

Bohdan Zelinka

Location-domatic number of a graph

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 123 (1998), No. 1, 67–71

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126298>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LOCATION-DOMATIC NUMBER OF A GRAPH

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received September 18, 1996)

Abstract. A subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph G is called locating-dominating, if for each $x \in V(G) - D$ there exists a vertex $y \in D$ adjacent to x and for any two distinct vertices x_1, x_2 of $V(G) - D$ the intersections of D with the neighbourhoods of x_1 and x_2 are distinct. The maximum number of classes of a partition of $V(G)$ whose classes are locating-dominating sets in G is called the location-domatic number of G . Its basic properties are studied.

Keywords: locating-dominating set, location-domatic partition, location-domatic number, domatic number

MSC 1991: 05C35

In this paper we will introduce the location-domatic number of a graph. All graphs considered will be finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

The location-domatic number of a graph is a variant of the domatic number, introduced by E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi. A dominating set in a graph G is a subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G with the property that for each vertex $x \in V(G) - D$ there exists a vertex $y \in D$ adjacent to x . A partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are dominating sets in G , is called a domatic partition of G . The maximum number of classes of a domatic partition of G is called the domatic number of G and denoted by $d(G)$.

A special case of a dominating set is a locating-dominating set. It was defined by D. F. Rall and P. J. Slater in [2]. Let $N_G(x)$ denote the open neighborhood of a vertex x in a graph G , i.e. the set of all vertices which are adjacent to x in G . A dominating set D in a graph G is called locating-dominating in G , if for any two distinct vertices x_1, x_2 of $V(G) - D$ the intersections $D \cap N_G(x_1)$, $D \cap N_G(x_2)$ are distinct. In [2] also the location-domination number of G is defined as the minimum number of vertices of a locating-dominating set in G .

Now we can define the location-domatic number of G analogously to the domatic number. A partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are locating-dominating set in G , is called a location-domatic partition of G . The maximum number of classes of a location-domatic partition of G is called the location-domatic number of G and is denoted by $d_{\text{loc}}(G)$.

Note that $d_{\text{loc}}(G)$ is well-defined, because the whole set $V(G)$ is a locating-dominating set in G and therefore there exists at least one location-domatic partition of G , namely $\{V(G)\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let there exist three pairwise distinct vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 of G such that $N_G(x_1) = N_G(x_2) = N_G(x_3)$. Then*

$$d_{\text{loc}}(G) = 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that $d_{\text{loc}}(G) \geq 2$. Then there exist two disjoint locating-dominating sets D_1, D_2 in G . At least one of the sets $V(G) - D_1, V(G) - D_2$ contains at least two of the vertices x_1, x_2, x_3 . Without loss of generality let $V(G) - D_1$ contain x_1 and x_2 . As $N_G(x_1) = N_G(x_2)$, we have also $D_1 \cap N_G(x_1) = D_1 \cap N_G(x_2)$ and D_1 is not locating-dominating, which is a contradiction. This yields the result. \square

Theorem 2. *Let there exist two distinct vertices x_1, x_2 , of G such that $N_G(x_1) = N_G(x_2)$. Then*

$$d_{\text{loc}}(G) \leq 2.$$

Proof. Suppose that $d_{\text{loc}}(G) \geq 3$. Then there exist three pairwise disjoint locating-dominating sets D_1, D_2, D_3 in G . At least one of the sets $V(G) - D_1, V(G) - D_2, V(G) - D_3$ contains both the vertices x_1, x_2 . The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1. \square

The symbol Δ will denote the symmetric difference of sets. Then for any two vertices x, y of G the symbol $\varepsilon(x, y)$ will be defined as the number of elements of $N_G(x) \Delta N_G(y)$ while $\varepsilon(G)$ will denote the minimum of $\varepsilon(x, y)$ over all pairs of distinct vertices x, y of G .

Theorem 3. *For every graph G the inequality*

$$d_{\text{loc}}(G) \leq \varepsilon(G) + 2$$

holds.

