

Ján Jakubík

Nearly disjoint sequences in convergence l -groups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 125 (2000), No. 2, 139–144

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125958>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

NEARLY DISJOINT SEQUENCES IN CONVERGENCE ℓ -GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received January 12, 1998)

Abstract. For an abelian lattice ordered group G let $\text{conv } G$ be the system of all compatible convergences on G ; this system is a meet semilattice but in general it fails to be a lattice. Let $\alpha_{n,d}$ be the convergence on G which is generated by the set of all nearly disjoint sequences in G , and let α be any element of $\text{conv } G$. In the present paper we prove that the join $\alpha_{n,d} \vee \alpha$ does exist in $\text{conv } G$.

Keywords: convergence ℓ -group, nearly disjoint sequence, strong convergence

MSC 1991: 06F20, 22C05

All ℓ -groups (= lattice ordered groups) considered in the present paper are assumed to be abelian.

For a convergence ℓ -group we apply the same notation and definitions as in [2].

Let G be an ℓ -group. A sequence (a_n) in G^+ is said to be nearly disjoint if there exists a positive integer m such that $a_{n(1)} \wedge a_{n(2)} = 0$ whenever $n(1)$ and $n(2)$ are distinct positive integers with $n(i) \geq m$ for $i = 1, 2$.

We prove that for each ℓ -group G there exists a convergence α on G such that, whenever (x_n) is a nearly disjoint sequence in G^+ , then $x_n \rightarrow_\alpha 0$.

This yields that there exists a convergence $\alpha_{n,d}$ on G such that $\alpha_{n,d}$ is generated by the set of all nearly disjoint sequences in G^+ .

We denote by $\text{conv } G$ the system of all convergences on G ; this system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion. Each interval of $\text{conv } G$ is a complete lattice, but if α_1 and α_2 are elements of $\text{conv } G$, then the join $\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$ need not exist in $\text{conv } G$.

We show that the join $\alpha_{n,d} \vee \alpha$ does exist in $\text{conv } G$ for each element α of $\text{conv } G$.

For a similar result concerning disjoint sequences in a Boolean algebra cf. [3] (the distinction is in the point that in the present paper we do not assume the Urysohn property for a convergence, while in [3] the Urysohn property was supposed to be valid).

Supported by Grant GA SAV 2/5125/98.

A convergence ℓ -group (G, α) will be called strong if for each $g \in G$ with $g > 0$ there exists a sequence (x_n) in the interval $[0, g]$ such that $x_n \rightarrow_\alpha 0$ and $x_{n(1)} \neq x_{n(2)}$ whenever $n(1), n(2)$ are distinct positive integers. We use nearly disjoint sequences to construct a proper class of nonisomorphic types of archimedean strong convergence ℓ -groups.

1. CONVERGENCES GENERATED BY NEARLY DISJOINT SEQUENCES

In this section we assume that G is an ℓ -group. The symbol \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers.

For the sake of completeness, we recall the following notation and definition concerning the notion of convergence in G as applied in [2].

Let $g \in G$ and $(g_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $g_n = g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we write $(g_n) = \text{const } g$. For $(h_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}$ we put $(h_n) \sim (g_n)$ if there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_n = g_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$.

A convex subsemigroup α of the lattice ordered semigroup $(G^{\mathbb{N}})^+ = (G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a convergence on G if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) If $(g_n) \in \alpha$, then each subsequence of (g_n) belongs to α .
- (II') Let $(g_n) \in \alpha$ and $(h_n) \in (G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $(h_n) \sim (g_n)$, then $(h_n) \in \alpha$.
- (III) Let $g \in G$. Then $\text{const } g \in \alpha$ if and only if $g = 0$.

We denote by $D(G)$ the system of all nearly disjoint sequences in G^+ . Consider the following condition for a sequence (a_n) in G^+ :

- (*) For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation $\bigwedge_{n \geq m} a_n = 0$ is valid.

1.1. Lemma. *Let (b_n) be a sequence in G^+ satisfying the condition (*). Further, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ let (x_n^i) be an element of $D(G)$. Then the sequence*

$$(x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k + b_n)$$

satisfies the condition ().*

Proof. We put

$$u_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k + b_n$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We proceed by induction with respect to k .

Let $k = 1$. By way of contradiction, suppose that (u_n) does not satisfy the condition (*). Hence there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < c \in G$ such that the relation

$$c \leq x_n^1 + b_n$$

is valid for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$.

