Ferenc Mátyás Sequence transformations and linear recurrences of higher order

Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 9 (2001), No. 1, 45--51

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120569

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Sequence transformations and linear recurrences of higher order

Ferenc Mátyás

Abstract: Let $k \ge 2$ and $d \ge 1$ be given integers and denote by $\{G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ a k-order recursive sequence of integers. In the paper some sequence transformations of $\{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are investigated.

Key Words: linear recurrence, characteristic polynomial, dominant root, sequence transformation, quicker convergence

Mathematics Subject Classification: AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 65B05

1. Introduction

Let $k, A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{k-1}$ be given integers with $A_{k-1} \neq 0$ and $k \geq 2$. A linear recursive sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of order k is defined by the recursion

(1)
$$G_{n+1} = A_0 G_n + A_1 G_{n-1} + \dots + A_{k-1} G_{n-k+1}$$
 $(n \ge k-1),$

where the initial terms $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_{k-1}$ are fixed integral numbers with $|G_0| + |G_1| + \cdots + |G_{k-1}| \neq 0$. In the special case k = 2, $G_0 = 0$, $G_1 = 1$ and $A_0^2 + 4A_1 > 0$ the terms of the sequence (1) will be denoted by U_n , if $A_0 = A_1 = 1$ also holds, then we get the well-known Fibonacci numbers F_n .

The polynomial

(2)
$$p(x) = x^{k} - A_{0}x^{k-1} - A_{1}x^{k-2} - \dots - A_{k-2}x - A_{k-1}$$

is said to be the characteristic polynomial of the sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, the roots of the equation p(x) = 0 are denoted by α_i 's $(1 \le i \le k)$. In the sequel we suppose that the root α_1 is simple and of the largest absolute value, that is $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2| \ge \ldots \ge |\alpha_k| > 0$ and the multiplicity of α_1 is 1. According to the literature, α_1 is the

Research supported by the Hungarian OTKA Foundation No. T 032898.

Ferenc Mátyás

dominant root (see, e. g. [7]). Denote by m_i the multiplicity of the distinct α_i 's $(1 \le i \le l, \sum_{i=1}^l m_i = k)$. Then the Binet-formula for the term G_n is as follows

(3)
$$G_n = a\alpha_1^n + p_2(n)\alpha_2^n + p_3(n)\alpha_3^n + \dots + p_l(n)\alpha_l^n,$$

where the degree of the polynomial p_i $(2 \le i \le l)$ is less than m_i (see, e. g. [7]). The constant a and the polynomials p_i belong to the ring $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l)[x]$ and we suppose that the initial terms are chosen such that $a \ne 0$ in (3).

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a convergent sequence of real numbers with $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$. Consider a sequence transformation T of $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ into the sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which converges to the same limit x. We say that $\{T_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges quicker than $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T_n - x}{x_n - x} = 0,$$

while if this limit is equal to 1, then the two sequences are said to be asymptotically equal.

In this paper we deal with the shifter $(S^{(s)})$ -, the multiplier $(M^{(m)})$ - and the Aitken (A) transformations of $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which are defined as follows

(4)
$$A(x_n) = \frac{x_{n-1}x_{n+1} - x_n^2}{x_{n-1} - 2x_n + x_{n+1}} \quad (n \ge 1),$$

(5)
$$S^{(s)}(x_n) = x_{n+s}$$
 ($1 \le s$ fixed integer),

(6)
$$M^{(m)}(x_n) = x_{mn} \quad (1 \le m \text{ fixed integer}).$$

Naturally, we suppose that division by zero never occurs in (4).

