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ON POINTWISE INTERPOLATION INEQUALITIES FOR DERIVATIVES
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Dedicated to Professor Alois Kufner on the occasion of his 65 th birthday
Abstract. Pointwise interpolation inequalities, in particular,

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c(\mathcal{M} u(x))^{1-k / m}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{k / m}, \quad k<m
$$

and

$$
\left.\left|I_{z} f(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(M I_{\zeta} f(x)\right)^{\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}(M)(x)\right)^{1-\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}, 0<\operatorname{Re} z<\operatorname{Re} \zeta<n
$$

where $\nabla_{k}$ is the gradient of order $k, M$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, and $t_{z}$ is the Riesz potential of order $z$, are proved. Applications to the theory of multipliers in pairs of Sobolev spaces are given. In particular, the maximal algebra in the multiplier space $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\eta}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is described.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

It is well known that an arbitrary function on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ with Lipschitz derivative $u^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 \sup |u| \sup \left|u^{\prime \prime}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 is the best possible constant (Landau [1]). For the history of this estimate as well as of its analogs and generalizations one can consult, for example, Section 13.5 of our book [2].

In the present paper we are interested in purely pointwise modifications of (1), where both factors in the right-hand side are functions of $x$. It is trivial, of course, that the second sign of supremum cannot be removed. Moreover, (1) is no longer valid without the first supremum if $f$ has a simple zero at a point.
However, under the additional assumption of non-negativity of $u$ one obtains the following stronger variant of (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 u(x) \text { sup }\left|u^{\prime \prime}\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (early applications and generalizations of this inequality can be found in [3] and [4]). Indeed, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
0 \leqslant u(x+t)=u(x)+t u^{\prime}(x)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(u^{\prime}(x+\tau)-u^{\prime}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau .
$$

Therefore, the trinomial $u(x)+t u^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \sup \left|u^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is non-negative and the nonpositivity of its discriminant is equivalent to (2).

Kufner and Maz'ya [5] gave generalizations of (2), and showed, in particular, that it can be easily improved as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 2 u(x) \mathcal{M}_{ \pm} u^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sign + or - is taken if $u^{\prime}(x) \leqslant 0$ or $u^{\prime}(x) \geqslant 0$, respectively, and $\mathcal{M}_{+}, \mathcal{M}_{-}$ are the Hardy-Littlewood right and left maximal operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{+} \varphi(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{x}^{x+\tau}|\varphi(y)| d y \\
& M_{-} \varphi(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{x-\tau}^{x}|\varphi(y)| d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that any complex valued function on $\mathbb{R}$ with absolutely continuous first derivative is subject to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 8 \mathcal{M} u(x) \mathcal{M} u^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood operator

$$
M \varphi(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{1}{2 \tau} \int_{x-\tau}^{x+\tau}|\varphi(y)| d y
$$

Moreover, (4) can be improved as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant 8 \mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x) \mathcal{M} u^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x)=\sup _{\tau>0} \frac{1}{2 \tau}\left|\int_{x-\tau}^{x+\tau} \operatorname{sign}(x-y) u(y) d y\right|
$$

In fact, the following identity is readily checked by integration by parts
(7) $u^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{-t}^{t} \operatorname{sign} y u(y) \mathrm{d} y-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^{t}\left(1-\frac{|y|}{t}\right)^{2} \operatorname{sign} y u^{\prime \prime}(y) \mathrm{d} y$
where $t>0$. (We replace $x$ by 0 to simplify the notation.) Hence

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{t} \mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(0)+t \mathcal{M} u^{\prime \prime}(0),
$$

which is equivalent to (5).
Another direct consequence of $(7)$ is the inequality

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant \frac{8}{3} \mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x) \sup \left|u^{\prime \prime}\right| .
$$

The constant $\frac{8}{3}$ in this inequality (and even in the weaker one with $M^{\circ}$ replaced by $M$ ) is best possible. In fact, one can easily check that the odd function $u_{0}$ given by

$$
u_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}x(2-x) & \text { for } \quad 0 \leqslant x<\frac{3}{2}, \\ \frac{(x-3)^{2}}{3} & \text { for } \frac{3}{2} \leqslant x<3, \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 3\end{cases}
$$

satisfies

$$
u_{0}^{\prime}(0)=2, \quad M^{\circ} u_{0}(0)=M u_{0}(0)=\frac{3}{4}, \quad \sup \left|u_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|=2
$$

As Kufner and Maz'ya noticed in [5], a simple change in the above argument leading to (2) results in the following generalization of (2) with the best possible constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{\alpha+1} \leqslant\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} u(x)^{\alpha} \sup _{y} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(y)-u^{\prime}(x)\right|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x) \geqslant 0$ and $0<\alpha<1$.
One can arrive at an analogue of (8) for arbitrary complex valued functions, where the role of Holder's seminorm is played by the function

$$
\mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(x)-u^{\prime}(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{p(m-1)+1}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / p}, \quad m \in(1,2), p \in[1, \infty]
$$

This function is important because its $L_{p}$-norm is a seminorm in the fractional Sobolev space $W_{p}^{m}(\mathbb{R})$.

