

Ștefan V. Ștefănescu

Generating Dirichlet random vectors using a rejection property

Kybernetika, Vol. 25 (1989), No. 6, 467--475

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124671>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

GENERATING DIRICHLET RANDOM VECTORS USING A REJECTION PROPERTY

ȘTEFAN V. ȘTEFĂNESCU

In this paper a generalization of Jöhnk and Loukas results reported in [4] and [5] is given and a rejection algorithm for computer generation of Dirichlet random vectors is proposed. Comparisons with other suitable algorithms are also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a_j, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$, be $n + 1$ positive real numbers.

The random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) has a Dirichlet $D(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ distribution if its probability density function (p.d.f.) is given by (cf. Wilks [14]):

$$(1) \quad f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = K x_1^{a_1-1} x_2^{a_2-1} \dots x_n^{a_n-1} (1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n)^{a_{n+1}-1}$$

for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S_n$, where

$$S_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n; x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n < 1\}$$

$$K = \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1}) / (\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2) \dots \Gamma(a_n) \Gamma(a_{n+1}))$$

with

$$\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} \exp(-t) dt; \quad a > 0.$$

To the best of our knowledge the computer generation of the Dirichlet distribution has not been enough studied in the literature (cf. [3], [6]–[10]).

In this context we can mention a lot of procedures:

- The gamma method based on the relation between Dirichlet and gamma distributions (Wilks [14], p. 179);
- Different rejection techniques (Ștefănescu [12]) or the use of the classical inverse method (considering the marginal distributions, Ștefănescu [12]);
- A one-to-one transform between S_n and $(0,1)^n$ (Ștefănescu [11]);
- The use of a transformation of a uniformly random vector over a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (Văduva [13]).

The performance of these algorithms were compared in [12]. We can conclude

that the gamma method is the fastest; a similar result (for a particular $n, n = 2$) was also obtained by Loukas [5].

In what follows, using a rejection property suggested by Loukas [5], we shall give a new algorithm for generating Dirichlet $D(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ random vectors.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem 1. Let $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, U_{n+1}$ be $n + 1$ independent random variables uniformly distributed over the interval $(0, 1)$ and

$$(2) \quad X_i = U_i^{1/a_i} / (U_1^{1/a_1} + U_2^{1/a_2} + \dots + U_n^{1/a_n} + U_{n+1}^{1/a_{n+1}})$$

$1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) conditioned on

$$(3) \quad U_1^{1/a_1} + U_2^{1/a_2} + \dots + U_n^{1/a_n} + U_{n+1}^{1/a_{n+1}} < 1$$

has a Dirichlet distribution $D(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$.

Proof. Let introduce a one-to-one transformation $T: (0, 1)^{n+1} \rightarrow D, D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $T(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ defined by the relations

$$(4) \quad T: \begin{cases} x_i = y_i / (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n + y_{n+1}); & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ x_{n+1} = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n \end{cases}$$

The inverse T^{-1} of the transform T , $T^{-1}: D \rightarrow (0, 1)^{n+1}$, $T^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})$ is given by

$$(5) \quad T^{-1}: \begin{cases} y_i = x_{n+1} x_i / (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n); & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} (1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n) / (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \end{cases}$$

Let J be the Jacobian of T^{-1} ,

$$(6) \quad J = D(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) / D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \det(A)$$

where $\det(A)$ is the determinant of the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n+1}$, with $a_{ij} = \partial y_i / \partial x_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n + 1$.

Adding to the row 1 of the matrix A all remaining rows and using afterwards the last relation (4) we get

$$(7) \quad J = (-1)^{n+2} \cdot \det(B)$$

where $B = (b'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, with $b'_{ij} = \partial y_{i+1} / \partial x_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

From (5) we obtain the derivatives $\partial y_{i+1} / \partial x_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and therefore

$$(8) \quad \det(B) = (-x_{n+1} / (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n))^n \det(C)$$

where $C = (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, with

$$c_{nj} = 1; \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

$$c_{ij} = x_{i+1}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad j \neq i+1$$

$$c_{i, i+1} = x_{i+1} - (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n); \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

