Mathematica Bohemica

Igor V. Skrypnik; Dmitry V. Larin On weighted estimates of solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 124 (1999), No. 2-3, 173-184

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126242

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-GZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON WEIGHTED ESTIMATES OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS

IGOR V. SKRYPNIK, DMITRY V. LARIN, Donetsk

(Received November 24, 1998)

Dedicated to Professor Alois Kufner on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract. The paper is devoted to the estimate

$$|u(x,k)|\leqslant K|k|\left\{\mathrm{cap}_{p,w}(F)\frac{\varrho^p}{w(B(x,\varrho))}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

$$2\leqslant p< n \text{ for a solution of a degenerate nonlinear elliptic equation in a domain }B(x_0,1)\setminus F,$$

$$F\subset B(x_0,d)=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x_0-x|< d\right\},\ d<\frac{1}{2},\ \text{under the boundary-value conditions}$$

$$u(x,k)=k \text{ for }x\in\partial F,\ u(x,k)=0 \text{ for }x\in\partial B(x_0,1) \text{ and where }0<\varrho\leqslant\mathrm{dist}(x,F),\ w(x) \text{ is}$$

a weighted function from some Muckenhoupt class, and $cap_{p,w}(F)$, $w(B(x,\varrho))$ are weighted capacity and measure of the corresponding sets.

Keywords: degeneracy, Muckenhoupt class, pointwise estimate, nonlinear elliptic equation, capacity, a-priori estimate

MSC 1991: 35J70, 35B45

In the study of behaviour of solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations an important role is played by special estimates of model problems in domains with small holes (see [1, 2]). In many cases this role is analogous to that of estimates of singular solutions of linear equations. By using these estimates the following problems were studied: asymptotical behaviour and the construction of correctors

for nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems in perforated domains, a necessary condition for the regularity of boundary points, the stability of solutions of nonlinear

problems with respect to the variation of domains. The proof and applications of these estimates for elliptic equations are given in [1]. This paper is devoted to the 173 extension of the method of obtaining of pointwise estimates for degenerate nonlinear elliptic equations. 1. Auxiliary Lemmas and Statement of the result

Let
$$w$$
 be a locally integrable nonnegative function in \mathbb{R}^n and assume that $0 < w(x) < \infty$ almost everywhere. We say that w belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_t , $1 < t < \infty$, if there exists a constant $c_{t,w}$ such that
$$(1) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int w \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant c_{t,w} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int w^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\epsilon}$$

 $\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w\,\mathrm{d}x\leqslant c_{t,w}\bigg(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w^{\frac{1}{1-t}}\,\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{1-t}$ for all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n . By |E| we denote the Lebesgue n-measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

We shall note only certain properties of functions from Muckenhoupt class.

 $\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^t \leqslant c_{t,w} \frac{w(E)}{w(B)},$ (2)

$$(B|)$$
 $w(B)$
where B is an arbitrary ball in \mathbb{R}^n , E is a measurable subset of B and

 $w(E) = \int_{E} w(x) dx.$

Lemma 4. (A_t -weighted Poincaré inequality) Suppose $w \in A_t$ and let for arbi-

Lemma 2. If $w \in A_t$, t > 1, then $w \in A_{t-\varepsilon}$ for some ε , $0 < \varepsilon < t-1$. Moreover, ε and $c_{t-\varepsilon,w}$ depend only on $n, t, c_{t,w}$.

Lemma 1. If $w \in A_t$, then

For the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2 see [3], Chapter 15.

Lemma 3. Suppose $w \in A_t$ and s > t. Then $w \in A_s$.

This statement immedeatly follows from the Hölder inequality and (1).

