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#### Abstract

Ordered prime spectra of Boolean products of bounded DRl-monoids are described by means of their decompositions to the prime spectra of the components.
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R. Cignoli and $A$. Torrens in [4] described the ordered prime spectrum of an $M V$ algebra which is a weak Boolean product of $M V$-algebras by means of the ordered spectra of those simpler algebras. In [8] and [9] it is shown that $M V$-algebras are in a one-to-one correspondence with $D R 1$-monoids from a subclass of the class of bounded $D R l$-monoids. The boundedness of $D R l$-monoids leads to the fact that in any $M V$-algebra the ideals in the sense of $M V$-algebras coincide with those in the sense of $D R l$-monoids, and by [10], Proposition 4 , the analogous relationship is also valid for the prime ideals.

In this paper we generalize the result of Cignoli and Torrens in [4] concerning the prime spectra of weak Boolean products of $M V$-algebras to bounded DRL-monoids.

Let us recall the notions of an $M V$-algebra and a $D R L$-monoid.
An algebra $A=(A, \oplus,-, 0)$ of signature $(2,1,0)$ is called an $M V$-algebra if it satisfies the following identities:
$(\mathrm{MV1}) x \oplus(y \oplus z)=(x \oplus y) \oplus z ;$
(MV2) $x \oplus y=y \oplus x$;
(MV3) $x \oplus 0=x$;
$(\mathrm{MV} 4) \neg \rightarrow x=x$
$(\mathrm{MV} 5) x \oplus \neg 0=\neg 0$;

[^0]$(\mathrm{MV6}) \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y=\neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$.
It is known that $M V$-algebras were introduced by C. C. Chang in [2] and [3] as an algebraic counterpart of the Lukasiewicz infinite valued propositional logic and that by D. Mundici [6] they can be viewed as intervals of commutative lattice ordered groups ( $l$-groups) with a strong order unit.

If $A$ is an $M V$-algebra, set $x \vee y=\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y$ and $x \wedge y=\neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$ for any $x, y \in A$. Then $(A, \vee, \wedge, 0, \rightarrow 0)$ is a bounded distributive lattice and $(A, \oplus, \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice ordered monoid ( $l$-monoid).

An algebra $A=(A,+, 0, \vee, \Lambda,-)$ of signature $\langle 2,0,2,2,2\rangle$ is called a DRl-monoid if it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $(A,+, 0)$ is a commutative monoid;
(2) $(A, V, \wedge)$ is a lattice;
(3) $(A,+, 0, V, \Lambda)$ is an $l$-monoid, i.e. $A$ satisfies the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+(y \vee z)=(x+y) \vee(x+z) \\
& x+(y \wedge z)=(x+y) \wedge(x+z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) if $\leqslant$ denotes the order induced by $(A, V, A)$ then $x-y$ is the smallest element $z \in A$ such that $y+z \geqslant x$ for each $x, y \in A$
(5) A satisfies the identity

$$
((x-y) \vee 0)+y=x \vee y .
$$

$D R L$-monoids were introduced by K. L. N. Swamy in $[11],[12],[13]$ as a common generalization of, among others, commutative $l$-groups and Brouwerian and Boolean al gebras. By [11], the DRl-monoids form a variety of algebras of signature $\{2,0,2,2,2\rangle$.

If $A$ is a $D R l$-monoid then by $[11]$, Theorem 2 , the lattice $(A, \vee, \wedge)$ is distributive. Moreover, if there exists a greatest element 1 in $A$ then by [5], Theorem 1.2.3, the lattice $(A, V, A)$ is bounded also below and 0 is a least element

Connections between $M V$-algebras and bounded $D R 1$-monoids were described in [8] and [9]. In the sequel we will consider bounded $D R l$-monoids as algebras $A=$ $(A,+, 0, \vee, \Lambda,-, 1)$ of signature $(2,0,2,2,2,0)$ enlarged by one nullary operation 1 Denote by $\mathcal{D R} l_{1(\mathrm{i})}$ the equational category of bounded DRl -monoids satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(1-x)=x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by $M \mathcal{V}$ the equational category of $M V$-algebras. By [9], Theorem 3, the categories $\mathcal{D R} l_{1(\mathrm{i})}$ and $\mathcal{M V}$ are isomorphic.

If $A$ is a bounded $D R l$-monoid and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq A$ then $I$ is called an ideal in $A$ if 1. $\forall a, b \in I ; a+b \in I$
2. $\forall a \in I, x \in A ; x \leqslant a \Longrightarrow x \in I$.