Subtracting column 1 of the matrix C from the other columns of C and then moving the last row on the first place we obtain a lower triangular matrix; hence

$$(9) \quad \det(C) = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^{n-1}$$

From (6)–(9) we conclude that

$$(10) \quad J = x_{n+1}^n / (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^{n+1}$$

Let $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, U_{n+1}$ be $n + 1$ independent random variables uniformly distributed over the interval $(0, 1)$. If $Y_i = U_i^{1/a_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$, then the p.d.f. g_1 of the random vector $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, Y_{n+1})$ takes the form

$$(11) \quad g_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} a_j y_j^{a_j-1}; \quad 0 < y_j < 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$$

Denoting

$$(12) \quad D_1 = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \mid 0 < y_j < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1, y_1 + \dots + y_{n+1} < 1\}$$

and using the following identity ($a > 0, b > 0, c > 0$)

$$\int_0^a b t^{b-1} (a-t)^c dt = a^{b+c} \Gamma(b+1) \Gamma(c+1) / \Gamma(b+c+1)$$

we obtain the value K_1 , that is

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_{D_1} g_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_n dy_{n+1} = \\ &= \int_0^1 dy_1 \int_0^{1-y_1} dy_2 \dots \int_0^{1-y_1-\dots-y_n} g_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) dy_{n+1} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \Gamma(a_j + 1) \right) / \Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1} + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the p.d.f. g_2 of the random vector $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, Y_{n+1})$ conditioned on the inequality

$$(14) \quad Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n + Y_{n+1} < 1$$

has the form

$$(15) \quad g_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) = g_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) / K_1$$

for every $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \in D_1$.

Let $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1})$ be the random vector obtained from the vector $(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, Y_{n+1})$ by applying the transform T (formula (4)). Then the p.d.f. f_2 of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is given by

$$(16) \quad f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = g_2(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) |J|$$

From the relations (5), (10), (11), (13), (15), (16) and using the equality $\Gamma(a+1) = a \Gamma(a)$ we finally obtain

$$(17) \quad f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) f_3(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$$

where the function f is given by (1) and f_3 takes the form

$$(18) \quad f_3(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = (a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1}) x_{n+1}^{a_1+\dots+a_{n+1}-1} / (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^{a_1+\dots+a_{n+1}}$$

From (5) we get

$$y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n + y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}/(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)$$

and then the inequality (3) is equivalent to $y_1 + \dots + y_n + y_{n+1} < 1$, that is

$$(19) \quad 0 < x_{n+1} < x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

Hence the p.d.f. of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is given by

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n} f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) dx_{n+1} = \\ &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \int_0^{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n} f_3(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) dx_{n+1} = \\ &= f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

which was required to prove. \square

Remark 1. The Beta(a, b) distribution is a unidimensional Dirichlet distribution (Beta(a, b) \equiv $D(1; a, b)$),.

Remark 2. If U is uniformly distributed over $(0, 1)$ then $Y = U^{1/a}$ has a Beta($a, 1$) distribution ($Y \sim$ Beta($a, 1$)).

Considering $n = 1$, respectively $n = 2$, from Theorem 1 it results

Corollary 1 (Jöhnc [4]). If $Y_i \sim$ Beta($a_i, 1$), $i = 1, 2$, are independent random variables so that $Y_1 + Y_2 < 1$ then $X = Y_1/(Y_1 + Y_2) \sim$ Beta(a, b).

Corollary 2 (Loukas [5]). If $Y_1 \sim$ Beta($a_1, 1$), $Y_2 \sim$ Beta($a_2, 1$), $Y_3 \sim$ Beta($a_3, 1$) are independent random variables and $Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 < 1$ then $(X_1, X_2) = (Y_1/(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3), Y_2/(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)) \sim D(2; a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

3. THE REJECTION PROCEDURE

From Theorem 1 we get the following algorithm for generating a random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) having a Dirichlet $D(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ distribution.

Algorithm AGDR (Algorithm for Generating a Dirichlet distribution using a Rejection property).

STEP 0. Inputs: $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, n$ ($a_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$).

STEP 1. Generate $n + 1$ independent random variables U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+1} uniformly distributed over the interval $(0, 1)$

$$X_j \leftarrow U_j^{1/a_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

STEP 2. $S \leftarrow X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{n-1} + X_n + U_{n+1}^{1/a_{n+1}}$.