2. Preliminaries and Results

At first G. M. Phillips [6] proved that if $r_n = \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$ then $A(r_n) = r_{2n}$. This result was generalized by J. H. McCabe and G. M. Phillips [4] for $r_n = \frac{U_{n+1}}{U_n}$, while by M. J. Jamieson [2] for $r_n = \frac{F_{n+d}}{F_n}$ (d > 1 integer). J. B. Muskat [5] proved – among others – that $A(r_n) = r_{2n}$ if $r_n = \frac{U_{n+d}}{U_n}$, from which the quicker convergence obviously follows. Z. Zhang [8], [9] and F. Mátyás [3] proved similar results for a generalized class of the linear recurrences of order 2. R. B. Taher and M. Rachidi [7] investigated the Aitken transformation of the sequence $\left\{\frac{G_{n+1}}{G_n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and they stated – without exact proof – that the sequence $\left\{A\left(\frac{G_{n+1}}{G_n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges quicker than $\left\{\frac{G_{n+1}}{G_n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. But, for a correct proof they would have needed stronger conditions in their Proposition 3.1 in [7] (see the right conditions in (7), (8) and the counter– example after the proof of Theorem 2). The aim of this paper is to investigate the acceleration of the convergence of the sequences obtained from $\left\{\frac{G_{n+d}}{G_n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ $(d \ge 1 \text{ fixed integer})$ by the transformations $S^{(s)}$, $M^{(m)}$ and A. In the following theorems we always suppose that for the distinct roots of (2)

(7)
$$|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2| > |\alpha_3| \ge |\alpha_4| \ge \cdots \ge |\alpha_l| > 0$$

or

(8) if
$$|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2| = |\alpha_3| = \dots = |\alpha_t| \ge |\alpha_{t+1}| \ge \dots \ge |\alpha_t| > 0$$
 $(3 \le t \le l)$,

then among the polynomials p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_t in (3) the polynomial of maximal degree uniquely exists.

Now we formulate our theorems.

Theorem 1. The sequence $\{S^{(s)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ does not converge quicker to the same limit α_1^d than the sequence $\{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and the two sequences are not asymptotically equal.

Theorem 2. The Aitken sequence transformation of $\{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges quicker to the same limit α_1^d than $\{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Remark. The relations (7) and (8) show that only the existence of the dominant root α_1 likely is not a sufficient condition for Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $1 \le m_1 < m_2$ be fixed integers. The sequence

$$\{M^{(m_2)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

converges quicker to the same limit α_1^d than

$$\{M^{(m_1)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
.

3. Proofs

Firstly we mention two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let α_1 be the dominant root of (2). Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{G_{n+d}}{G_n} = \alpha_1^d.$$

Proof. According to (3),

$$\frac{G_{n+d}}{G_n} = \frac{a\alpha_1^{n+d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=2}^l p_i(n+d) \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}\right)^{n+d}\right)}{a\alpha_1^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=2}^l p_i(n) \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}\right)^n\right)},$$

Ferenc Mátyás

which implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{G_{n+d}}{G_n} \right) = \alpha_1^d$ (since $\left| \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1} \right| < 1$ for $2 \le i \le l$). We mention that $G_n \ne 0$ if $n > n_0$, thus – shifted the indices – we can suppose that $G_n \ne 0$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Lemma 2. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}-x}{x_n-x} = \rho \neq 1$, then $\{A(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges quicker to x than $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Proof. This is a result from [1], see Theorem 32, p. 37.

Proof of Theorem 1. By (5) $\{S^{(s)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{G_{n+d+s}/G_{n+s}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which - by Lemma 1 - tends to α_1^d as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Using (3), one can get that

$$C_{n}^{(s)} := \frac{G_{n+d+s}/G_{n+s} - \alpha_{1}^{d}}{G_{n+d}/G_{n} - \alpha_{1}^{d}} = \frac{G_{n+d+s} - G_{n+s}\alpha_{1}^{d}}{G_{n+d} - G_{n}\alpha_{1}^{d}} \cdot \frac{G_{n}}{G_{n+s}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(p_{i}(n+d+s)\alpha_{i}^{n+d+s} - p_{i}(n+s)\alpha_{i}^{n+s}\alpha_{1}^{d} \right)}{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(p_{i}(n+d)\alpha_{i}^{n+d} - p_{i}(n)\alpha_{i}^{n}\alpha_{1}^{d} \right)} \cdot \frac{G_{n}}{G_{n+s}}$$

$$=\frac{p_2(n+d+s)-p_2(n+s)\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^d+\sum_{i=3}^l\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_2}\right)^{n+s}\left(p_i(n+d+s)\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_2}\right)^d-p_i(n+s)\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^d\right)}{p_2(n+d)-p_2(n)\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^d+\sum_{i=3}^l\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_2}\right)^n\left(p_i(n+d)\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_2}\right)^d-p_i(n)\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)^d\right)}{\cdot\frac{\alpha_2^{n+d+s}}{\alpha_2^{n+d}}\cdot\frac{G_n}{G_{n+s}}}.$$

