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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Much work has been done on the estimation of entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of Sobolev spaces in $L^{p}$ spaces or Orlicz spaces (see [1], [2], [4], [9], [12]). In particular, when $\Omega$ is an open subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, it is known that in the critical case in which $r p=n, W^{r, p}(\Omega)$ can be compactly embedded in appropriate Orlicz spaces (see [3], [13]); estimates for the entropy and approximation numbers of this embedding were obtained in [2]. In recent years a great deal of effort has been devoted to the study of embeddings between weighted function spaces (see[6], [7], [10], [11]), particular attention having been paid to the situation in which the target space is a weighted Lebesgue space. This paper is devoted to the study of embeddings of weighted Sobolev spaces $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ in weighted Orlicz spaces $L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)$ when $r p \geqq n$; we give estimates for the approximation and entropy numbers of these embeddings first when $\Omega$ is bounded and then when it is unbounded. Here $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ are nonnegative weight functions which are related by a condition of $A_{p q}$ type (see [10]).

Our results extend those of [2], in which $\varrho=\sigma=1$.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Denote points in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ by $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, and for each $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in N_{0}^{n}$ (where $N_{0} N \cup\{0\}$ ) put

$$
|\alpha|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}, \quad \alpha!=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}
$$

$$
x^{\alpha}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{\alpha j}, \quad D^{\alpha}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} .
$$

Let $p \in(1, \infty), r \in N, t \in(1, \infty]$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}=\left(\frac{r}{n}-\frac{1}{t}\right) t^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / t+1 / t^{\prime}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\frac{n}{r}<t . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ be measurable functions on $\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ which are positive almost everywhere and which satisfy the following condition for all $q \in[p, \infty)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{Q}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(\sigma(x))^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / q t}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}(\varrho(x))^{-t p^{\prime} / p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\right\} \leqq K q^{a}, \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q^{n}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}$ (with sides parallel to the coordinate axes), $|Q|$ is the volume of $Q, K$ and $a$ are constants and $0 \leqq a<r / n$.

Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. The weighted Sobolev space $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ is defined to be

$$
\left\{u: D^{x} u \in L_{e}^{p}(\Omega) \text { for all } \alpha \in N_{0}^{n} \text { with }|\alpha| \leqq r\right\} \text {, }
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{r, p, e, \Omega}:=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq r}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, e, \Omega}^{p}\right)^{1 / p},
$$

where the derivatives are taken in the sense of distributions, functions equal almost everywhere are identified, and

$$
\|v\|_{p, e, \Omega}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|v(x)|^{p} \varrho(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

is the norm on $L_{e}^{p}(\Omega)$. Here the functions may be real- or complex-valued. Note that since $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ satisfy condition (A),

$$
\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{t}}(\Omega) \subset L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega) ;
$$

hence by Theorem 1.2 of [8], $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.
By $W_{0, e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ we shall denote the completion (whenever this is meaningful) of the set $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $\Omega$ ) in $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$. As shown in Theorem 1.2 in [8], $W_{0, e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ is meaningful if, in addition, we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An Orlicz function is a map $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ which is continuous, convex and such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t) / t=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) / t=\infty$. Given such a $\phi$, the weighted Orlicz space
$L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the linear hull of the set of all real- or complex-valued functions $u$ on $\Omega$ (with the convention that functions equal almost everywhere are identified) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi(|u(x)|) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x<\infty,
$$

furnished with the Luxemburg norm given by

$$
\|u\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega} \phi(|u(x)| / \lambda) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq 1\right\}
$$

With this norm, $L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.
Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y)$, the set of all bounded linear maps from $X$ to $Y$. Given any $s \in N$, the $s$-th approximation number $a_{s}(T)$ of $T$ is defined by $a_{s}(T)=\inf \{\|T-F\|: F \in \mathscr{B}(X, Y), \operatorname{dim} F(X)<s\}$,
and the $s$-th entropy number $e_{s}(T)$ of $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{s}(T)=\inf \left\{\varepsilon>0: T\left(B_{X}\right) \text { can be covered by } 2^{s-1}\right. \text { closed balls } \\
& \text { of radius } \varepsilon\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{X}$ is the closed unit ball in $X$.
Much information about weighted Sobolev spaces is contained in [7]; details of the main properties of approximation and entropy numbers are given in Chapter II of [4].