 $trary \; x, s, h, 0 < s \leqslant h \; \text{an inequality}$

 $\frac{s}{h}\Big(\frac{w(B(x,s))}{w(B(x,h))}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\leqslant c\Big(\frac{w(B(x,s))}{w(B(x,h))}\Big)^{\frac{1}{t}}, \qquad q>t,$ 174

$$\left(\frac{1}{w(B)}\int\limits_{B}\left|v(x)-\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_{B}v(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right|^{q}w(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\leqslant Cr\left(\frac{1}{w(B)}\int\limits_{B}\left|\frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x}\right|^{t}w(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}},$$

where
$$B = B(x_0, r), v(x) \in C^{\infty}(B)$$
 and C is independent

where
$$R = R(x, x)$$
 $u(x) \in C^{\infty}(R)$ and C is independ

where
$$B = B(x_0, r), v(x) \in C^{\infty}(B)$$
 and C is independ

where $B = B(x_0, r), v(x) \in C^{\infty}(B)$ and C is independent of x_0, r, v .

hold with a constant c independent of x, s, h. Then

Lemma 5. (A_t -weighted Sobolev inequality) With the same hypotheses as in

Lemma 4 we have

 $\left(\frac{1}{w(B)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\left|v(x)\right|^qw(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\leqslant Cr\bigg(\frac{1}{w(B)}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x}\right|^lw(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{\frac{1}{t}},$

where $B = B(x_0, r), v(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B)$ and C is independent of x_0, r, v .

For the proofs of Lemmas 4, 5 see [4].

Definition and basic properties of the Muckenhoupt class A_t were explicitly studied

in [3].

Let F be an arbitrary compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . Let us denote by d the minimum of

the radii of balls containing F, and let x_0 be the center of such a ball with radius d,

satisfying $F \subset \overline{B(x_0,d)}$. Here and in the sequel B(x,r) denotes the ball with radius

Let $\psi(x)$ be a function from the class $C_0^{\infty}(B(x_0,1))$, equal to one in $B\left(x_0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$. If

 $d<\frac{1}{2}$, then for an arbitrary real k we consider a nonlinear elliptic boundary value

problem

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_{i}} a_{i} \left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = 0, \qquad x \in D,$ $u(x) = k\psi(x), \qquad x \in \partial D.$ (3)

(4)

Here $D = B(x_0, 1) \setminus F$.

We assume that the functions $a_i(x,g)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, are defined for $x\in \overline{B}$ (here

and in the sequel $B = B(x_0, 1)$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and satisfy the following conditions:

 $x \text{ for all } g \in \mathbb{R}^n;$

 A_1) functions $a_i(x,g)$ are continuous in g for almost every $x \in \overline{B}$, measurable in

175

(5)

 $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the inequalities

holds for arbitrary function $\varphi(x) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{p}^{1}(D, w)$.

were studied in [3, 4, 5] (here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$).

weighted (p, w)-capacity $cap_{p,w}$ (see [3]).

Further, we shall prove the following

extended to F by the constant k.

The number

(6)

176

Remark 1. Let us choose $w(x) = |x|^{\alpha}, -n+p < \alpha < n(p-1)-(n-p)$ and $p \ge 2$. In this case w(x) satisfies A_2 . This is easily verified by a direct computation.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} a_{i} \left(x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}} dx = 0$

The definitions and properties of weighted Sobolev spaces $W_p^1(\Omega, w), W_p^{\circ 1}(\Omega, w)$

The existence and uniqueness of the function u(x,k) follows from the global theory of monotone operators (for example, see [1]). The function u(x,k) is assumed to be

For the purpose of formulation of our main result let us introduce the notion of

 $\operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(E) = \inf \int\limits_{B} \left| \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$

is called the (p, w)-capacity of the closed set $E \subset B(x_0, \frac{1}{2})$. The infimum in (6) is taken over all functions $v(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B)$ satisfying the equality v(x) = 1 for $x \in E$.

