

Gheorghe Păun

Two theorems about Galiukschov semicontextual languages

Kybernetika, Vol. 21 (1985), No. 5, 360--365

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/124993>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these

Terms of use.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

TWO THEOREMS ABOUT GALIUKSCHOV SEMICONTEXTUAL LANGUAGES

GHEORGHE PĂUN

We solve an open problem formulated in [1] (there are semicontextual grammars of degree two which generate non-context-free languages) and we extend a result in [1], concerning the closure properties of semicontextual languages families (all of them are *anti-AFL's*).

1. DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY

We assume the reader familiar with the basic notions of formal language theory (from [3], for example) and we specify only some notions about the semicontextual grammars introduced in [1], under linguistic motivations.

A *semicontextual grammar* is a triple $G = (V, B, P)$, where V is a nonempty finite alphabet, B is a finite language over V and P is a finite set of rewriting rules of the form $xy \rightarrow xzy$, x, y, z being non-null strings over V . If $w = uxyv$ and $w' = uxzyv$ are two strings in V^* (V^* is the free monoid generated by V under the concatenation operation and the null element λ) and $xy \rightarrow xzy$ is a rule in P , then we write $w \Rightarrow w'$. We denote by \Rightarrow^* the reflexive transitive closure of the relation \Rightarrow and define the language generated by G as

$$L(G) = \{x \in V^* \mid z \Rightarrow^* x \text{ for some } z \text{ in } B\}.$$

Remark. In [1], instead of the set B , a semicontextual grammar contains a start symbol I and a finite set of rules of the form $I \rightarrow x$, x in V^* , which begin each derivation. Clearly, our modification is quite non-essential. Moreover, in [1] one defines some different variants of semicontextual grammars, but we do not consider them here.

A semicontextual grammar G as above is said to be of *degree* m if

$$m = \max \{|x| \mid xy \rightarrow xzy \text{ or } yx \rightarrow yzx \text{ is a rule in } P\}$$

($|x|$ is the length of the string x). We denote by \mathcal{S}_i , $i \geq 1$, the family of languages generated by semicontextual grammars of degree not greater than i .

In [1] it is proved that \mathcal{S}_1 is a proper subset of the family of context-free languages and that \mathcal{S}_1 is an *anti-AFL* (it is not closed under none of the six *AFL* operations: union, concatenation, Kleene closure, λ -free homomorphisms, intersection with regular sets and inverse homomorphisms) and one asks whether \mathcal{S}_2 contain non-context-free languages.

In [2] it is proved that \mathcal{S}_4 contains non-context-free languages and the same result has been obtained in the meantime by B. S. Galiukschov for \mathcal{S}_3 (personal communication). Here we settle the question by finding a non-context-free language in \mathcal{S}_2 and also we prove that each family $\mathcal{S}_i, i \geq 1$, is an *anti-AFL* (in fact, we find even a non-semilinear language in \mathcal{S}_2 , that is a language having a non-semilinear Parikh image).

2. RESULTS

Theorem 1. The family \mathcal{S}_2 contains non-context-free languages.

Proof. We consider the following semicontextual grammar of degree two:

$$G = (\{a, b, c, d, f, g\}, \{fabcdf\}, P)$$

with the set P containing the rules:

- 1) $f ab \rightarrow f ga ab$
- $aa bc \rightarrow aa b bc$
- $bb cd \rightarrow bb c cd$
- $cc da \rightarrow cc d da$
- $dd ab \rightarrow dd a ab$
- $cc df \rightarrow cc d df$

(Starting from the substring fab of the current string, these rules double each occurrence of symbols a, b, c, d , step-by-step, from the left to the right. Please note that – excepting the first rule – each rule has the form $xy \rightarrow xzy$ with $x = \alpha\alpha$, $\alpha \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, and y belongs to the set $\{ab, bc, cd, da\}$ – excepting the last rule, for which $y = df$. The pairs ab, bc, cd, da are called *legal*; they are the only two-letters substrings of a string of the form $(abcd)^n$.)

