1995), 151–154.
- [11] Rachůnek J.: On some varieties of weakly associative lattice groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 46 (1996), 231-240.
- [12] Skala H.: Trellis theory. Alg. Univ. 1 (1971), 218-233.
- [13] Skala H.: Trellis Theory. Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1972.