STEP 3. If $S \geq 1$ then go to Step 1.

STEP 4. $X_j \leftarrow X_j/S, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

STEP 5. Print $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n)$. STOP.

The "acceptance probability" $P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ (Devroye [3]) in Step 3 of the algorithm AGDR is given by

$$(21) \quad P_{ac}(n; a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) = P(U_1^{1/a_1} + U_2^{1/a_2} + \dots + U_n^{1/a_n} + U_{n+1}^{1/a_{n+1}} < 1) = \int_{D_2} du_1 du_2 \dots du_n du_{n+1}$$

where

$$(22) \quad D_2 = \{(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \mid 0 < u_j < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n+1; u_1^{1/a_1} + \dots + u_{n+1}^{1/a_{n+1}} < 1\}.$$

Considering the new variables $y_j = u_j^{1/a_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, we have

$$(23) \quad P_{ac}(n; a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \int_{D_1} a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} y_1^{a_1-1} \dots y_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}-1} dy_1 \dots dy_{n+1}$$

where the domain D_1 is defined by (12). Using (11) and (13) we find

$$(24) \quad P_{ac}(n; a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = K_1 = \Gamma(1+a_1) \dots \Gamma(1+a_n) \Gamma(1+a_{n+1}) / \Gamma(1+a_1 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1}).$$

4. PERFORMANCES

Proposition 1. If $0 < a_j \leq b_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, then

$$(25) \quad P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \geq P_{ac}(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$$

Proof. If $0 < a \leq b$, $c > 0$, $0 < t < 1$ then $t^{a-1} \geq t^{b-1}$ and hence

$$(26) \quad \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-1} dt \geq \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-1} dt$$

From (26) it results

$$(27) \quad \Gamma(a)/\Gamma(a+c) \geq \Gamma(b)/\Gamma(b+c), \quad 0 < a \leq b, \quad c > 0$$

Applying the inequality (27) it obtains successively

$$(28) \quad P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \geq P_{ac}(n; b_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \geq \dots \geq P_{ac}(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, a_{n+1}) \geq P_{ac}(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1})$$

the proposition being proved. \square

Tables 1 and 4 contain the values of the "acceptance probability" $P_{ac}(n; a, a, \dots, a)$ obtained by using formula (24) (Table 1) or applying a Monte Carlo procedure (Table 4; 10 000 simulation steps); it considers $a \in \{1.0; 0.5; 0.25; 0.2; 0.125; 0.1; 0.05\}$, $n = 1, 2$.

The values from Table 1 and Table 2 are very close.

Table 1. The "acceptance probability" $P_{ac}(n; a, a, \dots, a)$ given by (24).

a	$a = 1.0$	$a = 0.5$	$a = 0.25$	$a = 0.2$	$a = 0.125$	$a = 0.1$	$a = 0.05$
$n = 1$	0.5000	0.7854	0.9270	0.9502	0.9785	0.9857	0.9962
$n = 2$	0.1667	0.5236	0.8103	0.8663	0.9396	—	—

Remark 3. Table 2 and Table 3 give the “acceptance probability” $P_{ac}(n; a, \dots, a)$ (formula (24)) for different values of n . Using Proposition 1 and Tables 2, 3 it can be found a good approximation of the “acceptance probability” $P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ when we haven't $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a_{n+1}$.

Table 2. The “acceptance probability” $P_{ac}(n; a, a, \dots, a, a)$ obtained from (24).

a	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n = 5$	$n = 6$	$n = 7$
1.0	0.5000	0.1667	0.0417	0.0083	0.0014	0.0002	0.0000
0.9	0.5517	0.2133	0.0639	0.0157	0.0033	0.0006	0.0001
0.8	0.6068	0.2710	0.0970	0.0292	0.0076	0.0018	0.0004
0.7	0.6647	0.3414	0.1452	0.0532	0.0173	0.0050	0.0013
0.6	0.7246	0.4255	0.2138	0.0949	0.0380	0.0140	0.0047
0.5	0.7854	0.5236	0.3084	0.1645	0.0807	0.0369	0.0159
0.4	0.8452	0.6339	0.4335	0.2749	0.1637	0.0922	0.0495
0.3	0.9014	0.7516	0.5888	0.4380	0.3117	0.2134	0.1412
0.2	0.9502	0.8663	0.7631	0.6525	0.5438	0.4429	0.3533
0.1	0.9857	0.9594	0.9232	0.8793	0.8297	0.7762	0.7204

