Alessandro Fedeli Weak calibers and the Scott-Watson theorem

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 3, 421-425

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127307

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## WEAK CALIBERS AND THE SCOTT-WATSON THEOREM

ALESSANDRO FEDELI, L'Aquila\*

(Received April 1, 1994)

Let k be an infinite cardinal number. A collection  $\mathscr{U}$  of subsets of a space X is said to be point-k if each point  $x \in X$  is in fewer than k members of  $\mathscr{U}$ . A collection  $\mathscr{U}$  is locally-k at a point x if there is an open neighbourhood of x meeting fewer than k members of  $\mathscr{U}$ . If every point-k open cover of a space X is locally-k at a dense set of points then we say that X has weak caliber k. A space X has very weak caliber k if every point-k open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of X such that  $|\mathscr{U}| \leq k$  is locally-k at a dense set of points. Recall that a space X has caliber k if every point-k collection of open sets has cardinality less than k. Obviously caliber  $k \Rightarrow$  weak caliber  $k \Rightarrow$  very weak caliber k. If X is a ccc space (i.e. every collection of pairwise disjoint non-empty open subsets of X is countable) and k is a cardinal of uncountable cofinality then it follows easily by Prop. 3.4 in [10] that X has caliber k iff it has weak caliber k.

X is a k-Baire space if the intersection of fewer than k dense open sets is dense [10]. Thus the  $\aleph_1$ -Baire spaces are the usual Baire spaces. It is well-known that a space X is a Baire space iff it has weak caliber  $\aleph_0$  iff it has very weak caliber  $\aleph_0$  ([2], [3]). Moreover, it is known that if k is regular and X is  $k^+$ -Baire then X has very weak caliber k [1]. If X is almost k-discrete (i.e. every non-empty intersection of fewer than k open sets has non-empty interior) and k is regular then X is  $k^+$ -Baire iff it is k-Baire and has very weak caliber k [1]. It would be interesting, for a regular cardinal k, to know whether there exists a space which has very weak caliber k but has not weak caliber k.

In the sequel no separation axiom is assumed, unless explicitly stated. A space X is almost k-metacompact if for every open cover  $\mathscr{V}$  of X there are an open refinement  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{V}$  and an open dense subset D of X such that  $\mathscr{V}$  is point-k on D. Almost  $\aleph_0$ -metacompact (almost  $\aleph_1$ -metacompact) spaces are called almost metacompact (almost metaLindelöf) [7]. The following property is a stronger one: X is quasi k-

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy

metacompact if for every open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of X there are an open refinement  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$ and an open dense subset D of X such that  $\mathscr{V}$  is point-k on D and for every  $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{V}$ with  $|\mathscr{W}| \ge k$ , it follows that  $|\{W \cap D : W \in \mathscr{W}\}| \ge k$ . Quasi  $\aleph_0$ -metacompact (quasi  $\aleph_1$ -metacompact) spaces are called quasi metacompact (quasi metaLindelöf). If k is a regular cardinal then every almost k-metacompact space is quasi k-metacompact. Let us consider an open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of an almost k-metacompact space X, let  $\mathscr{V}$  be an open refinement of  $\mathscr{U}$  and D an open dense subset of X such that  $\mathscr{V}$  is point-kon D. Let us show that if  $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{V}$  and  $\mathscr{G} = \{W \cap D : W \in \mathscr{W}\}$  has cardinality < k then  $|\mathscr{W}| < k$ . Let  $\lambda = |\mathscr{G}|$  and let  $\mathscr{G} = \{G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \lambda\}$ . For every  $G_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{G}$ let  $\mathscr{Q}(G_{\alpha}) = \{W \in \mathscr{W} : W \cap D = G_{\alpha}\}$ . Take a point x in  $G_{\alpha}$ . Then obviously  $\mathscr{Q}(G_{\alpha}) \subset \mathscr{V}_x = \{V \in \mathscr{V} : x \in V\}$ , and since  $x \in D$  and  $\mathscr{V}$  is point-k on D it follows that  $|\mathscr{Q}(G_{\alpha})| \leq |\mathscr{V}_x| < k$ . Hence  $\mathscr{W} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \mathscr{Q}(G_{\alpha}), \lambda < k$ , and k is regular, therefore  $|\mathscr{W}| < k$ .

X is weakly k-compact if each open cover  $\mathscr{U}$  of X has a subfamily  $\mathscr{U}$ ,  $|\mathscr{U}| < k$ , with a dense union ([5], see also [4]). Weakly  $\aleph_0$ -compact (weakly  $\aleph_1$ -compact) spaces are called weakly compact (weakly Lindelöf). Obviously a regular weakly compact space is compact.

