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## A REVERSIBLE CODE OVER $G F(q)$

SUNIL KUMAR MUTTOO*, SHANKAR LAL

This paper deals with the construction of codes over $G F(q), q$ prime, by annexing two triangular matrices, one upper triangular and the other lower triangular. The error-correction capabilities of such codes are also studied.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

An $(n, k)$ linear code $C$ of length $n$ over $G F(q) \equiv F_{q}$, a Galios field of order $q$, where $q$ is a prime, is a $k$-dimensional linear subspace of $F_{q}^{n}$, where $F_{q}^{n}$ denotes the space of all $n$-tuples over $G F(q)$. A generator matrix $G$ of this code is a $k \times n$ matrix whose rows form a basis of $C$. The parity-check matrix $H$ of this code is an $(n-k) \times n$ matrix such that $H v^{\mathrm{T}}=0$ for all vectors $v \in C$. The row space of $(n-k) \times n$ matrix $H$ is an $(n, n-k)$ linear code $C^{\perp}$ called dual code of $C$. The Hamming weight of a vector is the number of non-zero elements in it. A code word in $C$ consists of some $k$ symbols as message or information symbols and the remaining symbols as check-digits [2].

A class of codes called 'reversible codes' introduced by Massey [3] is defined as follows:

Definition. A linear code $C$ is called reversible if a vector obtained by reversing the order of the digits of a code word in $C$ result in a code word in $C$, i.e., $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, v_{n-1}\right) \in C$ implies that $\left(v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \ldots, v_{1}, v_{0}\right) \in C$.

Consider a $(k+1) \times(2 k+1)$ matrix $H_{k}$ over $G F(q)(q$ prime $)$ formed by annexing two square triangular matrices, one upper triangular and the other lower triangular such that the last column of the first is the first column of the second, where the
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entries are chosen in a well-defined way viz. consider $H_{k}$ of the type
where $y, x_{i} \in(1,2, \ldots, q-1)$ and $\left(x_{i}, y\right)=1$ and $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j, i, j=$ $=1,2, \ldots, k$.
The code obtained by considering $H_{k}$ as the parity-check matrix will be a $(2 k+1, k)$ linear code $C_{k}$. It will be shown that the code $C_{k}$ which is the null space of $H_{k}$ turns out to be reversible, as defined by Massey [3] and for further work one may refer to Tzeng and Hartmann [6]. It is also shown that such codes are capable of correcting a well-defined class of solid burst errors. Codes correcting solid bursts have also been studied by Shiva and Sheng [5].
In what follows, by a solid burst of length $b$ we shall mean an $n$-tuple whose all the $b$ non-zero components are among some $b$ adjacent positions.


## 1. CHARACTERIZATION

In the following theorem we prove that the code $C_{k}$ is a reversible code. We first prove a lemma.

Lemma 1. The number of non-zero components in any code word of $C_{k}$, in the first $k$-positions and in the last $k$-positions is same.
Proof. Let $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{2 k+1}$ denote the columns of $H_{k}, h_{i}$ denoting the $i$ th column. The formation of the last $k$-columns of $H_{k}$ may be stated as

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{k+2}=a_{1} h_{k+1}+b_{1} h_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
h_{2 k+1}=a_{k} h_{k+1}+b_{k} h_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{k+1+i}=a_{i} h_{k+1}+b_{i} h_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k \\
0<a_{i} \leqq q-1, \quad 0<b_{i} \leqq q-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

where
Let there be a code word with $s$ non-zero components at the $i_{1}$ th, $i_{2}$ th, $\ldots$, $i_{s}$ th place
in the last $k$ positions. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{k+1+i_{1}}+h_{k+1+i_{2}}+\ldots+h_{k+1+i_{s}}=  \tag{1}\\
=\left(a_{i_{1}}+a_{i_{2}}+\ldots+a_{i_{s}}\right) h_{k+1}+\left(b_{i_{1}} h_{1}+b_{i_{2}} h_{2}+\ldots+b_{i_{s}} h_{s}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Case I. When $a_{i_{1}}+a_{i_{2}}+\ldots+a_{i_{s}}=0$.
The R.H.S. of (1) is clearly a sum of exactly $s$ columns from the first $k$-columns, $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{k}$ of $H_{k}$. Thus there are exactly $s$ non-zero components in the first and in the last $k$ positions of the code word under discussion.

