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## Criterion for 3 to be eleventh power

Stanislav Jakubec

Abstract. In this paper we prove a criterion for 3 to be an 11 th power modulo $p$ in the case when $p$ is not representable by the quadratic form $x^{2}+11 y^{2}$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11R18

The solution of the question when 3 is the $l$ th power modulo a prime $p$ for prime $l$ goes back to Jacobi who solved the case $l=3$ in [3]. The solution for $l=5$ was given by E. Lehmer in [5].

Proposition 1. 3 is a quintic residue of a prime $p=30 n+1$ if and only if the equations

$$
16 p=x^{2}+450 b^{2}+450 c^{2}+1125 d^{2}, \quad x d=c^{2}-b^{2}-4 b c
$$

have a solution, and of the prime $p=30 n+11$, if and only if the equations

$$
16 p=81 a^{2}+450 b^{2}+450 c^{2}+125 w^{2}, \quad a w=c^{2}-b^{2}-4 b c
$$

have a solution in common.
For $l=7$ the solution was given by P.A. Leonard and K.S. Williams in [6], where the following theorem was proved.

Proposition 2. 3 is a seventh power modulo $p$ if and only if $x_{5} \equiv x_{6} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$, where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ is one of the six nontrivial solutions of diophantine equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
72 p=2 x_{1}^{2}+42\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)+343\left(x_{5}^{2}+x_{6}^{2}\right) \\
12 x_{2}^{2}-12 x_{4}^{2}+147 x_{5}^{2}-441 x_{6}^{2}+56 x_{1} x_{6}+24 x_{2} x_{3}-24 x_{2} x_{4}+ \\
+48 x_{3} x_{4}+98 x_{5} x_{6}=0 \\
12 x_{3}^{2}-12 x_{4}^{2}+49 x_{5}^{2}-147 x_{6}^{2}+28 x_{1} x_{5}+28 x_{1} x_{6}+48 x_{2} x_{3}+ \\
+24 x_{2} x_{4} 24 x_{3} x_{4}+490 x_{5} x_{6}=0 \\
x_{1} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 7)
\end{gathered}
$$

More work has been done on the question when $q$ is an $l$-th power modulo $p$ by various authors, for instance the cases $l=7, q=2,3$ have been treated somewhat differently by Alderson [1], the case $p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod l)$ has been considered by Ankeny
[2], the case $q=l$ by Ankeny [2] and Muskat [7] and the cases $l=5, q \leq 19$ by Williams [9].

To attack this problem for $l=11$, we shall use some results from the papers [4] and [8].

Let $\chi$ be the Dirichlet character modulo $p$

$$
\chi(x)=\zeta_{l}^{\operatorname{ind}(x)}
$$

and $J(\chi, \chi)$ the Jacobi sum

$$
J(\chi, \chi)=\sum_{x+y=1} \chi(x) \chi(y)
$$

The starting point of our solution of the problem when 3 is an $11^{\text {th }}$ power is the following result of J. C. Parnami, M. K. Agrawal and A. R. Rajwade proved in [8]:

Proposition 3. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$, then 2 is an $l$-th power modulo $p$ if and only if

$$
a_{1}+a_{2}+\ldots+a_{l-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

where $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l-1}\right)$ is one of the exactly $l-1$ solutions of the diophantine system of equations

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(i) & p=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} a_{i+1}  \tag{i}\\
(i i) & \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} a_{i+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} a_{i+2}=\ldots=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} a_{i+l-1} \\
\text { (iii) } & p \text { does not divide } \prod_{\lambda(2 k)>k} \sigma_{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} \zeta_{l}^{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

(iii)
where $\lambda(n)$ is the least non-negative residue of $n$ modulo $l$ and $\sigma_{k}$ is the automorphism $\zeta_{l} \rightarrow \zeta_{l}^{k}$,
(iv) $\quad 1+a_{1}+\ldots+a_{l-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod l)$,
(v) $\quad a_{1}+2 a_{2}+\ldots+(l-1) a_{l-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod l)$.

Note now that each solution $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l-1}\right)$ of this system corresponds to the Jacobi sum

$$
J\left(\chi^{s}, \chi^{s}\right)=a_{1} \zeta_{l}+a_{2} \zeta_{l}^{2}+\ldots+a_{l-1} \zeta_{l}^{l-1}
$$

for some $s=1,2, \ldots, l-1$. For, let

$$
X=a_{1} \zeta_{l}+a_{2} \zeta_{l}^{2}+\ldots+a_{l-1} \zeta_{l}^{l-1}
$$

then the conditions (i), (ii) guarantee that

$$
X \bar{X}=p
$$

Further let p be a prime divisor of the field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{l}\right), \mathrm{p} \mid p$. The condition (iii) guarantees that

$$
\mathrm{p} \mid X \text { if and only ifp } \mid J\left(\chi^{s}, \chi^{s}\right),
$$

for some $s=1,2, \ldots, l-1$.
Hence, the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) guarantee the existence of $s$ and of a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{l}\right)$, such that