If l = 2, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n^{(s)} = 1 \cdot \alpha_2^s \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_1^s} = \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\right)^s$, which $\neq 0$ and $\neq 1$ since $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2| > 0$.

If $l \geq 3$ and the condition (7) holds, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n^{(s)} = 1 \cdot \alpha_2^s \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_1^s} = \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\right)^s$, which differs from 0 and 1.

If $l \geq 3$, the condition (8) holds and the polynomial p_j is of the largest degree among p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_t ($3 \leq t \leq l$), then $\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n^{(s)} = \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_2}\right)^s \alpha_2^s \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_1^s} = \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_1}\right)^s$, which $\neq 0$ and $\neq 1$. This terminates the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. By Theorem 1 - in the case s = 1 – the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{G_{n+d+1}/G_{n+1} - \alpha_1^d}{G_{n+d}/G_n - \alpha_1^d}$$

exists and differs from 1. Apply Lemma 2 for $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and the desired result immediately follows.

Connected to the Remark we show that if (8) holds and the polynomial p_j of maximal degree does not exist uniquely, then the limit $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{G_{n+d+1}/G_{n+1}-\alpha_1^d}{G_{n+d}/G_n-\alpha_1^d}$ may

not exist, and so Lemma 2 can not be applied. Consider – as a counter–example – the third order linear recursive sequence

$$G_{n+1}^* = 2G_n^* + G_{n-1}^* - 2G_{n-2}^* \quad (n \ge 2),$$

where $G_0^* = 1$, $G_1^* = -2$ and $G_2^* = 4$. The characteristic polynomial is $p^*(x) = x^3 - 2x^2 - x + 2$, the roots of $p^*(x) = 0$ are $\alpha_1^* = 2$, $\alpha_2^* = 1$ and $\alpha_3^* = -1$. The actual form of (3) is as follows

$$G_n^* = 2^n - 2 \cdot 1^n + 2(-1)^n \quad (n \ge 0).$$

Let e. g. d = 1, then

$$\frac{G_{n+d+1}^*/G_{n+1}^*-2^d}{G_{n+d}^*/G_n^*-2^d} = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{n+2}/(2^{n+1}-4)-2}{(2^{n+1}-4)/2^n-2} \longrightarrow -1, & \text{if } n = 2f \longrightarrow \infty, \\ \\ \frac{(2^{n+2}-4)/2^{n+1}-2}{2^{n+1}/(2^n-4)-2} \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } n = 2f+1 \longrightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

This implies that Lemma 2 can not be applied for the sequence $\{G_{n+1}^*/G_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. One can verify with e. g. the MAPLE program-package that, unfortunately, the sequence

$$\{A(G_{n+1}^*/G_n^*)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

does not converge to 2, although naturally $\lim_{n \to \infty} (G_{n+1}^*/G_n^*) = 2$. This shows that the existence of the dominant root is not always a sufficient condition for the quicker convergence.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let in (6) $x_n = G_{n+d}/G_n$. Then

$$\{M^{(m)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{G_{mn+d}/G_{mn}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

