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## AVERAGES OF QUASI-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS
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Abstract. The goal of this paper is to characterize the family of averages of comparable (Darboux) quasi-continuous functions.
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## Preliminaries

The letters $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the real line, the set of rationals and the set of positive integers, respectively. The word function denotes a mapping from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. We say that functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are comparable if either $\varphi<\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\varphi>\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}$. For each $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ we use the symbols $\mathrm{cl} A$ and bd $A$ to denote the closure and the boundary of $A$, respectively.

Let $f$ be a function. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is nonvoid, then let $\omega(f, A)$ be the oscillation of $f$ on A, i.e., $\omega(f, A)=\sup \{|f(x)-f(t)|: x, t \in A\}$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\omega(f, x)$ be the oscillation of $f$ at $x$, i.e., $\omega(f, x)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \omega(f,(x-\delta, x+\delta))$. The symbol $\mathscr{C}_{f}$ denotes the set of points of continuity of $f$.

We say that a function $f$ is quasi-continuous in the sense of Kempisty [4] (cliquish [10]) at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each open set $U \ni x$ there is a nonvoid open set $V \subset U$ such that $\omega(f,\{x\} \cup V)<\varepsilon(\omega(f, V)<\varepsilon$ respectively $)$. We say that $f$ is quasi-continuous (cliquish) if it is quasi-continuous (cliquish) at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Cliquish functions are also known as pointwise discontinuous.

[^0]Let $I$ be an interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that $f$ is Darboux if it has the intermediate value property. We say that $f$ is strong Siwiqthowski [6] if whenever $a, b \in I, a<b$, and $y$ is a number between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$, there is an $x \in(a, b) \cap \mathscr{C}_{f}$ with $f(x)=y$. One can easily verify that strong Síatkowski functions are both Darboux and quasi-continuous, and that the converse is not true.

For brevity, if $f$ is a cliquish function and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then we define

$$
\operatorname{LIM}(f, x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x, t \in \mathscr{C}_{5}} f(t)
$$

The symbols $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)$and $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{+}\right)$are defined analogously.

## Introduction

In 1974 A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson characterized the averages of comparable Darboux functions [1, Theorem 2]. In this paper we solve an analogous problem, namely we characterize the averages of comparable quasi-continuous functions.

A similar problem is to determine a necessary and sufficient condition that for a function $f$ there exists a quasi-continuous function $\psi$ such that $\psi>f$ on $\mathbb{R}$. (The answer to this question for Darboux functions can be easily obtained using the proof of $[1$, Theorem 2].) In both cases we ask whether there is a positive function $g$ such that both $f+g$ and $-f+g$ are quasi-continuous (the first problem) or such that $f+g$ is quasi-continuous (the second problem). This suggests a similar problem for larger classes of functions. Theorem 4.1 contains a solution of this problem for finite classes of cliquish functions. Recall that by [5, Example 2], we cannot in general allow infinite families in Theorem 4.1. Unlike [7, Theorem 4], we camot conclude in condition (ii) of Theorem 4.1 that $g$ is a Baire one function; actually, we cannot even conclude that $g$ is Borel measurable (Corollary 4.5).

The Baire class one case makes no difficulty if we require only quasi-continuity of the sums, but it needs a separate argument if we require both the Darboux property and the quasi-continuity. Notice that by Proposition 4.3, the necessary and sufficient condition for Darboux quasi-continuous Baire one functions is essentially stronger.

## AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Lemma 3.1. If $f$ is a cliquish function, then the mapping $x \rightarrow \operatorname{LIM}(f, x)$ is lower semicontinuous, while the mapping $x \mapsto \operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)$belongs to Baire class two.

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\operatorname{LIM}(f, x)>y$, then there exist an open interval $I_{x} \ni x$ and a rational $q_{x}>y$ such that $f>q_{x}$ on $\mathscr{G}_{f} \cap I_{x}$, whence $\operatorname{LIM}(f, t) \geqslant q_{x}>y$ for each $t \in I_{x}$. Thus the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \operatorname{LIM}(f, x)>y\}$ is open

To prove the other assertion put $A_{y}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)>y\right\}$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in A_{y}$, then proceeding as above we can find a closed interval $I_{x} \subset A_{y}$ with $x \in I_{x}$. So $A_{y} \cap \mathrm{bd} A_{y}$ is at most countable. Hence $A_{y}$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set, while $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \underline{\operatorname{LIM}}(f, x-)<y\}=\bigcup\left\{\mathbb{R} \backslash A_{q}: q<y, q \in \mathbb{O}\right\}$ is the difference of an $F_{o}$ set and a countable one.