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{-t}^{t} \operatorname{sign} y u(y) \mathrm{d} y+\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{-t}^{t}(t-|y|)\left(u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Holder's inequality, the absolute value of the second term on the right-hand side is dominated by

$$
t^{m-1}(2 \mathbf{B}(q m, q+1))^{1 / q} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
$$

where $m \in(1,2), p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is Euler's Beta-function. This along with (9) implies

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqslant 2 t^{-1} \mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(0)+t^{m-1}(2 \mathbf{B}(q m, q+1))^{1 / q} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
$$

Minimizing the right-hand side we conclude that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{m} \leqslant m\left(\frac{2 m}{m-1}\right)^{m-1}(2 \mathbf{B}(q m, q+1))^{1 / q}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\ominus} u(x)\right)^{m-1} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $p=\infty$ and $m=\alpha+1,0<\alpha<1$, we have the following analogue of (8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{\alpha+1} \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x)\right)^{\alpha} \sup _{y} \frac{\left|u^{\prime}(y)-u^{\prime}(x)\right|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant factor in this inequality (and even in the weaker one with $\mathcal{M}^{\circ}$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}$ ) is best possible as can be checked by the odd function $u_{\alpha}$ given for $x \geqslant 0$ by

$$
u_{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}(\alpha+1) x-x^{\alpha+1} & \text { for } 0 \leqslant x<\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}-x\right)^{\alpha+1} & \text { for }\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha \leqslant x<2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}} \\ 0 & \text { for } x \geqslant 2\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{2}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\end{cases}
$$

In the sequel we prove $n$-dimensional generalizations of the above interpolation inequalities and give applications to the theory of pointwise multipliers in pairs of Sobolev spaces
2. MULTIDIMENSIONAL VARIANTS OF INEQUALITY (4)

Let $u$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that its distributional derivatives of order $m$ are locally summable, By $\nabla_{m} u$ we mean the gradient of $u$ of order $m$, i.e.

$$
\nabla_{m} u=\left\{\partial_{x_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}, . \partial_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}} u\right\}, \alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}=m
$$

where $\partial_{x_{j}}$ is a partial derivative. The Euclidean length of $\nabla_{m} u$ will be denoted by $\left|\nabla_{m} u\right|$ and we write $\partial$ or $\nabla$ instead of $\nabla_{1}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator over centered balls defined by

$$
M \varphi(x)=\sup _{r>0}\left(\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{B_{r}(x)}|\varphi(y)| d y
$$

where $\varphi$ is a scalar or vector-valued function in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{r}(x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|y-x|<r\right\}$ and $B_{r}=B_{r}(0)$.

Our goal is to prove the following generalization of inequality (4).

Theorem 1. Let $k, l$ and $m$ be integers, $0 \leqslant l \leqslant k \leqslant m$. Then there exists a positive constant $c=c(k, l, m, n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(M \nabla_{i} u(x)\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m-1}}\left(M \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{\frac{k-1}{m-l}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,
Proof.. Clearly, it suffices to prove (12) for $l=0$ when it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c(\mathcal{M} u(x))^{\frac{m-k}{m}}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{\frac{k}{m}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta$ be a function in the ball $B_{1}$ with Lipschitz derivatives of order $m-2$ which vanishes on $\partial B_{1}$ together with all these derivatives. Also let