Clearly, starting from a string of the form $wf(abcd)^n f$ (initially we have $w = \lambda$ and $n = 1$), we can pass to a string

$$(*) \quad wfg(aabbccdd)^m xy(abcd)^p f$$

with $m \geq 0, p \geq 0, m + p + 1 = n$, y is a suffix of $abcd$, $abcd = zy$ and x is obtained by doubling each symbol in z . When $m = n - 1$ and $y = \lambda$, then we obtain the string $wfg(aabbccdd)^n f$, hence the length of the string obtained between g and f is equal to $8n$, two times the length of the initial string $(abcd)^n$.)

- 2) $g aa \rightarrow g ca aa$
- $ca a \rightarrow ca ca a$

$ca\ bb \rightarrow ca\ d\ bb$
 $db\ b \rightarrow db\ d\ b$
 $db\ cc \rightarrow db\ a\ cc$
 $ac\ c \rightarrow ac\ a\ c$
 $ac\ dd \rightarrow ac\ b\ dd$
 $bd\ d \rightarrow bd\ b\ d$
 $bd\ aa \rightarrow bd\ c\ aa$

(Starting from the substring gaa , hence from the symbol g introduced by the rules of group 1, these rules replaces each substring $\alpha\alpha$, $\alpha \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, by $\beta\alpha\beta$, $\beta \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, in such a way that all pairs $\beta\alpha, \alpha\beta$ are not legal. In view of the fact that – excepting the first rule – all the rules in group 2 are of the form $xy \rightarrow xzy$ with x a non-legal pair, it follows that these rules can be applied only in a step-by-step manner, from the left to the right. As each rule $xy \rightarrow xzy$ as above contains pair $\alpha\alpha$, $\alpha \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, in the string xy , it follows that they can be applied only after the rules of group 1 have been applied. Consequently, from a string of the form (*), using the rules of group 2, we can pass to a string of the form

$$(**) \quad wfg(cacadbdbacacbdbd)^r uv(aabbccdd)^s xy(abcd)^p f$$

with $0 \leq r \leq m$, $r + s + 1 = m$, v is a suffix of $aabbccdd$ and u is obtained by “translating” the string z for which $zv = aabbccdd$ by means of the rules in group 2, or to a string of the form

$$wfg(cacadbdbacacbdbd)^m x' y' (abcd)^p f$$

where x' is obtained from a prefix of x by “translating” it using the above rules.

Let us note that the rules of group 2 also double the number of the symbols in the substring they “translate”, therefore, when the string (*) is of the form $wfg(aabbccdd)^p f$, then we can obtain a string $wfg(cacadbdbacacbdbd)^p f$, that is with the substring bounded by g and f of length $16n$, two times the length of $(aabbccdd)^n$ and four times the length of the initial string $(abcd)^n$.)

3) $b\ df \rightarrow b\ c\ df$
 $d\ bc \rightarrow d\ a\ bc$
 $b\ da \rightarrow b\ c\ da$
 $c\ bc \rightarrow c\ a\ bc$
 $c\ ab \rightarrow c\ d\ ab$
 $a\ cd \rightarrow a\ b\ cd$
 $a\ da \rightarrow a\ c\ da$
 $b\ ab \rightarrow b\ d\ ab$
 $d\ cd \rightarrow d\ b\ cd$
 $gc\ ab \rightarrow gc\ f\ ab$

(All the above rules are of the form $xy \rightarrow xzy$ with y a legal pair, or $y = df$ in the first rule. Moreover, excepting the last rule, each rule has $y = y_1 y_2$, $y_1, y_2 \in \{a, b, c, d\}$,

$x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, and xy_1 is a non-legal pair. Each rule introduces a symbol z between x and y in such a way that xy_1 is a legal pair. Consequently, the rules of group 3 can be applied only in the step-by-step manner, from the right to the left, starting either from the rightmost symbol f – by the first rule – or from the rightmost position where the rules of group 2 have been applied; indeed, only in that position appears a three-letters substring xy_1y_2 as above, with xy_1 a non-legal pair and y_1y_2 a legal pair. Using the above rules we obtain only legal pairs, therefore we pass to a string containing substrings $abcd$.