Table 3. The “acceptance probability” $P_{ac}(n; a, a, \dots, a, a)$ obtained from (24) for large n and small values of a .

n	$a = 0.1$	$a = 0.05$	$a = 0.01$	$a = 0.005$	$a = 0.001$
10	0.5521	0.8373	0.9915	0.9978	0.9999
20	0.1597	0.5566	0.9692	0.9918	0.9997
30	0.0313	0.3157	0.9356	0.9824	0.9992
40	0.0046	0.1587	0.8931	0.9698	0.9987
50	0.0006	0.0724	0.8438	0.9543	0.9979
60	0.0000	0.0304	0.7900	0.9363	0.9971
70	0.0000	0.0119	0.7332	0.9161	0.9960
80	0.0000	0.0044	0.6753	0.8939	0.9949
90	0.0000	0.0015	0.6173	0.8700	0.9936
100	0.0000	0.0005	0.5605	0.8447	0.9921

Remark 4. From formula (24) it follows

$$(29) \quad \lim_{a_1 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{a_2 \rightarrow 0} \dots \lim_{a_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) = 1$$

$$\lim_{a_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{a_2 \rightarrow \infty} \dots \lim_{a_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty} P_{ac}(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) = 0$$

which proves that the AGDR algorithm is very fast for small values of the parameters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}$ (see also Table 3).

We will denote by AGDW (Wilks' algorithm) the procedure for generating Di-

richlet $D(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ random vectors based on the following proposition

Proposition 2 (Wilks [14], p. 179). If $Y_j, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$, are $n + 1$ independent random variables having a gamma distribution with parameter a_j , and

$$(30) \quad X_i = Y_i / (Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n + Y_{n+1}); \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

then the random vector (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) has a Dirichlet distribution.

Table 4. The values of "acceptance probability" $P_{ac}(n; a, \dots, a)$ obtained using a Monte Carlo procedure (100 000 simulations).

a	$a = 1.0$	$a = 0.5$	$a = 0.25$	$a = 0.2$	$a = 0.125$	$a = 0.1$	$a = 0.05$
$n = 1$	0.4978	0.7824	0.9248	0.9509	0.9804	0.9879	0.9968
$n = 2$	0.1736	0.5211	0.8086	0.8782	0.9421	—	—

Analysing a lot of procedures for generating Dirichlet deviates we concluded that the algorithm AGDW is the fastest (cf. Ștefănescu [12]; for $n = 2$ Loukas [5] obtained the same result).

Remark 5. The rejection procedure AGDR is based on simple operations; in addition its "acceptance probability" is very large for small values of $a_j, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$ (see Remark 4).

Table 5. The values of the threshold q for which AGDR algorithm is the fastest (case $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = a$).

a	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n = 5$	$n = 6$	$n = 7$	$n = 8$	$n = 9$	$n = 10$
1.0	1.26	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.9	1.40	0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.8	1.60	0.25	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.7	2.05	0.38	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.6	2.37	0.55	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.5	2.80	0.80	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.4	4.29	1.00	0.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.3	6.75	1.66	0.66	0.20	—	—	—	—	—	—
0.2	13.43	3.01	1.32	0.75	0.25	0.05	—	—	—	—
0.1	100.00	10.2	4.39	2.07	1.34	0.96	0.66	0.44	0.24	0.11

From Proposition 1 it follows that for $0 < q < a_{n+1}$ the run time of the algorithm $AGDR(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$ is less than for the $AGDW(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, q)$ algorithm. Therefore, for fixed values of n, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n it can be established a threshold $q, q > 0$, so that for any $0 < a_{n+1} < q$, the algorithm $AGDR(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ is faster than the $AGDW(n; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1})$ algorithm. The value of q depends

on the computer, on the specific implementations of these algorithms or on the particular procedure for generating gamma random variates (see Proposition 2).