$$
J\left(\chi^{s}, \chi^{s}\right)=\varepsilon X
$$

The conditions (iv), (v) guarantee that $\varepsilon=1$, hence

$$
J\left(\chi^{s}, \chi^{s}\right)=X .
$$

The most peculiar of the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) is (iii).
In [4] the following result is proved
Proposition 4. Let $l=11 ; 19$ and let $p \equiv 1(\bmod l), 4 p=A^{2}+l B^{2}$. The Jacobi sum $J(\chi, \chi)$ is uniquely determined, up to conjugativity and associativity, by the solution

$$
X \bar{X}=p, \quad X \in \mathbf{Z}\left(\zeta_{l}\right), \quad X \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

if and only if $A \equiv B \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.
On the basis of this proposition, the condition (iii) can be now replaced by the condition

$$
(i i i)^{\prime} \quad a_{1} \zeta_{l}+a_{2} \zeta_{l}^{2}+\ldots+a_{l-1} \zeta_{l}^{l-1} \equiv \zeta_{l}^{m} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

Let

$$
\alpha=\zeta_{11}+\zeta_{11}^{3}+\zeta_{11}^{4}+\zeta_{11}^{5}+\zeta_{11}^{9},
$$

then

$$
\alpha \bar{\alpha}=3 .
$$

Our main result is
Theorem. Let $p$ be a prime $4 p=A^{2}+11 B^{2}, A \equiv B \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. The prime 3 is an 11th pover modulo $p$ if and only if

$$
a_{1} \zeta_{11}+a_{2} \zeta_{11}^{2}+\ldots+a_{10} \zeta_{11}^{10} \equiv\left(-\zeta_{11}\right)^{w} \quad(\bmod \alpha)
$$

for some $w \in \mathbf{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\sum_{i=1}^{10} a_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{10} a_{i} a_{i+1}, \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{10} a_{i} a_{i+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{10} a_{i} a_{i+2}=\ldots=\sum_{i=1}^{10} a_{i} a_{i+10}$,
(iii) ${ }^{\prime}$

$$
a_{1} \zeta_{11}+a_{2} \zeta_{11}^{2}+\ldots+a_{10} \zeta_{11}^{10} \equiv \zeta_{11}^{m} \quad(\bmod 2),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+a_{1}+\ldots+a_{10} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 11) \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v)

$$
a_{1}+2 a_{2}+\ldots+10 a_{10} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 11)
$$

Let $q, p, l$ be primes, $p \equiv 1(\bmod l) q \neq p, q$ and let $K$ be a subfield of t field $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right),[K: \mathbf{Q}]=l$. Let

$$
\beta_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\zeta_{p}\right), \quad \beta_{i}=\sigma^{i-1}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2 \ldots, l .
$$

Lemma 1. Let $n, m \in \mathbf{N}, n \not \equiv m(\bmod l)$.
If $q$ is a lth power modulo $p$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{q^{n}+q^{m}}\right) \equiv-\frac{p-1}{l}+p \quad(\bmod q)
$$

If $q$ is not a lth power modulo $p$, then

$$
\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}, \beta_{1}^{q^{n}+q^{m}}\right) \equiv-\frac{p-1}{l} \quad(\bmod q) .
$$

Proof: If $q$ is $l$ th power modulo $p$, then

$$
\beta_{1}^{q} \equiv \beta_{1} \quad(\bmod q)
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{q^{n}+q^{m}}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{2}\right) \quad(\bmod q)
$$

The assertion follows from the equality

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{2}\right)=-\frac{p-1}{l}+p .
$$

If $q$ is not a $l$ th power modulo $p$ then

$$
\beta_{1}^{q} \equiv \beta_{s} \quad(\bmod q),
$$

for some $s, s \neq 1$.
Hence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{q^{n}+q^{m}}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{s} \beta_{t}\right) \quad(\bmod q), \quad s \neq t
$$

Because $s \neq t$, the following equality holds

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}_{\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}}\left(\beta_{s} \beta_{t}\right)=-\frac{p-1}{l} .
$$

Lema 1 is proved.
If

$$
\tau(\chi)=\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} \chi(x) \zeta_{p}^{x} .
$$

is the Gauss sum, then the identity

$$
\zeta_{l} \tau(\chi)+\zeta_{l}^{2} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{l-1} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)=1+l \beta_{s},
$$

is true for some $s=1,2, \ldots, l$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\beta_{1}^{q^{n}+q^{m}}\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\frac{\zeta_{l} \tau(\chi)+\zeta_{l}^{2} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{l-1} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)-1}{l}\right)^{q^{n}+q^{m}} \equiv \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{l q^{n}+q^{m}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\zeta _ { l } ^ { q ^ { n } } \tau \left(\chi{q^{q^{n}}}^{2}+\zeta_{l}^{2 q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{q^{n}}+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{(l-1) q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)^{q^{n}}-\right.\right. \\
& \left(\zeta_{l}^{q^{m}} \tau(\chi)^{q^{m}}+\zeta_{l}^{2 q^{m}} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{q^{m}}+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{(l-1) q^{m}} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)^{q^{m}}-1\right) \quad(\bmod q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $d$ be an integer such that