Lemma 3.2. Let $I=[a, b]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ are cliquish and $\max \left\{\omega\left(f_{1}, I\right), \ldots, \omega\left(f_{k}, I\right)\right\}<1$. There is a positive Baire one function $g$ such that $g=1$ on $\mathrm{bd} I, \mathscr{C}_{g} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}$, and for each $i$ the function $\left(f_{i}+g\right) \backslash I$ is strong Świątkowski and

$$
\left(f_{i}+g\right)\left[I \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}\right] \supset\left[\inf f_{i}[I]+1, \max \left\{\inf f_{i}[I]+1, n\right\}\right]
$$

Proof. Put $T=\max \left\{\left|n-\inf f_{i}[I]\right|: i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}+1$. Construct a nonnegative continuous function $\varphi$ such that $\varphi[I]=[0, T]$ and $\varphi=0$ outside of $I$. For each 3 define $f_{i}(x)=\left(f_{i}+\varphi\right)(x)$ if $x \in I$, and let $f_{i}$ be constant on $(-\infty, a]$ and $[b, \infty)$. By [7, Theorem 4], there is a Baire one function $\tilde{g}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{i}+\tilde{g}$ is strong Swiątkowski for each $i$ (see condition (8) in the proof of $\left[7\right.$, Theorem 4]), $\mathscr{C}_{g} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}$, and $|\tilde{g}|<1$ on $\mathbb{R}$; by its proof, we can conclude that $\tilde{g}=0$ on $\{a, b\}$. Put $g=\varphi+\tilde{g}+1$. Then for each $i$, since $\tilde{f}_{i}+\tilde{y}$ is strong Siwiatkowski and $f_{i}+g=\tilde{f}_{i}+\tilde{y}+1$ on $I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{i}+g\right)\left[I \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f}\right] & \supset\left(\inf \left(f_{i}+g\right)[I], \sup \left(f_{i}+g\right)[\eta]\right) \\
& \supset\left(f_{i}(a), \inf f_{i}[I]+\sup g[I]\right) \\
& \supset\left[\inf f_{i}[I]+1, \max \left\{\inf f_{i}[I]+1, n\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other requirements are evident.

## Main results

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be one of the following classes of functions: all cliquish functions, Lebesgue measurable cliquish functions, cliquish functions in Baire class $\alpha$ $(\alpha \geqslant 1)$, and suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathscr{F}$. The following are equivalent:
(i) there is a positive function $g$ such that $f_{i}+g$ is quasi-continuous for each $i$;
(ii) there is a positive function $g \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathscr{C}_{g} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}$ and $f_{i}+g$ is quasicontinuous for cach $i$;
(iii) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $i$ we have $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x\right)<\infty$.

Proof. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ Since $f_{i}+g$ is quasi-continuous, so by [2] (see also [3, Lemma 2]) we obtain

$$
\underline{\operatorname{LIM}}\left(f_{i}, x\right) \leqslant \operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}+g, x\right) \leqslant\left(f_{i}+g\right)(x)<\infty .
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Put $A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \omega\left(f_{i}, x\right) \geqslant 1\right\}$. Then $A$ is closed and nowhere dense. Find a family $\left\{I_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ consisting of nonoverlapping compact intervals, such that $\bigcup I_{n}=\mathbb{R} \backslash A$ and each $x \notin A$ is an interior point of $I_{n} \cup I_{m}$ for some $n, m \in$ $\mathbb{N}$. Since each $I_{n}$ is compact and $\omega\left(f_{i}, x\right)<1$ for each $x \in I_{n}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, so we may assume that $\omega\left(f_{i}, I_{n}\right)<1$ for each $i$ and $n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ use Lemma 3.2 to construct a positive Baire one function $g_{n}$ such that $g_{n}=1$ on bd $I_{n}, \mathscr{C}_{g_{n}} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}$, and for each $i$ the function $\left(f_{i}+g_{n}\right) \backslash I_{n}$ is strong Swiatkowski and

$$
\left(f_{i}+g_{n}\right)\left[I_{n} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}\right] \supset\left[\inf f_{i}\left[I_{n}\right]+1, \max \left\{\inf f_{i}\left[I_{n}\right]+1, n\right\}\right]
$$

Define $g(x)=g_{n}(x)$ if $x \in I_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
g(x)=\max \left\{\max \left\{\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x\right)-f_{i}(x) ; i \in\{1, \ldots, h\}\right\}, 0\right\}+1
$$

if $x \in A$. By Lemma 3.1, each mapping $x \mapsto \operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x\right)$ is Baire one, so $g \in \mathscr{F}$.
Fix an $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, Clearly $f_{i}+g$ is quasi-continuous outside of $A$. On the other hand, if $x \in A$, then by $(*)$, for each $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\left(f_{i}+g\right)\left[(x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}+g}\right] \supset\left(\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x\right)+1, \infty\right) .
$$