Proof. Let $d = d_{loc}(G)$ and let $\{D_1, \dots, D_d\}$ be a location-domatic partition of G . Let x, y be vertices for which $\varepsilon(x, y) = \varepsilon(G)$ holds. First suppose that x, y are in distinct classes of the partition; without loss of generality let $x \in D_1, y \in D_2$. Then for $i = 3, \dots, d$ we have $D_i \cap N_G(x) \neq D_i \cap N_G(y)$. This is possible only if D_i contains a vertex of $N_G(x) \Delta N_G(y)$. As D_3, \dots, D_d are pairwise disjoint, we have $d - 2 \leq \varepsilon(x, y)$, which implies the assertion. If both x, y are in the same class of the partition, we have even $d - 1 \leq \varepsilon(x, y)$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let a graph G contain two vertices x_1, x_2 of degree 1 which are both adjacent to a vertex y . Then*

$$d_{loc}(G) = 1.$$

Proof. Suppose $d_{loc}(G) \geq 2$. As G contains vertices of degree 1, according to [1] its domatic number is at most 2 and hence also $d_{loc}(G) \leq 2$. Suppose $d_{loc}(G) = 2$ and let $\{D_1, D_2\}$ be a location-domatic partition of G . Without loss of generality let $y \in D_1$. The vertices x_1, x_2 are adjacent to no vertex of D_2 and hence $x_1 \in D_2, x_2 \in D_2$. Obviously $D_2 = V(G) - D_1$ and $D_1 \cap N_G(x_1) = D_1 \cap N_G(x_2) = \{y\}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{loc}(G) = 1$. \square

Now we can determine the location-domatic numbers of some well-known types of graphs.

Corollary 1. *For the complete graph K_n we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{loc}(K_2) &= 2, \\ d_{loc}(K_n) &= 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. *For the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{loc}(K_{1,1}) &= d_{loc}(K_{2,2}) = 2, \\ d_{loc}(K_{m,n}) &= 1 \quad \text{in the other cases.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. *For the circuit C_n we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{loc}(C_3) &= 1, \\ d_{loc}(C_n) &= 2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. Let the vertices of C_n be u_1, \dots, u_n and the edges $u_i u_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the subscript $i + 1$ being taken modulo n . The circuit C_3 is the complete graph K_3 and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(C_3) = 1$ by Corollary 1. For C_4 we have a location-domatic partition $\{\{u_1, u_2\}, \{u_3, u_4\}\}$ and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(C_4) \geq 2$. For $n \geq 5$ we have a location-domatic partition $\{D_1, D_2\}$, where D_1 (or D_2) is the set of all u_i with i odd (or even, respectively); hence also $d_{\text{loc}}(C_n) \geq 2$. If n is not divisible by 3 then $d_{\text{loc}}(C_n) \leq d(C_n) = 2$ and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(C_n) = 2$. If n is divisible by 3, then $d(C_n) = 3$ and the unique domatic partition with three classes is $\{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$, where D_i for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is the set of all u_j with $j \equiv i \pmod{3}$. Each vertex is adjacent to no vertex of its own class and to one vertex from each of the other classes. Thus $u_1 \in D_1 \subseteq V(C_n) - D_2$, $u_2 \in D_2$, $u_3 \in D_3 \subseteq V(C_n) - D_2$ and $D_2 \cap N_{C_n}(u_1) = D_2 \cap N_{C_n}(u_2) = \{u_2\}$, which implies that $\{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ is not location-domatic partition. Therefore $d_{\text{loc}}(C_n) = 2$ in this case, too. \square

By P_n we denote the path of length n , i.e. with n edges and $n + 1$ vertices.

Corollary 4. *For the path P_n we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{loc}}(P_2) &= 1, \\ d_{\text{loc}}(P_n) &= 2 \text{ for } n \neq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5. *Let p, q be integers, $q \geq 2$, $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then there exists a graph G with $d_{\text{loc}}(G) = p$, $d(G) = q$.*