$$
q^{n}+d q^{m} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod l)
$$

then

$$
\tau(\chi)^{q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{d}\right)^{q^{m}} \in \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{l}\right)
$$

and we have the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(\zeta_{l}^{q^{n}} \tau(\chi)^{q^{n}}+\zeta_{l}^{2 q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{q^{n}}+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{(l-1) q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)^{q^{n}}-1\right) \\
& \left(\zeta_{l}^{q^{m}} \tau(\chi)^{q^{m}}+\zeta_{l}^{2 q^{m}} \tau\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{q^{m}}+\ldots+\zeta_{l}^{(l-1) q^{m}} \tau\left(\chi^{l-1}\right)^{q^{m}}-1\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / K}\left(1+\operatorname{Tr}_{\left.\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{l}\right) / \mathbf{Q}^{( } \tau(\chi)^{q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{d}\right)^{q^{m}}\right) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we proved the next lemma.
Lemma 2. A prime $q$ is a lth power modulo $p$ if and only if

$$
\frac{l}{l q^{n}+q^{m}}\left(1+\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}\left(\varsigma_{1}\right) / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\tau(\chi)^{q^{n}} \tau\left(\chi^{d}\right)^{q^{m}}\right) \equiv-\frac{p-1}{l}+p \quad(\bmod q) .\right.
$$

Proof of the Theorem: We have

$$
\tau(\chi)^{2} \tau\left(\chi^{9}\right)=p J(\chi, \chi)
$$

Take $n=1, m=2$ in the above, then $d=7$.
If $\sigma$ is the automorphism with $\sigma\left(\zeta_{11}\right)=\zeta_{11}^{2}$ then

$$
\tau(\chi)^{3} \tau\left(\chi^{7}\right)^{9}=p J(\chi, \chi) \sigma J(\chi, \chi) \sigma^{7} J(\chi, \chi)^{4} \sigma^{8} J(\chi, \chi)^{2} \sigma^{9} J(\chi, \chi)
$$

The field $\mathbf{Z}\left(\zeta_{11}\right) /(\alpha)$ is of the characteristic 3 and

$$
\left[\mathbf{Z}\left(\zeta_{11}\right) /(\alpha): \mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}\right]=5
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma J(\chi, \chi) \sigma^{6} J(\chi, \chi)=\sigma J(\chi, \chi) \overline{\sigma J(\chi, \chi)}=p \\
\sigma^{7} J(\chi, \chi) \sigma^{2} J(\chi, \chi)=\sigma^{7} J(\chi, \chi) \overline{\sigma^{7} J(\chi, \chi)}=p, \\
\sigma^{9} J(\chi, \chi) \sigma^{4} J(\chi, \chi)=\sigma^{9} J(\chi, \chi) \overline{\sigma^{9} J(\chi, \chi)}=p
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituing into (1) we get

$$
\tau(\chi)^{3} \tau\left(\chi^{7}\right)^{9}=p^{7} J(\chi, \chi) \sigma^{6} J(\chi, \chi)^{-1} \sigma^{2} J(\chi, \chi)^{-4} \sigma^{8} J(\chi, \chi)^{2} \sigma^{4} J(\chi, \chi)^{-1}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{6} J(\chi, \chi) & \equiv J(\chi, \chi)^{9} \quad(\bmod \alpha) \\
\sigma^{2} J(\chi, \chi) & \equiv J(\chi, \chi)^{81} \quad(\bmod \alpha) \\
\sigma^{8} J(\chi, \chi) & \equiv J(\chi, \chi)^{3} \quad(\bmod \alpha) \\
\sigma^{4} J(\chi, \chi) & \equiv J(\chi, \chi)^{27} \quad(\bmod \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

By substitution into (1) we have

$$
\tau(\chi)^{3} \tau\left(\chi^{7}\right)^{9} \equiv p^{7} J(\chi, \chi)^{-352} \equiv p^{7} J(\chi, \chi)^{132} \quad(\bmod \alpha)
$$

The order of the multiplicative group of the field $\mathbf{Z}\left(\zeta_{11}\right) /(\alpha)$ is equal to $3^{5}-1=$ 242.

It is easy to see

$$
J(\chi, \chi)^{132} \equiv \zeta_{11}^{w} \quad(\bmod \alpha)
$$

Using Lemma 2 we see that 3 is an 11th power modulo $p$ if and only if

$$
J(\chi, \chi)^{132} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \alpha)
$$

This congruence holds if and only if

$$
J(\chi, \chi) \equiv\left(-\zeta_{11}\right)^{s} \quad(\bmod \alpha)
$$

for some $s=1,2, \ldots, 22$ and Theorem 1 is proved.
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