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# GENERALISED DIRECTED DIVERGENCE WITHOUT SYMMETRY 

P. N. ARORA, SUBHASH CHOWDHARY

The authors have characterized axiomatically the generalized directed divergence (which is a symmetric function of its variables) by considerably weakening the symmetry.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}=\left\{\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) ; p_{k} \geqq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}=1\right\}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \\
& \Delta_{n}^{*}=\left\{\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) ; p_{k}>0, k=1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}=1\right\}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

and
be the sets of all finite $n$-component discrete probability distributions with nonnegative elements and positive elements respectively. Let $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, $Q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \Delta_{n}$. The generalized directed divergence of three probability distributions $P, Q$ and $R$ is defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \log \frac{q_{k}}{r_{k}},  \tag{1.1}\\
b_{k} \geqq 0, q_{k} \geqq 0, r_{k} \geqq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, n, \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}=1=\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{n}: S_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n=2,3, \ldots$, and $S_{n}$ be a set of $3 n$-tuples of the form $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ such that $q_{i}=0$ and $p_{i}=0$ for all those indices $i$ for which $r_{i}=0$ and also $p_{i}=0$ whenever $q_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(Here the base of the logarithm is taken as 2 ).
Kannappan and Rathie [3] characterized (1.1) by assuming the following set of postulates.

Postulate $\mathbf{I}_{n}$ (Recursivity). For all probability distributions $P, Q$ and $R \in \Delta_{n}$, and $n \geqq 3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1.2) } \quad F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=  \tag{1.2}\\
& =F_{n-1}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}+q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}+r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}+\right. \\
& +\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) F_{2}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{1}+p_{2}}, \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}+p_{2}} ; \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}+q_{2}}, \frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}+q_{2}} ; \frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}+r_{2}}, \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}}\right) \\
& \text { with } p_{1}+p_{2}>0, q_{1}+q_{2}>0 \text { and } r_{1}+r_{2}>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Postulate $\mathrm{II}_{n}(n=3) . F_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ is a symmetric function of its variables $\left(p_{i} ; q_{i} ; r_{i}\right), i=1,2,3$.

Postulate III (Derivibility). The mapping $(x, y, z) \rightarrow f(x, y, z),(x, y, z) \in J$ possesses continuous first order partial derivatives with respect to each variable $(x, y, z) \in$ $\in(0,1)$, where $f(x, y, z)=F_{2}(x, 1-x ; y, 1-y ; z, 1-z)$ and $J=(0,1) \times(0,1) \times$ $\times(0,1) \cup\{(0, y, z), 0 \leqq y<1,0 \leqq z<1\} \cup\left\{\left(1, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right), 0<y^{\prime} \leqq 1,0<z^{\prime} \leqq 1\right\}$.

Postulate IV (Normalization).

$$
f\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \text { and } f\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)=0 .
$$

Postulate V (Nullity).

$$
f(p, p, p)=0, \quad p \in(0,1) .
$$

The main object of this paper is to axiomatically characterized (1.1) by considerably weakening the symmetry Postulate $\Pi_{n}(n=3)$ assumed by Kannappan and Rathie [3] and by many other research workers.
Instead of Postulate $\mathrm{II}_{n}(n=3)$, we assume the following postulate:
Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}$. For all probability distributions $P, Q$ and $R \in \Delta_{n}-\Delta_{n}^{*}$, and $n \geqq 3$,
(1.3) $\quad F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{j}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{j}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{j}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=$ $=F_{n}\left(p_{j}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{j}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{j}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$, $2 \leqq j \leqq n, \quad$ if $\quad r_{1}>0$ and $r_{j}=0$ or $q_{1}>0$ and $q_{j}=0$ or $p_{1}>0$ and $p_{j}=0$ holds.

Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}$ allows the simultaneous interchange of $p_{1}$ with $p_{j}, q_{1}$ with $q_{j}$ and $r_{1}$ with $r_{j}, 2 \leqq j \leqq n$ is such that either $p_{1}>0$ and $p_{j}=0$ or $q_{1}>0$ and $q_{j}=0$ or $r_{1}>0$ and $r_{j}=0$ holds. It is obvious that Postulate $\mathrm{II}_{n}(n=3)$ implies Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=3)$. But the converse is not true. For example: Consider $F_{n}: S_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined

## as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) & =p_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \quad \text { if } P, Q \text { and } R \in \Delta_{n}^{*} \\
& =1 \text { if } P, Q \text { and } R \in\left(\Delta_{n}-\Delta_{n}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is easy to check that $F_{n}$ satisfies $\mathrm{VI}_{n}$ but not $\mathrm{II}_{n}(n=3)$. Thus $\mathrm{VI}_{n}$ does not imply that $F_{n}, n \geqq 2$, is a symmetric function.

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION THEOREM

Theorem. Let $F_{n}: S_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n=2,3, \ldots$, satisfy Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$, III, IV, V and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$. Then $F_{n}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k} \log \frac{q_{k}}{r_{k}}  \tag{2.1}\\
p_{k} \geqq 0, q_{k} \geqq 0, r_{k} \geqq 0, k=1,2, \ldots, n ; \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}=1=\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Before proving the main theorem, we shall prove the following lemmas:
Lemma 1. Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n=3)$ and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=3) \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}(0,1 ; 0,1 ; 0,1)=0=F_{2}(1,0 ; 1,0 ; 1,0) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=3)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0 ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0 ; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)= & F_{3}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=  \tag{2.3}\\
& =F_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which by Postulate $I_{n}(n=3)$ in (2.3), we get (2.2).
Lemma 2. Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$ and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3) \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)=  \tag{2.4}\\
=F_{n+1}\left(0, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} ; 0, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} ; 0, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right), \quad n \geqq 2 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $p_{j}$ be the first non-zero element in the probability distribution $P$ such that $p_{j}>0 \Rightarrow q_{j}>0 \Rightarrow r_{j}>0,1 \leqq j \leqq n$, and using Postulates $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$, $I_{n}(n \geqq 3)$ and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)= \\
=F_{n}\left(p_{j}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{j}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{j}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)= \\
=F_{n}\left(0+p_{j}, \ldots, p_{n} ; 0+q_{j}, \ldots, q_{n} ; 0+r_{j}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)+p_{j} F_{2}(1,0 ; 1.0 ; 1,0)= \\
=F_{n+1}\left(p_{j}, 0, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{j}, 0, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{j}, 0, \ldots, r_{n}\right)= \\
\stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{n+1}\left(p_{1}, 0, \ldots, p_{j}, \ldots, p_{n} ; q_{1}, 0, \ldots, q_{j}, \ldots, q_{n} ; r_{1}, 0, \ldots, r_{j}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)= \\
\stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{n+1}\left(0, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} ; 0, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n} ; 0, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3. Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$ and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3) \Rightarrow F_{n}$ has $n!, n=2,3, \ldots$, permutations $\Rightarrow F_{n}, n \geqq 2$, is a symmetric function.

Proof. Here we prove the symmetry of $F_{n}, n \geqq 2$, by the method of induction on $n$.