$$
\int_{B_{1}} \eta(y) \mathrm{d} y=1
$$

We shall use the Sobolev integral representation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(0)= & \sum_{|\beta|<m-k} t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}} \frac{(-y)^{\beta}}{\beta!} \partial^{\beta} v(y) \eta\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& +(-1)^{m-k}(m-k) \sum_{|\alpha|=m-k} \int_{B_{t}} \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha} v(y) \int_{|y| / t}^{\infty} n\left(\varrho \frac{y}{|y|}\right) \varrho^{n-1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{|y|^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [7], Section 15.1).
By setting here $v=\partial^{\gamma} u$ with an arbitrary multiindex $\gamma$ of order $k$ and integrating by parts in the first integral, we arrive at the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{\gamma} u(0)=(-1)^{k} t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}} u(y) \sum_{|\rho|<m-k} \frac{1}{\beta!} \partial^{\beta+\gamma}\left(y^{\beta} \eta\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y  \tag{14}\\
& \quad+\sum_{|\alpha|=m-k}(-1)^{m-k}(m-k) \int_{B_{t}} \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \partial^{\alpha+\gamma} u(y) \int_{|y| / t}^{\infty} \eta\left(\varrho \frac{y}{|y|}\right) \varrho^{n-1} \mathrm{~d} \varrho \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{|y|^{n}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence
$(15) \quad\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c_{1} t^{-n-k} \int_{B_{t}}|u(y)| \mathrm{d} y+c_{2} \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{|y|^{n-m+k}}$
If $m-k \geqslant n$, the second integral does not exceed

$$
t^{m-k-n} \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| d y
$$

In the case $m-k<n$ the second integral in (15) equals

$$
t^{m-k-n} \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y+(n-m+k) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tau}{r^{n-m+k+1}} \int_{B \tau}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y
$$

Therefore
(16) $\quad \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{|y|^{n-m+k}} \leqslant \frac{n}{m-k} t^{m-k} \sup _{\tau \leqslant t} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}}\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y$

Thus, for any $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c_{3} t^{-k} \mathcal{M} u(0)+c_{4} t^{m-k} M \nabla_{m} u(0) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (13). The result follows.
Remark 1. The above proof enables one to improve (13) replacing $M$ by the maximal operator

$$
\sup _{t>0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{t}} \sum_{|\gamma|=k}\left|\int_{B_{t}(x)} u(y) H_{\gamma}\left(t^{-1}(y-x)\right) \mathrm{d} y\right|
$$

Here $\left\{H_{\gamma}\right\}_{|\gamma|=k}$ is a collection of bounded measurable functions such that, for all multiindices $\alpha$ of order $|\alpha| \leqslant k$,

$$
\int_{B_{n}} y^{\alpha} H_{\gamma}(y) d y=\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}
$$

where $\delta_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ is Kronecker's symbol.

Remark 2. One can specify the constants $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ in (17) but we do not dwell upon this being unaware if the values obtained are best possible. In the special case $k=1, m=2$ a direct generalization of $(5)$ is proved as follows. First, (14) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u(0)}{\partial x_{i}}=-t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}} u(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\left(\eta\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{B_{t}} y_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} u(y)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}} \int_{|y| / t}^{\infty} \eta\left(\rho \frac{y}{|y|}\right) \varrho^{n-1} \mathrm{~d} \rho \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{|y|^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(y)=\frac{n+1}{\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{1}}(1-|y|)_{+} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant t^{-1} \frac{n+1}{\text { meas }_{n} B_{t}}\left|\int_{B_{t}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} \mathrm{d} y\right| \\
\quad+\frac{1}{\text { meas }_{n-1} \partial B_{1}} \int_{B_{t}}\left|\nabla_{2} u(y)\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{|y|^{n-1}} .
\end{array}
$$

By (16) the second term on the right is not greater than $t \mathcal{M} \nabla_{2} u(0)$. Therefore

$$
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant \frac{n+1}{t} \mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(0)+t \mathcal{M} \nabla_{2} u(0)
$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{\circ}$ is a multidimensional generalization of (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x)=\sup _{t>0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{t}}\left|\int_{B_{t}(x)} u(y) \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \mathrm{d} y\right| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \leqslant 4(n+1) \mathcal{M}^{\ominus} u(x) \mathcal{M} \nabla_{2} u(x)
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Rem ark 3. Suppose that instead of $\mathcal{M}$ we use the modified maximal operator $M_{\delta}$ given by

$$
M_{\delta \varphi}(x)=\sup _{0<r<\delta}\left(\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{B_{r}(x)}|\varphi(y)| d y
$$

Then the proof of Theorem 1 (with small changes) leads to the following alternatives: either

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(x)<\frac{m-k}{m} \delta^{m} \mathcal{M}_{\delta} \nabla_{m} u(x)
$$

and

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(M_{\delta} u(x)\right)^{1-k / m}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\delta} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{k / m}
$$

or

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(x) \geqslant \frac{m-k}{m} \delta^{m} \mathcal{M}_{\delta} \nabla_{m l} u(x)
$$

and

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c \delta^{-k} \mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(x)
$$

As a consequence, the local variant of (13)

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\delta^{-m} \mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(x)+\mathcal{M}_{\delta} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{k / m}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(x)\right)^{1-k / m}
$$

is valid with $c$ independent of $\delta$. A similar remark can be made concerning Theorems $2-4$ in the next sections.

