

Athanasios Andrikopoulos; John Stabakis

On Nachbin's problem concerning uniformizable ordered spaces

*Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*, Vol. 5 (1997), No. 1, 65--70

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120515>

**Terms of use:**

© University of Ostrava, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On Nachbin's Problem Concerning Uniformizable Ordered Spaces

A. Andrikopoulos  
J. Stabakis

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54E05

### 1. Introduction

Consider a space  $X$  and on it the structures *order*  $\leq$  and *uniformity*  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . We always symbolize by  $G$  the graph of  $\leq$  in  $X \times X$  and we call the triple  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$  (or the triple  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, \leq)$ ) a *uniform ordered space*, which means, furthermore, that the topology of the space coincides with the topology  $\tau(\mathcal{U}^*)$  induced by  $\mathcal{U}^*$  on  $X$ . We recall that the compatibility of these two structures demands for  $G$  to be a  $\tau(\mathcal{U}^*) \times \tau(\mathcal{U}^*)$ -closed subset of  $X \times X$  in which case the space is  $T_2$  and for any  $\alpha \in X$ ,  $G[\alpha] = \{x \in X \mid \alpha \leq x\}$  and  $G^{-1}[\alpha] = \{x \in X \mid x \leq \alpha\}$  are closed [N, p.26]. We also recall that  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$  is *completely regular (or uniformizable ordered space)* [N, p.55] provided that there exists a quasi-uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  which generates  $\mathcal{U}^*$  and  $G$ ; this means that  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is the coarsest uniformity finer than  $\mathcal{U}$  and its dual  $\mathcal{U}^{-1}$  and that  $\bigcap U$ , which is a relation reflexive and transitive and if  $\mathcal{U}^*$  is  $T_0$  it is an order, equal to  $G$ .

Our main purpose in the paper is to answer the question raised by Nachbin and restated by some others ([N, §2, th.2.10][F,N, §4.34]) which may be put as follows:

*Under which conditions a uniform ordered space is a completely regular ordered one.*

We make use of the symbols  $x \preceq y$  for “ $x \leq y$  or  $x$  and  $y$  non comparable”. We also put —as usual—  $R[A]$ , where  $A$  any subset of  $X$  and  $R$  any relation in  $X$ , for the set  $\bigcup\{R[A] \mid x \in A\}$ . If now  $G$  is the graph in  $X \times X$  of an order  $\leq$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  a uniformity (or a quasi-uniformity) of  $X$  and  $U$  an entourage of  $\mathcal{U}$ , then for any point of  $X$  we symbolize by  $(G \circ U)[\alpha]$  or simply by  $G \circ U[\alpha]$  the set

$$U(G[\alpha]) = \{x \in X (\exists z \in X)[a \leq z \text{ and } (z, x) \in U]\}.$$

Lastly the symbol  $(G \circ U)^n$  (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of natural numbers) stands for  $(G \circ U) \circ (G \circ U) \circ \dots \circ (G \circ U)$ ,  $n$ -times, so that  $(G \circ U)^n[x]$  symbolize the set  $(G \circ U)^{n-1} [G \circ U[x]] = (G \circ U)^{n-1} [U[G[x]]]$ .

**Definition 1.** We recall that  $A$  and  $B$ , subset of  $X$ , are *order separated* if for any  $x \in A$  and any  $y \in B$ ,  $x \bar{\leq} y$ , (or alternatively  $y \bar{\leq} x$ ). We say that  $A$  and  $B$  are *completely order separated* if they are order separated and furthermore  $clA \cap clB = \emptyset$  ( $clA$  is the  $\tau(\mathcal{U}^*)$ -closure of  $A$ ). We also say that  $A$  and  $B$  are *uniformly order separated* if there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that the sets  $U[A]$  and  $U[B]$  are order separated.

**Definition 2.** We say that a uniform ordered space  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$  has the  $\kappa$ -(*order*) *rank convexity*,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ , if any  $x \in X$ , for which there is a  $U[a]$  ( $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,  $a \in X$ ), intersecting  $(G \circ U)^\kappa[x]$  and  $(G \circ U)^{-\kappa}[x]$ , belongs to  $U[a]$ . The symbol  $(G \circ U)^0[x]$  stands for  $G[x]$ .