When $n=2$. We have the following cases:
Case 1. When $0<r_{1}<1$ holds in $F_{2}$ :
Then, $0<r_{2}<1$ also holds in $F_{2}$ and it implies that either
(i) $q_{1}=0 \Rightarrow p_{1}=0, p_{2}=q_{2}=1$ in $F_{2}$; or (ii) $0 \leqq p_{1}<1,0<p_{2} \leqq 1$, $0<q_{1}<1,0<q_{2}<1$ in $F_{2}$.
The proof of $(i)$ is as follows:
(2.5) $\quad F_{2}\left(0,1 ; 0,1 ; \dot{r}_{1}, r_{2}\right) \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{3}\left(0,0,1 ; 0,0,1 ; 0, r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} F_{3}(1,0,0 ; 1,0,0$; $\left.r_{2}, r_{1}, 0\right) \stackrel{(1,2)}{=} F_{2}(1,0 ; 1,0 ; 1,0)+F_{2}\left(1,0 ; 1,0 ; r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \stackrel{(2.2)}{=} F_{2}\left(1,0 ; 1,0 ; r_{2}, r_{1}\right)$.
Similarly, the proof of (ii) follows.
Case 2. When either $r_{1}=0$ and $r_{2}=1$ or $r_{1}=1$ and $r_{2}=0$ holds in $F_{2}$ :
Then, it implies either $p_{1}=0=q_{1}$ and $p_{2}=q_{2}=1$ or $p_{1}=q_{1}=1$ and $p_{2}=q_{2}=0$ in $F_{2}$.
This case is obviously true from (2.2).
Thus we have proved the symmetry of $F_{2}$ over $S_{2}$.
When $n=3$. We have the following cases:
Case 1. When $0<p_{i}<1,0<q_{i}<1$, and $0<r_{i}<1, i=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
Then by Postulate $I_{n}(n=3)$ and (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=F_{3}\left(p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{3} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
.7) \quad F_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{4}\left(0, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; 0, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ;\right.  \tag{2.7}\\
\left.0, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}, 0 ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, 0 ; r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, 0\right) \stackrel{(2.5)}{=} \\
F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{2}, 0 ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2}, 0 ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, 0\right) \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} F_{4}\left(0, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1} ; 0, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ;\right. \\
\left.0, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right)^{(2.4)} F_{3}\left(p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore,
(2.8) $F_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} F_{3}\left(p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{3} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right)=$ $\stackrel{(2.7)}{=} F_{3}\left(p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2} ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2} ; r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} F_{3}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{2} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}\right)=$ $\stackrel{(2.7)}{=} F_{3}\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{1} ; q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{1} ; r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}\right)^{(2.6)} F_{3}\left(p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right)$.
From (2.8), we get the symmetry of $F_{3}$ over $S_{3}$.

Case 2. When
(i) $p_{i}=0, i=1,2,3,0<p_{j}<1, j \neq i=1,2,3,0<q_{j}<1,0<r_{j}<1$, $j=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
(ii) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2,3, \quad 0<p_{j}<1, \quad 0<q_{j}<1, \quad j \neq i=1,2,3$, $0<r_{j}<1, j=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
or
(iii) $r_{i}=0 \Rightarrow q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, i=1,2,3,0<p_{j}<1,0<q_{j}<1,0<r_{j}<1$, $j \neq i=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$.
In these subcases, the proof is similar to case 1 .
Case 3. When
(i) $p_{i}=0, p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3, \quad p_{k}=1, \quad k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3,0<q_{k}<1$, $0<r_{k}<1, k=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
or
(ii) $p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, j \neq i=1,2,3, \quad p_{k}=1, \quad k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3$, $0<q_{k}<1, k \neq j=1,2,3, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, k=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
or
(iii) $p_{i}=0, \quad r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad i \neq j=1,2,3, \quad p_{k}=1, \quad k \neq i \neq j=$ $=1,2,3, \quad 0<q_{k}<1, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, k \neq j=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
or
(iv) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3, p_{k}=q_{k}=1, k \neq \mathrm{i} \neq$ $\neq j=1,2,3, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, k=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
or
(v) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3, p_{k}=q_{k}=1$, $k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, k \neq j=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}:$
In case (i), we have
(2.9) $\quad F_{3}\left(0,0,1 ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} F_{3}\left(1,0,0 ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right)$ $\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} F_{3}\left(0,1,0 ; q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{1} ; r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}\right) \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} F_{3}\left(0,1,0 ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}\right)$ $\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} F_{3}\left(1,0,0 ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2} ; r_{3} . r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} F_{3}\left(0,0,1 ; q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{1} ; r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}\right)$.
Thus (2.9) shows that $F_{3}$ is a symmetric function. Similarly, the proof of other sub-cases follows from sub case (i).
Case 4. When $r_{i}=0 \Rightarrow q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, \quad r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad i \neq j=$ $=1,2,3, p_{k}=q_{k}=r_{k}=1, k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3$ holds in $F_{3}$ :
Then, by Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=3)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{3}(0,0,1 ; 0,0,1 ; 0,0,1)=F_{3}(1,0,0 ; 1,0,0 ; 1,0,0)= \\
=F_{3}(0,1,0 ; 0,1,0 ; 0,1,0)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we have proved the symmetry of $F_{3}$ completely.