## 3. THE CASE WHEN $\Omega$ IS BOUNDED

To obtain our results concerning the entropy and approximation numbers the following lemmas are required.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $\mu_{1}>0$ and $0<\mu_{2}<1$. Then the series

$$
S(z):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{j}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j\right)^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j} \mid j!
$$

converges for all $z>0$ and there is a constant $K_{1}$, depending only on $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, such that for all $z>0$,

$$
S(z) \leqq K_{1} \exp \left\{(z e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{u_{1}} z\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $k$ be the integer part of $\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)$. For $j \geqq k+1$ we have $\mu_{1}+$ $+\mu_{2} j \leqq j$, and for $j \leqq k$ the inequality $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j \leqq \mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)$ holds. Thus

$$
S(z) \leqq \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{z^{j}}{j!}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{1-\mu_{2}}\right)^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{j}}{j!} j^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j}=S_{1}(z)+S_{2}(z), \text { say }
$$

Since $j^{\mu_{1}} \leqq e^{\mu_{1 j}}$ we have

$$
S_{2}(z) \leqq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{\mu_{2} j}}{j!}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} z\right)^{j}
$$

and by the proof of Theorem V.6.6 of [4], there is an absolute constant $c_{0}$ such that for all $z>0$, this last series is majorised by

$$
c_{0} \exp \left\{(z e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{u_{1}} z\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}(z) \leqq\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{1-\mu_{2}}\right)^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)} e^{z} \leqq \\
& \leqq\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{1-\mu_{2}}\right)^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)} \exp \left\{(z e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} z\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows.

Lemma 2 For $i=1, \ldots, n$ let $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \boldsymbol{R}$ be such that $a_{i}<b_{i}$; let $Q=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right.$ : $: a_{i}<x_{i}<b_{i}$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, n\right\}, p \in(1, \infty), r \in N, t \in(1, \infty]$, and suppose (1) and (2) hold and that $q \geqq p$. Suppose that $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ are weight functions which satisfy $(A)$. For all $u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q}) \cap W_{e}^{r, p}(Q)$ and all $x \in R^{n}$ put

$$
\left(P_{r, Q^{u}} u\right)(x)=\frac{\chi_{Q}(x)}{|Q|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqq r-1} \int_{R^{n}} \chi_{Q}(y) \frac{(x-y)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha} u(y) \mathrm{d} y
$$

where $\chi_{Q}$ is the characteristic function of $Q$. Let $Q$ be subdivided into $2^{n N}$ congruent boxes $Q_{j}$ and set

$$
\left(P_{N} u\right)(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n N} \chi_{Q_{j}}(x)\left(P_{r, Q_{j}} u\right)(x) \quad\left(x \in R^{n}\right) .
$$

Then for all $u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q})$ with $\|u\|_{r, p, e, Q}=1$,

$$
\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{q, 0, Q} \leqq C_{1} K q^{a}\left(2^{-n N}|Q|^{1 / q+1 / p^{\prime} t}\right)\left(1+q \mid p^{\prime}\right)^{\left(1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime}},
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant which depends only on $n$ and $r$.
Proof. For $u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q}) \cap W_{e}^{r, p}(Q)$ we have for any $x \in R^{n}$, by Taylor's formula and setting $u=0$ outside $\bar{Q}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x)-\left(P_{r, Q} u\right)(x)= \\
& =\chi_{Q}(x)|Q|^{-1} \sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{r}{\alpha!} \int_{R^{n}} \chi_{Q}(y) \int_{0}^{1}(1-\tau)^{r-1}(x-y)^{\alpha} D^{\alpha} u(\tau x+y-\tau y) \\
& \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} y=\sum_{|\alpha|=r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} F_{\alpha}(x), \quad \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|F_{\alpha}(x)\right| \leqq|Q|^{-1}\left(g_{\alpha} * \dot{\chi}_{Q}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|\right)(x)
$$

where

$$
g_{a}(x)=\int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{\alpha}\right| \tau^{-n-1} \chi_{2 \varrho_{0}}(x / \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau,
$$

and $Q_{0}$ is the box centred at 0 and obtained by translation of $Q$. A routine calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{m, R^{n}} \leqq A 2^{r+n / m}|Q|^{1 / m+r / n}\{(r-n) m+n\}^{-1 / m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $(r-n) m+n>0$ and $m>1$; here $A$ is a constant which depends only on $n$ and $r$. (See Lemma V.6.1 of [4].)

Let $1 / m=1-1 / p+1 / q, 1 / s=1 / p-1 / q$ and suppose that $q>p$; note that

$$
p^{\prime}(1-m / q)=m, \quad s(1-p / q)=p \quad \text { and } \quad 1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q+1 / s=1
$$

