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# STRONG COMPACT ELEMENTS IN MULTIPLICATIVE LATTICES 

C. Jayaram, Kwalsuni Campus, and E. W. Johnson, Iowa City

(Received September 19, 1994)

Throughout we assume that $L$ is a $C$-lattice. It is well known that a Noether lattice is a principal element lattice if and only if every maximal element is weak meet principal (see Theorem 5 of [6]). Also it is known that if $L$ is principally generated, then $L$ is a principal element lattice if and only if $L$ is an $M$-lattice satisfying the ascending chain condition (see Theorem 6 of [4]). In this paper, we introduce strong compact elements, $P$-weak meet principal elements and $P$-principal elements and using them, principal element lattices and almost principal elements lattices are characterized.

For any $a \in L$, we define $a^{w}$ by $a^{w}=\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{n}$. The reader is referred to [1] and [3] for general background and terminology.

We begin with the following definitions.
Definition 1. An element $a \in L$ is said to be a strong compact element if both $a$ and $a^{w}$ are compact elements.

Definition 2. A prime element $m \in L$ is said to be $P$-weak meet principal ( $P$-principal) if every prime element $q \leqslant m$ is weak meet principal (principal).

Obviously, idempotent compact elements, compact nilpotent elements and complemented elements are examples of strong compact elements. Also $L$ satisfies the ascending chain condition if and only if every element is strong compact. Observe that $L$ is a principal element lattice if and only if every prime element is $P$-principal. If $L$ is principally generated, then $L$ is an $M$-lattice if and only if every maximal element is $P$-weak meet principal (see Theorem 1.4 of [7]).

Lemma 1. Let $m$ be a maximal element of $L$. If $m$ is weak meet principal, then $m^{k}$ is weak meet principal for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Proof. We show that $m^{r+1}$ is weak meet principal if $m^{r}$ is. Let $a \leqslant m^{r+1}$ for some $a \in L$. If $m^{r+1}=m^{r}$, then we are through. Suppose $m^{r+1}<m^{r}$. Then
$a \leqslant m^{r+1}<m^{r}$, so $a=m^{r} b$ for some $b \in L$. Since $m^{r} b \leqslant m^{r+1}$ and $m^{r+1}$ is $m$-primary, it follows that $m^{r} \leqslant m^{r+1}$ or $b \leqslant m$. In the first case, we are done. In the second case, $b=m c$ for some $c \in L$. Then $a=m^{r} b=m^{r}(m c)=m^{r+1} c$ and hence $m^{r+1}$ is weak meet principal.

Lemma 2. Let $m$ be a maximal element of $L$ with $m^{k} \neq m^{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. If $m$ is weak meet principal, then
(i) $m^{w}$ is a prime element.
(ii) $\mathrm{mm}^{w}=m^{w}$.
(iii) If $p$ is a prime element such that $p<m$, then $p \leqslant m^{w}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x$ and $y$ are two compact elements such that $x y \leqslant m^{w}$. Since $x y \leqslant m$, it follows that either $x \leqslant m$ or $y \leqslant m$. Without loss of generality, assume that $y \leqslant m$. If $x \notin m$, then $y \leqslant m^{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$as $x y \leqslant m^{w}$ and each $m^{k}$ is $m$-primary. So assume that $x \leqslant m$. If $x \not m^{w}$ and $y \nless m^{w}$, then $x \leqslant m^{r}$, $x \nless m^{r+1}$ and $y \leqslant m^{s}, y \not m^{s+1}$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. By Lemma $1, m^{r}$ and $m^{s}$ are weak meet principal, so $x=m^{r} a$ and $y=m^{s} b$ for some $a, b \in L$. Note that $a \neq m$ and $b \nless m$. Then $x y=m^{r+s} a b \leqslant m^{r+s+1}$. As $m^{r+s+1}$ is $m$-primary and $a b \neq m$. it follows that $m^{r+s} \leqslant m^{r+s+1}$, a contradiction. Therefore $m^{w}$ is a prime element.
(ii) Since $m^{w} \leqslant m$ and $m$ is weak meet principal, we have $m^{w}=m a$ for some $a \in L$. Again since $m a \leqslant m^{w}, m^{w}<m$ and by (i). $m^{w "}$ is a prime element, it follows that $a \leqslant m^{2}$, so $m^{w}=m a \leqslant m m^{w}$ and hence $m^{w}=m m m^{w}$.
(iii) Suppose $p$ is a prime element such that $p<m$. If $p \not m^{w}$, then $p \leqslant m^{k}$ and $p \nless m^{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. By Lemma $1, p=m^{k} a$ for some $a \in L$. Note that $a \nless m$, so $m^{k} \leqslant p$ and $p=m^{k}$. This shows that $p=m$, a contradiction. Therefore $p \leqslant m^{w}$ 。