As both groups of rules 1 and 2 need substrings αx , $\alpha \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, in order to can be used, it follows that the rules of group 1 can be applied only after “legalizing” all pairs of symbols, hence only after using the last rule of group 3, which introduces a new occurrence of the symbol f and the first rule of group 1 can be applied.

The application of rules in group 3 again doubles the length of the “translated” string. Consequently, a string of the form $(**)$ is transformed by rules in group 3 into

$$wfgcf(abcd)^8r'u'v(aabbccdd)^8xy(abcd)^8pf,$$

where u' is obtained from u in the above manner. When the string $wfg(aabbccdd)^8f$ has been transformed into $wfg(cacadbdbacacbdbd)^8f$ by means of rules in group 2, then the above group of rules provides the string $wfgcf(abcd)^8rf$.

Clearly, after using the rules of group 3 as many times as possibly, the derivation can be reiterated, using again the rules of group 1.)

The above grammar generates a non-context-free language. In fact, the language $L(G)$ is even non-semilinear.

Indeed, the following *assertion* is obvious. For each semilinear set $E \subseteq N^n$ and for each i, j , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, either there is a constant $k_{i,j}$ such that $u_j/u_i \leq k_{i,j}$, or there exist n -uples $(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n)$ in E with given u and arbitrarily many u_j (and arbitrary u_k , $k \neq i, k \neq j$).

Let us consider the Parikh mapping Ψ_V associated to the alphabet $V = \{g, a, b, c, d, f\}$ (please note the order). The above assertion is not true for the set $\Psi_V(L(G))$. Indeed, let us consider the positions 1, 2 (corresponding to symbols g, a) of 6-tuples in $\Psi_V(L(G))$. From the above explanations, one can see that the rules in groups 1, 2, 3 can be applied only in this order; at each such step one introduces one symbol g and some symbols a such that from a string x one passes to a string y with at most 8 times more occurrences of the symbol a . Consequently, each 6-tuple $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) \in \Psi_V(L(G))$ has $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq 8^{u_1}$. As the ratio $8^{u_1}/u_1$ can be arbitrarily large, but for each given u_1 the component u_2 cannot have arbitrarily large values, it follows that the mentioned assertion is not fulfilled, hence $\Psi_V(L(G))$ is not semilinear, and, in conclusion, $L(G)$ is not a context-free language. \square

Corollary. Each family \mathcal{S}_i , $i \geq 2$, is incomparable with each of the families of regular, linear and context-free languages.

The result follows from the above theorem, the inclusions $\mathcal{S}_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{i+1}$, $i \geq 1$,

and the fact that for each $\mathcal{S}_i, i \geq 1$, there is a regular language L_i such that $L_i \notin \mathcal{S}_i$ [2] (such a regular language appears also in the proof of the next theorem).

Theorem 2. Each family $\mathcal{S}_i, i \geq 1$, is an *anti-AFL*.

Proof. Union. Let us consider the languages

$$L_0 = \{a^n \mid n \geq 1\},$$

$$L_i = \{a^{2i}ba^{2i}b\}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

The grammars $G_0 = (\{a\}, \{a, aa\}, \{aa \rightarrow aaa\})$, respectively, $G_i = (\{a, b\}, \{a^{2i}ba^{2i}b\}, \emptyset)$, generate these languages, hence $L_i \in \mathcal{S}_1, L_0 \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let us consider the language $L_0 \cup L_i$ and suppose that it is generated by a semicontextual grammar of degree $i, G = (\{a, b\}, B, P)$. In order to generate the strings a^n with arbitrarily large n we need at least a rule of the form $a^k a^j \rightarrow a^k a^r a^j, k, j, r > 0, k \leq i, j \leq i$. This rule can be applied to the string $a^{2i}ba^{2i}b$, hence we obtain the string $a^{2i}ba^{2i+r}b$, which is not in $L_0 \cup L_i$, hence $L(G) \neq L_0 \cup L_i$ and $L_0 \cup L_i \notin \mathcal{S}_1$.