We shall repeatedly use Riesz Decomposition Theorem. It yields that for each $n \geq m$ there are c_n^1 and c_n^2 such that

$$c_n^1 \in [0, x_n^1], \quad c_n^2 \in [0, b_n], \quad c = c_n^1 + c_n^2.$$

If $c_n^1 = 0$ for each $n \geq m$, then $c = c_n^2$ for each $n \geq m$. This is impossible since (b_n) satisfies the condition (*).

Hence there is $n(1) \geq m$ such that $c_{n(1)}^1 > 0$. Let $n \geq n(1) + 1$. Then

$$c_n^1 \wedge c_{n(1)}^1 \leq x_n^1 \wedge x_{n(1)}^1 = 0, \quad c_{n(1)}^1 \leq c,$$

thus $c_{n(1)}^1 \leq c_n^2 \leq b_n$. We have arrived at a contradiction with the condition (*) for (b_n) . Hence the assertion is valid for $k = 1$.

Let $k > 1$ and suppose that the assertion holds for $k - 1$. By way of contradiction, suppose that it does not hold for k . Hence there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < c \in G$ such that

$$c \leq x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k + b_n$$

is valid for each $n \geq m$. Thus for each such n there are c_n^1, c_n^2 and c_n^3 in G such that

$$c = c_n^1 + c_n^2 + c_n^3,$$

$$c_n^1 \in [0, x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^{k-1}], \quad c_n^2 \in [0, x_n^k], \quad c_n^3 \in [0, b_n].$$

If $c_n^2 = 0$ for each $n \geq m$, then

$$c \leq x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^{k-1} + b_n$$

for each $n \geq m$, which is a contradiction with the induction assumption.

Thus there exists $n(1) \geq m$ with $c_{n(1)}^2 > 0$. Put $m_1 = n(1) + 1$ and let $n \geq m_1$. Then

$$c_{n(1)}^2 \leq c, \quad c_{n(1)}^2 \wedge c_n^2 \leq a_{n(1)}^k \wedge a_n^k = 0,$$

whence $c_{n(1)}^2 \leq c_n^1 + c_n^3$. Therefore

$$c_{n(1)}^2 \leq x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^{k-1} + b_n$$

for each $n \geq m_1$. This is again a contradiction with the induction assumption. \square

1.2. Corollary. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ let (x_n^i) be an element of $D(G)$. Then the sequence $(x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k)$ satisfies the condition (*).*

A nonempty subset X of $(G^+)^{\text{h}}$ is said to be regular if there exists $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$ such that $X \subseteq \alpha$.

1.3. Lemma. Let X be a nonempty subset of $(G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is regular.
- (ii) Whenever $0 \leq c \in G$, $(x_n^1), (x_n^2), \dots, (x_n^k) \in X$, (y_n^i) is a subsequence of (x_n^i) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and $K, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy

$$c \leq K(y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \dots + y_n^k)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$, then $c = 0$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.3 in [2]. □

1.4. Lemma. The set $D(G)$ is regular.

Proof. Let $(x_n^1), (x_n^2), \dots, (x_n^k)$ be elements of $D(G)$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ let (y_n^i) be a subsequence of (x_n^i) . If $K \in \mathbb{N}$, then (Ky_n^i) belongs to $D(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Now it suffices to apply 1.2 and 1.3. □

Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq (G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$. Suppose that

- (i) $X \subseteq \alpha$;
- (ii) whenever $\beta \in \text{conv } G$ and $X \subseteq \beta$, then $\alpha \subseteq \beta$.

Under these conditions the convergence α is said to be generated by the set X .

We denote by $D_1(G)$ the set of all sequences (u_n) which satisfy the following condition: there exist $(x_n^1), (x_n^2), \dots, (x_n^k)$ in $D(G)$ such that

$$u_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

From Proposition 2.3 in [2] we obtain

1.5. Lemma. Let X be a regular subset of $(G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let (z_n) be a sequence in G^+ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (z_n) belongs to the convergence on G which is generated by X .
- (ii) There exist $(x_n^1), (x_n^2), \dots, (x_n^k) \in X$, $K \in M$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(y_n^1), (y_n^2), \dots, (y_n^k) \in (G^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that (y_n^i) is a subsequence of (x_n^i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) and

$$z_n \leq K(y_n^1 + y_n^2 + \dots + y_n^k)$$

is valid for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$.

Let the meaning of α_{nd} be as in the introduction; in view of 1.4, α_{nd} does exist.