Note that in the linear case with a convex topology, the space fulfils the 0 - (order) rank convexity.

**Definition 3.** In a uniform ordered space  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$  we say that a  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  is of *order index 1*, if there is a  $U^* \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,  $U^* \subseteq U$  such that for every couple  $U_1, U_2$  of entourages with  $U_2 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq U^*$  there holds  $G \circ U_2 \circ G \subseteq G \circ U_1$ . We say that  $U$  is of *order index  $\leq n$* , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ ), if there is a  $U^* \in \mathcal{U}^*$ ,  $U^* \subseteq U$  such that for every couple  $U_1, U_2$  of entourage with  $U_2 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq U^*$  there holds  $(G \circ U_2)^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ U_1)^n$ . If an entourage is of order index  $\leq n$  and it is not of  $n - 1$ , then we say that *it is of order index  $n$* .

In the other cases we say that *the space is of infinite order index*.

The supremum of the order indices of the entourages is called *the order index of the space*.

A linear convex structure is of order index 1, but many partially ordered spaces are as well of the same order index.

**Example 1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$  be a linear convex uniform space,  $x, y$  points in  $X$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$ . Let also  $(x, y) \in G \circ U \circ G$ ; then  $(x, y) \in G \circ U$ . If  $(x, y) \in G$ , then  $(x, y) \in G \circ U$ . If  $(x, y) \in G$ , then there are  $z_1, z_2$  such that  $(x, z_1) \in G$ ,  $(z_1, z_2) \in U$  and  $(z_2, y) \in G$ . Thus  $y$  lies between  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and — because of convexity —  $y$  belongs as well to  $U[z_1]$ , hence  $(x, y) \in G \circ U$  and the order index of the space is 1.

Of order index 1 is also the  $R^2$  endowed with the Euclidean metric and the component wise ordering.

2. Let  $X \subseteq R^2$  be the set consisting of the  $x'ox, y'oy$  axes of the cartesian plan system and the parallel half-lines we draw towards right from the point  $(0, r), r \in R$ . The set is endowed with the metric and the order of the straight line whilst the points of  $y'oy$  are non comparable. The space is of order index 2.

We may extend the space to another one of order index 3, if we adjust to  $X$  the vertical lines which pass through the points  $(a, y)$  of the plane ( $a$  is a constant  $\neq 0$  and  $y$  runs through  $y'oy$ ), the uniformity and the order of the system are these ones of the straight line and the points of the  $y'oy$  axe and of the line  $x = a$  are not comparable.

This process may be continued towards the infinity.

3. We topologize  $R^2$  giving to any point of  $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q}$  ( $\mathcal{Q}$  the set of rational numbers) its natural topology and to the other points the discrete topology. The space is also endowed with the component wise ordering. Then for every  $n$  and every entourage  $U$  there is not another entourage  $U^*$  such that  $(G \circ U^*)^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ U)^n$ . The space is of infinite order index.

## 2. The main theorem

**Theorem 1.** *If the entorages of a compatible uniform ordered space are of a finite order index, the space has the  $n$ -rank convexity, where  $n$  is either the order index of the space or otherwise is any natural number, and every couple of completely separated subsets are uniformly order separated, then the space is a uniformizable ordered space.*

*Proof. Firstly, the finite case.*

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U}^*, G)$ ,  $G$  as usual is the graph of an order  $\leq$ , be a compatible ordered space of order index  $n$  and endowed with the afore mentioned properties. For any  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  we put:

$$A_U = (G \circ U)^n.$$

We prove that the filter  $\{A_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}^*\}$  is a quasi-uniformity.