Use of Hölder's inequality now shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(g_{\alpha} * \chi_{Q}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|\right)(x)\right| \leqq\left(\int_{R^{n}}\left|g_{\alpha}(x-z)\right|^{p^{\prime}(1-m / q)} \chi_{Q}(z) \varrho(z)^{-p^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{p}} \mathrm{d} z\right)^{1 / \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}} \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{R^{n}}\left|g_{\alpha}(x-z)\right|^{m} \chi_{Q}(z)\left|D^{\alpha} u(z)\right|^{p} \varrho(z) \mathrm{d} z\right)^{1 / \boldsymbol{q}} \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{R^{n}} \chi_{Q}(z)\left|D^{\alpha} u(z)\right|^{s(1-p / q)} \varrho(z) \mathrm{d} z\right)^{1 / s} \leqq \\
& \leqq\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, \Omega, Q}^{p / s}\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{m t^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{R}^{n}}^{m / p^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / p}\right\|_{t, R^{n}}^{1 / p^{\prime}} \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{R^{n}}\left|g_{\alpha}(x-z)\right|^{m} \chi_{Q}(z)\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|^{p} \varrho(z) \mathrm{d} z\right)^{1 / \boldsymbol{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{R^{n}} \chi_{Q}(x)\left|\left(g_{\alpha} * \chi_{Q}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|\right)(x)\right|^{q} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq  \tag{5}\\
& \leqq\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{m t^{\prime}, R^{n}}^{m / R^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{p}}\right\|_{t, Q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, \ell, Q}^{p / s}\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{m t^{\prime}, R^{n}}^{m / q}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q}^{1 / q}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, Q, Q}^{p / q}= \\
& =\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{m t^{\prime}, R^{n}}\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{p}}\right\|_{t, Q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q}^{1 / q}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, Q, Q} .
\end{align*}
$$

When $p=q$, Young's inequality for convolutions gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{R^{n}} \chi_{Q}(x)\left|\left(g_{\alpha} * \chi_{Q}\left|D^{\alpha} u\right|\right)(x)\right|^{p} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / p} \leqq  \tag{6}\\
& \leqq\left\|g_{\alpha}\right\|_{t^{\prime}, R^{n}}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p, Q, Q}\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / p}\right\|_{t, Q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q}^{1 / q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}=\left(\frac{r}{n}-1\right) t^{\prime}+\frac{1}{m}
$$

we see that the condition

$$
(r-n) m t^{\prime}+n>0
$$

holds; and

$$
\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=1-\frac{r}{n}, \frac{1}{m t^{\prime}}=1-\frac{r}{n}+\frac{1}{q t^{\prime}}
$$

We therefore have, using (4), (5) and (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u-P_{r, Q} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q} \leqslant C_{1}|Q|^{1 / q t^{\prime}}\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^{1 / m t^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / p}\right\|_{r, Q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{r, Q}^{1 / Q}\|\mu\|_{r, p, Q, Q} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends only on $n$ and $r$. This inequality, with $Q_{j}$ in place of $Q$, shows that for all $u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q})$ with $\|u\|_{r, p, e, Q}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{n} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2 n N}\left\|\chi_{Q_{j}}\left(u-P_{r, Q_{j}} u\right)\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q_{j}}^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{1}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / q t^{\prime}}\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^{1 / m t^{\prime}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n N}}\left(\left\|\varrho^{-p^{\prime} / p}\right\|_{t, Q_{j}}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q_{j}}^{1 / q}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{j}}\right)^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ satisfy condition (A), the Lemma now follows.
Lemma 3. Under the same conditions as Lemma 2, except that the condition $1<p \leqq q$ is replaced by $1<q<p$, we have for all $u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q})$ with $\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q}=1$,

$$
\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q} \leqq C_{1} p^{1 / t^{\prime}} K q^{a}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / q+1 / t p^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. Using Hölder's inequality and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{r, Q} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q} \leqq\left\|u-P_{r, Q} u\right\|_{p, \sigma, Q}\left(\|\sigma\|_{t, Q}|Q|^{1 / t^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / q-1 / p} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{1}|Q|^{1 / t^{\prime} q} p^{1 / t^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)}\right\|_{t, Q}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q}^{1 / q}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality, with $Q_{j}$ in place of $Q$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q}= \\
& =\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2 n N}\left(C_{1}\left|Q_{j}\right|^{1 / t^{\prime} q} p^{1 / t^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)}\right\|_{t, Q_{j}}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|\sigma\|_{t, Q_{j}}^{1 / q}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{j}}\right)^{q}\right\}^{1 / q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{1} p^{1 / t^{\prime}}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime} q} K q^{a}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{\left(1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}\right) / t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 n N}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{j}}\right)^{1 / q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{1} p^{1 / t^{\prime}} K q^{a}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / q+1 / t p^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we introduce several important restrictions on the weight function $\varrho$, following the approach of Kufner [7].

Definition 1. Let $s: \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$be continuous $\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)\right.$ ). We say that $s$ has property $(\mathrm{H})$ if, given any positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ with $c_{1}<c_{2}$, there are positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that

$$
c_{1} \leqq t \leqq \tau \leqq c_{2} \quad \text { implies } \quad C_{1} \leqq s(t) / s(\tau) \leqq C_{2}
$$

The function $s$ is said to be of type I if it is non-decreasing on some interval $(0, c)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} s(t)=0$; it is of type II if it is non-increasing on some interval $(0, c)$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} s(t)=\infty$ and $\int_{0}^{c} s(t) \mathrm{d} t<\infty$; and it is of type III if it is non-increasing on some interval $(0, c), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} s(t)=\infty$ and $\int_{0}^{c} s(t) \mathrm{d} t=\infty$.

