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## Introduction

There are two possible approaches to the study of uniform distribution (mod 1 ) of sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{n} x\right)_{1}^{\infty} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n} \in \mathbb{R}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The first such approach is the study of (1) with a fixed sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, x$ running over real numbers, the second is the study of (1) with a fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ running over a class of sequences of real numbers. The second approach leads to the concept od $\alpha$-good sequences (cf. [1]).

In the first part of the paper we will apply the first and in the second part the second approach to the investigation of sequences (1).

[^0]
## 1. UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION $(\bmod 1)$ of SEQUENCES $\left(a_{n} x\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ WITH FIXED $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$

In this part we restrict ourselves to the study of (1) with a fixed sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers. Denote by $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the sequence (1) is uniformly distributed $(\bmod 1)($ shortly: u.d. $\bmod 1)$. It is wellknown that if $a_{n} \in \mathbb{N}(n=1,2, \ldots), a_{i} \neq a_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, then the set $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ has full measure (i.e. the set $\mathbb{R} \backslash H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a null set-cf. [2], [4] pp. 32-33). This results evokes the question what is the Baire category of the set $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$. We will show that the "topological magnitude" of $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ depends on the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$. Indeed, if we choose $a_{n}=n$ or more generally $a_{n}=a+n d(n=1,2, \ldots), d \geqslant 1, a, d$ integers, then by Weyl's criterion (cf. [4] pp. 7-8) the sequence $\left(a_{n} x\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1 for each irrational $x$. Hence $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ contains in this case all irrational numbers and so it is a residual set. In what follows we will give a class of sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a set of the first category.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(q_{k}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers greater than 1. Put

$$
a_{n}=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Then $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a set of the first Baire category in $\mathbb{R}$.
Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we put

$$
S(m, x)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} a_{n} x} \quad(m=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Then by Weyl's criterion we have

$$
H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \subseteq H_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)
$$

where $H_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S(m, x)=0\right\}$. Denote by $C\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S(m, x)=S(x)$. Then evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \subseteq H_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \subseteq C\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of these sets has the full measure. By (2) it suffices to prove that $C=$ $C\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a set of the first category in $\mathbb{R}$. We prove it in the following.

Obviously each of the functions $S(m, x)(m=1,2, \ldots)$ is continuous on $C$ (i.e. the restrictions $S(m, x) \mid C$ are continuous on $C$ ). Hence the function $S(x)=$ $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S(m, x)$ defined on $C$ is in the first Baire class on $C$. But then the set of all
discontinuity points of $S$ is a set of the first category in $C$ (cf. [8] p. 185), and so it is a set of the first category in $\mathbb{R}$, as well.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that the function $S$ is discontinuous at every $x \in C$. For this it suffices to show that each of the sets

$$
M_{0}=\{x \in C: S(x)=0\}, \quad M_{1}=\{x \in C: S(x)=1\}
$$

is dense in $C$.
The density of $M_{0}$ follows from the fact that $M_{0} \subseteq C$ and $M_{0}$ has the full measure.
We prove that $M_{1}$ is dense in $C$. It is wellknown that every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ has the Cantor series expansion

$$
x=c_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{j}}{q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{j}}=c_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{j}}{a_{j}}
$$

where $c_{j}$ are integers, $0 \leqslant c_{j}<q_{j}, a_{j}=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$.
Denote by $A_{k}$ the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=c_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{c_{j}}{a_{j}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}, c_{0}$ is an integer and $0 \leqslant c_{j}<q_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, k)$. If $x \in A_{k}$, then $a_{n} x$ is an integer for $n>k$. Thus for $m>k$ we have

$$
S(m, x)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{k}+\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=k+1}^{m} 1=O(1)+\frac{m-k}{m} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { if } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Put $A=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}$. Then $A \subseteq M_{1} \subseteq C$ and $A$ is obviously dense in $C$. The density of $M_{1}$ in $C$ follows. This completes the proof.