Case II. When $0<a_{i_{1}}+a_{i_{2}}+\ldots+a_{i_{s}} \leqq q-1$.
In this case, the R.H.S. of (1) is a sum of the $(k+1)$ th column $h_{k+1}$ and exactly $s$ columns from the first $k$ columns $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{k}$ of $H_{k}$ showing that there are exactly $s$ non-zero components in the first $k$ positions and the last $k$ positions.

Note that we have proved more than what has been stated in the lemma in view of the following corollary:

Corollary 2. A one-to-one correspondence between the non-zero components in the first $k$ positions and the non-zero components in the last $k$ positions exist, viz. the $i$ th component $1 \leqq i \leqq k$ is related to the $(k+1+i)$ th component.

Theorem 3. $C_{k}$ is reversible.
Proof. Let $\bar{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 k+1}\right)$ be a code word of $C_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 k+1} v_{i} h_{i}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
(3)

$$
h_{k+1+i}=a_{i} h_{k+1}+b_{i} h_{i}
$$

where
(4)

$$
b_{i}^{-1}=b_{k-i+1} \quad \text { and } \quad-a_{i} b_{k-i+1}=a_{k-i+1}
$$

$i=1,2,3, \ldots, k ; a_{i}, b_{i} \in G F(q)$.
Equivalently,
(5) $\quad h_{i}=b_{i}^{-1} h_{k+1+i}-\left(a_{i} b_{i}^{-1}\right) h_{k+1}=b_{k-i+1} h_{k+1+i}+a_{k-i+1} h_{k+1}$.

Then (2) gives

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} h_{i}+v_{k+1} h_{k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{k+1+i} h_{k+1+i}=0
$$

(using (3))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} h_{i}+v_{k+1} h_{k+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{k+1+i}\left(a_{i} h_{k+1}+b_{i} h_{i}\right)=0, \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(v_{i}+b_{i} v_{k+1+i}\right) h_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i} v_{k+1+i}+v_{k+1}\right) h_{k+1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we must have

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{i}+b_{i} v_{k+1+i}=0  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} v_{k+1+i}+v_{k+1}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the vector $\bar{v}=\left(v_{2 k+1}, v_{2 k}, \ldots, v_{k+2}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{v} H^{\mathrm{T}}=S=v_{2 k+1} h_{1}+v_{2 k} h_{2}+\ldots+v_{k+3} h_{k-1}+v_{k+2} h_{k}+ \\
& +v_{k+1} h_{k+1}+v_{k} h_{k+2}+\ldots+v_{3} h_{2 k-1}+v_{2} h_{2 k}+v_{1} h_{2 k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5) and then (4), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\left(b_{k} v_{2 k+1}+v_{k}\right) h_{k+2}+\left(b_{k-1} v_{2 k}+v_{k-1}\right) h_{k+3}+\ldots+\left(b_{1} v_{k+2}+v_{1}\right) h_{2 k+1}+ \\
+\left[a_{k} v_{2 k+1}+a_{k-1} v_{2 k}+a_{k-2} v_{2 k-1}+\ldots+a_{1} v_{k+2}+v_{k+1}\right] h_{k+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

which on using (6) gives

$$
S=0
$$

Thus $\bar{v}$ is a code of $C_{k}$. Hence $C_{k}$ is reversible.