For any elements $c$ and $d$ of a $D R l$-monoid $A$ set $c * d=(c-d) \vee(d-c)$. Then we have

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be a $D R l$-monoid and $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$. If $a, b \in A, a * b \in I$ and $a \in I$, then $b \in I$.

Proof. For any $a, b \in A$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & \leqslant((a-b)+a) \vee b \leqslant((a-b)+a) \vee((b-a)+a) \\
& =((a-b) \vee(b-a))+a=(a * b)+a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $a * b \in I$ and $a \in I$ imply $b \in I$.
The $M V$-algebra corresponding to a given $D R l$-monoid $A=(A,+, 0, \vee, \wedge,-)$ from $\mathcal{D R} l_{1(i)}$ is $(A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$, where $x \oplus y=x+y$ and $\neg x=1-x$ for any $x, y \in A$. Hence we have ([8]) that ideals in the mutually corresponding $M V$-algebras and $D R l$-monoids coincide. By [10] this is also true for prime ideals, and by [10], Propositions 4 and 5, prime ideals are in both types of algebras just finitely meet irreducible elements of the lattices of ideals. That means, if $\mathcal{I}(A)$ denotes the lattice of ideals of a bounded $D R l$-monoid $A$ then $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ is a prime ideal in $A$ if it satisfies

$$
\forall J, K \in \mathcal{I}(A) ; J \cap K=I \Longrightarrow J=I \text { or } K=I
$$

Equivalently, $I \in I(A)$ is prime if and only if

$$
\forall x, y \in A, x \wedge y \in I \Longrightarrow x \in I \text { or } y \in I \text {. }
$$

Let us denote by Spec $A$ the prime spectrum of $A$, le. the set of all proper prime ideals of a $D R L$-monoid $A$. Spec $A$ endowed with the spectral (i.e. hull-kernel) topology is a compact topological space by [7], Corollary 6.

Recall that a weak Boolean product (a Boolean product) of an indexed family ( $A_{\text {: }}$; $x \in X$ ) of algebras over a Boolean space $X$ is a subdirect product $A \leqslant \prod_{x \in X} A_{x}$ such that
(BP1) if $a, b \in A$ then $[a=b]]=\{x \in X ; a(x)=b(x)\}$ is open (clopen);
(BP2) if $a, b \in A$ and $U$ is a clopen subset of $X$, then $\left.a_{U} \cup b\right|_{X \cup U} \in A$, where $\left(\left.\left.a\right|_{U} \cup b\right|_{X \backslash U}\right)(x)=a$ for $x \in U$ and $\left(\left.\left.a\right|_{U} \cup b\right|_{X \mid U}\right)=b$ for $x \in X \backslash U$. (See [1] or [4]])

The following theorem makes it possible to compose the ordered prime spectrum of a weak Boolean product of bounded DRl -monoids from the prime spectra of the
components of this product and so it is a generalization of Theorem 2.3 in [4] for $M V$ algebras.

Theorem 2. Let a DRl-monoid A with a greatest element 1 be a weak Boolean product over a Boolean space $X$ of a system $\left(A_{x} ; x \in X\right)$ of $D R l$-monoids with greatest elements. Then the ordered prime spectrum (Spec A, $\subseteq$ ) is isomorphic to the cardinal sum of the ordered prime spectra (Spec $A_{w}, \subseteq$ ), $x \in X$

Proof. Let us denote $I_{r}=\{c \in A ; c(x)=0\}$ for any $x \in X$. Let $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ and let us suppose that $I_{x} \notin P$ for each $x \in X$. Then for any $x \in X$ there exists $b_{x} \in I_{x} \backslash P$. Obviously $X=\bigcup_{x \in X}\left[b_{x}=0\right]$. Hence by condition ( BP 1 ) there is a finite subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in X$ such that $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left[b_{x_{i}}=0\right]$. Since $0 \leqslant b_{x_{1}} \wedge$ $\because \wedge b_{x_{n}} \leqslant b_{x_{i}}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$, we have $\left.\left[\left[b_{x_{i}}=0\right]\right] \subseteq\left[b_{x_{1}} \wedge \ldots, \wedge b_{x_{n}}=0\right]\right]$, hence $\bigcup\left[\left[b_{x_{i}}=0\right]\right] \subseteq\left[\left[b_{x_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge b_{x_{v}}=0\right]\right]$, and thus $b_{x_{1}} \wedge . . \wedge b_{x_{n}}=0 \in P$. By the assumption $P$ is prime, therefore $b_{x_{k}} \in P$ for some $k=1, \ldots, n$, a contradiction. This implies that there exists at least one $x \in X$ such that $I_{x} \subseteq P$. We will show that such $x$ is unique for $P$. For this, let $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, be such that $I_{x} \subseteq P, I_{y} \subseteq P$. The space $X$ is Boolean, hence there is a clopen subset $V \subseteq X$ such that $x \in V$ and $y \in X \backslash V, A$ is a subalgebra of $\prod_{r \in X} A_{r}$, thus $0=(\ldots, 0, \ldots), 1=(\ldots, 1, \ldots) \in A$ Hence by (BP2), 0|V $\cup \|_{x \mid V} \in A$, and so $\left.0\right|_{V} \cup 1_{x \mid V} \in I_{x} \in P$. Analogously $\|\left._{V} \cup 0\right|_{X \backslash V} \in I_{y} \subseteq P$. Moreover, $\left(\left.0\right|_{V} \cup 1 \mid x \backslash V\right)+(1|v \cup 0| X \backslash V)=1$, hence $1 \in P$. and therefore $P=A$, a contradiction.