Theorem. Let us assume that conditions A1, A2, are satisfied. Then there exists a constant K depending only on n, p, ν_1 , ν_2 and the Muckenhoupt constant $c_{p,w}$ of

$$\begin{split} |a_i(x,g)| &\leqslant \nu_1 |g|^{p-1} w(x), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(x,g) - a_i(x,q)] (g_i - q_i) \geqslant 0, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x,g) g_i \geqslant \nu_2 |g|^p w(x) \end{split}$$

A solution of the boundary value problem (1), (2) is a function $u(x,k) \in W^1_p(D,w)$ such that $u(x,k) - k\psi(x) \in W_p^{\circ 1}(D,w)$ and the integral identity

hold, where $w(x) \in A_{(p-1)+\frac{p}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \, [w(x)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{\frac{p}{n-1}(1-\frac{1}{n})}(\mathbb{R}^n).$

A₂) there are positive constants ν_1 , ν_2 such that for $2 \leqslant p < n$ and $x \in \overline{B}$, g,

w such that, for a solution u(x,k) of the problem (1), (2) and for an arbitrary point $x \in D$ $|u(x,k)| \leqslant K|k| \left\{ \operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(F) \frac{\varrho^p}{w(B(x,\varrho))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$ (7)

where
$$0 < \varrho \leqslant \varrho(x, F)$$
.

Remark 2. In case $w(x) \equiv 1$ the estimate (7) coincides with the pointwise estimate of the solution of nonlinear Dirichlet problem obtained by the first author in [2]. Exactness of (7) follows also from

 $G(x,\xi) \approx \frac{|x-\xi|^2}{w(B(x,|x-\xi|))}$

whenever $\lambda > 0, \; \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, and w(x) is the same as in condition A₂ (case

for the fundamental solution $G(x, \xi)$ of the operator

$$L = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_{x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) D_{x_j} \right),$$
 where $a_{i,j}(x)$ are real-valued, symmetric and

 $|\lambda w(x)|\xi|^2 \leqslant \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda}w(x)|\xi|^2,$

p=2). This estimate was obtained in [6].

Let us assume k > 0.

Lemma 6. Let us assume that conditions A_1 , A_2 are satisfied and let u(x,k) be the solution of the problem (1), (2). Then for $k \neq 0$

Proof. Let us take the test-function $\varphi_1(x) = \min\{u(x,k),0\}$ in the integral identity (5) and use the condition A_2 . We obtain $\int\limits_{D_1} \left| \frac{\partial u(x,k)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant 0,$

where $D_1 = \{x \in D : u(x,k) < 0\}$. From this inequality it follows that $u(x,k) \ge 0$.

 $0 \leqslant \frac{1}{k}u(x,k) \leqslant 1.$

Similarly, replacing
$$\varphi(x)$$
 in (5) by $\varphi_2(x)=\max\{u(x,k)-k,0\}$ the inequality $u(x,k)\leqslant k$ is established. \Box

177

Lemma 7. Assume that conditions A₁, A₂ are satisfied. Then there exists a constant c_1 , depending only on n, p, ν_1 , ν_2 , $c_{p,w}$, such that

(8)
$$\int_{D} \left| \frac{\partial u(x,k)}{\partial x} \right|^{p} w(x) dx \leqslant c_{1}k^{p} \operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(F).$$
Proof. Let us take the test-function $\varphi = u(x,k) - k\psi(x)$ in the integral identity (5), where $\psi(x)$ is from the class $C_{0}^{\infty}(B)$, and ψ is equal to one in F . Using the

By virtue of definition (6), inequality (9) proves the estimate (8)

condition A2 and Young's inequality we estimate the terms of the obtained equality and get $\int\limits_{D} \left| \frac{\partial u(x,k)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant c_2 k^p \int\limits_{R} \left| \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$ (9)

Here and in the sequel we denote by c_i constants depending only on the same parameters as the constant K in the formulation of the Theorem.

Let us denote for $0 < \mu < k$ $E_{\mu} = \{x \in D : 0 \le u(x, k) \le \mu\}.$

$$E_{\mu} = \{x \in D : 0 \leqslant u(x,k) \leqslant \mu\}.$$
Lemma 8. Let us assume that conditions A₁, A₂ are satisfied. Then there exists a constant c_3 such that
$$\int_{F} \left| \frac{\partial u(x,k)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant c_3 \mu k^{p-1} \, \mathrm{cap}_{p,w}(F).$$

Proof. We substitute $\varphi(x) = u_{\mu}(x,k) - \frac{\mu}{k}u(x,k)$ in (5), where $u_{\mu}(x,k) =$ $\min\{u(x,k),\mu\}$. By standard computations and (8) we obtain (10).