Now let $\varrho$ be a weight function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(x)=s(\mathrm{~d}(x)) \quad\left(x \in R^{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{d}(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)
$$

and $s$ has property $(H)$. It is known (see Theorems 11.2 and 11.11 of [7]) that if $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{0,1}$ then:
(i) $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ if $\varrho$ is of type I or II;
(ii) $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_{\varrho}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ if $\varrho$ is of type III.

We can now give the main result of this section.
Theorem 1 Let $p \in(1, \infty), r \in N, t \in(1, \infty]$ and suppose that (1) and (2) hold; let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with boundary of class $C^{r}$. Suppose that $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ are weight functions which satisfy condition (A), and in addition suppose that $\varrho(x)=s(\mathrm{~d}(x))\left(x \in R^{n}\right)$, where $s$ has property $(\mathrm{H})$ and $\varrho$ is of type I, II or III. Let $1<v<n /\{n(1+a)-r\}$ and let $\phi$ be the Orlicz function given by

$$
\phi(b)=\exp \left(b^{v}\right)-1 \quad(b \geqq 0) ;
$$

let

$$
I: W_{\ell}^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)
$$

be the natural embedding. Then as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
a_{m}(I)=O\left(m^{-1 / p^{\prime} t}(\log m)^{-1 / v+1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}+a}\right)
$$

Proof. First consider the case in which $s$ is of type I or II. Let $Q$ be an open cube in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset Q$ and $|Q|>1$. By [5], there exists an extension map

$$
E: W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_{e}^{r, p}(Q)
$$

such that for all $u \in W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\|u\|_{r, p, e, \Omega} \leqq\|E u\|_{r, p, e, Q} \leqq K_{2}\|u\|_{r, p, e, \Omega},
$$

where $K_{2}$ is a constant independent of $u$; moreover, if $u \in C^{r}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $E u \in C^{r}(\bar{Q})$. For all $u \in C^{r}(\bar{\Omega})$, put $\tilde{u}=E u$ and $\tilde{U}=\tilde{u}-P_{N} \tilde{u}$. Then by Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q} \phi(|\tilde{U}(x)| \mid \lambda) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\|\tilde{U}\|_{j v, \sigma, Q} \lambda^{-1}\right)^{j v} \leqq \\
& \leqq 2^{-n N}|Q| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left\{C_{2} K\left(j v / p^{\prime}\right)^{a}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q} \lambda^{-1}\right\}^{j v} \times \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{j v}{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\left(1+j v / p^{\prime} / / t^{\prime}\right.} \leqq \\
& \leqq 2^{-n N}|Q| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left\{C_{2} K\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q^{\lambda^{-1}}}\right\}^{j v} \times
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times\left(1+\frac{j v}{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\left(1+a t^{\prime}+j v / p^{\prime}\right\rangle / t^{\prime}}
$$

where $C_{2}=C_{1} p^{1 / t^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{a}$. Put $C_{3}=C_{2} K t^{\left(a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) / t^{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi=\left\{C_{3}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q} \lambda^{-1}\right\}^{v}, \\
& \mu_{1}=1 / t^{\prime}, \quad \mu_{2}=\left(a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observing that $a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}=\{n(1+a)-r\} / n$ and that consequently $\mu_{2}<1$, we see with the aid of Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}|\widetilde{U}(x) / \lambda| \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq|Q| 2^{-n N}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \xi^{j}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j\right)^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j} \leqq \\
& \leqq|Q| 2^{-n N}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} K_{1} \exp \left\{(2 e)^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} \xi\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \leqq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

if

$$
(2 e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{u_{1}} \xi\right)^{1 / 1-\mu_{2}} \leqq \log 2^{n N}-\log \left\{K_{1}|Q|\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

which is certainly true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \geqq C_{3}(2 e)^{\mu_{2} / v} e^{\mu_{1} / v}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left\{\log 2^{n N}-\right. \\
& \left.-\log \left(K_{1}|Q|\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}}\right)\right\}^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, e, Q}= \\
& =C_{4}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log C_{5}\right)^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q}, \quad \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\tilde{u}-P_{n} \tilde{u}\right\|_{\phi, Q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{4}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log C_{5}\right)^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $u \in C^{r}(\bar{\Omega})$, so that $\tilde{u}(x)=u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$; let

$$
P_{N} u=\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n N}} \chi_{Q_{j} \cap \Omega} P_{r, Q_{j}} \tilde{u}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \Omega} \leqq\left\|\tilde{u}-P_{N} \tilde{u}\right\|_{\phi, \Omega}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \Omega} \leqq  \tag{9}\\
& \leqq C_{4}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log C_{5}\right)^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{4} K_{2}\left(|Q| 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log C_{5}\right)^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $C^{r}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$, (9) holds for all $u \in W_{e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$. As the map $u \vdash P_{N} u$ is finite-dimensional, with rank at most $2^{n N} M$, it follows easily that as $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
a_{m}(I)=O\left(m^{-1 / t p^{\prime}}(\log m)^{-1 / v+a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}}\right)
$$

When $s$ is of type III the argument is similar but easier, as $E$ is not needed.