Remark 4. Estimate (13) leads directly to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg ([8], [9]) inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{k} u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{*}} \leqslant c\left\|u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{q}}^{1-k / m}\left\|\nabla_{m} u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{r}}^{k / m}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<q \leqslant \infty, 1<p \leqslant \infty$ and

$$
\frac{1}{s}=\frac{k}{m} \frac{1}{p}+\left(1-\frac{k}{m}\right) \frac{1}{q}
$$

Indeed, by (13) the left-hand side of (20) does not exceed

$$
c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\mathcal{M} u(x))^{s(1-k / m)}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{s k / m} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / r}
$$

which by Hölder's inequality is majorized by

$$
c\left\|\mathcal{M} u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{\eta}}^{1-k / m}\left\|\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u ; \mathbb{R}^{r_{r}}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{k / m}
$$

and it remains to refer to the boundedness of the operator $\mathcal{M}$ in $L_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<\sigma \leqslant \infty$.
3. INTERPOLATION INEQUALITY FOR THE RIESZ POTENTIALS

If $m$ is even and $u$ is the Riesz potential of order $m$ with non-negative density, then the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c \mathcal{M} u(x)^{1-k / m}\left(\mathcal{M} \Delta^{m / 2} u(x)\right)^{k / m} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is stronger than (2), follows directly from Hedberg's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t} f(x) \leqslant c\left(I_{\tau} f(x)\right)^{t / \tau}(\mathcal{M} f(x))^{1-t / \tau} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<t<\tau<n, f$ is a non-negative locally summable function, and the Riesz potential is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t} f(x)=c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{f(y)}{|y-x|^{n-t}} \mathrm{~d} y \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[10]$ or $[6]$, Proposition $3.1 .2(b))$. The constant $c$ in $(23)$ is chosen in such a way that $I_{t}=(-\Delta)^{-t / 2}$, i.e.

$$
I_{t} f(x)=F_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}|\xi|^{-t} F_{x \rightarrow \xi} f(x)
$$

where $F$ is the Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
However, in our case $u$ is not a potential with non-negative density and we cannot refer to (22). Nevertheless, (21) is a direct corollary of the following assertion which seems to be of independent interest.

Theorem 2. Let $z$ and $\zeta$ be complex numbers subject to

$$
0<\operatorname{Re} z<\operatorname{Re} \zeta<n
$$

and let $I_{z} f$ denote the Riesz potential of order $z$ defined by (23) where $t=z$ and $f$ is a complex valued function in $L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support. Then there exists a constant $c$ independent of $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{z} f(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\mathcal{M} I_{\zeta} f(x)\right)^{\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}(\mathcal{M} f(x))^{1-\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proof. Denote by $\chi$ a function in the Schwartz space $S$ such that $F \chi=1$ in a neighbourhood of the origin. From the identity

$$
|\xi|^{-2}=|\xi|^{\kappa^{-2}} F_{\chi}(\xi)|\xi|^{-5}+|\xi|^{-2}(1-F \chi(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{z} f(0)=P * I_{\zeta} f(0)+Q * f(0), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where * stands for the convolution and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x)=c_{1} F_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}\left(|\xi|^{-z} F \chi(\xi)\right), \\
& Q(x)=c_{2} F_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}\left(|\xi|^{-=}(1-F \chi(\xi)) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m$ be a positive integer such that

$$
0 \leqslant 2 m-\operatorname{Re} \zeta+\operatorname{Re} z<2
$$

In the case $n \geqslant 2$ we have

$$
|P(x)|=c\left|\Delta_{x}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\chi(y) \mathrm{d} y}{|x-y|^{n-2 m+\zeta-z}}\right| \leqslant c(|x|+1)^{-n-\operatorname{Re} \zeta+\operatorname{Re} z}
$$

Analogously, for $n=1$ we obtain

$$
|P(x)|=c\left|\partial_{x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x-y) \chi(y) \mathrm{d} y}{|x-y|^{1+\zeta-z}}\right| \leqslant c(|x|+1)^{-1-\operatorname{Re} \varsigma+\operatorname{Re} z}
$$