1.6. Proposition. $D_1(G) = \alpha_{nd}$.

Proof. It is clear that $D(G) \subseteq \alpha_{nd}$ and hence $D_1(G) \subseteq \alpha_{nd}$. Let $(z_n) \in \alpha_{nd}$. We apply 1.5 for $X = D(G)$. Then (under the notation as in 1.5) $(Ky_n^i) \in D(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and for each $n \geq m$ the element z_n can be written in the form

$$z_n = t_n^1 + t_n^2 + \dots + t_n^k$$

with $t_n^i \in [0, Ky_n^i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus $(t_n^i) \in D(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and hence $(z_n) \in D_1(G)$. \square

1.7. Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$, $X = \alpha \cup \alpha_{nd}$. Then X is regular.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 1.1 and 1.6. \square

From 1.7 and from Proposition 2.1 in [2] we obtain

1.8. Theorem. *Let $\alpha \in \text{conv } G$. Then the join $\alpha \vee \alpha_{nd}$ does exist in $\text{conv } G$.*

2. STRONG CONVERGENCE ℓ -GROUPS

We apply the notion of strong convergence ℓ -group as defined in the introduction.

2.1. Example. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of all reals with the usual topology and let H be the additive group of all continuous real functions on \mathbb{R} . The set H is partially ordered coordinate-wise. Then H is an archimedean ℓ -group. Put $\alpha = D_1(H)$. In view of 1.6, (H, α) is a convergence ℓ -group. Let $0 < f \in H$. There exist $f_n \in [0, f]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $f_n > 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{n(1)} \wedge f_{n(2)} = 0$ whenever $n(1)$ and $n(2)$ are distinct positive integers. Thus $f_n \rightarrow_\alpha 0$. Therefore the convergence ℓ -group (H, α) is strong.

2.2. Example. Let I be a nonempty set and for each $i \in I$ let $H_i = H$, where H is as in 2.1. Put

$$H(I) = \prod_{i \in I} H_i.$$

Then H is an archimedean ℓ -group.

For $i \in I$ and $f \in H(I)$ let f^i be the component of f in H_i . Let $0 < f \in H(I)$. Thus there is $i \in I$ such that $f^i > 0$. Then in view of the properties of H (cf. 2.1) there exist $f_n \in [0, f]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $f_n > 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{n(1)} \wedge f_{n(2)} = 0$ whenever $n(1), n(2)$ are distinct positive integers. Thus $f_n \rightarrow_\alpha 0$, where $\alpha = D_1(H(I))$. Hence $(H(I), \alpha)$ is a strong convergence ℓ -group.

Let I_1 and I_2 be nonempty sets such that

$$(1) \quad \text{card } I_1 \neq \text{card } I_2.$$

It is easy to verify that the ℓ -group H is directly indecomposable. If an ℓ -group has a direct product decomposition with nonzero directly indecomposable direct factors, then this direct decomposition is uniquely determined (this is a consequence of the well-known Shimbireva's theorem [4] on the existence of a common refinement of any two direct product decompositions of a directed group; cf. also Fuchs [1]). Hence the number of nonzero directly indecomposable direct factors of $H(I_k)$ is equal to $\text{card} I_k$ ($k = 1, 2$). This yields that whenever (1) holds, then $H(I_1)$ and $H(I_2)$ are not isomorphic. Therefore the convergence ℓ -groups $(H(I_1), D_1(H(I_1)))$ and $(H(I_2), D_1(H(I_2)))$ are not isomorphic.

From this we conclude

2.3. Proposition. *There exists a proper class of nonisomorphic types of archimedean strong convergence ℓ -groups.*

Let us denote by S the class of all ℓ -groups G having the property that there is $\alpha \in \text{conv} G$ such that (G, α) is a strong convergence ℓ -group.

It is easy to verify that the class S is closed with respect to ℓ -subgroups and with respect to direct products. The following example shows that S is not closed with respect to homomorphisms. Hence S fails to be a variety.

2.4. Example. Let \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} be the additive group of all integers or of all reals, respectively, with the natural linear order. Put

$$G = \mathbb{Z} \circ \mathbb{R},$$

where the symbol \circ denotes the lexicographic product. Then $G \in S$, but the factor ℓ -group G/\mathbb{R} (being isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}) does not belong to S .

We remark without proof that S is a radical class of ℓ -groups.

References

- [1] *L. Fuchs*: Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [2] *J. Jakubík*: Sequential convergences in ℓ -groups without Urysohn's axiom. Czechoslovak Math. J. 42 (1992), 101–116.
- [3] *J. Jakubík*: Disjoint sequences in Boolean algebras. Math. Bohem 123 (1998), 411–418.
- [4] *E. P. Shimbireva*: On the theory of partially ordered groups. Matem. Sbornik 20 (1947), 145–178. (In Russian.)

Author's address: Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: musavke@linux1.saske.sk