Remarks. 1. Note that

$$
\frac{1}{p}=\left(\frac{r}{n}-\frac{1}{t}\right) t^{\prime} \leqq r n
$$

with equality holding only when $t=\infty$; moreover,

$$
\frac{1}{p^{\prime} t}=\frac{r}{n}-\frac{1}{p} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=1-\frac{r}{n} .
$$

Thus the conclusion of Theorem 1 may be stated as

$$
a_{m}(I)=O\left(m^{-(r / n-1 / p)}(\log m)^{1-r / n+a-1 / v}\right)
$$

2. A combination of the techniques used above and those used to prove Theorem 1 of [2] may be used to show that, under the same hypotheses as in our Theorem 1, the entropy numbers $e_{m}(I)$ satisfy the same estimates as for $a_{m}(I)$.
3. If instead of $I$ we consider the embedding map $I_{0}: W_{0, e}^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)$, then since no use is made of density and extension theorems, it follows that

$$
a_{m}\left(I_{0}\right), \quad e_{m}\left(I_{0}\right)=O\left(m^{-(r / n-1 / p)}(\log m)^{1-r / n+a-1 / v}\right)
$$

under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1, save that $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ may be general weight functions which merely satisfy condition (A) and the requirement that $\varrho \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega)$; $\varrho$ need not be of the form $\varrho(x)=s(d(x))$; and no restrictions are imposed on $\partial \Omega$.
4. The (A) condition requires that the weights $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ be defined on the whole of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$. Thus if we are merely given weights defined on $\Omega$, we have to extend them to $R^{n}$ in a way which will ensure that the extended functions satisfy the (A) condition. To illustrate how this may be done, suppose that $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ are defined on $\Omega$ by

$$
\varrho(x)=(d(x))^{\varepsilon_{1}}, \quad \sigma(x)=(d(x))^{\varepsilon_{2}} \quad(x \in \Omega)
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1} \geqq 0$ and $-p<\varepsilon_{2} \leqq 0$. Let $K>0$ and define functions $s$ and $s_{1}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t^{\varepsilon_{1}} & \text { if } & 0 \leqq t \leqq K, \\
K^{\varepsilon_{2}} & \text { if } t>K,
\end{array}\right. \\
& s_{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t^{\varepsilon_{2}} & \text { if } & 0<t \leqq K, \\
K^{\varepsilon_{2}} & \text { if } & t>K
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if $K$ is large enough, the functions $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{\rho}(x)=s(d(x)), \quad \tilde{\sigma}(x)=s_{1}(d(x)) \quad\left(x \in R^{n}\right)
$$

are extensions of $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ respectively which satisfy the (A) condition with $a=0$.
In particular, when $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=0$, so that $\varrho(x)=\sigma(x)=1(x \in \Omega)$, Theorem 1 shows that the approximation numbers of the embedding $I: W^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\phi}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$
a_{m}(I)=O\left(m^{-(r / n-1 / p)}(\log m)^{1-r / n-1 / v}\right)
$$

when $1 / p \leqq r / n$. When $1 / p=r / n$ this gives Theorem V.6.6 of [4], but under stronger hypotheses on $\partial \Omega$; the same estimate holds for $e_{m}(I)$, which gives Theorem 3 of [2], again under stronger hypotheses on $\partial \Omega$.

## 4. THE CASE WHEN $\Omega$ IS UNBOUNDED

To deal with this situation the following lemmas are required.
Lemma 4. Let $u \in C_{0}^{r}(\Omega)$. Then there is a constant $C_{6}$, depending only on $r$ and $n$, such that for all $x \in \Omega$,

$$
|u(x)| \leqq C_{6} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, 1)} \sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|D^{i} u(y)\right||y-x|^{r-n} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

where

$$
\left|D^{i} u(y)\right|^{2}=\sum_{|\alpha|=i}\left|D^{\alpha} u(y)\right|^{2}
$$

and $B(x, 1)$ is the open ball in $R^{n}$ with centre $x$ and radius 1 .
Lemma 5. Let $0<b<n$. Then

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap B(x, 1)}|x-y|^{b-n} \mathrm{~d} y \leqq \omega_{n} b^{-1}\left(|\Omega \cap B(x, 1)| / \omega_{n}\right)^{b / n},
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ denotes the $(n-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.

