Libuše Baladová Number of alternatives in reducing finite spaces and vector spaces

Kybernetika, Vol. 10 (1974), No. 5, (446)--454

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124874

## Terms of use:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

KYBERNETIKA - VOLUME 10 (1974), NUMBER 5

## Number of Alternatives in Reducing Finite Spaces and Vector Spaces

Libuše Baladová

In this paper the number of different partitions of finite spaces and of n-dimensional vector spaces is given, as well as the number of all partitions, if a non exhaustive method is used. Relations between the corresponding numbers of partitions of both methods are presented, too.

A. Perez fomulated the following problem: Let  $X_n$  be a finite space with  $|X_n| = n$  or an *n*-dimensional vector space.

Let  $\mathscr{Y}_m, m \leq n$ , be any partition of  $X_n$  in *m* disjoint sets, resp. any cylindric partition of the *n*-dimensional vector space  $X_n$ , which corresponds to the rejecting of n - m coordinates of  $X_n$ .

Let  $R(\mathscr{Y}_m)$  be a real valued function of  $\mathscr{Y}_m$ , m = 1, 2, ..., n, where  $\mathscr{Y}_n = X_n$ . Let

$$\max_{\substack{\mathscr{Y}_m \\ = 1, 2, \dots, n}} R(\mathscr{Y}_m) = R_0 = R(\overline{\mathscr{Y}}_{\overline{m}}).$$

The original task is to determine a maximizing  $\overline{\mathscr{Y}}_{m}$  among all the (admissible, if it is required to respect some given constraints)  $\mathscr{Y}_{m}$ 's.

The exhaustive method requests to consider all the possible alternatives of  $\mathscr{Y}_m$ , to calculate the respective  $R(\mathscr{Y}_m)$  and to compare them in order to find some  $\overline{\mathscr{Y}}_{\overline{m}}$ .

Since the number of all possible alternatives grows very quickly with n, the exhaustive method will be, in general, unpracticable. This situation leads to approximative non-exhaustive methods.

One such method is the following: Take in the first step, m = m - 1 and let  $\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}^0$  be a maximizing (admissible) partition, i.e.

$$R(\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}^{0}) = \max_{\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}} R(\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}).$$

In the second step, take m = n - 2 and let  $\mathscr{Y}_{n-2}^{0}$  be a maximizing (admissible) subpartition of  $\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}^{0}$ , i.e.

$$R(\mathscr{Y}_{n-2}^{0}) = \max_{\mathscr{Y}_{n-2} \text{ subpartitin of } \mathscr{Y}_{n-1}^{0}} R(\mathscr{Y}_{n-2}),$$

etc.

In the (n - m)-th step, take m = m and let  $\mathscr{G}_m^0$  be a maximizing (admissible) subpartition of  $\mathscr{G}_{m+1}^0$ , i.e.

$$R(\mathscr{Y}_m^0) = \max_{\mathscr{Y}_m \text{ subpartition of } \mathscr{Y}_{m+1}^0} R(\mathscr{Y}_m).$$

Finaly, let  $m_0$  be such that

$$R(\mathscr{Y}_{m_0}^0) = \max R(\mathscr{Y}_m^0).$$

In general,  $\mathscr{Y}_{m_0}^0 \neq \overline{\mathscr{Y}}_{\overline{m}}$  and  $R(\mathscr{Y}_{m_0}^0) \leq R(\overline{\mathscr{Y}}_{\overline{m}}) = R_0$ .

However, there are cases where the equality is approximately attained in the inequality above (e.g. the case of minus  $\alpha$ -entropy of P with respect to Q). The problem formulated by A. Perez is to compare the numbers of alternatives to be considered in the exhaustive and non-exhaustive methods above.

## I. NUMBER OF REDUCTIONS OF FINITE SPACES

**Definition 1.** Let *m*, *n* be fixed, m < n. A reduction of a space  $X_n$  with  $|X_n| = n$  is a partition

$$\mathscr{Y}_m = \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_m\}$$

of the space  $X_n$ , when the following is valid:

$$Y_i \subset X_n, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$$
  
$$Y_i \cap Y_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j,$$
  
$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m Y_i = X_n.$$

Let m < n be fixed. Let  $V_{n,m}$  be the number of all different partitions  $\mathscr{Y}_m$  of the space  $X_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \ge m \ge 1$  the following formula holds:

(1) 
$$V_{n,m} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{(n_1,\dots,n_r)\in N_r} \sum_{(k_1,\dots,k_r)\in K_{N_r}} \frac{n!}{(k_1!)^{n_1} (k_2!)^{n_2} \dots (k_r!)^{n_r} n_1! \dots n_r!}$$

where

$$\begin{split} N_r &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} n_1, \ldots, n_r \end{pmatrix} : n_i > 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, r, \ n_1 + \ldots + n_r = m \right\} \\ K_{N_r} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_1, \ldots, k_r \end{pmatrix} : k_i > 0, \ k_{i-1} < k_i, \ i = 2, \ldots, r, \\ n_1 k_1 + \ldots + n_r k_r = n \ \forall (n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in N_r \right\}. \end{split}$$

(For some r and  $N_r$  the  $K_{N_r}$  sets may be also empty.)