P r o o f. We start with the case $p = q$. Let r be an integer, $r \geq 4q$. Let D_1, \dots, D_q be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices, let $|D_1| = r + 1$, $|D_i| = r$ for $i = 2, \dots, q$. Let the vertices of D_1 be $u, v(1, 1), \dots, v(1, r)$, let the vertices of D_i for $2 \leq i \leq q$ be $v(i, 1), \dots, v(i, r)$. Consider an auxiliary graph H ; it is the complete graph whose vertex set is $\{D_1, \dots, D_q\}$. If q is even, then H may be decomposed into $q - 1$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear factors F_1, \dots, F_{q-1} . If q is odd, then H may be decomposed into q pairwise edge-disjoint graphs F_1, \dots, F_q , each of which is a linear factor of a graph obtained from H by deleting one vertex. In any of these cases consider two sets D_i, D_j . Let h be the number such that the edge joining D_i and D_j in H belongs to F_h . Each vertex $v(i, k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, q$ will be joined by edges with the vertices $v(j, k - h), \dots, v(j, k + h)$, the numbers in brackets being taken modulo q . Moreover, the vertex $u \in D_1$ will be joined by edges with all vertices $v(i, 1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, q$. The resulting graph will be G_q . From the construction it is clear that $\{D_1, \dots, D_q\}$ is a location-domatic partition of G_q and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(G_q) \geq q$. On the other hand, the vertex u has degree $q - 1$. Hence the minimum degree $\delta(G_q) \leq q - 1$ and by [1] we have

$d_{\text{loc}}(G_q) \leq d(G_q) \leq \delta(G_q) + 1 \leq q$, which implies $d_{\text{loc}}(G_q) = d(G_q) = p = q$. Now let $3 \leq p \leq q - 1$. Take the graph G_q constructed above, add a new vertex w to it and join it by edges with all vertices $v(i, 1)$ for $2 \leq i \leq q$ and with all vertices $v(i, 2)$ for $2 \leq i \leq p - 1$. The resulting graph will be denoted by G_p . We have $\varepsilon(u, w) = p - 2$ and $d_{\text{loc}}(G_p) \leq p$ by Theorem 3. If we denote $\tilde{D} = \{w\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^q D_i$, then $\{D_1, \dots, D_{p-1}, \tilde{D}\}$ is a location-domatic partition of G_p and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(G_p) = p$. Now let $p = 2$. We take again the graph G_q . To it we add a new vertex w and join it by edges with the same vertices with which u was joined. The resulting graph will be G_2 . We have $\varepsilon(u, w) = 0$ and thus $d_{\text{loc}}(G_2) \leq 2$. If we denote $\tilde{D} = \{w\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^q D_i$, then $\{D_1, \tilde{D}\}$ is a location-domatic partition of G_2 and $d_{\text{loc}}(G_2) = 2$. Finally let $p = 1$. To G_q we add two new vertices w_1, w_2 and join them with the same vertices with which u was joined. The resulting graph will be G_1 . We have $N_{G_1}(w_1) = N_{G_1}(w_2) = N_{G_1}(u)$ and by Theorem 1 then $d_{\text{loc}}(G) = 1$. Evidently $d(G_p) = q$ for each $p = 1, \dots, q - 1$. \square

Theorem 6. *Let G be a graph with n vertices, let $y = \Phi(x)$ be the inverse function to the function $y = 2^x + x$. Then*

$$d_{\text{loc}}(G) \leq \frac{n}{\Phi(n+1)}.$$

Proof. The function $y = 2^x + x$ is a monotone increasing function mapping the set R of real numbers bijectively onto itself. Therefore the inverse function $y = \Phi(x)$ to this function exists, it is again a monotone increasing function which maps R onto itself.

Now consider the graph G . For the sake of simplicity we denote $d_{\text{loc}}(G) = d$. Consider a location-domatic partition \mathcal{D} with d classes. As G has n vertices, there exists at least one class $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $|D| \leq n/d$. The sets $D \cap N_G(x)$ for $x \in V(G) - D$ are pairwise distinct non-empty subsets of D ; their number is less than or equal to $2^{|D|} - 1$ and, as D is a locating-dominating set, so is the number of vertices of $V(G) - D$. Hence $n \leq n/d + 2^{n/d} - 1$, which is $n - 1 \leq 2^{n/d} + n/d = \Phi^{-1}(n/d)$. As $y = \Phi(x)$ is a monotone increasing function, we have $\Phi(n+1) \leq n/d$ and this yields $d \leq n/\Phi(n+1)$. \square

References

- [1] *E. J. Cockayne, S. T. Hedetniemi:* Towards a theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7 (1977), 247–261.
- [2] *D. F. Rall, P. J. Slater:* On location-domination numbers for certain classes of graphs. *Congressus Numerantium* 45 (1984), 77–106.

Author's address: Bohdan Zelinka, Katedra diskretní matematiky a statistiky TULiberec, Hálkova 6, 461 17 Liberec 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: bohdan.zelinka@vslib.cz.