These lemmas are proved in Chapter V of [4].
To estimate the approximation and entropy numbers of the embedding

$$
I_{0}: W_{0, p}^{\mathrm{r},}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)
$$

where $\Omega$ is unbounded, we first assume that (1) and (2) hold and that the weight functions $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup \left\{\left(|B(x, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r)}(\sigma(x))^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)\right)^{1 / t q} \\
& \left..\left(|B(x, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r)}(\varrho(x))^{-t /(p-1)} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}: x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, r>0\right\} \leqq K q^{a}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $q \in[p, \infty)$, where $K$ and a are constants with $0 \leqq a<r / n$. Condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) is plainly equivalent to (A). We also assume that

$$
\varrho \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega),
$$

and define $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=s^{\tau} \exp \left(s^{v}\right) \quad(s \geqq 0) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p<\tau<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad 1<v<n /\{n(1+a)-r\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, set

$$
\eta_{\Omega}=\sup \{|\Omega \cap B(x, 1)| /|B(x, 1)|: x \in \Omega\} .
$$

Lemma 6. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded open subset of $R^{n}$, suppose that (1), (2) and ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) hold and let $\phi$ be defined by (10) and (11). Then for all $u \in W_{0, \ell}^{r, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\|u\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega} \leqq C_{12} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log \eta_{\Omega}^{-1}-\log C_{10}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega} .
$$

Proof. Since $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_{0, e}^{r, p}(\Omega)$ it is enough to prove the lemma when $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $1 / s=1 / p-1 / q, q>p ;$ put $a_{1}=(r-n) t^{\prime}+n=n / p, a_{2}=$ $=(1-1 / q)(r-n) p^{\prime} t^{\prime}+n=n / q, v(y)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|D^{i} u(y)\right|$. From Lemmas 4 and 5 we see that, with all integrals being taken over $\Omega \cap B(x, 1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)| & \leqq C_{6} \int v(y)|x-y|^{r-n} \mathrm{~d} y \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{6}\left(\int|v(y)|^{p}|x-y|^{r-n} \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / q}\left(\int|v(y)|^{p} \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / s} \times \\
& \times\left(\int|x-y|^{(1-1 / q)(r-n) p^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\left(\int \varrho(y)^{-p^{\prime} t / p}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{6} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\left(\omega_{n} a_{2}^{-1}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\|v\|_{p, Q, \Omega}^{p / s}\left\|\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)}\right\|_{t, \Omega \cap B(x, 1)}^{1 / p^{\prime}} \times \\
& \times\left(\int|v(y)|^{p}|x-y|^{r-n} \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{7} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q p^{\prime} t^{\prime}} q^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\left\|\varrho^{-1(p-1)}\right\|_{\Omega \cap B(x, 1)}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega}^{p / s} \times \\
& \times\left(\int|v(y)|^{p}|x-y|^{r-n} \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / q}, \quad \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{q, \sigma, \Omega} \leqq C_{7} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q p^{\prime} t^{\prime}} q^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, \ell, \Omega}^{p / s} \times \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B(y, 1)}\left\|\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)}\right\|_{t, \Omega \cap B(x, 1)}^{q / p^{\prime}}|v(y)|^{p}|x-y|^{\mid r-n} \sigma(x) \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{7} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q p^{\prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime}} q^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, \ell, \Omega}^{p / s} \times \\
& \times\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left\|\varrho^{-1 /(p-1)}\right\|_{\Omega \cap B(y, 2)}|v(y)|^{p} \varrho(y)\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B(y, 1)}|y-x|^{(r-n) t^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} \times\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\int_{\Omega \cap B(y, 1)} \sigma^{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / t} \mathrm{~d} y\right\}^{1 / q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By condition ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) and Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{q, \sigma, \Omega} \leqq C_{7} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q p^{\prime} t^{\prime}} q^{1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, \Omega, \Omega}^{p / s} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / p t^{\prime} q}\left(\omega_{n} a_{1}^{-1}\right)^{1 / q t^{\prime}} \times \\
& \times\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left(K q^{a}|\Omega \cap B(y, 2)|^{\left(1 / p^{\prime}+1 / q\right) / t}\right)^{q}|v(y)|^{p} \varrho(y) \mathrm{d} y\right\}^{1 / q} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{8} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / q+1 / t p^{\prime}} q^{a+1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{8}$ depends only on $n, r$ and $p$.
Since $1 / p^{\prime} t^{\prime}=1-r / n$, we define positive numbers $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ by

$$
\mu_{1}=n(1+a)-r, \quad \mu_{2}=(1+a-r / n) v<1
$$

and put

$$
\xi=\left\{C_{8} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\frac{n}{n(1+a)-r}\right)^{1+a-r / n}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega} \lambda^{-1}\right\}^{\nu}=
$$