In order to prove Theorem we need some auxiliary results

Lemma 9. Let $2 \leqslant p < n$ and $w \in A_{(p-1)+\frac{p}{n}}, [w]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{\frac{p}{p-1}(1-\frac{1}{n})}$. For any function $v(x) \in W^{\circ 1}_{p}(B(0,R),w)$ and any numbers r,R, satisfying the conditions $0 < r \leqslant R$ the inequality

 $\int\limits_{B(0,r)} |v(x)|^p w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant K_1 r^p \int\limits_{B(0,R)} \left| \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$ (11)

(10)

From α_{P} $w \in \mathbb{C}$ (12) $\frac{1}{w(B(0,r))} \int_{B(0,r)} |v(x)|^{p} w(x) dx$ $\leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{w(B(0,r))} \int_{B(0,r)} \left|v(x) - \frac{1}{|B(0,r)|} \int_{B(0,r)} v(y) dy\right|^{p} w(x) dx$

(13)

inequality, we obtain

holds with a constant K_1 depending only on n, p, $c_{p,w}$.

 $+ 2^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{|B(0,r)|} \int_{B(0,-1)} |v(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \right)^p$

Let $\omega = \frac{x}{|x|}$. A straightforward calculation yields

 $\leqslant \left\{\frac{1}{|B(0,r)|}\int\limits_0^r\int\limits_0^r\int\limits_0^R\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\omega t)\right|\mathrm{d}t|x|^{n-1}\,\mathrm{d}\omega\,\mathrm{d}|x|\right\}^p$

 $\leqslant c_5 \left\{ \frac{1}{|B(0,r)|^p} \int\limits_0^r \int\limits_{|z| \leq 1(0)}^R \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} (\omega t) \right|^p w(\omega t) t^{n-1} \,\mathrm{d}\omega \,\mathrm{d}t |x|^{n-1} \,\mathrm{d}|x| \right\}$

 $\times \left\{ \int\limits_{0}^{r} \int\limits_{|x| \leq \sqrt{n}}^{R} \int\limits_{|x| \leq \sqrt{n}} \left[w(\omega t) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} t^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \, \mathrm{d}t |x|^{n-1} \, \mathrm{d}|x| \right\}^{p-1}$

Now we only need to estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (12).

 $|v(x)| = \left| \int_{-1}^{R} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} v(\omega t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le \left| \int_{-1}^{R} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\omega t) \right| \mathrm{d}t \right|.$

Transforming the last integral on the right-hand side of (12) into spherical coordinates with respect to the variables $|x| \in [0,r], \ \omega = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S_1(0), \text{ using (13) and Hölder}$

 $I_1 = \left\{ \frac{1}{|B(0,r)|} \int\limits_{B(0,r)} |v(y)| \,\mathrm{d}y \right\}^p = \left\{ \frac{1}{|B(0,r)|} \int\limits_0^r \int\limits_{S_r(t)} |v(|x|\omega)| \, |x|^{n-1} \,\mathrm{d}\omega \,\mathrm{d}|x| \right\}^p$

179

From A_p -weighted Poincaré inequality we have

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(B(0,R))$.

 $\leqslant c_4 \frac{r^p}{w(B(0,r))} \int\limits_{B(0,r)} \left| \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial x} \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + c_4 \left(\frac{1}{|B(0,r)|} \int\limits_{B(0,r)} |v(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \right)^p.$



(15)

(16)

(18)180





 $\leq c_6 \left\{ \frac{1}{r^{n(p-1)}} \int_{B(0,R)} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x) \right|^p w(x) dx \right\}$

Now we estimate separately the integral

 $\times \left\{ \int_{0}^{r} |x|^{n-1} \int_{|x| \leq 1}^{R} \int_{(0)} [w(\omega t)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} t^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}} d\omega dt d|x| \right\}^{p-1}.$

 $\leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int\limits_{2^{j-1}|x| \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{j}|x|} |z|^{\frac{-np+p}{p-1}} \left[w(z) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \mathrm{d}z$