Remark. Taking $a_{i}=1, b_{i}=1, i=1,2, \ldots, k$, we have the relations

$$
h_{k+1+i}=h_{k+1}+h_{i}
$$

The codes generated by the matrix satisfying the above conditions in the binary case i.e. over $G F(2)$ have been studied by Dass and Muttoo [1].

Theorem 4. The dual, $C_{k}^{\perp}$, of the reversible code $C_{k}$ is reversible.
Proof. A parity check matrix of the code $C_{k}$ is a generator matrix for the code $C_{k}^{\perp}$. The code words of $C_{k}^{\perp}$ are various linear combinations of the rows of $H_{k}$ and the rows of $H_{k}$ are such that the reverse of any row of $H_{k}$ is again a row of $H_{k}$. Therefore, the reverse of a code word of $C_{k}^{\perp}$ is a code word of $C_{k}^{\perp}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 2. ERROR CORRECTION CAPABILITIES

The following result determines the error-correction capabilities of the reversible codes considered in this paper.

Theorem 5. An $(n, k)$ linear code $C_{k}, n=2 k+1$, whose parity check matrix is $H_{k}$, is capable to correct,
(i) all solids bursts of odd lengths upto $2 k-1$, if $n-k$ is even, i.e. if $k$ is odd,
(ii) all solids bursts of odd lengths upto $k-1$, if $n-k$ is odd, i.e. if $k$ is even.

Proof. Firstly, we shall derive an upper bound on the sufficient number of paritycheck digits for the existence of a code that is capable to correct a solid burst of odd length, say $b$, by constructing a suitable parity-check matrix. The procedure involves
suitable modifications of the technique used in deriving Varshamov-Gilbert-Sacks bound [4].

After having selected the first $(j-1)$ columns $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{j-1}$ of the parity-check matrix, the $j$ th column $h_{j}$ to be added to the matrix should be such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{j} \neq\left(a_{j-b+1} h_{j-b+1}+a_{j-b+2} h_{j-b+2}+\ldots+a_{j-1} h_{j-1}\right)+b_{i} h_{i}+ \\
+b_{i+1} h_{i+1}+\ldots+b_{i+b-1} h_{i+b-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the columns $h_{i}$ are any $b$-consecutive columns among the first $(j-i-1)$ columns, $i=0,2,4, \ldots, b-1$, and all the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are non-zero. Thus, the coefficients $a_{i}$ form a solid burst of even length $b-1$ or less and the coefficients $b_{i}$ form a solid burst of odd length $b$ or less in a $(j-i-1)$-tuple.

The number of choices of these coefficients can be calculated as follows:
If a solid burst of even length is of length $(b-1)$ then the number of solid bursts of odd length $b$ or less in a $(j-b)$ - tuple is
( $7_{b-1}$ )

$$
(j-b)(q-1)+(j-b-2)(q-1)^{3}+\ldots+(j-b-b+1)(q-1)^{b} .
$$

If a solid burst of even length is of length $(b-3)$ then the number of solid bursts of odd length $b$ or less in a $(j-b+2)$-tuple is

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(7_{b-3}\right) \\
(j-b+2)(q-1)+(j-b)(q-1)^{3}+\ldots+(j-b+2-b+1)(q-1)^{b} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array}
$$

If the solid burst of even length is of length zero, then the number of solid bursts of odd length $b$ or less in a $(j-1)$-tuple is
$\left(7_{0}\right) \quad(j-1)(q-1)+(j-3)(q-1)^{3}+\ldots+(j-b)(q-1)^{b}$.
Therefore, the total number of possible choices of the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are

$$
(q-1)^{b-1}\left(7_{b-1}\right)+(q-1)^{b-3}\left(7_{b-3}\right)+\ldots+\left(7_{0}\right)
$$

which on simplification gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{i=1}^{(b+1) / 2}(j-2 i+1)(q-1)^{2 i-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{(b-1) / 2} q_{i}(q-1)^{2 i+1}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{(b-1) / 2}(q-1)^{2 i} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At worst, if all the linear combinations yield a distinct sum, then the $j$ th column $h_{j}$ can be added to $H_{k}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{n-k}>(8) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But for an ( $n, k$ ) linear code to exist, the inequality in (9) should hold for $j=n$, and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q^{n-k}>\left[\sum_{i=1}^{(b+1) / 2}(n-2 i+1)(q-1)^{2 i-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{(b-1) / 2} 2 i(q-1)^{2 i+1}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{(b-1) / 2}(q-1)^{2 i}
\end{align*}
$$

We now prove the main result.