Hence
(26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{*} I_{\zeta} f(0)\right| & \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|I_{\zeta} f(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y}{(|y|+1)^{n+\operatorname{Re} \zeta-\operatorname{Re} z}} \\
& =c \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{B_{e}}\left|I_{\zeta} f(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y \frac{\mathrm{~d} \varphi}{(\varrho+1)^{n+1+\operatorname{Re} \zeta-\operatorname{Rez}}} \\
& \leqslant c M I_{\zeta} f(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $|\xi|^{-z}(1-F \eta(\xi))$ is smooth which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q(y)| \leqslant c(N)|y|^{-N} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|y| \geqslant 1$ and for sufficiently large $N$. If $|y|<1$ we have

$$
|Q(y)| \leqslant c|y|^{-n+\operatorname{Re} z}+\left|I_{z} \chi(y)\right|
$$

and since the second term on the right is bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Q(y)| \leqslant c|y|^{-n+\operatorname{Re} z} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|y|<1$. Using (27) and (28) we arrive at

$$
|Q * f(0)| \leqslant c\left(\int_{|y|>1} \frac{|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y}{|y|^{n+1}}+\int_{|y| \leqslant 1} \frac{|f(y)| \mathrm{d} y}{|y|^{n-\operatorname{Re} z}}\right) \leqslant c \mathcal{M} f(0)
$$

Combining this inequality with (26) we obtain from (25) that

$$
\left|I_{z} f(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(\mathcal{M} I_{\zeta} f(0)+\mathcal{M} f(0)\right)
$$

Now the dilation $y \rightarrow y / r$ with an arbitrary positive $r$ implies

$$
\left|I_{z} f(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(r^{\mathrm{Re} z-\operatorname{Re} \zeta} M I_{\zeta} f(0)+r^{\operatorname{Re} z} \mathcal{M} f(0)\right)
$$

and it remains to minimize the right-hand side with respect to $r$.
The following analogue of the inequality ( 20 ) can be easily obtained from (24).
Corollary 1. Let $1<q \leqslant \infty, 1<p \leqslant \infty$, and

$$
\frac{1}{S}=\left(1-\frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{\operatorname{Re} \zeta}\right)^{1} \frac{\operatorname{Re} z 1}{\operatorname{Re} \zeta} \frac{1}{q}
$$

Then
(29) $\quad\left\|I_{z} f ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{s}} \leqslant c\left\|I_{\zeta} f ; \mathbb{P}^{n}\right\|_{L_{q}}^{\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}\left\|f ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{1-\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}$

Proof. By (24), the left-hand side in (29) does not exceed

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\mathcal{M} I_{\zeta} f(x)\right)^{s \operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}(\mathcal{M} f(x))^{s(1-\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta)} \mathrm{d} x
$$

which by Hölder's inequality is majorized by

$$
c\left\|\mathcal{M} I_{\zeta} f ; \mathbb{B}^{n}\right\|_{L_{q}}^{\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}\left\|\mathcal{M} f ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{\mu}}^{1-\operatorname{Re} z / \operatorname{Re} \zeta}
$$

It remains to refer to the boundednesss of the operator $\mathcal{M}$ in $L_{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1<\sigma \leqslant \infty$.

Remark 5. Note that Hedberg's inequality (22) with $f \geqslant 0$ follows from (24) since, obviously,

$$
\int_{B_{r}} I_{\tau} f(y) \mathrm{d} y \leqslant c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{r^{n} f(y) \mathrm{d} y}{r^{n-\tau}+|y|^{n-\tau}}
$$

and hence
(30)

$$
M I_{T} f(x) \leqslant c I_{T} f(x) \text { a.e. }
$$

if $f$ is non-negative. Moreover, the proof of Corollary 1 , along with $(30)$, gives inequality (29) with real $z, \zeta$ and with non-negative $f$ also for $q \in(0,1]$. (This is an alternative proof of the corresponding inequality in Theorem 3.1 .6 of $[6]$.)

## 4. Multidimensional variants of inequality (10)

Let $m$ be a fractional number with $[m]$ and $\{m\}$ denoting its integer and fractional parts. We introduce the function

$$
\left(\mathcal{D}_{p, m} u\right)(x)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{\{m \mid} u(x)-\nabla_{[m \mid} u(y)\right|^{p}|x-y|^{-n-p\{m\}} \mathrm{d} y\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $k, l$ be integers and let $m$ be fractional, $0 \leqslant l \leqslant k<m$. Then there exists a positive constant $c=c(k, l, m, n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left[\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} u(x)\right]^{\frac{m-k}{m-1}}\left[\mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(x)\right]^{\frac{k-1}{n-1}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Proof. It suffices to prove inequality (31) for $l=0$ and $x=0$. By (14) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{k} \mathcal{M} u(0)+t^{[m \mid-k}\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right|\right.  \tag{32}\\
& \left.\quad+\int_{B_{t}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(y)-\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right|}{|y|^{n-[m]+k}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{t}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(y)-\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right|}{|y|^{n-\mid m]+k}} \mathrm{~d} y \leqslant c t^{m-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the function $\eta$, introduced in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain for any multiindex $\gamma$ of order $[m]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\gamma} u(0)=t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}} \eta\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \partial^{\gamma} u(y) \mathrm{d} y+t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}} \eta\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)\left[\partial^{\gamma} u(0)-\partial^{\gamma}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence
(35) $\quad\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right| \leqslant t^{-n-[m]}\left|\int_{B_{t}} u(y)\left(\nabla_{[m]} \eta\right)\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) \mathrm{d} y\right|$