$$
=\left(C_{9} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, \ell, \Omega} \lambda^{-1}\right)^{v}, \quad \text { say }
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \phi(|u(x)| \mid \lambda) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{\Omega}\left|u(x) \lambda^{-1}\right| j v+\tau \\
& j \\
& \leqq \eta_{\Omega} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left(C_{8} \eta_{\Omega}^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega} \lambda^{-1}\right)^{j v+\tau}(j v+\tau)^{(1+a-r / n)(j v+\tau)} \leqq \\
& \leqq(1+a-r / n)^{-\mu_{1}} \eta_{\Omega} \xi^{a / v} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j\right)^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j} \mid j!\leqq \\
& \leqq K_{1}(1+a-r / n)^{-\mu_{1}} \eta_{\Omega} e^{\tau \xi / v} \exp \left\{(2 e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} \xi\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\xi \geqq 1$, since $\tau / v<\mu_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\mathrm{\tau v} / \xi} \exp \left\{(2 e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} \xi\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\} \leqq \exp \left\{\left((2 e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}+1\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(e^{\tau / v} \xi\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $\xi<1$, then the corresponding estimate has

$$
e^{\tau / v} \exp \left[(2 e)^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)} e^{\mu_{1} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi\left(|u(x)| \lambda^{-1}\right) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq C_{10} \eta_{\Omega} \exp \left(C_{11} \xi^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

and from this the Lemma follows easily.

Lemma 7. Let $R>0, Q_{R}=(-R / 2, R / 2)^{n}$. Then under the assumptions of Lemma 6 we have, for all $u \in W_{0, e}^{r, p}\left(Q_{R}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, Q_{R}} \leqq C_{15}\left(R^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t} \times \\
& \times\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log R^{n}-\log C_{14}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\|u\|_{r, p, e, Q_{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2 we have, for all $q \in[p, \infty)$ and all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{R}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{q, \sigma, Q_{R}} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{1} K^{a}\left(R^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / q+1 / p^{\prime} t}\left(1+q^{\prime} / p\right)^{\left(1 / q+1 / p^{\prime}\right) / t^{\prime}}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus with $U=u-P_{N} u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{R}} \phi\left(|U(x)| \lambda^{-1}\right) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq \\
& \leqq R^{n} 2^{-n N} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\left\{C_{1} K(j v+\tau)^{a}\left(R^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t} \lambda^{-1}\|u\|_{r, p, Q}, Q_{r}\right\}^{j v+\tau} \times \\
& \times\left\{1+(j v+\tau) / p^{\prime}\right\}^{\left(1+(j v+\tau) / p^{\prime}\right) / t^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\mu_{1}=\left(1+\tau / p^{\prime}+t^{\prime} a \tau\right) / t^{\prime}>0, \mu_{2}=\left(a+1 / t^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right) v=(1+a-r / n) v \in(0,1)$,

$$
\xi=\left\{C_{1} K \lambda^{-1}\left(R^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime} t}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{a}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1+a-r / n}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{R}}\right\}^{v}
$$

Then using Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{R}} \phi\left(|U(x)| \lambda^{-1}\right) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq \\
& \leqq\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} R^{n} 2^{-n N} \xi^{\tau / v} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \xi^{j}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j\right)^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} j} \leqq \\
& \leqq\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / t^{\prime}} K_{1} R^{n} 2^{-n N} e^{\tau / v} \exp \left\{(2 e)^{\mu_{2} /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\left(e^{\mu_{1}} \xi\right)^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using methods similar to those in Lemma 6 we have

$$
\int_{Q_{R}} \phi\left(|U(x)| \lambda^{-1}\right) \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x \leqq C_{14} R^{n} 2^{-n N} \exp \left(C_{13} \xi^{1 /\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)}\right),
$$

from which it follows easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-P_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, Q_{R}} \leqq C_{15}\left(R^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}} \times \\
& \times\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log R^{n}-\log C_{14}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{R}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{R}\right)$ is dense in $W_{0, e}^{r, p}\left(Q_{R}\right)$, the proof is complete.
We now come to the main result of this section.

Theorem 2. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded open set in $R^{n}$, let (1) and (2) hold, let the weight functions $\varrho$ and $\sigma$ satisfy condition (A) with $\varrho \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega)$, and let $\phi$ be defined by (10) and (11). Let

$$
I_{0}: W_{0, \rho}^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)
$$

be the natural embedding and assume that $\eta_{R}=O\left(R^{-n}\right)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, where $\eta_{R}=$ $=\sup \left\{|\Omega \cap B(x, 1)|| | B(x, 1)\left|: \max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\right| x_{i} \mid>R\right\}$. Then as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
a_{s}\left(I_{0}\right)=O\left(s^{-(r / n-1 / p) / 2}(\log s)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$ be such that $0 \leqq \psi(x) \leqq 1$ for all $x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \psi(x)=1$ if $\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left|x_{i}\right| \leqq 4 / 3, \psi(x)=0$ if $\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left|x_{i}\right| \geqq 5 / 3$. For each $k \in N$ put $\psi_{k}(x)=\psi(2 x / k)$, $\stackrel{1 \leqq i \leqq n}{\Omega_{k}}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left|x_{i}\right|<k / 2\right\}, \stackrel{\substack{1 \leqq i \leqq n \\ \Omega_{k}}}{ }=\left\{x \in \Omega: \max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left|x_{i}\right|>k / 2\right\}$.