From the literature [3], [6]–[10] it can be selected the following algorithms for generating random values having a gamma distribution with parameter a , $a < 1$:

- the GT and GBH Cheng-Feast's procedures [2] (in the case of $a > 0.5$, respectively $a > 0.25$);
- the GS Ahrens-Dieter's procedure [1].

The GS algorithm is the fastest when it generates only one random value.

Table 6. The value of q so that AGDR ($2; a_1, a_2, a_3$) algorithm is the fastest ($0 < a_3 < q$).

$a_2 =$	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
$a_1 = 1.0$	0.02									
$a_1 = 0.9$	0.06	0.11								
$a_1 = 0.8$	0.12	0.18	0.25							
$a_1 = 0.7$	0.17	0.24	0.32	0.38						
$a_1 = 0.6$	0.23	0.30	0.39	0.47	0.55					
$a_1 = 0.5$	0.34	0.42	0.49	0.59	0.65	0.80				
$a_1 = 0.4$	0.41	0.50	0.59	0.68	0.78	0.90	1.00			
$a_1 = 0.3$	0.53	0.62	0.72	0.79	0.94	1.06	1.22	1.66		
$a_1 = 0.2$	0.65	0.78	0.84	0.99	1.11	1.29	1.64	2.29	3.01	
$a_1 = 0.1$	0.77	0.96	1.12	1.18	1.46	1.73	2.14	2.96	5.08	10.20

Tables 5 and 6 give the q threshold values for different n, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n considering a FORTRAN implementation of the AGDR and AGDW algorithms run on a Romanian FELIX C-256 computer and using the GS Ahrens-Dieter's procedure [1] for gamma random variates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the referees for their suggestions.

(Received March 21, 1989.)

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Ahrens and U. Dieter: Computer methods for sampling from gamma, beta, Poisson and binomial distributions. *Computing* 12 (1974), 3, 223–246.
- [2] R. C. H. Cheng and G. M. Feast: Gamma variate generators with increased shape parameter range. *Comm. ACM* 23 (1980), 7, 389–394.
- [3] L. Devroye: *Non-uniform Random Variate Generation*. Springer-Verlag, New York—Berlin—Heidelberg 1986.
- [4] M. D. Jöhnk: Erzeugung von betaverteilten und gammaverteilten Zufallszahlen. *Metrika* 8 (1964), 5–15.
- [5] S. Loukas: Simple methods for computer generation of bivariate beta random variables. *J. Statist. Comput. Simulation* 20 (1984), 145–152.

- [6] R. E. Nance and C. L. Overstreet Jr.: A bibliography on random number generation. *Comput. Rev.* 13 (1972), 495—508.
- [7] H. Sahai: A supplement to Sowey's bibliography on random number generation and related topics. *Biometrical J.* 22 (1980), 447—461; *J. Statist. Comput. Simulation* 10 (1979), 31—52.
- [8] E. R. Sowey: A chronological and classified bibliography on random number generation and testing. *Internat. Statist. Rev.* 40 (1972), 355—371.
- [9] E. R. Sowey: A second classified bibliography on random number generation and testing. *Internat. Statist. Rev.* 46 (1978), 89—102.
- [10] E. R. Sowey: A third classified bibliography on random number generation and testing, *J. Roy Statist. Soc. Ser. A* 149 (1986), 83—107.
- [11] Ș. Ștefănescu: Another algorithm for generating Dirichlet vectors. *Econom. Comput. Econom. Cybernet. Stud. Res.* 22 (1987), 2, 33—38.
- [12] Ș. Ștefănescu: Recurrent Algorithms for Generating Strings of Random Values Having Given Statistical Properties. Doctoral Thesis, Faculty of Mathematics, Bucharest 1986.
- [13] I. Văduva: Computer generation of random vectors based on transformation of uniformly distributed vectors. In: *Proc. Seventh Conference on Probability Theory, Brașov 1982*, Academy Publishing House, Bucharest, 1984, pp. 589—598.
- [14] S. S. Wilks: *Mathematical Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1962.

Dr. Ștefan Ștefănescu, University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics, Computing Centre, 14 Academiei Street, Bucharest 70109. Romania.