Given  $V \in \mathcal{U}^*$  we define successively two finite sequences  $(U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n \simeq U)$  and  $(V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n)$  of entourages of  $\mathcal{U}^*$  as follows:

$V_1^2 \subset V = U_0$  and  $(G \circ U_1)^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ V_1)^n, V_2^2 \subseteq U_1$  and  $(G \circ U_2)^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ V_2)^n, \dots, V_{n-1}^2 \subseteq U_{n-2}$  and  $(G \circ U_{n-1})^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ V_{n-1})^n, V_n^2 \subseteq U_{n-1}$  and  $(G \circ U_n)^n \circ G \subseteq (G \circ V_n)^n$ .

We put  $U = U_n$  and we get

$A_U \circ A_U = (G \circ U)^n \circ (G \circ U)^n = (G \circ U)^n \circ G \circ U \circ (G \circ U)^{n-1} \subseteq (G \circ V_n)^n \circ U \circ (G \circ U)^{n-1} \subseteq (G \circ V_n)^n \circ V_n \circ (G \circ V_n)^{n-1} \subseteq (G \circ V_n)^{n-1} \circ G \circ U_{n-1} \circ (G \circ V_n)^{n-1} \subseteq (G \circ U_{n-1})^n \circ G \circ U_{n-1} \circ (G \circ U_{n-1})^{n-2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq (G \circ U_2)^n \circ G \circ U_2 \circ (G \circ U_2) \subseteq (G \circ V_2)^n \circ V_2 \circ (G \circ V_2) \subseteq (G \circ V_2)^{n-1} \circ G \circ U_1 \circ (G \circ V_2) \subseteq (G \circ U_1)^n \circ G \circ U_1 \subseteq (G \circ V_1)^n \circ U_1 \subseteq (G \circ U_0)^n = A_V$ .

Next we prove that

$$\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}^*} A_U = G.$$

It suffices to be proved that  $\bigcap A_U \subseteq G$ . To this end we assume that  $(x, y) \in \bigcap A_U$  and  $(x, y) \notin G$ . Since the order is closed the sets  $\{y\}$  and  $G[x]$  are completely order separated, thus there is a  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that the sets  $G \circ U_1[x]$  and  $U_1[y]$  are order separated, that is the sets  $G \circ U_1 \circ G[x]$  and  $U_1 \circ G^{-1}[y]$  are disjoint. Furthermore, the sets  $G \circ U_1 \circ G[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are completely order separated, thus there is a  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that the sets  $G \circ U_1 \circ G \circ U_2[x]$  and  $U_2 \circ G^{-1}[y]$  are disjoint. We continue this process until the  $n$ -step : there is a  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $G \circ U_1 \circ G \circ U_2 \cdots \circ G \circ U_n[x]$  and  $U_n \circ G^{-1}[y]$  are disjoint. If  $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n$ , then  $A^U \subseteq G \circ U_1 \circ G \circ U_2 \circ \dots \circ G \circ U_n$ . Thus  $y \notin A_U[x]$ , a contradiction.

It remains to be proved that given a  $V \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that

$$\Omega = ((G \circ U)^n \circ G) \bigcap (G^{-1} \circ (U \circ G^{-1})^n) \subseteq V.$$

Let  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ , and  $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $U^{2^n} \subseteq V$ . Consider  $G[x] \setminus U[x] = A_1$ , and  $G^{-1}[x] \setminus U[x] = B_1$ ;  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are completely order separated: if  $\alpha \in cl A_1 \cap cl B_1$ , then for a  $W \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $W^2 \subseteq U$ , we conclude that  $(\alpha, x) \in W$  (because of the  $n$ -rank convexity of the space) and if  $\tau \in A_1 \cap W(\alpha)$ , then  $(x, \tau) \in U$ , an absurd. Thus there is  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , such that  $cl U_1[A_1] \cap cl U_2[B_2] = \emptyset$ . Next, we consider  $G \circ U_1[x] \setminus U^2[x] = A_2$  and  $G^{-1} \circ U_1[x] \setminus U^2[x] = B_2$ . It is, for the same reason,  $cl A_2 \cap cl B_2 = \emptyset$  and furthermore it is

$$cl(A_1 \cup A_2) \cap cl(B_1 \cup B_2) = \emptyset$$

and the sets  $A_1 \cup A_2, B_1 \cup B_2$  are completely order separated. We follow this process and we conclude that there is a  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $U_n[A_n]$  and  $U_n[B_n]$  are completely order separated, where  $A_n = (G \circ U_1 \circ G \circ U_2 \cdots \circ G \circ U_n)[x] \setminus U^{2^n}[x]$  and  $B_n = (G^{-1} \circ U_1 \circ G^{-1} \circ U_2 \cdots \circ G^{-1} \circ U_n)[x] \setminus U^{2^n}[x]$ .