Concatenation. The language $L_i L_0$ does not belong to the family \mathcal{S}_i and this assertion can be proved as previously (in order to generate strings $a^{2i}ba^{2i}ba^n$ with arbitrary large n we need rules of the form $a^k a^j \rightarrow a^k a^r a^j, k, j, r > 0, k \leq i, j \leq i$, or of the form $a^k b a^j a^p \rightarrow a^k b a^j a^r a^p, k + 1 + k > 0, p > 0, k + 1 + j \leq i, p \leq i, r > 0$, and each such rule can be used in a derivation $a^{2i}ba^{2i}ba \Rightarrow a^{2i}ba^{2i+r}ba$).

Kleene closure. The language

$$M_i = \{ba^k ba^{2i} \mid k \geq 1\}$$

belongs to \mathcal{S}_1 (it is generated by the grammar having $B = \{baba^{2i}\}$ and the rule $ab \rightarrow aab$), but the language $M_i^* - \{\lambda\}$ does not belong to \mathcal{S}_i (in order to generate arbitrarily long substrings a^k we need at least a rule of the form $xy \rightarrow xa^r y, x, y \in \{a, b\}^*, r > 0, |x| \leq i, |y| \leq i$; each such rule can be applied to substrings of the form $ba^j ba^{2i} ba^k ba^{2i}$ in order to introduce further occurrences of the symbol a in the subword $ba^{2i}b$ and in this way we obtain strings which are not in M_i^*).

Intersection with regular sets. Obvious, because there are regular languages which are not in \mathcal{S}_i for each $i \geq 1$ (see the previous points of the proof), but V^* is in \mathcal{S}_1 for any alphabet V .

λ -free homomorphisms. Let us consider the language

$$R_i = \{a^{2i}b^{2i}b\} \cup \{c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$$

and the homomorphism h defined by $h(a) = h(c) = a, h(b) = b$. The grammar with $B = \{c, cc, a^{2i}ba^{2i}b\}$ and $P = \{cc \rightarrow ccc\}$, generates the language R_i , hence $R_i \in \mathcal{S}_1$. As $h(R_i) = L_i \cup L_0$ and this language is not in \mathcal{S}_i , it follows that \mathcal{S}_i is not closed under λ -free homomorphisms.

Inverse homomorphisms. We take the language

$$L = \{(ab)^n (ba)^n \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{(ab)^n aa(ba)^n \mid n \geq 1\}.$$

The grammar $G = (\{a, b\}, \{abba\}, \{bb \rightarrow babbab, bb \rightarrow baab\})$ generates the language L , hence $L \in \mathcal{S}_1$. We consider also the homomorphism h defined by $h(a) = ab, h(b) = a$. Clearly, $h^{-1}(L) = \{a^n b a^n b \mid n \geq 1\}$ and this language is not in \mathcal{S}_i for any i . Indeed, each string in $h^{-1}(L)$ contains two occurrences of the symbol b , hence each rule $xy \rightarrow xzy$ of a semicontextual grammar generating $h^{-1}(L)$ must have $z = a^p, p \geq 1$. Using such a rule we can produce strings of the form $a^n b a^m b$ with $n \neq m$, which is a contradiction. The proof is over. \square

Open problem. Is each regular language contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_i$? (In [2] it is proved that each regular language is the homomorphic image of a language in \mathcal{S}_1 .)

(Received April 5, 1983.)

REFERENCES

- [1] B. S. Galiukschov: Semicontextual grammars. *Mat. logica i mat. lingv.*, Kalinin Univ., 1981, 38–50. In Russian.
- [2] Gh. Păun: On semicontextual grammars. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie* 28 (76) (1984), 1, 63–68.
- [3] A. Salomaa: *Formal Languages*. Academic Press, New York—London 1973.

Dr. Gheorghe Păun, Institute of Mathematics, Str. Academiei 14, 70109 Bucharest. Romania.