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# ON THE EXTENSION OF MEASURES IN RELATIVELY COMPLEMENTED LATTICES 

## LADISLAV GYÖRFFY and BELOSLAV RIEČAN, Bratislava

In the paper we extend the main result of paper [1] for relatively complemented lattices. Theorem 2 belongs to the first author, Theorem 3 and Lemma 2 to the second author. Lemma 1 was proved by Prof. M. Kolibiar. Theorem 1 is a consequence of the lemma.

First some notations and terminology. A lattice is called $\sigma$-continuous if it is $\sigma$-complete and $x_{n} \nearrow x, y_{n} \nearrow y$ (resp. $x_{n} \searrow x, y_{n} \searrow y$ ) implies $x_{n} \cap$ $\cap y_{n} \nearrow x \cap y$ (resp. $x_{n} \cup y_{n} \searrow x \cup y$ ). A measure is any function $\gamma: R \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow\langle 0, \infty)$ defined on a lattice $R$ with the least element 0 and satisfying the following conditions: 1. $\gamma(0)=0$. 2. $\gamma(x)+\gamma(y)=\gamma(x \cup y)+\gamma(x \cap y)$ for any $x, y \in R$. 3. If $x_{n} \nearrow x, x_{n} \in R(n=1,2, \ldots), x \in R$, then $\gamma\left(x_{n}\right) \not \nearrow \gamma(x)$. A subset $M$ of a $\sigma$-complete lattice $H$ is called monotone, if $x_{n} \in M(n=1,2, \ldots)$, $x_{n} \nearrow x$ resp. $x_{n} \searrow x$, implies $x \in M$. If $D \subset H$, then by $M(D)$ we denote the least monotone set over $D$.

Theorem 1. Let $H$ be a $\sigma$-continuous, modular, complemented lattice, $R$ be such a sublattice of $H$ that $a \cap b^{\prime} \in R$ for any $a \in R$ and any complement $b^{\prime}$ of any $b \in R$. Let $\gamma$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure $\gamma: R \rightarrow\langle 0, \infty)$. Then there is just one measure $\gamma: M(R) \rightarrow\langle 0, \infty)$ which is an extension of $\gamma$.

Proof. The assumptions of the main theorem of [1] are the same as those of Theorem 1 except of the following one:
$(H)$ To any $x, y, z \in H$ such that $x \leqq y \leqq z$ and any complements $x^{\prime}$ resp. $z^{\prime}$ of $x$ resp. $z$ such that $x^{\prime} \geqq z^{\prime}$ there is a complement $y^{\prime}$ of $y$ such that $x^{\prime} \geqq$ $\geqq y^{\prime} \geqq z^{\prime}$.

Hence Theorem 1 will be proved if we prove that the condition $(H)$ is satisfied in any modular complemented lattice.

Lemma 1.*) In any modular complemented lattice the condition $(H)$ is satisfied.
Proof. Put $t=\left(y \cup z^{\prime}\right) \cap x^{\prime}$. Evidently $z^{\prime} \leqq t \leqq x^{\prime}$. Let $u$ be the relative complement of $t$ in $\left[z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right]$, i.e. $t \cap u=z^{\prime}, t \cup u=x^{\prime}$. Then

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =z^{\prime} \cap z=u \cap t \cap z=u \cap\left(y \cup z^{\prime}\right) \cap x^{\prime} \cap z= \\
& =u \cap\left(y \cup z^{\prime}\right) \cap z=u \cap\left[y \cup\left(z^{\prime} \cap z\right)\right]=u \cap y
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =x^{\prime} \cup x=u \cup t \cup x=u \cup x \cup\left[\left(y \cup z^{\prime}\right) \cap x^{\prime}\right]= \\
& =u \cup\left[\left(y \cup z^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(x \cup x^{\prime}\right)\right]=u \cup y \cup z^{\prime}=u \cup y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u=y^{\prime}$ is a complement of $y$ and $z^{\prime} \leqq y^{\prime} \leqq x^{\prime}$.
Let $H$ be now a relatively complemented lattice with the zero element 0 . By $a-b$ we denote the set of all complements of $a \cap b$ with respect to $\langle 0, a\rangle$, i.e. $a-b=\{x: x \cap a \cap b=0, x \cup(a \cap b)=a\}$. A sublattice $R$ of $H$ will be called a lattice ring if $a-b \subset R$ for any $a, b \in R$. A lattice $\sigma$-ring is a $\sigma$-complete lattice ring.

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a relatively complemented, modular, $\sigma$-continuous lattice with the least element, $R \subset H$ be a lattice ring, $\gamma$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure on $R$. Then there is just one measure $\bar{\gamma}$ on $M(R)$ that is an extension of $\gamma$; the measure $\bar{\gamma}$ is $\sigma$-finite.

Proof. For any $c \in R$ put $R_{c}=\{x \in R ; x \leqq c\}, H_{c}=\{x \in H ; x \leqq c\}$ and define $\gamma_{c}: R_{c} \rightarrow\langle 0, \infty)$ by the formula $\gamma_{c}(x)=\gamma(x)$. Then $H_{c}, R_{c}, \gamma_{c}$ satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 1 , therefore there exists just one measure $\bar{\gamma}_{c}$ on $M\left(R_{c}\right)$ that is an extension of $\gamma_{c}$.