When $n=4$. We have the following cases:
Case 1. When $0<p_{i}<1,0<q_{i}<1$ and $0<r_{i}<1, i=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.10}\\
& =F_{4}\left(p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.11}\\
& \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{5}\left(0, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1,3)}{(2.35} F_{5}\left(p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}, 0, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, 0, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, 0, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.10)}{=} F_{5}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{2}, 0, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2}, 0, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, 0, i_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} F_{5}\left(0, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can show

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.12}\\
& =F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{1} ; q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{1} ; r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}\right) \\
& \quad F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)= \\
& \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\left(2.11^{2}\right)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{3} ; q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.1 .0)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{2}, p_{4}, p_{1}, p_{3} ; q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\left(2.11^{2}\right)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{1} ; q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.10)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1} ; q_{4}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \\
& \left(\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{4}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Using Postulate $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n=4)$ and symmetry of $F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ in I, II, III, IV, V and VI of (2.13), we have $4!=24$ permutations of $F_{4} \Rightarrow F_{4}$ is symmetric.
Case 2. When
(i) $p_{i}=0, i=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<p_{j}<1, i \neq j=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<q_{j}<1, \quad 0<r_{j}<1$, $j=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(ii) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, i=1,2,3,4,0<p_{j}<1,0<q_{j}<1, i \neq j=1,2,3,4$, $0<r_{j}<1, j=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(iii) $r_{i}=0 \Rightarrow q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, i=1,2,3,4,0<p_{j}<1,0<q_{j}<1,0<r_{j}<1$, $j \neq i=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
The above sub-cases follows from case 1 .

## Case 3. When

(i) $p_{i}=0, \quad p_{j}=0, \quad i \neq j=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<p_{k}<1, \quad k \neq i \neq j=1,2.3,4$, $0<q_{k}<1,0<r_{k}<1, k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(ii) $p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad i \neq j=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<p_{k}<1, \quad k \neq i \neq j=$ $=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<q_{k}<1, \quad k \neq j=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, \quad k=1,2,3,4$, holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(iii) $p_{i}=0, r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3,4,0<p_{k}<1, k \neq i \neq$ $\neq j=1,2,3,4,0<q_{k}<1,0<r_{k}<1, k \neq j=1,2,3,4$ holds in $\mathrm{F}_{4}:$ or
(iv) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3,4,0<p_{k}<1,0<q_{k}<$ $<1, k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<r_{k}<1, k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ :
or
(v) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, i \neq j=1,2,3,4,0<p_{k}<1$, $0<q_{k}<1, k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3,4,0<r_{k}<1, k \neq j=1,2,3,4$ holds in $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ : or
(vi) $r_{i}=0 \Rightarrow q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, \quad r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad i \neq j=1,2,3,4$, $0<p_{k}<1,0<q_{k}<1,0<r_{k}<1, k \neq i \neq j=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}:$

Let us assume $p_{1}=0=p_{10}, p_{2}=0=p_{20}$ in (i) and using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) in $F_{4}$, we get
$\quad F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=$
$\stackrel{(2.11)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}\right)$
$\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{3} ; q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right)$
$\stackrel{(2.10)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{20}, p_{4}, p_{10}, p_{3} ; q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right)$
$\stackrel{(2.11)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$
$\left(\stackrel{\left(211^{2}\right)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{10} ; q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right)\right.$
$\left(\stackrel{(2.10)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{20}, p_{10} ; q_{4}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right)\right.$
$\stackrel{(2.12)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{3}, p_{20}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{4}\right)$.