A space X is feebly k-compact if every discrete family of non-empty open subsets of X has cardinality  $\langle k \rangle$  (if X is a regular space this is equivalent to saying that every locally finite family of non-empty open subsets of X has cardinality  $\langle k \rangle$ ). Feebly  $\aleph_0$ -compact (feebly  $\aleph_1$  compact) spaces are called feebly compact (feebly Lindelöf). Clearly a Tychonoff space is feebly compact iff it is pseudocompact.

**Remark 1.** A space X is quasi-regular [8] if for every non-empty open subset V of X there is a non-empty open subset U of X such that  $\overline{U} \subset V$ . If X is a quasiregular weakly k-compact space then it is feebly k-compact. Let us suppose that there is a discrete family  $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < k\}$  of non-empty open subsets of X. For each  $\alpha < k$  let  $V_{\alpha}$  be a non-empty open set such that  $\overline{V}_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$ . Set  $V = X - \bigcup \{\overline{V}_{\alpha} : \alpha < k\}$ ;  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha < k\}$  is a discrete family so V is an open subset of X. Then  $\mathscr{U} \cup \{V\}$ is an open cover of X such that for each  $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{U}$  with  $|\mathscr{V}| < k, \bigcup \mathscr{V}$  is not dense in X.

**Lemma 2.** Let k be a regular cardinal and let X be feebly k-compact. If  $\mathscr{U}$  is an open cover of X which is locally-k on a dense subset of X, then  $\mathscr{U}$  contains a subfamily  $\mathscr{V}$  such that  $|\mathscr{V}| < k$  and  $\overline{\bigcup \mathscr{V}} = X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open cover of X which is locally-k on a dense set D. Let  $\mathscr{C}$  be the collection of all families  $\mathscr{G}$  of open subsets of X such that

(i)  $|\{U \in \mathscr{U} : G \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| < k$  for each  $G \in \mathscr{G}$ ,

(ii)  $|\{G \in \mathscr{G} : U \cap G \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 1$  for each  $U \in \mathscr{U}$ .

 $(\mathscr{C}, \subseteq)$  is a poset and every linearly ordered subset of  $\mathscr{C}$  has an upper bound, hence by Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element  $\mathscr{M}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$ . Clearly  $\mathscr{M}$  is a discrete family, moreover X is feebly k-compact so  $|\mathscr{M}| < k$ . Let  $\mathscr{V} = \{U \in \mathscr{U} : U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for some  $V \in \mathscr{M}\}$ . Since k is regular so  $|\mathscr{V}| < k$ .

It remains to show that  $\overline{\bigcup \mathscr{V}} = X$ . Suppose there is an  $x \in D \cap (X - \overline{\bigcup \mathscr{V}})$ , let W be an open neighbourhood of x such that  $W \subseteq X - \overline{\bigcup \mathscr{V}}$  and  $|\{U \in \mathscr{H} : W \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| < k$ . Then  $\mathscr{M} \cup \{W\}$  satisfies (i) and (ii) and  $\mathscr{M}$  is not maximal, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** If X has weak caliber k and G is an open subset of X then G has weak caliber k.

Proof. Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a point-k open cover of G. Then  $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{U} \cup \{X\}$  is a point-k open cover of X. X has weak caliber k, so  $D = \{x \in X : \mathscr{V} \text{ is locally-}k \text{ at } x\}$  is dense in X, therefore  $\mathscr{U}$  is locally-k on the dense subset  $D \cap G$  of G. If  $x \in D \cap G$  then there is an open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x in X such that  $|\{V \in \mathscr{V} : V \cap U_x \neq \emptyset\}| < k$ , therefore  $G_x = U_x \cap G$  is an open neighbourhood of x in G such that  $|\{U \in \mathscr{U} : U \cap G_x \neq \emptyset\}| < k$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let X be a quasi k-metacompact space with weak caliber k. If  $\mathscr{U}$  is an open cover of X then there is an open refinement  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$  which is locally-k at an open dense subset of X.