Proof. Formula (1) follows from the fact, that for fixed  $k'_1, \ldots, k'_m$  such that  $k'_1 + \ldots + k'_m = n$ , where  $n_i$  of  $k'_j$  are the same, the number of all partitions is:

$$\frac{1}{n_1! \dots n_r!} \binom{n}{k'_1} \binom{n-k'_1}{k'_2} \dots \binom{n-k'_1-\dots-k'_{m-2}}{k'_{m-1}}.$$

If we denote the same  $k'_j$  by  $k_i$ , we may write the last expression as:

$$\frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_r! (k_1!)^{n_1} \dots (k_r!)^{n_r}}.$$

Especialy we can deduce from formula (1):

$$V_{n,2} = \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{k} N,$$

$$k = \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad N = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad n \quad \text{odd},$$

$$k = \frac{n}{2}, \quad N = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad n \quad \text{even},$$

$$V_{n,n-1} = \binom{n}{2},$$

$$V_{n,n-2} = \binom{n}{3} + 3\binom{n}{n-4},$$

$$V_{n,n-3} = \binom{n}{4} + 10\binom{n}{n-5} + 15\binom{n}{n-6},$$

$$V_{n,n-4} = \binom{n}{5} + 25\binom{n}{n-6} + 105\left[\binom{n}{n-7} + \binom{n}{n-8}\right],$$

where we put  $\binom{n}{n-j} = 0$  for n-j < 0.

Let  $P_{n,m}$  be the number of all different partitions, if the mentioned non exhaustive procedure is used.

**Theorem 2.** Let be m < n. Then

(2) 
$$P_{n,m} = {\binom{n}{2}} + {\binom{n-1}{2}} + \dots + {\binom{m+1}{2}}.$$

Proof. By (1) the value of  $V_{n,n-1}$  is equal to  $\binom{n}{2}$  and  $V_{n,n-1} = P_{n,n-1}$ . As we form in the mentioned non exhaustive procedure the partition  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n-1}$  for n = n, n - 1, ..., m + 1, the formula (2) is valid.

Some useful recurent formulas follow from (2):

(3) 
$$P_{n,m} = P_{n-1,m} + \binom{n}{2},$$
$$P_{n,m} = P_{n,m+1} + \binom{m+1}{2},$$
$$P_{n,m} = P_{n,k} + P_{k,m} \text{ for } m < k < n.$$

Values of  $P_{n,m}$  and  $V_{n,m}$  for some *n* and all m < n are shown in Appendix. Of course, we are not justified to compare directly the value of  $P_{n,m}$  and  $V_{n,m}$ , but we may do so for the value of  $P_{n,m}$  and  $R_{n,m}$ , where  $R_{n,m}$  is defined by:

$$R_{n,m} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} V_{n,n-k}$$

**Theorem 3.** The following relations are true:

$$R_{3,1} = P_{3,1}$$

and

$$R_{n,m} > P_{n,m}$$
 for  $n > m + 1$ ,  $n > 3$ .

Proof. For n = 3 we may calculate it directly, and then we prove it by means of mathematical induction. Let be n > 3, fixed. For the first step we take:  $m_{max} = n - 2$ . Then

$$R_{n,n-2} - P_{n,n-2} = V_{n,n-1} + V_{n,n-2} - P_{n,n-1} - P_{n-1,n-2} =$$
$$= \binom{n}{3} + 3\binom{n}{4} - \binom{n-1}{2} > 0.$$