Let us now set $H\left(I_{x}\right)=\left\{P \in \operatorname{Spec} A ; I_{x} \subseteq P\right\}$ for any $x \in X$. Then from the preceding part it is clear that ( $\operatorname{Spec} A, \subseteq$ ) is isomorphic to the cardinal sum of the ordered sets $\left(H\left(I_{x}\right), \subseteq\right), x \in X$. We will show that the ordered sets $\left(H\left(I_{x}\right), \subseteq\right)$ and $\left(\right.$ Spec $\left.A_{x}, \subseteq\right)$ are isomorphic for any $x \in X$.

Let $P \in H\left(I_{x}\right)$ and $\varphi_{x}(P)=\{c(x) ; c \in P\}$. We will show that $\varphi_{x}(P) \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{x}$. Since $P \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ and $A$ is a subdirect product of $A_{x}$, it is obvious that $\varphi_{x}(P) \in \mathcal{I}\left(A_{x}\right)$.

Suppose $1 \in \varphi_{x}(P)$. Then there exists $c \in P$ such that $c(x)=1$. Hence $(c * 1)(x)=$ 0 , thus $c * 1 \in I_{x} \subseteq P$. Moreover, $c \in P$, therefore $1 \in P$ by Lemma 1, a contradiction with $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Hence $\varphi_{x}(P)$ is a proper ideal in $A_{x}$

Let $v, z \in A_{x}$ and $v \wedge z \in \varphi_{x}(P)$. Then there exist $c, d \in A$ and $a \in P$ such that $c(x)=v, d(x)=z$ and $a(x)=v \wedge z=(c \wedge d)(x)$. Hence $((c \wedge d) * a)(x)=0$, that means $(c \wedge d) * a \in I_{x} \subseteq P$, and since $a \in P$, we get $c \wedge d \in P$ by Lemma 1. Therefore $c \in P$ or $d \in P$, and so $v \in \varphi_{x}(P)$ or $z \in \varphi_{x}(P)$. That means $\varphi_{x}(P)$ is a prime ideal in $A_{x}$.

Therefore the assignment $\varphi_{x} P \longmapsto \varphi_{x}(P)$ is a mapping from $H\left(I_{x}\right)$ into $\operatorname{spec} A_{*}$ :

Let $Q \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{x}$. Put $\psi_{x}(Q)=\{a \in A ; a(x) \in Q\}$. Clearly $\psi_{x}(Q) \neq A$ and hence it is obvious that $\psi_{x}(Q)$ is a proper ideal in $A$. Moreover, $I_{x} \subseteq \psi_{x}(Q)$. Let $c, d \in A$ be such that $c \wedge d \in \psi_{x}(Q)$. Then $c(x) \in Q$ or $d(x) \in Q$, therefore $c \in \psi_{x}(Q)$ or $d \in \psi_{x}(Q)$. That means $\psi_{x}(Q) \in H\left(I_{x}\right)$.

From this we get that $\varphi_{x}$ is a bijection of $H\left(I_{x}\right)$ onto Spec $A_{x}$ and that $\psi_{x}=\varphi_{x}^{-1}$. Moreover, both the bijections respect set inclusion, hence they are order isomorphisms.

Remark. It is obvious that the assertion of Theorem 2 can be modified for any subvariety of the variety $\mathcal{D R} l_{1}$ of all bounded $D R l$-monoids. For instance, it is valid for $M V$-algebras (see [4], Theorem 2.3) and Brouwerian algebras.
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