Lemma 3. Suppose $L$ is a join principally generated and let $m$ be a maximal element which is weak meet principal and $m^{k} \neq m^{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. If $m^{"}$ is compact, then
(i) $\operatorname{rank} m=1$,
(ii) $m^{w}=0_{m}$ and
(iii) $q=m^{w}$ or $q=m^{k}\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$for every primary element $q \leqslant m$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2(i), $m^{w}$ is a prime element and $m^{w}<m$. Suppose $p<m$ is a prime element. By Lemma 2(iii), $p \leqslant m^{w}$. As $m^{w}$ is compact and $m m^{w}=m^{w}$ (by Lemma 2(ii)), by Lemma 1.1 of [2], $m \vee\left(0: m^{w}\right)=1$ and so $m^{w} \leqslant p$. Therefore $p=m^{w}$ and hence $\operatorname{rank} m=1$.
(ii) Since $m \vee\left(0: m^{w}\right)=1$ and 1 is compact, it follows that $m \vee x=1$ for some compact element $x \in L$ such that $x m^{w}=0 ; m^{w} \leqslant 0_{m}$. Obviously $0_{m} \leqslant m^{w}$ as $m^{w}$ is a prime element. Hence $m^{w}=0_{m}$.
(iii) By (i), rank $m=1$. Suppose $q$ is $m$-primary. Then, by imitating the proof of Lemma 2(iii), it can be easily shown that $q=m^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. The remaining part is obvious.

Definition 3. A maximal element $m$ of $L$ is said to be a $\Delta$-prime if $p^{n}$ is $p$ primary for all prime elements $p<m$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Every maximal element $m$ with rank $m=0$ is a $\Delta$-prime element. Complemented maximal elements are $\Delta$-prime elements. Note that, if $L$ is a principally generated $M$-lattice, then every maximal is a $\Delta$-prime element. In fact, if $L$ is generated by compact join principal elements and if every semiprimary element is primary, then every maximal element is a $\Delta$-prime element (see Theorem 4.2, Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.5 of [2]).

Lemma 4. Let $L$ be a quasilocal with maximal element $m$. Suppose $m$ is weak meet principal and $\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{k}=0$. Then every nonzero element is a power of $m$. Further, every element is principal.

Proof. Let $a(a<1)$ be a nonzero element of $L$. Then $a \leqslant m^{k}$ and $a \nless m^{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. By Lemma $1, a=m^{k} c$ for some $c \in L$. Note that $c \nless m$ and so $c=1$ as $L$ is quasilocal. Therefore $a=m^{k}$. This shows that every nonzero element is a power of $m$. Note that $m$ is weak join principal and so principal as $L$ is a chain. Consequently, every element is principal.

Lemma 5. Let $L$ be a join principally generated quasilocal lattice with maximal element $m$. Assume that $m$ is weak meet principal and $m^{w}$ is compact. Then, every element is principal.

Proof. If $m^{k}=m^{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then $m^{w}=m^{k}$ and $m m^{w}=m^{w}$. If $m^{k} \neq m^{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then by Lemma $2, m m^{w}=m^{w}$. As $m^{w}$ is compact, by Lemma 1.1 of [2], $m^{w}=0$ and hence by Lemma 4, every element is principal.

An element $a \in L$ is simple if there is no element $x \in L$ such that $a^{2}<x<a$.

Lemma 6. Let $L$ be a join principally generated quasilocal lattice with maximal element $m$. Assume that $m$ is the join of weak meet principal elements. If $m$ is strong compact and simple, then every element is principal.

Proof. If $m=m^{2}$, then we are through. Suppose $m^{2}<m$. Choose any weak meet principal element $a \leqslant m$ such that $a \nless m^{2}$. Then $m=m^{2} \vee a$. As $m$ is compact, by Lemma 1.1 of [2], $m=a$ which is weak meet principal. Now the result follows from Lemma 5.

