Wiesław Aleksander Dudek On ideals in Hilbert algebras

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 38 (1999), No. 1, 31--34

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120398

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On Ideals in Hilbert Algebras

WIESŁAW A. DUDEK

Institute of Mathematics, Technical University, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland e-mail: dudek@im.pwr.wroc.pl

(Received September 30, 1998)

Abstract

Connections between deductive systems of Hilbert algebras and ideals introduced by I. Chajda and R. Halaš are described.

Key words: Hilbert algebra, congruence, deductive system, ideal.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25

The concept of Hilbert algebra was introduced in early 50-ties by L. Henkin and T. Skolem for some investigations of implication in intuicionistic and other non-classical logics. In 60-ties, these algebras were studied especially by A. Horn and A. Diego from algebraic point of view. A. Diego proved (cf. [4]) that Hilbert algebras form a variety which is locally finite. Hilbert algebras were treated by D. Busneag (cf. [1], [2]) and Y. B. Jun (cf. [5]) and some of their filters forming deductive systems were recognized. I. Chajda and R. Halaš introduced in [3] the concept of ideal in Hilbert algebra and described connections between such ideals and congruences. In this note we describe connections between such ideals and deductive systems.

Since there exist various modifications of the definition of Hilbert algebra, we use that of [1].

Definition 1 A *Hilbert algebra* is a triplet $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, 1)$, where H is a nonempty set, \bullet is a binary operation and 1 is fixed element of H such that the following axioms hold for each $x, y, z \in H$:

- (I) $x \bullet (y \bullet x) = 1$,
- (II) $(x \bullet (y \bullet z)) \bullet ((x \bullet y) \bullet (x \bullet z)) = 1,$
- (III) $x \bullet y = 1$ and $y \bullet x = 1$ imply x = y.

The following result was proved (cf. for example [4]):

Lemma 1 Let $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, 1)$ be a Hilbert algebra and $x, y, z \in H$. Then

(1) $x \bullet x = 1,$ (2) $1 \bullet x = x,$ (3) $x \bullet 1 = 1,$ (4) $x \bullet (y \bullet z) = y \bullet (x \bullet z).$

It is easily checked that in a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{H} the relation \leq defined by

$$x \leq y \iff x \bullet y = 1$$

is a partial order on H with $\mathbf{1}$ as the largest element.

Lemma 2 Let $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, 1)$ be a Hilbert algebra and $x, y, z \in H$. Then

 $\begin{array}{ll} (5) & (x \bullet y) \bullet y \ge x, \\ (6) & (y \bullet z) \bullet (x \bullet z) \ge x \bullet y, \\ (7) & (x \bullet y) \bullet (x \bullet z) > y \bullet z. \end{array}$

Proof (5) is a consequence of (4) and (1). (6) is proved in [4]. (7) follows from (6) and (4). \Box

The following concept of ideal of Hilbert algebra was introduced in [3] by I. Chajda and R. Halaš.

Definition 2 A nonempty subset I of a Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, 1)$ is called an *ideal* of \mathcal{H} if

- (i) $\mathbf{1} \in I$,
- (ii) $x \bullet y \in I$ for all $x \in H, y \in I$,
- (iii) $(y_2 \bullet (y_1 \bullet x)) \bullet x \in I$ for all $x \in H, y_1, y_2 \in I$.

Observe that (i) follows from (ii) and (1). From (ii) it follows also that any ideal is a subalgebra. Moreover, putting in the above definition $y_1 = a$ and $y_2 = 1$, we obtain $(a \bullet x) \bullet x \in I$ for all $a \in I$ and $x \in H$. But if $a \leq y$ and $a \in I$, then by (2) we have $y = 1 \bullet y = (a \bullet y) \bullet y \in I$, which proves that the following lemma is true.

Lemma 3 If I is an ideal of a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{H} and $a \in I$, $x, y \in H$ and $a \leq x$, then $x \in I$ and $(a \bullet y) \bullet y \in I$.