Hence $f_{i}+g$ is quasi-continuous.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be one of the following classes of functions: all cliquish functions, Lebesgue measurable cliquish functions, cliquish functions in Baire class a $(\alpha \geqslant 2)$, and suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathscr{F}$. The following are equivalent:
(i) there is a positive function $g$ such that $f_{i}+g$ is both Darboux and quasicontinuous for each i;
(ii) there is a positive function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathscr{G}_{g} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{G}_{f_{i}}$ and $f_{i}+g$ is strong Świątkowski for each i;
(iii) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $i$ we have max $\left\{\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, r^{-}\right), \operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x^{+}\right)\right\}<\infty$.

Proof. The proof of the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is a repetition of the argument used in Theorem 4.1, and the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and,$\in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Since $f_{i}+g$ is both Darboux and quasi-continuous, so by $[9$, Lemma 2] we obtain

$$
\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x^{-}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}+g, x^{-}\right) \leqslant\left(f_{i}+g\right)(x)<\infty
$$

Similarly $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x^{+}\right)<\infty$.
Proposition 4.3. There is a Baire one function $f$ such that $f+g$ is strong Swiatkowski for some positive function $g$ in Baire class two, but $f+g$ is Darboux for no positive Baire one function $g$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the Cantor ternary set and let $\mathscr{I}=\left\{\left(\sigma_{n}, b_{n}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ be disjoint families of components of $R \backslash F$ such that $F=(\mathrm{cl} \cup \mathscr{F}) \cap(\mathrm{clUg})$. Define $f(x)=n$ if $x \in\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(x)=0$ otherwise. Clearly $f$ belongs to Baire class one.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)=n$, otherwise $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)=0$. Similarly $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{+}\right)<\infty$. By Theorem 4.2 there is a positive Baire two function $g$ such that $f+g$ is strong Swiatkowski.
On the other hand, by [8, Proposition 6.10], $f+g$ is Darboux for no positive Baire one function $g$.

In Proposition 4.4 the symbol r denotes the first ordinal equipollent with $\mathbb{R}$.
Proposition 4.4. Given a family of positive functions, $\left\{g_{\xi}: \xi<c\right\}$, we can find a cliquish function $f$ which fulfils condition (iii) of Theorem 4.2 and such that $f+g_{\xi}$ is not quasi-continuous for each $\varepsilon<c$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the Cantor ternary set and let $\left\{x_{\xi}, \xi<\boldsymbol{c}\right\}$ be an enumeration of $F$. Define $f(x)=-g_{\xi}(x)-1$ if $x=x_{\xi}$ for some $\xi<\mathfrak{c}$, and $f(x)=0$ otherwise Clearly $f$ is cliquish, and for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{-}\right)=\operatorname{LIM}\left(f, x^{+}\right)=0$.
Let $\xi<c$. Then $\left(f+g_{\xi}\right)\left(r_{\xi}\right)=-1$ and $f+g_{\xi}$ is positive on a dense open set. Thus $f+g_{\xi}$ is not quasi-continuous at $x_{\xi}$.

Corollary 4.5. There is a cliquish function $f$ which fulfils condition (iii) of Theorem 4.2 and such that $f+g$ is not quasi-continuous for each positive Borel measurable function $g$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be Baire one functions. The following are equivalent:
(i) there is a positive Baire one function $g$ such that $f_{i}+g$ is both Darboux and quasi-continuous for each i;
(ii) there is a positive Baire one function $g$ such that $\mathscr{C}_{g} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{C}_{f_{i}}$ and $f_{i}+g$ is strong Swiatkowski for each i;
(iii) there is a Baire one function $h$ such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $i$ we have $\max \left\{\underline{\operatorname{LIM}}\left(f_{i}, x^{-}\right), \operatorname{LIM}\left(f_{i}, x^{+}\right)\right\} \leqslant h(x)$.
Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) can be proved similarly as in Theorem 4.2 (we let $h=\max \left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}+g$ ), and the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). The proof of this implication is a repetition of the argument used in Theorem 41 . The only difference is in the definition of the function $g$ on the set $A$. More precisely, we put

$$
g(x)=\max \left\{\max \left\{h(x)-f_{i}(x): i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}\right\}, 0\right\}+1
$$

if $x \in A$. Then clearly $g$ is a Baire one function.
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