Now we shall show below that I of (2.14) contributes 4 permutations of $F_{4}$ which are as follows:
(2.15) (a)
(a) $\quad F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=$ $\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{5}\left(0, p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$
${ }^{(1,3)} F_{5}\left(p_{20}, p_{10}, 0, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, 0, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, 0, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$
$\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{6}\left(0, p_{20}, p_{10}, 0, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{2}, q_{1}, 0, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{2}, r_{1}, 0, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$
$\stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{6}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, 0,0, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, 0,0, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, 0,0, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{6}\left(0, p_{20}, 0, p_{10}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{2}, 0, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{2}, 0, r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{5}\left(p_{20}, 0, p_{10}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{2}, 0, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{2}, 0, r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{5}\left(0, p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} F_{4}\left(p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \text {. } \\
& \text { (b) } \quad F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.16}\\
& { }^{(2.4)}{ }_{=} F_{5}\left(0, p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; 0, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; 0, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1,3)}{=} F_{5}\left(p_{3}, p_{10}, p_{20}, 0, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, 0, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, 0, r_{4}\right) \\
& (2.4),(1.3) F_{6}\left(p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{10}, p_{20}, 0,0 ; q_{4}, q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, 0,0 ; r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, 0,0\right) \\
& { }^{(1.3),(2.4)}{ }^{( } F_{5}\left(p_{3}, p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{4}, 0 ; q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{4}, 0 ; r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{4}, 0\right) \\
& { }^{(1.3),(2.4)} F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{4}, p_{3} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{3}\right) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{3}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.17}\\
& =F_{4}\left(p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{4}, p_{3} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now using Postulate $I_{n}(n=4)$ and symmetry of $F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ in II, III, IV, V and VI of (2.14) and (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) in I of (2.14) would yield 4! permutations of $F_{4} \Rightarrow$ symmetry of $F_{4}$. Similarly, the proof of other subcases follows from sub case (i) of case 3 .

Case 4. When
(i) $p_{i}=0, p_{j}=0, p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, p_{i}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=$ $=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<q_{l}<1,0<r_{l}<1, l=1,2,3,4$ holds in $\mathrm{F}_{4}:$
or
(ii) $p_{i}=0, p_{j}=0, q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, \quad p_{t}=1, l \neq i \neq$ $\neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<q_{l}<1, l \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<r_{l}<1, l=1,2,3,4$, holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(iii) $p_{i}=0, p_{j}=0, r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, p_{i}=1$, $l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<q_{l}<1,0<r_{l}<1, l \neq k=1,2,3,4$, holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(iv) $p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, p_{i}=1$, $l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<q_{l}<1, l \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<r_{l}<1$, $l=1,2,3,4$, holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(v) $p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4$, $p_{l}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<q_{l}<1, l \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4$, $0<r_{l}<1, l \neq k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(vi) $p_{i}=0, \quad r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, \quad i \neq j \neq$ $\neq k=1,2,3,4, p_{l}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<q_{l}<1,0<r_{l}<1$, $l \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}:$
or
(vii) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4$, $p_{l}=q_{l}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<r_{l}<1, l=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(viii) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, \quad q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, \quad r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, \quad i \neq j \neq$ $\neq k=1,2,3,4, \quad p_{l}=q_{l}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4,0<r_{l}<1, l \neq$ $\neq k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
or
(ix) $q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq$ $\neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, \quad p_{l}=q_{l}=1, \quad l \neq i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, \quad 0<r_{l}<1$, $l \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
Let us assume $p_{1}=0=p_{10}, p_{2}=0=p_{20}, p_{3}=0=p_{30}$ and $p_{4}=1$, in subcase (i) of case 4 and using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{20}, p_{30}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right)=  \tag{2.18}\\
& { }^{(2,11)} F_{4}\left(p_{30}, p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{4} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}\right) \\
& { }^{(2,12)}{ }^{2} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{10}, p_{30} ; q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; q_{3}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right) \\
& { }^{(2.10)} F_{4}\left(p_{20}, p_{4}, p_{10}, p_{30} ; q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{1}, q_{3} ; r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{1}, r_{3}\right) \\
& { }^{(2.11)} F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{30} ; q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3} ; r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \\
& { }^{(2.12)}{ }^{=} F_{4}\left(p_{30}, p_{4}, p_{20}, p_{10} ; q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \\
& { }^{(2.10)}{ }_{=} F_{4}\left(p_{4}, p_{30}, p_{20}, p_{10} ; q_{\mathrm{v1}}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1} ; r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \\
& { }^{(2.12)}{ }_{=} F_{4}\left(p_{10}, p_{30}, p_{20}, p_{4} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{4} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Postulate $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n=4)$ and symmetry of $F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ in III, IV and V of (2.18), and (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17) in I, II and VI of (2.18), we get 4! permutations of $F_{4} \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ the function $F_{4}$ is a symmetric function. Similarly, the proof of other subcases of case 4 follows from subcase (i) of case 4.