 $\leqslant c_7 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(2^j |x|\right)^{\frac{-np+p}{p-1}} \int\limits_{|z| \leqslant 2^j |x|} [w(z)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \,\mathrm{d}z.$

Since $w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{\frac{p}{p-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)}$, by Lemma 2, $w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{\frac{p}{p-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)-\epsilon_1}$, where $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Now, using (2), from (15) we obtain

 $I_2 \leqslant c_8 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(2^j |x| \right)^{\frac{-n \nu + p}{p-1}} \left(2^j \right)^{\frac{n p - p}{p-1}} \left(2^j \right)^{-n \varepsilon_1} \int\limits_{|z| \leqslant |x|} [w(z)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \, \mathrm{d}z$

By virtue of Lemma 3, $w \in A_p$, and estimating the integral on the right-hand side

 $(17) \quad I_{2} \leq c_{10} |x|^{\frac{-np+p}{p-1}} |x|^{\frac{np}{p-1}} [w(B(0,|x|))]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} = c_{10} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |w(B(0,|x|))]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$

Since $w \in A_{p-1+\frac{p}{n}}$, by Lemma 2, $w \in A_{p-1+\frac{p}{n}-\varepsilon_2}$, where $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. Using this, we

 $\left[w(B(0,|x|))\right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\leqslant c_{11}\bigg[\frac{r}{|x|}\bigg]^{n+\frac{p-\epsilon_{2}n}{p-1}}\left[w(B(0,r))\right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$

 $\leq c_9 |x|^{\frac{-np+p}{p-1}} \int\limits_{|z| \leq |x|} [w(z)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dz.$

of (16) with the help of (1) we have

obtain from Lemma 1

 $I_2 = \int\limits_{|z|S_1(0)}^R \int\limits_{|z|S_1(0)} [w(\omega t)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} t^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}} \,\mathrm{d}\omega \,\mathrm{d}t = \int\limits_{|z| \leqslant |z| \leqslant R} |z|^{\frac{-np+p}{p-1}} \,[w(z)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \,\mathrm{d}z$

 $I_1 \leqslant c_{12} [w(B(0,r))]^{-1} r^{p-\varepsilon_2 n}$

$$\times \left\{ \int_{B(0,R)} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x) \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right\} \left\{ \int_0^r |x|^{n-1} |x|^{\frac{r}{p-1}} |x|^{-n-\frac{r}{p-1}} \frac{r^{\frac{r+s-n}{2}}}{r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}|x| \right\}^{p-1}$$

Thus from (14), (17), (18) we have

 $\leqslant c_{13} \frac{r^p}{w(B(0,r))} \int\limits_{B(0,R)} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x) \right|^p w(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$

Now the desired estimate follows from (12) and the last inequality.

 Remark 3. In case p=2 the statement of Lemma 9 coincides with the

statement of Lemma 2.2 in [7].

Proof of Theorem. Let ξ be an arbitrary point of D and for $0 < \varrho \leqslant$

 $\varrho(\xi, F)$ we define the numerical sequence

 $\varrho_j = \frac{\ell}{4}[3-2^{-j}], \quad j=1,2,\dots$

where $m_i = \max\{u(x, k) : x \in \overline{B_i}\}.$

numbers. Using A2 and Young's inequality, we obtain

Let functions $\psi_j(x)$ be equal to one on $B_j=B\left(\xi,\varrho_j\right)$ and to zero outside $B_{j+1},$ and

 $\int\limits_{D} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^p u^\sigma \psi_j^{\tau+p} w \,\mathrm{d}x \leqslant c_{14} (\tau+p)^p \frac{2^{jp}}{\varrho^p} [m_{j+1}]^{p-1} \int\limits_{D} u^{\sigma+1} \psi_j^\tau w \,\mathrm{d}x,$

 $t+p>\frac{pnp_0}{np_0-p},\quad s+p>\frac{pnp_0}{np_0-p},$

 $\left\{u^{t+p}(x,k)\psi_{\perp}^{s+p}(x)\right\}^{\frac{np_0-p}{pnp_0}} \in \mathring{W}_p^1\left(B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{4}\varrho\right),w\right).$