Further denote by $B$ the set of all elements $b$ of the form $b=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}, c_{n} \in R$. As before put $R_{b}=\{x \in R ; x \leqq b\}$. First we prove: If $c \leqq b, c \in R$ and $x \in M\left(R_{b}\right), x \leqq c$, then $x \in M\left(R_{c}\right)$. Indeed, the set $K=\left\{x \in M\left(R_{b}\right) ; x \cap c \in\right.$ $\left.\in M\left(R_{c}\right)\right\}$ is monotone and $K \supset R_{b}$, therefore $K \supset M\left(R_{b}\right)$.

Let $b \in B, b=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}, c_{n} \in R$. We can assume $c_{n} \leqq c_{n+1}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Let $x \in M\left(R_{b}\right)$. Then we put

$$
\bar{\gamma}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n}\right)
$$

Of course, we must prove that $\gamma(x)$ does not depend on the choice of the sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. First, if $y \leqq u \leqq v, u, v \in R, y \in M\left(R_{v}\right)$, then $\bar{\gamma}_{v}$ is an extension of $\gamma_{u}$, hence $\bar{\gamma}_{v}(y)=\bar{\gamma}_{u}(y)$. Hence, if $x \in M\left(R_{d}\right), d_{n} \in R, d_{n} \leqq d_{n+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n=1,2, \ldots), \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n}=d, \text { then } \\
& \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n} \cap d_{m}\right)= \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n} \cap d_{m}}\left(x \cap c_{n} \cap d_{m}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{l_{m}}\left(x \cap c_{n} \cap d_{m}\right) \leqq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{d_{m}}\left(x \cap d_{m}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{d_{m}}\left(x \cap d_{m}\right)
$$

To prove that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a measure put $x_{k} \in M\left(R_{b}\right)(k=1, \supseteq, \ldots), x_{k} \nearrow x$. Then cvidently $\bar{\gamma}(x) \geqq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}\left(x_{k}\right)$. On the other hand $\bar{\gamma}\left(x_{k}\right) \geqq \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x_{k} \cap c_{n}\right)$. therefore

$$
\bar{\gamma}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x_{k} \cap c_{n}\right) \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}\left(x_{k}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

hence

$$
\bar{\gamma}(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}\left(x_{k}\right) .
$$

Finally let $x . y \in M\left(R_{b}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\gamma}(x)+\bar{\gamma}(y) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap c_{n}\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{1 n}\left(y \cap c_{n}\right)= \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(\left(x \cap c_{n}\right) \cup\left(y \cap c_{n}\right)\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{c_{n}}\left(x \cap y \cap c_{n}\right)= \\
& =\bar{\gamma}(x \cup y)+\bar{\gamma}(x \cap y)
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $\left.\left(x \cap c_{n}\right) \cup\left(y \cap c_{n}\right) \nsucc x \cup y, x \cap y \cap c_{n} \not \subset x \cap y\right)$.
We have proved that $\bar{\gamma}$ is a measure on the set $M=\bigcup_{l \in B} M\left(R_{b}\right)$. Since $R_{b} \subset R$ for every $b$. we have $M\left(R_{b}\right) \subset M(R)$, hence $M \subset M(R)$. But $M$ is a monotone set, $M \supset R$. Therefore $M \supset M(R)$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ is a measure on $M(R)$.

Now we prove that $\bar{\gamma}$ is unique. Let $\tau$ be an extension of $\gamma, \tau: M(R) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow\langle 0, \infty)$. If $c \in R, x \in M\left(R_{c}\right)$ then $\tau(x)=\bar{\gamma}(x)$, since $\bar{\gamma} \cdot \tau$ are extensions of $\gamma_{c}$ on $M\left(R_{c}\right)$. Let $x \in M(R)$ i.e. $x \leqq b, b \in B, c_{n} \nearrow b, c_{n} \in R$. Then

$$
\tau(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(c_{n} \cap x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}\left(c_{n} \cap x\right)=\bar{\gamma}(x)
$$

The measure $\gamma$ is $\sigma$-finite, since the set $N=\left\{x \in M(R) ; x \leqq \bigcup_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} c_{n} \cdot \gamma\left(c_{n}\right)<\infty\right\}$ is monotone and contains $R$.