Proof. Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open cover of X, by hypothesis there are an open refinement  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$  and an open dense subset D of X such that  $\mathscr{V}$  is point-k on D and for every  $\mathscr{W} \subset \mathscr{V}$  with  $|\mathscr{W}| \ge k$ , it follows that  $|\{W \cap D : W \in \mathscr{W}\}| \ge k$ .  $\mathscr{A} = \{V \cap D : V \in \mathscr{W}\}$  is a point-k open cover of D, D is open in X and X has weak caliber k, hence by Lemma 3 D has weak caliber k. Therefore  $G = \{x \in D : \exists \text{ an open neighbourhood } U_x \text{ of } x \text{ in } D \text{ meeting fewer than } k \text{ members of } \mathscr{A}\}$  is dense in D, obviously G is open in D and hence in X. To complete the proof we show that  $\mathscr{V}$  is locally-k at the open dense subset G of X. Let  $x \in G$ , then there is an open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x in D such that  $|\mathscr{A}_x| < k$ , where  $\mathscr{A}_x = \{A \in \mathscr{A} : A \cap U_x \neq \emptyset\}$ ; obviously  $U_x$  is an open neighbourhood of x in X. Let  $\mathscr{W} = \{V \in \mathscr{V} : V \cap U_x \neq \emptyset\}$ , if  $|\mathscr{W}| \ge k$  then by the quasi k-metacompactness of X it follows that  $\{V \cap D : V \in \mathscr{W}\}$  is a subset of  $\mathscr{A}_x$  having cardinality  $\ge k$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathscr{V}$  is locally-k at x.

**Theorem 5.** Let k be a regular cardinal and let X be a space which has weak caliber k. If X is feebly k-compact and almost k-metacompact then X is weakly k-compact.

Proof. Let k be a regular cardinal and let X be a feebly k-compact almost k-metacompact space which has weak caliber k. Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open cover of X, X

is quasi k-metacompact (k is regular), hence it follows by Prop. 4 that there is an open refinement  $\mathscr{V}$  of  $\mathscr{U}$  which is locally-k at an open dense subset of X. Then by Lemma 2 there exists a  $\mathscr{W} \subset \mathscr{V}$  such that  $|\mathscr{W}| < k$  and  $\overline{\bigcup \mathscr{W}} = X$ . For each  $W \in \mathscr{W}$  choose an element U(W) of  $\mathscr{U}$  such that  $W \subset U(W)$ .  $\mathscr{G} = \{U(W) : W \in \mathscr{W}\}$  is a subcollection of  $\mathscr{U}$  such that  $|\mathscr{G}| < k$  and  $\overline{\bigcup \mathscr{G}} = X$ . So X is weakly k-compact.  $\Box$ 

For the special case  $k = \aleph_0$  we obtain the following result: every feebly compact almost metacompact Baire space is weakly compact.

It is known that a regular feebly compact space is a Baire space [6], therefore a regular space is weakly compact (and hence compact) if and only if it is feebly compact and almost metacompact ([7], Thm. 1).

In particular, we have the following

**Corollary 6** (Scott-Watson theorem). Every Tychonoff pseudocompact metacompact space is compact.

**Remark 7.** Theorem 5, for  $k = \aleph_1$ , says that an almost metaLindelöf feebly Lindelöf space which has weak caliber  $\aleph_1$  is weakly Lindelöf. The example given in [12] shows (as pointed out in [7]) that a Tychonoff pseudocompact metaLindelöf space need not be weakly Lindelöf. In [7] it is also shown that a regular Baire space is weakly Lindelöf iff it is feebly Lindelöf and almost  $\theta$ -refinable.

## References

- Fedeli A.: On the k-Baire property. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 34,3 (1993), 525-527.
- [2] Fletcher P., Lindgren W.F.: A note on spaces of second category. Arch. der Math. 24 (1973), 186–187.
- [3] Fogelgren J.R., McCoy R.A.: Some topological properties defined by homeomorphism groups. Arch. der Math. 22 (1971), 528-533.
- [4] Frolik Z.: Generalizations of compact and Lindelöf spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 9 (1959), 172–217.
- [5] Hager A.W.: Projections of zero-sets (and fine uniformity on a product). Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 87-94.
- [6] McCoy R.A.: A filter characterization of regular Baire spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), 268-270.
- [7] McCoy R.A., Smith J.C.: The almost Lindelöf property for Baire spaces. Topology Proceedings 9 (1984), 99-104.
- [8] Oxtoby J.C.: Spaces that admit a category measure. J. Reine Angew. Math. 205 (1961), 156-170.
- [9] Scott B.: Pseudocompact, metacompact spaces are compact. Topology Proceedings 4 (1979), 577–586.
- [10] Tall F.D.: The countable chain condition versus separability-- applications of Martin's axiom. Gen. Top. and Appl. 4 (1974), 315-339.

- [11] Watson W.S.: Pseudocompact, metacompact spaces are compact. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 151-152.
- [12] Watson W.S.: A pseudocompact meta-Lindelöf space which is not compact. Top. Appl. 20 (1985), 237–243.

Author's address: Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed applicata, Universita 67100 L'Aquila, Italy.