181

such that $0 \leqslant \psi_j(x) \leqslant 1$, $\left| \frac{\partial \psi_j(x)}{\partial x} \right| \leqslant \frac{2^{j+4}}{\varrho}$ Substitute $\varphi(x) = [u(x,k)]^{\sigma+1} [\psi_j(x)]^{\tau+p}$ into (5), where σ, τ are arbitrary positive

Let t, s be arbitrary positive numbers satisfying the inequalities

where $1 < p_0 < p - 1 + \frac{p}{n}$ and p_0 depends only on $n, p, c_{p,w}$. Then

By virtue of A_p -weighted Sobolev inequality and (19) we have

 $\left[\frac{1}{w\left(B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{4}\varrho\right)\right)}\int\limits_{B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{4}\varrho\right)}\left(\{u^{t+p}\psi_{j}^{s+p}\}^{\frac{n_{p_{0}}-p}{p^{n_{p_{0}}}}}\right)^{\frac{n_{p_{0}}-p}{n_{p_{0}}-p}}w\,\mathrm{d}x\right]^{\frac{n_{p_{0}}-p}{p^{n_{p_{0}}}}}$

Using Lemma 1, we obtain from the last inequality

we rewrite the last inequality in the form

where $J_i = \int\limits_{B_{j+1}} u^{t_i+p} \psi^{s_i+p} w \, \mathrm{d}x$.

Choosing

182

 $\int\limits_{B_{j+1}} u^{t+p} \psi_j^{s+p} w \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant c_{17} (t+s+p)^{\frac{2pnp_0}{np_0-p}} [w(B(\xi,\varrho))]^{-\frac{p}{np_0-p}}$

 $s = s_i = [p + np_0] \left(\frac{np_0}{np_0 - p}\right)^i - np_0 - p,$

$$\begin{split} &\leqslant c_{15}\varrho\left[w\left(B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{4}\varrho\right)\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left\{(t+p)^p\int\limits_{B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{4}\varrho\right)}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^p u^{(t+p)\frac{n_{t0}-p}{n_{p_0}}-p}\psi_j^{(s+p)\frac{n_{p_0}-p}{n_{p_0}}}w\operatorname{d}\!\hat{x}\right. \end{split}$$

 $\leqslant c_{16}(t+s+p)^2 \left[w \left(B \left(\xi, \frac{3}{4} \varrho \right) \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} 2^j \left[m_{j+1} \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ \times \left\{ \int\limits_{B \left(\xi, \frac{3}{4} \varrho \right)} u^{(t+p) \frac{np_0-p}{np_0} - p+1} \psi_j^{(s+p) \frac{np_0-p}{np_0} - p} w \, \mathrm{d}x \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$

 $\times \left[2^{jp} m_{j+1}^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{n_{p_0}}{n_{p_0}-p}} \left[\int\limits_{P_{j+1}} u^{(t+p) \frac{n_{p_0}-p}{n_{p_0}}-p+1} \psi_j^{(s+p) \frac{n_{p_0}-p}{n_{p_0}}-p} w \, \mathrm{d}x \right]^{\frac{n_{p_0}}{n_{p_0}-p}}$

 $t = t_i = \left[p + \frac{np_0}{p}(p-1) \right] \left(\frac{np_0}{np_0 - p} \right)^i - \frac{np_0}{p}(p-1) - p,$

 $(20) \quad J_{i} \leqslant c_{18} \left(\frac{np_{0}}{np_{0} - p} \right)^{2i \frac{pn_{10}}{np_{0} - p}} \left[w(B(\xi, \varrho)) \right]^{-\frac{p}{np_{0} - p}} \left[2^{jp} m_{j+1}^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{np_{0}}{np_{0} - p}} \left[J_{i-1} \right]^{\frac{np_{0}}{np_{0} - p}},$