By Lemma 1, the sequences $\{G_{m_1n+d}/G_{m_1n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{G_{m_2n+d}/G_{m_2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ tend to α_1^d as n tends to infinity. Using (3), we get that

$$M_{n}^{(m_{1},m_{2})} := \frac{G_{m_{2}n+d}/G_{m_{2}n} - \alpha_{1}^{d}}{G_{m_{1}n+d}/G_{m_{1}n} - \alpha_{1}^{d}} = \frac{G_{m_{2}n+d} - \alpha_{1}^{d}G_{m_{2}n}}{G_{m_{1}n+d} - \alpha_{1}^{d}G_{m_{1}n}} \cdot \frac{G_{m_{1}n}}{G_{m_{2}n}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(p_{i}(m_{2}n+d)\alpha_{i}^{m_{2}n+d} - p_{i}(m_{2}n)\alpha_{i}^{m_{2}n}\alpha_{1}^{d} \right)}{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(p_{i}(m_{1}n+d)\alpha_{i}^{m_{1}n+d} - p_{i}(m_{1}n)\alpha_{i}^{m_{1}n}\alpha_{1}^{d} \right)} \cdot \frac{a\alpha_{1}^{m_{1}n} + \sum_{i=2}^{l} p_{i}(m_{1}n)\alpha_{i}^{m_{1}n}\alpha_{i}^{m_{1}n}}{a\alpha_{1}^{m_{2}n} + \sum_{i=2}^{l} p_{i}(m_{2}n)\alpha_{i}^{m_{2}n}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} \right)^{(m_{2}-m_{1})n} \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{m_{2}n} \left[p_{i}(m_{2}n+d) \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{d} - p_{i}(m_{2}n) \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{d} \right]}{\sum_{i=2}^{l} \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{m_{1}n} \left[p_{i}(m_{1}n+d) \left(\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{d} - p_{i}(m_{1}n) \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}} \right)^{d} \right]}$$

Ferenc Mátyás

$$\frac{1+\frac{1}{a}\sum\limits_{i=2}^{l}p_i(m_1n)\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}\right)^{m_1n}}{1+\frac{1}{a}\sum\limits_{i=2}^{l}p_i(m_2n)\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}\right)^{m_2n}}.$$

Discuss the same cases as we have done in the proof of Theorem 1, then using the inequality $|\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1}| < 1$ $(2 \le i \le l)$, one can obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_n^{(m_1, m_2)} = 0,$$

that is the statement of the theorem has been proved.

Concluding remarks 1. It can be seen that our theorems are valid if the sequence $\{G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ consists of real or complex element.

2. Numerical examples show that in general $A(G_{n+d}/G_n) \neq M^{(2)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)$. But it would be worth investigating whether the sequences

$$\{A(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
 and $\{M^{(2)}(G_{n+d}/G_n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$

are asymptotically equal or not. Similar questions arise with the secant- the Newton- and the Halley-transformations of the sequence $\{G_{n+d}/G_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which may be the subject of further investigations.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee and the editor for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Brezinski, C., Acce'le'ration de convergence en Analyse Nume'rique, Publication du Laboratoire de Calcul, Universite' des Sciences et Techniques de Lille, (1973).
- [2] Jamieson, M. J., Fibonacci numbers and Aitken sequences revisited, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (1990), 829–831.
- [3] Mátyás, F., Linear recurrences and rootfindig methods, Acta Acad. Paed. Agriensis Sect. Mat., 28 (2001),
- [4] McCabe, J. H. and Phillips, G. M., Aitken sequences and generalized Fibonacci numbers, Math. Comp., 45 (1985), 553–558.
- [5] Muskat, J. B., Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas sequences and rootfinding methods, Math. Comp., 61 (1993), 365–372.
- [6] Phillips, G. M., Aitken sequences and Fibonacci numbers, Amer. Math. Monthly, 91 (1984), 354–357.
- [7] Taher, R. B. and Rachidi, M., Application of the ε algorithm to the ratio of r-generalized Fibonacci sequences, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 39 (2001), 22–26.
- [8] Zhang, Z., A class of sequences and the Aitken transformation, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 36 (1998), 68-71.
- [9] Zhang, Z., Generalized Fibonacci sequences and a Generalization of the Q-Matrix. The Fibonacci Quarterly, 37 (1999), 203-207.

50

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Károly Eszterházy College, Leányka str. 4., H-3300 Eger, Hungary *E-mail*: matyas@ektf.hu

- .

Received: October 24, 2001