For each $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, let $\tilde{u}(x)=u(x)$ if $u \in \Omega, \tilde{u}(x)=0$ otherwise, $\left(E_{k} \tilde{u}\right)(x)=$ $=\psi_{k}(x) \tilde{u}(x)$. Then $E_{k} \tilde{u} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{2 k}\right), \tilde{u}-E_{k} \tilde{u} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{\Omega}_{k}\right)$. Noticing that there is a constant $C_{16}$ such that for all $x \in R^{n}$, all $k \in N$ and all $\alpha \in N_{0}^{n}$ with $|\alpha| \leqq r$,

$$
\left|D^{\alpha} \psi_{k}(x)\right| \leqq C_{16}
$$

and using the Leibniz formula, we have

$$
\left\|E_{k} \tilde{u}\right\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega} \leqq C_{17}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, e, Q_{2 k}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\tilde{u}-E_{k} \tilde{u}\right\|_{r, p, e, \Omega} \leqq C_{17}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, e, \Omega_{k}}
$$

For given even $N \in N$, let $k_{0}=2^{N / 2}$ and define a map $\widetilde{P}_{N}$ by

$$
\tilde{P}_{N} u(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{N}\left(E_{k_{0}} \tilde{u}\right)(x), \quad u \in Q_{2 k_{0}} \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemmas 6 and 7 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|u-\tilde{P}_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega}=\left\|\tilde{u}-\widetilde{P}_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega} \leqq \\
& \leqq\left\|\tilde{u}-E_{k_{0}} \tilde{u}\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega_{k 0}}+\left\|E_{k 0} \tilde{u}-P_{N} E_{k_{0}} \tilde{u}\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, Q_{2 k 0}} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{12}\left(k_{0} / 2\right)^{-n / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log \left(k_{0} / 2\right)^{n}-\log C_{10}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\left\|\tilde{u}-E_{k_{0}} \tilde{u}\right\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega_{k 0}}+ \\
& +C_{15}\left(\left(2 k_{0}\right)^{n} 2^{-n N}\right)^{1 / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log \left(2 k_{0}\right)^{n}-\log C_{14}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v} \times \\
& \times\left\|E_{k 0} \tilde{u}\right\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{2 k o}} \leqq \\
& \leqq C_{12} 2^{-n(N / 2-1) / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log \left(2^{-n+n N / 2}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\log C_{10}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v} C_{17}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q} \Omega_{k 0}+ \\
& +C_{15} 2^{-n(N / 2-1) / t p^{\prime}}\left(\log 2^{n N}-\log 2^{n}-\log 2^{n N / 2}-\right. \\
& \left.-\log C_{14}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\|\tilde{u}\|_{r, p, Q, Q_{2 k 0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we see that

$$
\left\|u-\tilde{P}_{N} u\right\|_{\phi, \sigma, \Omega} \leqq C_{18} 2^{-n N(r / n-1 / p / 2}\left(\log 2^{n N}\right)^{1+a-r / n-1 / v}\|u\|_{r, p, Q, \Omega}
$$

when $N$ is large enough. The result follows.
Remarks. 1. When $\varrho(s)=\sigma(x)=1$ for all $x \in \Omega$, the approximation numbers of the embedding map $I_{0}: W_{0, \boldsymbol{e}}^{r, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\sigma}^{\phi}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$
a_{s}\left(I_{0}\right)=O\left(s^{-(r / n-1 / p) / 2}(\log s)^{1-r / n-1 / v}\right)
$$

In particular, when $1 / p=r / n$,

$$
a_{s}\left(I_{0}\right)=O\left((\log s)^{1-r / n-1 / v}\right)
$$

2. Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 2, we can also show that $e_{s}\left(I_{0}\right)$ satisfies the same estimates as $a_{s}\left(I_{0}\right)$.
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## Souhrn

## APROXIMAČNÍ ČÍSLA A ČÍSLA ENTROPIE VNOŘENÍ DO VÁHOVÝCH ORLICZOVÝCH PROSTORU̇

D. E. Edmunds, Jiong Sun

V práci jsou odvozeny horní odhady pro aproximační císla a čisla entropie vnoření Sobolevových prostoru̇ s vahou do vhodných váhových Orliczových prostoru̇. Výsledky se týkají prípadu, kdy definiční oblast je omezená, a některých neomezených oblastí.
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