Now, since  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ , there are two finite sequences  $(z_i, t_i)$  and  $(z_i^*, t_i^*)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x \leq z_1, (z_1, t_1) \in U, t_1 \leq z_2, (z_2, t_2) \in U, \dots, t_{n-1} \leq z_n, (z_n, y) \in U$  and  $z_1^* \leq x, (z_1^*, t_1^*) \in U, z_2^* \leq t_1^*, (z_2^*, t_2^*) \in U, \dots, z_n^* \leq t_{n-1}^*, (z_n^*, y) \in U$ . If  $z_i \in A_i$ , then  $t_i \in U_i[A_i], z_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}$  and finally  $y \in U_n[A_n]$ . If, similiary,  $z_i^* \in B_i$ , then  $y \in U_n[B_n]$ , an absurd. Thus at least one sequence of  $\{z_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$  and  $\{z_i^*, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ , say the first, has all the terms belonging to  $U^2[x]$ . In such a case we get  $z_1 \in U[x], z_2 \in U^2[x], \dots, z_n \in U^{2^{n-1}}[x]$  and  $(y, z_n) \in U$ , that is  $(x, y) \in U^{2^n} \subseteq V$ .

*The infinite case.*

Since all the entourages of  $\mathcal{U}^*$  are of finite order, we may follow the demonstration given for the finite case. Thus, given a  $V \in \mathcal{U}^*$  of order index  $n$  we can find entourages  $U$  and  $U^*$  of order index  $n$ , such that  $A_U \circ A_U \subseteq A_V$  and  $A_V \circ \bigcap A_V^{-1} \subseteq V$ . On the other hand if  $(x, y) \in A_U$  and  $(x, y) \notin G$ , then there is a  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}^*$  such that  $G \circ U_1[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are order separated. Let  $U_1$  be of order index  $n$ . There is, as in the finite case, a  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}^*$ , such that  $G \circ U_1 \circ G \circ U_2[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are order separated. We may find  $U_1^*$  and  $U_2^*$  of order index  $n$  such that  $G \circ U_1^*[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are order separated and  $(G \circ U_2^*)^2[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are order separated. We may follow this process until the  $n$ -step: there is a  $U_n^* \in \mathcal{U}^*$  of order index  $n$  such that  $(G \circ U_n^*)^n[x]$  and  $\{y\}$  are order separated, a contradiction.

**Remarks.**

1. A compatible linear ordered space which is convex, fulfils the  $n$ -rank convexity property as well as the property that completely order separated subsets are uniformly order separated.

On the other hand, in that case there holds  $G \circ U = U \circ G = U \cup G$  for any entourage  $U$ .

2. The uniqueness demonsrated in the linear case is not valid for the other cases. D. Kent and R. Vainio in [K,V] singled out one, among many other quasi-uniformities, which generates a given uniform ordered space; it is very convenient for some categorical purposes.

3. Unfortunately the method we make of, does not apply if the entourages are of infinite order index ( examples 2 and 3), although the relation  $(G \circ U)^\infty$  (which means that we compose  $G$  and  $U$  "until the end ") is transitive.

**References**

- [F,N] P. Fletcher and W. F. Lindgren, *Quasi-uniform spaces*, Lecture notes in Pure Appl.Math.77, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.MR 84h:54026.
- [K,V] D. C. Kent and R. Vainio, *A note on order and uniformity*, Acta Academica Aboensis 47, 1 pp.1-4, (1986).
- [N] L. Nachbin, *Topology and order*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 4., D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N. J. (1965). MR#2125.

*Address:*  
University of Patras,  
Department of Mathematics.  
26100 Patras,  
Greece