 $+\left.(s+p)^p\int\limits_{B\left(\xi,\frac{3}{2}\varrho\right)}u^{(t+p)\frac{np_0-p}{np_0}}\left|\frac{2^j}{\varrho}\right|^p\psi_j^{(s+p)\frac{np_0-p}{np_0}-p}w\,\mathrm{d}x\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$

$$\begin{split} [J_{i}]^{\left(\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}\right)^{i}} &\leq \left\{c_{19}\left[2^{jp}m_{j+1}^{p-1}\right]^{\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}-p}}\left[w(B(\xi,\varrho))\right]^{\frac{-\nu}{np_{0}-p}}\right\}^{\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}+\left(\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}\right)^{2}+...+\left(\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}\right)^{i}} \\ &\times \left\{\left(\frac{np_{0}}{np_{0}-p}\right)^{2p}\right\}^{1+2\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}+...+i\left(\frac{np_{0}-\nu}{np_{0}}\right)^{i-1}}\times J_{0}. \end{split}$$

When i tends to infinity, then (21) yields $(22) \qquad [m_j]^{p+\frac{n_{p_0}}{p}(p-1)} \leqslant c_{20} 2^{jnp_0} [w(B(\xi,\varrho))]^{-1} [m_{j+1}]^{\frac{n_{p_0}}{p}(p-1)} \int\limits_{B_{j+1}} u^p \psi_j^p w \, \mathrm{d}x.$

By iterating we arrive at

By virtue of (22), (23) implies

Further we shall use the following:

with positive constants $A, a, \sigma \in (0, 1)$. Then we have

with a constant c depending only on σ and a. For the proof of Lemma 10 see [1], Chapter 5. Finally, from (24) and Lemma 10 we have

and so the inequality (7) is established. This completes the proof of Theorem.

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B_{j+1}} u^p \psi_j^p w \, \mathrm{d}x & \leqslant \int\limits_{B_{j+1}} \left[u_{m_{j+1}} \right]^p w \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leqslant c_{21} \varrho^p \int\limits_{E_{m_{j+1}}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^p w \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leqslant c_{22} \varrho^p m_{j+1} k^{p-1} \operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(F). \end{split}$$

 $[m_j]^{p+\frac{np_0}{p}(p-1)}\leqslant c_{23}2^{jnp_0}\frac{\varrho^p}{w(B(\xi,\varrho))}[m_{j+1}]^{1+\frac{np_0}{p}(p-1)}k^{p-1}\operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(F),$

 $\alpha_i \leqslant A\alpha_{i+1}^{\sigma}a^i, \quad i=1,2,\dots$

 $\alpha_1\leqslant cA^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$

 $m_1 \leqslant c_{24} k \left\{ \operatorname{cap}_{p,w}(F) \frac{\varrho^p}{w(B(\mathcal{E}, \varrho))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$

183

Lemma 10. Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be a bounded number sequence satisfying

Now we estimate the integral on the right-hand side of (22) by Lemma 8 and Lemma

(23)

References

- Skrypnik I. V.: Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems. B. G. Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1986.
 Skrypnik I. V.: New conditions of homogenization of nonlinear Dirichlet problems in perforated domains. Ukrainian Math. J. 48 (1996), no. 5, 675-694.
 Heinonen J., Kilpelainen T., Martio O.: Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
 Chanillo S., Wheeden R. L.: Weighted Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities and estimates for weighted Peano maximal functions. Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), 1191-1226.
- - [6] Kufner A.: Weighted Sobolev spaces. B. G. Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1980.
 [6] Gutiérrez C. E., Nelson G. S.: Bounds for the fundamental solution of degenerate parabolic equations. Commun. Partial Differential Equations 13 (1988), no. 5, 635-649.
 [7] Leonardi S., Skrypnik I. I.: Necessary condition for regularity of a boundary point for a degenerate quasilinear parabolic equations. Catania Univ., Catania, 1995, preprint.

184

- Authors' addresses: Igor V. Skrypnik, Dmitry V. Larin, Institute Appl. Math. & Mech. NAS Ukraine, R. Luxemburg Str. 74, 340114 Donetsk, Ukraine, e-mail: skrypnik @iamm.