We give the following simple observation.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\left(a_{j}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers. Then $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta \text {-set }}$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using Weyl's criterion we can easily check that

$$
H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)=\bigcap_{h \neq 0} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} A(n, h, k)
$$

where

$$
A(n, h, k)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}:\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h a_{j} x}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{k}\right\} .
$$

Since $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h a_{j} x}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ are continuous functions, we see that $A(n, h, k)$ is a closed set (if $n, h, k$ are fixed) and so $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set in $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1.1. a) If $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of distinct integers then by [2] and Proposition 1.1 the set $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set of the full measure.
b) For some particular choices of $\left(a_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ the set $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ can belong to lower Borel classes. For instance if $a_{n}=a \in \mathbb{R},(n=1,2, \ldots)$, then the set $H\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is empty while it coincides with the set $\mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ of all irrational numbers if $a_{n}=n$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ).

## 2. UnIFORM DISTRIBUTION $(\bmod 1)$ OF SEQUENCES $\left(a_{n} x\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ WITH FIXED $x$

Let $\alpha$ be an irrational number. A sequence $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers is said to be $\alpha$-good provided the sequence $\left(a_{n} \alpha\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed $(\bmod 1)$ (cf. [1]). The sequence $1<2<\ldots<n<\ldots$ and the sequence $p_{1}<p_{2}<\ldots<p_{n}<\ldots$ of all prime numbers are $\alpha$-good for each irrational $\alpha$ (cd. [1], [4] p. 22).

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}\right)$ denote by $D(\alpha)$ the set of all $\alpha$-good sequences. Note that every infinite sequence $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ of positive integers belongs to $D(\alpha)$ for almost all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ (cf. [4] p. 32, Theorem 4.1).

We will investigate the properties of the classes $D(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. We will show that these classes have several common properties (for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ ).

It seems to be interesting to deal with the question about magnitude of classes $D(\alpha)\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)$. This "magnitude" will be studied from the point of view of dyadic numbers of sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $U$ the class of all infinite sets

$$
A=\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}
$$

In what follows we identify the set $A$ with the sequence $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ Put

$$
\varrho(A)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{k}} \in(0,1]
$$

for each $A \subseteq U$. Then $\varrho$ is a one-to-one mapping of $U$ onto $(0,1]$. If $S$ is a class of infinite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, then we put $\varrho(S)=\{\varrho(A): A \in S\}$. The set $\varrho(S)$ "measures" the magnitude of the class $S$ (cf. [5] p. 17).

We will investigate metric and topological properties of the sets $\varrho(D(\alpha))$.

Recall that a measurable set $M \subseteq(0,1]$ is called homogeneous if there is a real number $d \in[0,1]$ such that for every interval $I \subseteq(0,1]$ we have

$$
\frac{\lambda(I \cap M)}{\lambda(I)}=d
$$

$\lambda$ being the Lebesgue measure (cf. [9], [10]).

Theorem 2.1. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is a homogeneous $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set in $(0,1]$.

Proof. According to Weyl's criterion a sequence $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers belongs to $D(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
(\forall h \in Z, h \neq 0): \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h a_{n} \alpha}=0 .
$$

This condition is equivalent to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall|h| \geqslant 1)(\forall k \geqslant 1)(\exists v \in \mathbb{N})(\forall m \geqslant v):\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h \alpha a_{n}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) we get

$$
\varrho(D(\alpha))=\bigcap_{|h| \geqslant 1} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=v}^{\infty} M(m, h, k),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(m, h, k)=\left\{x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j}} \in(0,1]:\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h \alpha a_{n}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{k}\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Construct the functions

$$
f_{m, h}(x)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} h \alpha a_{n}} \quad(m=1,2, \ldots ; h \in Z, h \neq 0),
$$

where $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j}} \in(0,1]$. These functions are defined for each $x \in(0,1]$. We will verify that their restrictions to $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$ are continuous on $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$.

Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1], x_{0}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-b_{j}}\left(b_{1}<b_{2}<\ldots\right)$ be the dyadic expansion of $x_{0}$. Fix the number $m$. Notice that the set of all numbers of the form $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j}}$,
$a_{j}=b_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ fils up an interval $I_{m}$ containing $x_{0}$, the left-hand endpoint of which is the rational number $\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2^{-b_{j}}$ and the right-hand endpoint is

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2^{-b_{j}}+\sum_{j=b_{m}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} 2^{-b_{j}}+2^{-b_{m}} .
$$