$$
+t^{\{m\}}\left(\int_{B_{t}}|\eta(y)|^{q}|y|^{\left(\frac{1}{v}+\{m\}\right) q} d y\right)^{1 / q} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$. Combining (32), (33) and (35) we arrive at

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{-k} \mathcal{M} u(0)+t^{m-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)\right)
$$

The minimization of the right-hand side in $t$ completes the proof.

Kemark 6. The same argument as in Remark 4 applied to (31) gives the inequality

$$
\left\|\nabla_{k} u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{*}} \leqslant c\left\|u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{q}}^{1-k / m}\left\|\mathcal{D}_{p, m} u ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\|_{L_{p}}^{k / m}
$$

where $m$ is fractional, $k<m, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, and $q, s$ are the same as in Remark 4
Remark 7, By (35), inequality (10) can be easily extended to the $n$-dimensional case. Indeed, let $m \in(1,2)$. Then, inserting the function (18) into (35) we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\nabla u(0)| \leqslant t^{-1} \frac{n+1}{\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{t}}\left|\int_{B_{t}} u(y) \frac{y}{|y|} \mathrm{d} y\right| \\
& +t^{m-1} \frac{n+1}{\operatorname{meas} B_{1}}\left(\int_{B_{1}}(1-|y|)^{q}|y|\left(\frac{n}{p}+m-1\right) q\right. \\
& \mathrm{d} y)^{1 / q} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u(0)| \leqslant t^{-1}(n+1) M^{\circ} u(0) & (n) \\
& +\frac{t^{m-1}(n+1) n^{1 / q}}{\left(\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{1}\right)^{1 / p}}(\mathbf{B}(q(m+n-1), q+1))^{1 / q} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{M}^{\circ}$ given by (19). The minimization of the right-hand side results in the inequality

$$
|\nabla u(x)|^{m} \leqslant \frac{(n+1)^{m} m^{m}(n \mathbf{B}(q(m+n-1), q+1))^{1 / q}}{(m-1)^{m-1}\left(\operatorname{meas}_{n} B_{1}\right)^{1 / p}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\circ} u(x)\right)^{m-1} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(x)
$$

containing (10) as a special case. In particular for $p=\infty, m=\alpha+1,0<\alpha<1$, we have

$$
|\nabla u(x)|^{\alpha+1} \leqslant \frac{n(n+1)^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}}{(n+\alpha)(n+\alpha+1) \alpha^{\alpha}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{0} u(x)\right)^{\alpha} \sup _{y} \frac{|\nabla u(y)-\nabla u(x)|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}}
$$

which is a multidimensional generalization of (11).
We conclude this section with two inequalities of the same nature as (31)

## Theorem 4.

(i) Let $k, m$ be integers, and let $l$ be noninteger, $0<l<k \leqslant m$. Then there exists a positive constant $c=c(k, l, m, n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\mathcal{D}_{p, I} u(x)\right)^{\frac{m-k}{m-l}}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u(x)\right)^{\frac{k-1}{m-l}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(ii) Let $k$ be integer and let $l, m$ be noninteger, $0<l<k<m$. Then there exists a positive constant $c=c(k, l, m, n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(x)\right| \leqslant c\left(\mathcal{D}_{p, t} u(x)\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m-1}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(x)\right)^{\frac{k-1}{m-}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$
Proof. It is sufficient to take $l \in(0,1)$ and $x=0$.
(i) Since the function $\partial^{\beta+\gamma}\left(y^{\beta} \eta(y)\right)$ in (14) is orthogonal to 1 in $L_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)$, it follows from (14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{-n-k} \int_{B_{t}}|u(y)-u(0)| \mathrm{d} y+\int_{B_{t}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{m} u(y)\right|}{|y|^{n-m+k}} \mathrm{~d} y\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Holder's inequality, applied to the first integral and by (16) we have

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, l} u(0)+t^{m-k} \mathcal{M} \nabla_{m}(x)\right)
$$