Case 5. When $r_{i}=0 \Rightarrow q_{i}=0 \Rightarrow p_{i}=0, r_{j}=0 \Rightarrow q_{j}=0 \Rightarrow p_{j}=0, r_{k}=0 \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow q_{k}=0 \Rightarrow p_{k}=0, i \neq j \neq k=1,2,3,4, p_{l}=q_{l}=r_{l}=1, l \neq i \neq j \neq k=$ $=1,2,3,4$ holds in $F_{4}$ :
Then symmetry of $F_{4}$, obviously, follows by applying Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=4)$ in $F_{4}$.
From case 1 to case 5, discussed above, we conclude that $F_{4}$ is a symmetric function for all set of values of $p$ 's, $q$ 's and $r$ 's.

When $n=m$
From the above results, we conclude:
(i) If $F_{2}$ has 2! permutations, then $F_{2}$ is a symmetric function;
(ii) If $F_{3}$ has 3! permutations, then $F_{3}$ is a symmetric function;
(iii) If $F_{4}$ has 4! permutations, then $F_{4}$ is a symmetric function;

Assuming that $F_{m-1}, m \geqq 5$ is a symmetric function and thus it has $(m-1)$ ! permutations, we shall prove that $F_{m}$ has $m$ ! permutations which imply $F_{m}$ is a symmetric function for $m \geqq 5$. We proceed as follows:
Case 1. When $0<p_{i}<1,0<q_{i}<1$, and $0<q_{i}<1, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ holds in $F_{m}$ :
Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=  \tag{2.19}\\
= & F_{m}\left(p_{2}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{2}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{2}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and by Lemma 2 and $\operatorname{Postulate} \mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 5)$ in the function $F_{m}, m \geqq 5$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { (2.20) } \begin{array}{c}
F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \underset{(1)}{(1)}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
=F_{m}\left(p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{1}, p_{4}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{1}, q_{4}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{1}, r_{4}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
=F_{m}\left(p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{5}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{5}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{5}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
=F_{m}\left(p_{5}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{1}, p_{6}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{5}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{1}, q_{6}, \ldots\right. \\
\left.\ldots, q_{m} ; r_{5}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{1}, r_{6}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=\ldots= \\
=F_{m-2) \mathrm{th}}^{(m)}\left(p_{m-1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m-2}, p_{1}, p_{m} ; q_{m-1}^{\left.(m-1), q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m-2}, q_{1}, q_{m} ; r_{m-1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{1}, r_{m}\right)=}\right. \\
=F_{m}\left(p_{m}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, p_{1} ; q_{m}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m-1}, q_{1} ; r_{m}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m-1}, r_{2}\right)
\end{array} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using Postulate $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 5)$ and symmetry of $F_{2}$ in (2,), (3), $\ldots,(m-1)$ th of (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2.21) \quad F_{m}\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{4}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{2}, q_{3}, \underset{(3)}{(2)}, q_{4}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{4}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
& =F\left(p_{2}, p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{1}, p_{5}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{2}, q_{4}, \underset{(m-1), \mathrm{h}}{\left.q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{5}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{2}, r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{1}, r_{5}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=}\right. \\
& \quad=\ldots=F_{m}\left(p_{2}, p_{m}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, p_{1} ; q_{2}, q_{m}, \ldots, q_{m-1}, q_{1} ; r_{2}, r_{m}, \ldots, r_{m-1}, r_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using Lemma 2 and Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 5)$ (as used in obtaining (2.11) and (2.12)) in (2), (3),.,$(m-1)$ th of (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{4}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, q_{3}, \stackrel{(2)}{q_{2}}, q_{4}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{1}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{4}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=
$$

$$
=F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{4}, p_{3}, p_{2}, p_{5}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{3}, q_{2}, q_{5}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{3}, r_{2}, r_{5}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=
$$

$$
=\ldots=F_{m}\left(p_{1}, p_{m}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, p_{2} ; \stackrel{(m-1) \text { th }}{q_{1}, q_{m}}, \ldots, q_{m-1}, q_{2} ; r_{1}, r_{m}, \ldots, r_{m-1}, r_{2}\right)
$$