Obviously the function $f_{m, h} \mid \mathbb{Q}^{\vee} \cap(0,1]$ is constant on $I_{m}$ and so it is continuous at $x_{0}$.
From the continuity of functions $f_{m, h} \mid \mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$ the closedness of the sets $M(m, h, k)$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$ follows (see (6)). But then by (5) the set $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap \varrho(D(\alpha))$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set in $(0,1]$. Notice that

$$
\varrho(D(\alpha))=\left[\mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap \varrho(D(\alpha))\right] \cup[\mathbb{Q} \cap \varrho(D(\alpha))],
$$

the second "summand" on the right-hand side being countable. From this we see


The homogeneity of the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ can be proved by using a result form [7] (cf. [7], Lemma 1, pp. 255-256). We will use the following special case of Lemma 1 from [7]:
(T) Let $B \subseteq(0,1]$ be a measurable set. Suppose that for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ and $k, k^{\prime} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}$ we have

$$
\lambda\left(B \cap i_{n}^{(k)}\right)=\lambda\left(B \cap i_{n}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right)
$$

where

$$
i_{n}^{(v)}=\left(\frac{v}{2^{n}}, \frac{v+1}{2^{n}}\right], \quad v \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\} .
$$

Then $B$ is a homogeneous set in $(0,1]$.
If now $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ is an $\alpha$-good sequence and a sequence $d_{1}<d_{2}<$ $\ldots<\ldots$ differs from $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ only in a finite number of terms, then evidently also $d_{1}<d_{2}<\ldots<\ldots$ is an $\alpha$-good sequence. Hence the assumptions in $(\mathrm{T})$ are satisfied and so by $(\mathrm{T})$ the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is homogeneous in $(0,1]$.

It is wellknown that the Lebesgue measure of a homogeneous set $A \subseteq(0,1]$ is 0 or 1 (cf. [9], [10]). Hence by Theorem 2.1 we have $\lambda(\varrho(D(\alpha)))=0$ or $\lambda(\varrho(D(\alpha)))=1$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. We will show that this measure is equal to 1 for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 2.2. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ we have $\lambda(\varrho(D(\alpha)))=1$.
Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. Then the sequence $(n \alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$ (cf. [4] pp. 7-8). By a theorem of Peterson (cf. [6]), if $\left(v_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ is a u.d. $\bmod 1$ sequence then for almost all
$x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j}} \in(0,1]$ the sequence $\left(v_{a_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (subsequence of $\left.\left(v_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)$ is u.d. mod 1 as well. Hence for almost all $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j}} \in(0,1]$ the sequence $\left(a_{j} \alpha\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. $\bmod 1$. But this means that almost all $x \in(0,1]$ belong to the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$.

We now will investigate the magnitude of sets $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ from the topological point of view. We prove the following universal theorem.

Theorem 2.3. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is a dense $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set of the first Baire category in $(0,1]$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.1 the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is an $F_{\sigma \delta}$-set in $(0,1]$.
Further, the set $D(\alpha)$ is non-empty (and such is also the set $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ since the sequence $1<2<\ldots<n \ldots$ belongs to $D(\alpha)$. The density of $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ follows from the above mentioned fact that together with $1<2<\ldots<n \ldots$ the class $D(\alpha)$ contains every sequence $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ which differs from $1<2<\ldots<$ $n \ldots$ only in a finite number of terms.

We prove that $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is a set of the first Baire category. For $t=\varrho(A), A=a_{1}<$ $a_{2}<\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ we put

$$
g_{m}(t)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} s^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \alpha a_{n}} \quad(m=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Denote by $M$ the set of all $t \in(0,1]\left(t=\varrho(A), A=a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots\right)$ for which there exists $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{m}(t)=g(t)$. By Weyl's criterion we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(D(\alpha)) \subseteq M \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the functions $g_{m} \mid \mathbb{Q}^{\vee} \cap(0,1]$ are continuous on $\mathbb{Q}^{v} \cap(0,1]$ (and so they are continuous on $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$ as well). This can be proved in an analogous way as the continuity of the functions $f_{m, h} \mid \mathbb{Q}^{\prime} \cap(0,1]$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Thus the function $g \mid M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ is in the first Baire class on $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. This implies that the set of discontinuity points of $g \mid M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ is a set of the first category in $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ (cf. [8] p. 185).