Theorem 1. Suppose $L$ is principally generated and let $m$ be a maximal $\Delta$-prime element of $L$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $m$ is $P$-principal.
(ii) $m$ is $P$-weak meet principal and strong compact element of $L$.
(iii) $m$ is strong compact and weak meet principal.
(iv) $m$ is strong compact and every m-primary element is a power of $m$.
(v) $m$ is strong, compact and simple.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Lemma 2 and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Suppose (iii) holds. Then $m m^{w}=m^{w}$ (see the proof of Lemma 5) and since $m$ is strong compact $\left(m^{w}\right)_{m}=0_{m}$. But $\left(m^{w}\right)_{m}=\bigwedge_{k}\left(m_{m}\right)^{k}$ and so by Lemma $4, L_{m}$ is a principal element lattice. Consequently, every $m$-primary element is a power of $m$. Thus (iv) holds. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) is obvious.
(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose (v) holds. By Lemma 6, $L_{m}$ is a principal element lattice. As $m$ is locally principal and compact, it follows that $m$ is principal. Note that $\operatorname{rank} m \leqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{rank} m=0$, then we are through. Suppose $\operatorname{rank} m=1$. Then $p=0_{m}$ is the only prime element properly contained in $m$. As $m$ is a $\Delta$-prime, $p^{2}$ is $p$-primary and therefore $p^{2}=p=0_{m}$ (by Lemma 3 ). Since $m^{w}$ is compact, by Lemma 3(ii), $0_{m}$ is compact and hence $p$ is an idempotent compact element and so by Lemma 1.1 of [2], $p$ is complemented element. Again by Lemma 2.2 of [2], $p$ is principal. Thus (i) holds and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose $L$ is principally generated. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $L$ is a principal element lattice.
(ii) Every maximal element is $P$-principal.
(iii) Every maximal element is $P$-weak meet principal and strong compact.
(iv) Each maximal element is strong compact and weak meet principal.
(v) For every maximal element $m \in L, m$ is strong compact and every $m$-primary element is a power of $m$.
(vi) For each maximal element $m \in L, m$ is strong compact and simple.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (vi), see the proof of Theorem 1. We show that (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose (vi) holds. By Lemma 6, $L$ is an almost principal element lattice. Note that $\operatorname{dim} L \leqslant 1$. Let $p$ be a prime element of $L$. Then $p$ is locally principal. Also $p$ is either maximal or $p=m^{w}$ for all maximal elements $m$ such that $p<m$. Therefore, by hypothesis, $p$ is compact and hence $p$ is principal. Thus every prime element is principal. Consequently every element is principal. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $L$ be generated by weak join principal elements and let $p$ be a $P$-weak meet principal element. Then $\operatorname{rank} p \leqslant 1$. If $q<p$ is a prime element, then $q=0_{p}$.

Proof. Suppose $q$ and $r$ are prime elements such that $r<q<p$. As $p$ is $P$-weak meet principal, we have $q=p q$. Choose any weak join principal element $x \leqslant q$ such that $x \nless r$. Then $x=q a$ for some $a \in L$.

So $x=q a=(p q) a=p x$ and therefore $p \vee(0: x)=1$. Since $x \nless r,(0: x) \leqslant r \leqslant p$, a contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{rank} p \leqslant 1$.

Now assume that $q$ is a prime element such that $q<p$. Obviously $0_{p} \leqslant q$. If $x \leqslant q$ is any weak join principal element, then $x=x p$ (by the above argument), so $p \vee(0: x)=1$ and hence $p \vee(0: a)=1$ for any compact element $a \leqslant q$. Consequently $q \leqslant 0_{p}$. This shows that $q=0_{p}$.

Corollary 1. Let $L$ be generated by weak join principal elements. Suppose $L$ is quasilocal with maximal element $m$. If $m$ is $P$-weak meet principal, then every element is principal.

Proof. By Theorem 3, $\operatorname{rank} m \leqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{rank} m=0$, then by Lemma $2, m^{k}=$ $m^{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. By Lemma $1, m^{k}$ is weak meet principal and hence weak join principal. Consequently $m^{k}=0$ and so by Lemma 5 , every element is principal. If $\operatorname{rank} m=1$, then by Lemma 2 , and by Theorem $3, m^{w}=0$ and hence by Lemma 5 , every element is principal.

Definition 4. A maximal element $m$ of $L$ is said to be $P^{*}$-weak meet principal, if $m_{m}$ is a $P$-weak meet principal element of $L_{m}$.

Lemma 7. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements and let $m$ be a maximal element of $L$. If $m$ is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal, then $L_{m}$ is a principal element lattice.

Proof. The lemma follows from Corollary 1.

Theorem 4. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements. If every maximal element $m$ is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal, then $L$ is an almost principal element lattice.

Proof. The theorem follows from Lemma 7.

Theorem 5. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements. If every maximal element is strong compact and $P^{*}$-weak meet principal, then every element is principal.

Proof. By Theorem 4, $L$ is an almost principal element lattice. So every maximal element is locally principal. Since every maximal element is compact, it follows that every maximal element is principal. Again by hypothesis and Theorem $2(\mathrm{iv})$, every element is principal.

Theorem 6. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $L$ contains only a finite number of minimal prime elements and every maximal element is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal.
(ii) $L$ is a finite direct sum of almost principal element domains and special principal element lattices.