So for the first step the assertion is valid. With next steps m diminishes. We suppose therefore the validity of the assertion for m = k, and we prove it for m = k - 1. It is  $R_{n,k-1} = R_{n,k} + V_{n,k-1}$  and from (3):

$$R_{n,k-1} - P_{n,k-1} = R_{n,k} + V_{n,k-1} - P_{n,k} - \binom{k}{2}$$

**450** By assumption, it is  $R_{n,k} - P_{n,k} > 0$ , so that we must prove:

$$V_{n,k-1} - \binom{k}{2} \ge 0$$

It must be  $m \ge 1$ , i.e.  $k - 1 \ge 1$ , therefore  $k \ge 2$ ; for k = 2 is  $V_{n,k-1} - \binom{k}{2} = 0$ . For k > 2  $V_{n,k-1}$  contains the member with  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $n_1 = k - 2$ ,  $k_2 = n - (k - 2)$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ , which is:

$$\frac{n!}{(k-2)!\left[n-(k-2)\right]!} = \binom{n}{k-2}.$$

Since n > m + 1, so that n > k - 1 + 1 = k, hence  $\binom{n}{k-2} \ge \binom{k}{2}$  and  $V_{n,k-1} \ge \binom{k}{2}$ , q.e.d.

## II. NUMBERS OF DIFFERENT REDUCTIONS OF *n*-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES

We denote a vector space of n dimensions by  $X_n$ , so that:

$$X_n = Z_1 \times Z_2 \times \ldots \times Z_n,$$
$$X_n = \bigcup_{z_1 \in Z_1,} \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)\}.$$

i.e.

$$z_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$$
  
Let be  $m < n$ . A reduction of an *n*-dimensional vector

**Definition 2.** Let be m < n. A reduction of an *n*-dimensional vector space  $X_n$  is a cylindric partition

$$\mathscr{Y}_m = \mathscr{Y}_m^{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-m}} = \{Y_1,\ldots,Y_r,\ldots\}$$

of the space  $X_n$ , when the following is valid:

 $Y_r = \bigvee_{i=1}^n A_i,$ 

where

$$A_i^{\ } = \{z_i\} \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq k_j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n - m,$$
  
$$A_{k_i} = Z_{k_i} \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n - m.$$

Let m < n be fixed. Let  $W_{n,m}$  be the number of all cylindric partitions  $\mathscr{Y}_m$  of the *n*-dimensional vector space  $X_n$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let be m < n. Then

$$W_{n,m} = \binom{n}{m}.$$

The value of  $W_{n,m}$  is obviously equal to the number of all possible groups of n - m coordinates which we reject from *n* coordinates, i.e.  $\binom{n}{n-m} = \binom{n}{m}$ .

Let m < n be fixed. Let  $Q_{n,m}$  be the number of all cylindric partitions, resulting from *n*-dimensional space  $X_n$ , when the non exhaustive procedure, mentioned above, is used.

**Theorem 5.** Let be m < n. Then

(4) 
$$Q_{n,m} = \frac{n+m+1}{2} (n-m).$$

The value of  $W_{n,n-1}$  is equal to *n* and as we form in the mentioned non exhaustive procedure the partition  $\mathscr{Y}_{n-1}$  for n = n, n-1, ..., m+1, the following equation holds:

$$Q_{n,m} = n + (n - 1) + \ldots + (m + 1),$$

it means, the formula (4) is valid.

Analogous recurent formulas, as for  $P_{n,m}$ , are valid also for  $Q_{n,m}$ . We mention the most useful one:

(5) 
$$Q_{n,m} = Q_{n,m+1} + m + 1.$$

Let m < n and let  $S_{n,m}$  be defined by:

$$S_{n,m} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} W_{n,n-k} \, .$$

Then

(6) 
$$S_{n,m-1} = S_{n,m} + {n \choose m-1}$$

and

$$Q_{n,n-1} = W_{n,n-1} = S_{n,n-1}$$

immediately follow.

**Theorem 6.** Let be n > 2. Then

$$S_{n,m} > Q_{n,m}$$
 for  $n > m + 1$ .

452 We prove it analogously to Theorem 3:

$$S_{n,n-2} - Q_{n,n-2} = {n \choose n-1} + {n \choose n-2} - n - (n-1) > 0$$
 for  $n > 2$ .

From (6) and (5)

$$S_{n,k-1} - Q_{n,k-1} = S_{n,k} + \binom{n}{k-1} - Q_{n,k} - k =$$
  
=  $S_{n,k} - Q_{n,k} + \frac{n(n-1)\dots[n-(k-2)] - k(k-1)\dots 2.1}{(k-1)!} > 0$ 

follows, because n > m + 1, i.e. n > k + 1.