We will show that the function $g$ is discontinuous at every point of $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. To show this it suffices to prove that each of the sets

$$
M_{0}=\left\{x \in M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}: g(x)=0\right\}, M_{1}=\left\{x \in M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}: g(x)=1\right\}
$$

is dense in $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$.

In the first place we prove the density of $M_{0}$ in $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. If $p_{1}<p_{2}<\ldots<p_{n}<\ldots$ is the sequence of all primes then $x_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p_{k}}$ belongs to $M_{0}$ (cf. [1], [4] p. 22). Together with $x_{0}$ each $\varrho(A)$ belongs to $M_{0}$, where $A$ is an infinite set of positive integers which differs from $\left\{p_{1}<p_{2}<\ldots<p_{n}<\ldots\right\}$ only in a finite number of elements. From this the density of $M_{0}$ in $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ follows.

For the proof of density of $M_{1}$ it suffices to construct a sequence $A_{0}=a_{1}<a_{2}<$ $\ldots<a_{n}<\ldots$ such that $y_{0}=\varrho\left(A_{0}\right)$ is an irrational number with $g\left(y_{0}\right)=1$. Such a sequence can be obtained by the following procedure:

Take into account the continued fraction of $\alpha$. It is wellknown that if $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}(n=$ $1,2, \ldots$ ) are convergents of this continued fraction, then

$$
\left|q_{n} \alpha-p_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{n}} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

(cf. [3] p. 27). Further, if $n$ is even then $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}<\alpha$ (cf. [3] p. 22). But then for such even $n$ we have $0<q_{n} \alpha-p_{n}<\frac{1}{q_{n}}$, thus $\left\{q_{n} \alpha\right\}=q_{n} \alpha-\left[q_{n} \alpha\right]=q_{n} \alpha-p_{n}<\frac{1}{q_{n}}$. So we get

$$
\left\{q_{n} \alpha\right\}=\frac{\vartheta_{n}}{q_{n}}, \quad 0<\vartheta_{n}<1
$$

Choose a set $N_{2}=\left\{k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots<k_{n}<\ldots\right\}$ of even numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(q_{k_{n+1}}-q_{k_{n}}\right)=+\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put $q_{n}^{\prime}=q_{k_{n}}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Then $y_{0}=\sum_{n \in N_{2}} 2^{-q_{n}^{\prime}}$ belongs to $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ since the condition (8) guarantees that the dyadic expansion of $y_{0}$ is not periodic. Further,

$$
\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \alpha q_{n}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(\left[\alpha q_{n}^{\prime}\right]+\left\{\alpha q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\right)}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\{\alpha q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \frac{\vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}}} \quad\left(0<\vartheta_{n}^{\prime}<1, n \in N_{2}\right)
$$

For all sufficiently large $n^{\prime}$ s (e.g. for $n>n_{0}$ ) we have

$$
0<\frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{m}\left(y_{0}\right)= & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{0}}+\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left\{\alpha q_{n}^{\prime}\right\}}=O(1) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \cos \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}+i \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \sin \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|\sin \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

Since $q_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \sin \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{2 \pi}{q_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

(for $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) (Cesàro means).
Further, by the inequality

$$
\cos x>1-\frac{x^{2}}{2} \quad(x \in(0,1))
$$

we get (for $n>n_{0}$ )

$$
\cos \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}>1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}>1-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{{q_{n}^{\prime}}^{2}}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \cos \frac{2 \pi \vartheta_{n}^{\prime}}{q_{n}^{\prime}} & >\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m}\left(1-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{{q_{n}^{\prime}}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} 1-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{m} \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{m} \frac{1}{{q_{n}^{\prime}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second summand on the right-hand side has the limit 0 if $m \rightarrow \infty$ while the first tends to 1 . Hence $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{m}\left(y_{0}\right)=1$.

So we have proved that $g$ is a function in the first Baire class on $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$, discontinuous at every point of $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$. Therefore $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ is a set of the first category in $M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ (cf. [8] p. 185) and so of the first category in $(0,1]$ as well. Since $M \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is a countable set, we see that $M=(M \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup\left(M \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ is a set of the first category in $(0,1]$. On account of (7) we get that $\varrho(D(\alpha))$ is a set of the first category in $(0,1]$. This completes the proof.
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