Proof. Suppose (i) holds. By Theorem 4, L is an almost principal element lattice and so it is an $r$-lattice. Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be the minimal prime elements of $L$. Suppose $p_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ are nonmaximal prime elements and $p_{j}(k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$ are maximal elements. By hypothesis, rank $m \leqslant 1$ for every maximal element $m \in L$. As $L_{p_{j}}(k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$ is a special principal element lattice, $0_{p_{j}}=p_{j_{p_{j}}}^{\ell_{j}}$ for $k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ and if $\operatorname{rank} m=1$, for some maximal element $m \in L$, then $0_{m}=p_{i, \ldots}$, for some $i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$. Therefore $0_{m}=\left(p_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k} \wedge p_{k+1}^{\ell_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{n}^{\ell_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{m}$ for every maximal element $m \in L$ and hence $0=p_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k} \wedge p_{k+1}^{\ell_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{n}^{\ell_{n}}$. As the $p_{i}^{\prime}$ 's $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ are pairwise comaximal, we have $L \cong L / p_{1} \times L / p_{2} \times \cdots \times L / p_{k} \times L / p_{k+1}^{\ell_{k+1}} \times \cdots \times L / p_{n}^{\ell_{n}}$. Note that, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, L / p_{i}$ is a domain and an almost principal element lattice. So each $L / p_{i}$ is an almost principal element domain. For $k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, L / p_{j}^{\ell_{j}}$ is a quasi-local, almost principal element lattice and hence it is a special principal element lattice.

Now assume that (ii) holds. Let $L=L_{1} \times L_{2} \times \cdots \times L_{k} \times L_{k+1} \times \cdots \times L_{n}$, where each $L_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ is an almost principal element domain and each $L_{j}$ $(k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$ is a special principal element lattice. Note that each $L_{i}$ is an $r$-lattice in which every compact element is principal and hence $L$ is an $r$-lattice in which every compact element is principal. Let $m$ he a maximal element of $L$. Then $m=\left(1,1, \ldots, m_{i}, \ldots, 1\right)$, where $m_{i}$ is a maximal element of $L_{i}$. If $L_{i}$ is a two element chain, then $m$ is a complemented element and so it is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal. So assume that each $L_{i}$ is not a two element chain. Note that rank $m \leqslant 1$. If $m_{i} \in L_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, then rank $m=1$ and $m$ is nonidempotent. As $L_{m}$ is totally ordered, $m_{m}$ is principal (by Lemma 7 of [5]) and $p=\left(1,1, \ldots, 0_{i}, \ldots, 1\right)$ is the only prime element contained in $m$ which is also a complemented element and so $p_{m}$ is a principal element of $L_{m}$. Therefore, if $m_{i} \in L_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, then $m$ is $p^{*}$-weak meet principal.

So assume that $m_{i} \in L_{i}(k+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$. Then $\operatorname{rank} m=0$ and $m_{i}^{k}=0_{i}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Note that $m^{k}$ is a complemented element. As $L_{i}$ is not a two element chain, $m \neq m^{2}$, and so by Lemma 7 of [5], $m_{m}$ is principal in $L_{m}$. Thus every maximal element is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal. Obviously $L$ contains only a finite number of minimal prime elements. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 7. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements. Then $L$ is a finite direct sum of almost principal element domains if and only if $L$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) L contains only finitely many minimal prime elements.
(ii) Every maximal element is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal.
(iii) For every maximal element $m \in L, L_{m}$ is a principal element domain.

Proof. Suppose $L$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). By (iii), $L$ is an almost principal element lattice and so it is an $r$-lattice. By (i) and (ii), there exist pairwise comaximal prime elements $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}, p_{k+1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ such that for $k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n, p_{j}$ 's are maximal elements and $0=p_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k} \wedge p_{k+1}^{\ell_{k+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{n}^{\ell_{n}}$ (see the proof of Theorem 6). Let $k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Since $L_{p_{j}}$ is a domain, $0_{p_{j}}=p_{j}^{\ell_{j}}$, it follows that $0_{p_{j}}=p_{j}$ and hence $p_{j}=p_{j}^{2}=p_{j}^{\ell_{j}}$. Therefore $0=p_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{k} \wedge p_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{n}$. As $p_{i}$ 's are comaximal, $L \cong L / p_{1} \times \cdots \times L / p_{n}$ and each $L / p_{i}$ is an almost principal element domain.

The converse follows from the proof of Theorem 6.

Corollary 2. Let $L$ be generated by compact weak join principal elements. Then $L$ is a finite direct product of principal element domains if and only if $L$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) L contains only finitely many minimal prime elements.
(ii) Every maximal element is $P^{*}$-weak meet principal.
(iii) For every maximal element $m \in L, L_{m}$ is a principal element domain.
(iv) Every maximal element is strong compact.

Proof. Suppose $L$ is a finite direct product of principal element domains. By Theorem $7, L$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Since each factor is a principal element domain, it follows that $L$ is a principal element lattice and so $L$ is a Noether lattice. Consequently every element is strong compact.

The converse follows from Theorem 4, Theorem 5 and Theorem 7 .
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