Using (1) of $(2.20)=(2)$ of $(2.20)$ (i.e. replacement of 1st element of each distribution with third element of each distribution) in (3), (4), $\ldots,(m-1)$ th of (2.22), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{5}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{3}, q_{4}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{5}, \ldots\right.  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left.\ldots, q_{m} ; r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{5}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
= & F_{m}\left(p_{3}, p_{5}, p_{1}, p_{4}, p_{2}, p_{6}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{3}, q_{5}, q_{1}, q_{4}, q_{2}, q_{6}, \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\ldots, q_{m} ; r_{3}, r_{5}, r_{1}, r_{4}, r_{2}, r_{6}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
= & \ldots=F_{m}\left(p_{3}, p_{m}, \ldots, p_{2} ; q_{3}, q_{m}, \ldots, q_{2} ; r_{3}, r_{m}, \ldots, r_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, use of $(1)$ of $(2.20)=(3)$ of $(2.20)$ (i.e. replacement of first element of each distribution with fourth element of each distribution) in (4), (5), $\ldots,(m-1)$ th of (2.22), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{m}\left(p_{4}, p_{5}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{4}, q_{5}^{(4)}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{4}, r_{5}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)=  \tag{2.24}\\
& =F_{m}\left(p_{4}, p_{6}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{4}, q_{6}, \ldots, q_{m} ; r_{4}, r_{6}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)= \\
& =\ldots=F_{m}\left(p_{4}, p_{m}, \ldots ; q_{4}, q_{m}, \ldots ; r_{4}, r_{m}, \ldots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.
In the end, use (1) of $(2.20)=(m-2)$ th of $(2.20)$ in $(m-1)$ th of $(2.22)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}\left(p_{m-1}, p_{m}, \ldots ; q_{m-1}, q_{m}, \ldots ; r_{m-1}, r_{m}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Postulate $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 5)$, symmetry of $F_{2}$ and $F_{m-1}$ in (2.22), (2.21), (2.23), (2.24), and so on, and (2.25) then each $F_{m}$ in these would yield $2(m-2)$ ! permutations of $F_{m}$ and (2.22), (2.21), (2.23), (2.24), and so on, and (2.25) would yield 2(m-1) $(m-2)!, 2(m-2)(m-2)!, \ldots, 2(m-2)!$ permutations of $F_{m}$ respectively. Therefore, the algebraic sum of all these permutations of $F_{m}$ is $2(m-1)(m-2)!+2(m-2)$ $(m-2)!+\ldots+2(m-2)!=m!$, which implies that $F_{m}, m \geqq 5$ is a symmetric function. Again, we may come across various cases similar to the one, as discussed in the symmetry of $F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$. They can be easily verified for the symmetry of $F_{m}, m \geqq 5$. Hence we conclude the symmetry of $F_{n}, n \geqq 2$.
Thus Lemma 3 is proved.
Proof of the main theorem
Now Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n=3,4)$ and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n=3,4)$ gives 3! permutations of $F_{3} \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow$ symmetry of $F_{3}$. Kannappan and Rathie [3] has also taken symmetry of $F_{3}$ as one of the postulate in their proof. Replacing 3-symmetry of $F_{3}$ by our Postulate $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$, the proof of the theorem follows from their lines of action. Hence the theorem is proved.

## Remarks.

1. The authors have proved in this paper that the symmetry of generalized directed divergence (1.1) for $n \geqq 2$ follows from Postulates $\mathrm{I}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$ and $\mathrm{VI}_{n}(n \geqq 3)$
and thus have proved that (1.1) can be characterized without symmetry postulate.
2. It has been analytically proved that $F_{n}$ has $n!$, ( $n \geqq 2$ ) permutations $\Rightarrow F_{n},(n \geqq 2)$ is a symmetric function.
(Received December 30, 1982.)
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