The result follows.
(ii) By (38) with $m$ replaced by $[m]$
$\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{l-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, l} u(0)+t^{[m]-k}\left|\nabla_{\mid m]} u(0)\right|+\int_{B_{t}} \frac{\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(y)-\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right|}{|y|^{n-[m]+k}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)$.
By (33) the third term in the right-hand side does not exceed

$$
t^{m-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)
$$

Now we note that (35) implies

$$
\left|\nabla_{[m]} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{t-[m]} \mathcal{D}_{p, l} u(0)+t^{\{m\}} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\nabla_{k} u(0)\right| \leqslant c\left(t^{-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, l} u(0)+t^{m-k} \mathcal{D}_{p, m} u(0)\right)
$$

The result follows.
5. APPLICATIONS TO THE THEORY OF MULTIPLIERS IN SOBOLEV SPACES
5.1. The maximal algebra in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$,

Let $m$ and $l$ be integers, $m \geqslant l$, and let $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ denote the space of pointwise multipliers acting from $W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see [11]). Analytical descriptions of $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ as well as separate necessary and sufficient conditions for the membership in this multiplier space can be found in [11]. We characterize the maximal algebra in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ by using inequality (13)

Theorem 5. The maximal Banach algebra in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right), m \geqslant l$, $1<p<\infty$, is isomorphic to the space

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \cap L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 8. In the case $m=l$ the statement of Theorem 5 is trivial since the multiplier space $M\left(W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is an algebra and is embedded into $L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $A$ be a subalgebra of $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ Then, for any $N=1,2, \ldots$ and for any $\gamma \in A, u \in W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\left\|\gamma^{N} u\right\|_{L_{\mu}}^{1 / N} \leqslant\left\|\gamma^{N} u\right\|_{W_{p}^{\prime}}^{1 / N} \leqslant c^{1 / N}\|\gamma\|_{A}\|u\|_{W_{p}^{\prime}}^{1 / N}
$$

(Here and elsewhere in the present section we omit $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in the notations of norms.) Passing to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\gamma \in L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Hence $A$ is a part of the intersection (39).

Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ belong to (39). Then, for any $u \in W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\nabla_{1}\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} u\right)\right\|_{L_{p}} \leqslant & c\left(\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{x}}\left\|\nabla_{t}\left(\gamma_{2} u\right)\right\|_{L_{p}}\right.  \tag{40}\\
& +\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \sum_{h=1}^{l}\left\|\nabla_{h} \gamma_{1}\right\| \nabla_{l-h} u \|_{L_{p}} \\
& \left.+\sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \sum_{k=1}^{l-h}\left\|\nabla_{h} \gamma_{1}\right\| \nabla_{k} \gamma_{2}\left\|\nabla_{t-h-k} u\right\| \|_{L_{p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in the right-hand side is majorized by

$$
c\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{M\left(W_{\gamma^{*}} \rightarrow W_{p}^{\prime}\right)}\|u\|_{W_{r}^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

Before estimating the second term we note that if $\Gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ then, for any $h=0, \ldots, l$,

$$
\nabla_{h} \Gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l-h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right) \subset M\left(W_{p}^{m-l+h}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)
$$

and the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{h} \Gamma\right\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m-l+h} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leqslant c\|\Gamma\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m} \rightarrow W_{r}^{l}\right)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds (see [11], Section 1.3). Therefore, the second term in the right-hand side of (30) is not greater than

$$
c\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\right)}\|u\|_{W_{p^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

To estimate the remaining terms in the right-hand side of (40) we need the inequality

$$
\left|\nabla_{h} \Gamma(x)\right| \leqslant c\|\Gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{k}{h+T}}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{h+k} \Gamma(x)\right)^{\frac{h}{k+h}}
$$

stemming from (13). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nabla_{h} \gamma_{1}\right\| \nabla_{k} \gamma_{2}\left\|\nabla_{l-h-k} u\right\| \|_{L_{r}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{k}{h+k}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\left\|\left.\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{1}\right)^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{2}\right)^{\frac{h}{h+k}} \right\rvert\, \nabla_{l-h-k} u\right\| \|_{L_{p}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{k}{h+k}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\left\|\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{1}\right)\left|\nabla_{l-h-k} u\left\|_{L_{r}}^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\right\|\left(M \nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{2}\right)\right| \nabla_{l-h-k} u\right\| \|_{L_{p}}^{\frac{k}{h+k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Verbitsky's theorem (see [12], Lemma 3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{M} \Gamma\|_{M\left(W_{j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \leqslant c\|\Gamma\|_{M\left(W_{j} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which along with (41) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mid \nabla_{h} \gamma_{1}\right\| \nabla_{k} \gamma_{2}\left\|\nabla_{t-h-k} u\right\| \|_{L_{r}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{h k}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\left\|\mid \nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{1}\right\| \nabla_{t-h-k} u\| \|_{L_{p}}^{\frac{h}{h+k}}\left\|\nabla_{h+k} \gamma_{2}\right\| \nabla_{l-h-k} u \|_{L_{r}}^{\frac{k}{h+k}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{1+k}{1+k}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\frac{h}{h k}}\left\|\gamma_{1}\right\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{\prime}\right)}^{\frac{1}{h+k}}\left\|\gamma_{2}\right\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{t},\right.}^{\frac{1}{h+k}}\|u\|_{W_{r}^{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