APPENDIX

m	P <sub>3,m</sub>	V <sub>3,m</sub>	R <sub>3m</sub>	m	P <sub>4,m</sub>	V <sub>4,m</sub>	R <sub>4,m</sub>
2 1	3	3	3	3	6	6	6
1	4	1	4	2	9	7	13
				2 1	10	1	14
m	P <sub>5,m</sub>	V <sub>5,m</sub>	R <sub>5,m</sub>	m	P <sub>6,m</sub>	V <sub>6,m</sub>	R <sub>6,m</sub>
4	10	10	10	5	15	15	15
3	16	25	35	4	25	65	80
3 2	19	15	50	3	31	90	170
1	20	1	51	2	34	31	201
				1	35	1	202
						,	
<i>m</i>	P <sub>7,m</sub>	V7,m	R <sub>7,m</sub>	<i>m</i>	P <sub>8,m</sub>	V <sub>8,m</sub>	R <sub>8,m</sub>
6	21	21	21	7	28	. 28	28
5	36	140	161	6	49	266	294
4	46	350	511	5	64	1050	1344
	52	301	812	4	74	1701	3045
3		63	875	3	80	966	4011
3 2	55	0.5					
3 2 1	55 56	1	876	2 1	83	127	4138

	P <sub>9,m</sub>	V <sub>9,m</sub>	R <sub>9,m</sub>	-	m	$P_{10,m}$	V <sub>10,m</sub>	R <sub>10,m</sub>	453
8	36	36	36		9	45	45	45	
7	64	462	498		8	81	750	795	
6	85	2646	3144		7	109	5880	6675	
5	100	6951	10095		6	130	22827	29502	
4	110	7770	17865		5	145	29925	59427	
3	116	3025	20890		4	155	34105	93532	
2	119	255	21145		3	161	9330	102862	
1	120	1	21146		2	164	511	103373	
					1	165	1	103374	
	,	1	L					· .	
m	Q <sub>3,m</sub>	W <sub>3,m</sub>	S <sub>3,m</sub>	-	m	Q <sub>4,m</sub>	W <sub>4,m</sub>	<i>S</i> <sub>4,m</sub>	
2	3	3	3	1	3	4	4	4	
1	5	3	6		2	7 9	6	10	
					1	9	4	14	
m	Q <sub>5,m</sub>	W <sub>5,m</sub>	S <sub>5,m</sub>		т	Q <sub>6,m</sub>	W <sub>6.m</sub>	S <sub>6,m</sub>	
4	5	5	5	-		6			
3	9	10	15		5 4	6 11	6 15	6 21	
2	12	10	25		3	15	20	41	
1	14	5	30		2	18	15	56	
		5	20		1	20	6	62	
							Į		
m	Q <sub>7,m</sub>	W <sub>7,m</sub>	S <sub>7,m</sub>	-	<i>m</i>	Q <sub>8,m</sub>	W <sub>8,m</sub>	S <sub>8,m</sub>	
6	7	7	7		7	8	8	8	
5	13	21	28		6	15	28	36	
4	18	35	63		5	21	56	92	
3	22	35	98		4	26	70	162	
-	25	21	119		3	30	56	218	
2		7	126		2 1	33 35	28	246	
2 1	27		1					254	

454	m	$Q_{9,m}$	$W_{9,m}$	S <sub>9,</sub> ,	n		т	$Q_{10,m}$	W <sub>10,m</sub>	S <sub>10,m</sub>
	8	9	9	9			9	10	10	10
	7	17	36	45			8	19	45	55
	6	24	84	129			7	27	120	175
	5	30	126	255			6	34	210	385
	4	35	126	381			5	40	252	637
	3	39	84	465			4	45	210	847
	2	42	36	501			3	49	120	967
	1	44	9	510			2	52	45	1012
	_						1	54	10	1022
				l						
				m	Q <sub>20,m</sub>	W <sub>20,m</sub>	S <sub>20,m</sub>			
	-					1		1974-1		
				19	20	20	2			
				18	39	190	21			
				17	57	1140	135			
				16	74	4845	619			
				15	90	15504	2169			
				14	105	38760	6045			
				13	119	77520	13797			
				12	132	125970	26394			
				11	144	167960	43190			
				10	155	184756	61666			
				9	165	167960	78462			
				8	174	125970	91059			
				7	182	77520	98811			
				6	189	38760	102687			
				5	195	15504	104237			
				4	200	4845	104722			
				3	204	1140	104836			
				2	207	190	104855			
				1	209	20	104857	4		

(Received March 25, 1974.)

ļ

Dr. Libuše Baladová; Ústav teorie informace a automatizace ČSAV (Institute of Information Theory and Automation – Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences), Pod vodárenskou věží 4, 18076 Praha 8. Czechoslovakia.