Case (i). Let $k$ be odd.
The solid bursts of odd lengths upto $2 k-1$ are the solid bursts of lengths $2 k-1,2 k-3, \ldots, 3,1$. For these values of $b$, the inequality in $(10)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{k+1}>\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s-1} B_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-s-1} C_{i}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}=(k-i+1)(q-1)^{2 i-1}, B_{i}=i(q-1)^{2 i+1}, C_{i}=(q-1)^{2 i}$, $s=0,1,2, \ldots, k$.
To prove the above claim, we employ induction technique. We wish to prove that

$$
q^{k+3}>\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s+2} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s+1} B_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-s+1} C_{i}\right]
$$

Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
q^{k+1}>\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s-1} B_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k-s-1} C_{i}\right]= \\
=\left[X-2\left(A_{k-s+1}+A_{k-s+2}-B_{k-s}-B_{k-s+1}\right)\right]\left[Y-C_{k-s}-C_{k-s+1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X=2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s+2} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-s+1} B_{i}, Y=\sum_{i=1}^{k-s+1} C_{i}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{k+1}> & {\left[X Y-X\left(C_{k-s}+C_{k-s+1}\right)-2 Y\left(A_{k-s+1}+A_{k-s+2}-B_{k-s}-B_{k-s+1}\right)+\right.} \\
& \left.+2\left(C_{k-s}+C_{k-s+1}\right)\left(A_{k-s+1}+A_{k-s+2}-B_{k-s}-B_{k-s+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

As $k-s \geqq 1, s+1 \geqq 1$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{k+3} & >q^{k+1}+X\left(C_{k-s}+C_{k-s+1}\right)+2 Y\left(A_{k-s+1}+A_{k-s+2}-B_{k-s}-B_{k-s+1}\right)- \\
& -2\left(C_{k-s}+C_{k-s+1}\right)\left(A_{k-s+1}+A_{k-s+2}-B_{k-s}-B_{k-s+1}\right)>X Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the inequality in (10) is true for all odd values of $k$. Hence the case (i).
Case (ii). Let $k$ be even.
The solid bursts of odd lengths up to $k$ are the bursts of lengths $k-1, k-3, \ldots$ $\ldots, 5,3,1$. For these values of $b$ the bound in $(10)$ has the form
(12) $q^{k+1}>\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2 s) / 2} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2 s-2) / 2} B_{i}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{(k-2 s-2) / 2} C_{i}, \quad s=0,1,2, \ldots,(k-2) / 2$,
where $A_{i}, B_{i}$ and $C_{i}$ are as in (11). To prove that this is true, we shall use the induction technique. We wish to prove that

$$
q^{k+3}>\left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2 s+2) / 2} A_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{(k-2 s) / 2} B_{i}\right] \sum_{i=0}^{(k-2 s) / 2} C_{i} .
$$

Using the technique of case (i), the result follows.

Example. Consider the following $(4 \times 7)$ matrix $H_{3}$ over $G F(5)$ :

$$
H_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The columns of this matrix satisfy the relations
where

$$
h_{k+1+i}=a_{i} h_{k+1}+b_{i} h_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}=2, & b_{1}=2, \\
a_{2}=2, & b_{2}=4, \\
a_{3}=4, & b_{3}=3
\end{array}
$$

It can be seen that the null space of $H_{3}$, is a reversible code and corrects all solid bursts of lengths $1,3,5$.
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