Definition 3 A nonempty subset D of a Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, 1)$ is called a *deductive system* of \mathcal{H} if

 $\begin{array}{ll} (d_1) & \mathbf{1} \in D, \\ (d_2) & x \in D \text{ and } x \bullet y \in D \text{ imply } y \in D. \end{array}$

Directly from the above definition it follows

Lemma 4 Let D be a deductive system of a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{H} . If $a \in D$ and $a \leq x$, then $x \in D$.

Theorem 1 A nonempty subset A of a Hilbert algebra \mathcal{H} is an ideal if and only if it is a deductive system.

Proof Let A be an ideal. Since $1 \in A$, then (d_1) is satisfied. To prove (d_2) assume $a \in A$ and $a \bullet x = a_1 \in A$ for some $x \in H$. Then, by Lemma 3, $a_2 = (a \bullet x) \bullet x \in A$, which together with (1) and (2) gives

$$x = \mathbf{1} \bullet x = [((a \bullet x) \bullet x) \bullet ((a \bullet x) \bullet x)] \bullet x = [a_2 \bullet (a_1 \bullet x)] \bullet x \in A.$$

Thus $a \in A$ and $a \bullet x \in A$ imply $x \in A$, which proves (d_2) . Hence A is a deductive system.

Conversely, if A is a deductive system, then $1 \in A$ and

$$a \bullet (x \bullet a) = x \bullet (a \bullet a) = a \bullet \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \in A$$

by Lemma 1. This for $a \in A$ implies $x \bullet a \in A$ for every $x \in H$. Hence (i) and (ii) are satisfied. We prove (iii). Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$. Then

$$a_2 \bullet [(a_2 \bullet (a_1 \bullet x)) \bullet x] = [a_2 \bullet (a_1 \bullet x)] \bullet (a_2 \bullet x) \ge (a_1 \bullet x) \bullet x \ge a_1$$

by (4), (7) and (5). But, by Lemma 4, for a deductive system A we have

$$a_2 \bullet [(a_2 \bullet (a_1 \bullet x)) \bullet x] \ge a_1$$

and $a_1, a_2 \in A$ imply $(a_2 \bullet (a_1 \bullet x)) \bullet x \in A$, which proves (iii). Thus A is an ideal.

Let R be a binary relation defined on a set H and $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, \mathbf{1})$ be a Hilbert algebra. R is said *compatible* if $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ and $\langle c, d \rangle \in R$ imply $\langle a \bullet c, b \bullet d \rangle \in R$. The set

$$[\mathbf{1}]_R = \{a \in H : \langle a, \mathbf{1} \rangle \in R\}$$

is called a kernel of R.

Of course, a *congruence* on \mathcal{H} is a compatible equivalence relation on H and *congruence kernel* is its class containing **1**. From the results obtained in [3] it follows that the kernel of a reflexive and compatible relation defined on a Hilbert algebra is a deductive system. Moreover, if two congruences Θ and Φ on a Hilbert algebra have the same kernel, then $\Theta = \Phi$. This means that the kernel of every congruence on a Hilbert algebra is a deductive system and every congruence is uniquelly determined by its kernel. Hence, as a consequence of our Theorem 1 and the main result from [3], we obtain

Theorem 2 Every congruence on a Hilbert algebra is uniquelly determined by a deductive system. Namely, if D is a deductive system of a Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{H} = (H; \bullet, \mathbf{1})$, then the relation Θ_D defined by

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \Theta_D \iff a \bullet b \in D \text{ and } b \bullet a \in D$$

is a congruence of \mathcal{H} such that $[\mathbf{1}]_{\Theta_D} = D$.

References

- Busneag, D.: A note on deductive systems of a Hilbert algebra. Kobe. J. Math. 2 (1985), 29-35.
- Busneag, D.: Hilbert algebras of fractions and maximal Hilbert algebras of quotients. Kobe. J. Math. 5 (1988), 161-172.
- [3] Chajda, I., Halaš, R.: Congruences and ideals in Hilbert algebras. Kyungpook Math. J. (in press).
- [4] Diego, A.: Sur les algébres de Hilbert. Collection de Logique Math. Ser. A (Ed. Hermann, Paris) 21 (1966), 1–52.
- [5] Jun, Y. B.: Deductive systems of Hilbert algebras. Math. Japon. 43 (1996), 51-54.