Let $S(R)$ be the lattice $\sigma$-ring generated by $R$. Finally we prove:
Theorem 3. If $R$ is a lattice ring in a $\sigma$-continuous, modular. relatively complemented lattice with the least element, then $M(R)=S(R)$.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we need the following lemma:
Lemma 2. Let $H$ be a modular, relatively complemented lattice uith the least
element. Let $a, b, c \in H, a \leqq c$. Then to any $x \in a-b$ there is $y \in c-b$ such that $x \leqq y$.
Proof. Since $x \in a-b$, we have $x \cap a \cap b=0, x \cup(a \cap b)=a$. Let $y$ be a relative complement of $a \cup(b \cap c)$ in the interval $\langle x, c\rangle$, i.e. $y \cap[a \cup$ $\cup(b \cap c)]=x$ and $y \cup a \cup(b \cap c)=c$. Evidently $a \cap y=x$. Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =y \cup a \cup(b \cap c)=y \cup(a \cap b) \cup x \cup(b \cap c)= \\
& =[(a \cap b) \cup(c \cap b)] \cup(x \cup y)=(b \cap c) \cup y,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b \cap c) \cup y=c \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the relation $(b \cap c) \cap y=0$ is a little more complicated. First we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \cup y) \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)] & =a \cup(y \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)])= \\
& =a \cup x=a,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \cup(b \cap c) & =(a \cap y) \cup(b \cap c)= \\
& =(\{(a \cup y) \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)]\} \cap y) \cup(b \cap c)= \\
& =(y \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)]) \cup(b \cap c)= \\
& =[y \cup(b \cap c)] \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)]= \\
& =c \cap[a \cup(b \cap c)]= \\
& =a \cup(b \cap c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =a \cap b \cap x=a \cap b \cap a \cap y=a \cap b \cap y= \\
& =\{[a \cup(b \cap c)] \cap(a \cup y)\} \cap b \cap y= \\
& =[a \cup(b \cap c)] \cap b \cap y= \\
& =[x \cup(b \cap c)] \cap b \cap y= \\
& =(x \cap b \cap y) \cup(b \cap c \cap y)=b \cap c \cap y,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=(b \cap c) \cap y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) and (2) we get that $y \in c-b$. Moreover $y \geqq x$, hence the proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 3. Since $S(R)$ is a monotone set, evidently $M(R) \subset S(R)$. It is sufficient to prove that $M(R)$ is a lattice ring. Indeed then $M(R)$ is a lattice $\sigma$-ring, hence $M(R) \supset S(R)$.

It is not difficult to prove that $M(R)$ is a lattice. The only difficulty is in proving that $a, b \in M(R), x \in a-b$ imply $x \in M(R)$.

First let $b \in R$ be a fixed element and put

$$
K=\{a \in M(R) ; x \in a-b \Rightarrow x \in M(R)\}
$$

Evidently $K \supset R$. We prove that $K$ is monotone. Hence let $a_{n} \in K(n=1,2, \ldots)$, $a_{n} \nearrow a, x \in a-b$. Since $H$ is $\sigma$-continuous, we have $a_{n} \cap b \nearrow a \cap b$. According to Lemma 1 there are $x_{n} \in a-a_{n} \cap b$ such that $x_{n} \searrow x$. But $x_{n} \cap a_{n} \in a_{n}-$ $-a_{n} \cap b=a_{n}-b$, since $x_{n} \cap a_{n} \cap a_{n} \cap b=0$ and $\left(x_{n} \cap a_{n}\right) \cup\left(a_{n} \cap b\right)=$ $=\left[x_{n} \cup\left(a_{n} \cap b\right)\right] \cap a_{n}=a \cap a_{n}=a_{n}$. Thus $x_{n} \cap a_{n} \in M(R)$. Since $M(R)$ is a lattice, also $x_{m} \cap a_{n}=\bigcap_{i=n}^{m}\left(x_{i} \cap a_{i}\right) \in M(R)$ for every $m \geqq n$. Hence $x \cap a_{n}=\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} x_{m} \cap a_{n} \in M(R) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \quad$ and therefore $x=x \cap a=$ $=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(x \cap a_{n}\right) \in M(R)$. We have proved that $K$ is closed under limits of non-decreasing sequences.

Now let $a_{n} \in K(n=1,2, \ldots), a_{n} \downarrow a, x \in a-b$. According to Lomma 2 there are $y_{n} \in a_{n}-b$ such that $y_{n} \geqq x$. Since $a_{n} \in K$, we have $y_{n} \in M(R)$ and also $y=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \in M(R)$. We assert that $y=x$. Indeed, first

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \cap(a \cap b) \leqq y_{n} \cap a_{n} \cap b=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

further

$$
y \cup(a \cap b) \geqq x \cup(a \cap b)=a
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y \cup(a \cap b) \leqq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(y_{n} \cup(a \cap b)\right) \leqq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(y_{n} \cup\left(a_{n} \cap b\right)\right)= \\
&=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=a
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \cup(a \cap b)=a \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (3) and (4) with $y \geqq x$ give $y=x$. Hence $x \in M(R)$, therefore $a \in K$.

Now let $a \in M(R)$ be a fixed element. Put

$$
L=\{b \in M(R) ; x \in M(R) \text { for every } x \in a-b\}
$$

We have $L \supset R$. Now with the help of Lemma 1 it is not difficult to prove that $L$ is a monotone set. Hence $L \supset M(R)$ and $x \in M(R)$ for every $a, b \in$
$\in M(R), x \in a-b$. Since $M(R)$ is now evidently a lattice $\sigma$-ring, the proof is complete.
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