From Theorem 5 and the description of $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ obtained in [11, Chapter 1] we arrive at

Corollary 2. The maximal algebra in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right), m \geqslant l$, consists of $\gamma \in W_{p, 10 c}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the finite norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{c \subset R_{r}, \operatorname{diam}(e) \leqslant 1} \frac{\left\|\nabla_{l} \gamma ; e\right\|_{L_{p}}}{\left(\operatorname{cap}\left(e, W_{p}^{z n}\right)\right)^{1 / p}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e$ is a compact set and cap $\left(e, W_{p}^{m}\right)$ is the capacity of e generated by the norm in $W_{p}^{\geqslant n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{cap}\left(e, W_{p}^{m}\right)=\inf \left\{\|u\|_{W_{r}^{\prime \prime}}^{p}: u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), u \geqslant 1 \text { on } e\right\}
$$

In the case $m p>n$ the norm (43) can be simplified as

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\|\nabla_{i \gamma}, B_{1}(x)\right\|_{L_{r}}+\|\gamma\|_{L_{\infty}}
$$

which also follows from the fact that the norm in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is equivalent to the norm

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\|\gamma ; B_{1}(x)\right\|_{W_{r^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

in the case $m p>n$ ([11, Chapter 1]).
5.2. Estimate for the norm in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$.

According to Theorem $1.3 .2 / 1[11]$, the equivalence relation

$$
\|\gamma\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\right)} \sim\left\|\nabla_{l} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}+\|\gamma\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m-1} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}
$$

holds with $m p \leqslant n$ and $m \geqslant 1$. The proof of the upper estimate for the norm in $M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow W_{p}^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ given in [11] is based on the complex interpolation. The inequality (13) enables one to arrive at the same result in a different way. The argument is as follows.
Let $\gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\nabla_{l} \gamma \in M\left(W_{p}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$. For any $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$

$$
\|\gamma u\|_{w_{r}^{\prime}} \leqslant c\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l}\left\|\nabla_{k} \gamma \nabla_{l-k} u\right\|_{L_{r}}+\|\gamma u\|_{L_{r}}\right)
$$

By (13) and the Hölder inequality we have for $k=1, \ldots, l-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\nabla_{k \gamma} \nabla_{l-k} u\right\|_{L_{r}} \leqslant c\left\|(\mathcal{M} \gamma)^{1-k / l}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} \gamma\right)^{k / l}(\mathcal{M} u)^{k / l}\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} u\right)^{1-k / l}\right\|_{L_{r}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|(\mathcal{M} \gamma)\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} u\right)\right\|_{L_{r}}^{1-k / l}\left\|\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} \gamma\right)(\mathcal{M} u)\right\|_{L_{r}}^{k / l} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly,
$\left|\nabla_{l} u\right| \leqslant c I_{m-l}\left|\nabla_{m} u\right|, \quad|u| \leqslant c I_{m}\left|\nabla_{m} u\right|$.
Hence,

$$
\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} u \leqslant c I_{m-l} \mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u, \quad \mathcal{M} u \leqslant c I_{m} \mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u .
$$

This along with (42) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(\mathcal{M} \gamma)\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{l} u\right)\right\|_{L_{r}} & \leqslant c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{\prime,} \rightarrow W_{r}^{\prime}\right)}\left\|I_{m-l} \mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u\right\|_{W_{r}^{m-1}} \\
& \leqslant c\|\gamma\|_{M\left(W_{r}^{m-1} \rightarrow L_{r}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u\right\|_{L_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\mathcal{M} \nabla_{i} \gamma\right)(\mathcal{M} u)\right\|_{L_{p}} & \leqslant c\left\|\nabla_{l} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{m} \rightarrow W_{r}^{\prime}\right)}\left\|I_{m} \mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u\right\|_{W_{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leqslant c\left\|\nabla_{i} \gamma\right\|_{M\left(W_{p}^{\prime m} \rightarrow L_{p}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{M} \nabla_{m} u\right\|_{L_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows from (44) and the boundedness of the operator $\mathcal{M}$ in $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
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