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# ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR SOME NONDEGENERATE NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS OF KIRCHHOFF TYPE 

Jong Yeoul Park and Jeong Ja Bae, Pusan

(Received November 2, 1999)

Abstract. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\Gamma$. In this work we study the existence of solutions for the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}-M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta y-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta y=f(y) \quad \text { in } Q=\Omega \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
y=0 \quad \text { in } \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty), \\
M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla y|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}\right)=g \quad \text { in } \Sigma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty), \\
y(0)=y_{0}, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(0)=y_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M$ is a $C^{1}$-function such that $M(\lambda) \geqslant \lambda_{0}>0$ for every $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $f(y)=|y|^{\alpha} y$ for $\alpha \geqslant 0$.
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MSC 2000: 35L70, 35L15, 65M60

## 1. InTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a $C^{2}$-boundary $\Gamma$. Let $\left(\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}\right)$ be a partition of $\Gamma$, both parts having positive measure and $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{1}=\varphi$. Let $\nu$ be the unit normal vector pointing toward the exterior of $\Omega$ and let $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ be the normal derivative. Let $M \in C^{1}([0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $M(\lambda) \geqslant \lambda_{0}>0$ for every $\lambda \geqslant 0$.
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Our model was inspired by the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) \Delta y=f(y) \quad \text { in } Q=\Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.2}\\
y=0 \quad \text { in } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T) \\
y(0)=y_{0}, \quad y_{t}(0)=y_{1} \quad \text { in } \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

This problem has its origin in the canonical model of Kirchhoff and Carrier which describes small vibrations of an elastic streched string. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho h \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}=\left\{p_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x^{2}}+f \quad \text { for } \quad 0<x<L, \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the lateral deflection, $x$ the space coordinate, $t$ the time, $E$ the Young modulus, $\varrho$ the mass density, $h$ the cross section area, $L$ the length, $p_{0}$ the initial axial tension and $f$ the external force. Kirchhoff was the first to introduce (1.3) in the study of oscillations of stretched strings and plates, so that (1.3) is called the wave equation of Kirchhoff type after him. Moreover, we call (1.3) a degenerate equation when $p_{0}=0$ and a nondegenerate one when $p_{0}>0$. In this paper, we show the existence of a unique weak and strong solution of problem (1.1). The works related to those kinds of problems treat homogeneous boundary conditions. In order to obtain the existence of solutions the authors employ the Galerkin method and make use of a special basis, that is, the basis formed by the eigenfunctions $\left(w_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which possess the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta w_{j}=\lambda_{j} w_{j} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we use Galerkin's approximation and take into account nonhomogeneous boundary conditions but we cannot use the basis (1.4). Hence, we can not pass to the limit using the standard argument of compactness and so we have to find an other argument.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the notation and main result. In Section 3 we prove the existence and uniqueness of a weak and strong solution of problem (1.1).

## 2. Notation and main result

In this section we present some notation that will be used throughout the paper and we state the main result. Let $V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega): v=0\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{1}\right\}$ be endowed with the topology given by the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$. Note that $V$ is a Hilbert subspace of $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

We first prepare the following well known lemma which will be needed later.
Lemma 2.1 (Sobolev-Poincaré [4]). If either $1 \leqslant q<+\infty \quad(N=1,2)$ or $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \frac{2 N}{N-2} \quad(N \geqslant 3)$, then there is a positive constant $C_{*}$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leqslant C_{*}\|\nabla u\|_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad u \in V
$$

We write

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { and } \quad(u, v)_{\Gamma_{0}}=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} u(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} \Gamma .
$$

We define the energy and the potential including the nonlinear terms associated with equation (1.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(y)=\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+J(y), \quad J(y)=\bar{M}\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\|y\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{M}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} M(r) \mathrm{d} r$.
We define a modified potential well by

$$
\mathcal{W}=\left\{y \in V \mid I(y)=\bar{M}\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right)-\|y\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}>0\right\}
$$

Now we are able to state the main result.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, g\right\} \in \mathcal{W} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$. If $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{2}{N-4}$ $(0 \leqslant \alpha<\infty$ if $N \leqslant 4)$ or $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{2}{N-2}(0 \leqslant \alpha<\infty$ if $N=1,2)$ and

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}{ }^{\alpha+2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left[\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}<1
$$

then there exists $T=T\left(\left\|\Delta y_{0}\right\|,\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|\right)>0$ such that the problem (1.2) admits a unique weak solution $y$ in the class

$$
C([0, T) ; V) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T) ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

## 3. Existence and uniqueness of strong and weak solutions

In order to obtain strong solutions, let us consider $\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, g\right\} \in \mathcal{W} \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \times$ $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \times H^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$. The variational formulation associated with the problem (1.1) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y^{\prime \prime}(t), w\right)+\left(M\left(\|\nabla y(t)\|^{2}\right) \nabla y(t), \nabla w\right)+\left(\nabla y^{\prime}(t), \nabla w\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \quad=(f(y(t)), w)+(g(t), w)_{\Gamma_{0}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(y)=|y|^{\alpha} y$ for $\alpha \geqslant 0$.
We represent by $\left(w_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a basis in $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ which is orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and by $V_{m}$ the subspace of $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ generated by the first $m$ vectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}$. We define $y_{m}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i m}(t) w_{i}$, where $y_{m}(t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t), \nabla w_{j}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
=\left(f\left(y_{m}(t)\right), w_{j}\right)+\left(g(t), w_{j}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the initial data

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{m}(0)=y_{0 m} \rightarrow y_{0} \quad \text { in } V \cap H^{2}(\Omega), \\
y_{m}^{\prime}(0)=y_{1 m} \rightarrow y_{1} \quad \text { in } V \cap H^{2}(\Omega) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The approximate system is a system of $m$ ordinary differential equations. It is easy to see that (3.1) has a local solution in $\left[0, t_{m}\right)$. The extension of the solution to the whole interval $[0, T]$ is a consequence of the first estimate we are going to obtain below.

## A priori estimates

The first estimate. Multiplying both sides of equation (3.2) by $2 g_{j m}^{\prime}(t)$ and summing over $j$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}\right)+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \quad=\left(g(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{M}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} M(r) \mathrm{d} r$.
Let $C_{0}$ be a positive constant such that $\|v\|_{\Gamma_{0}} \leqslant C_{0}\|\nabla v\|$ for every $v \in V$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}\right)+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\leqslant C_{0}\|g(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leqslant \frac{C_{0}^{2}}{2}\|g(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}\right)+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leqslant C_{0}^{2}\|g(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.5) over $(0, t)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \quad \leqslant\left\|y_{1 m}\right\|^{2}+\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{0 m}\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left\|y_{0 m}\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}+C_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\|g(s)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(y_{m}(t)\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed in the estimation, we observe that the following lemma holds:
Lemma 3.1. If $\alpha \leqslant \frac{4}{N-2}(\alpha<\infty$ for $N=1,2)$ then $\mathcal{W}$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $V$ and it is an open set.

Proof. Using the theory of imbedding and the assumption on $M$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} & \leqslant C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{\alpha+2}  \tag{3.7}\\
& =C_{*}^{\alpha+2} \lambda_{0}^{-1}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{\alpha} \lambda_{0}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant C_{*}^{\alpha+2} \lambda_{0}^{-1}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{\alpha} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose sufficiently large $\lambda_{0}$ such that $C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}\right\|^{\alpha}<\lambda_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}<\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $I\left(y_{m}\right)=\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}\right\|^{2}\right)-\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}>0$ if $\left\|\nabla y_{m}\right\|$ is sufficiently small and $y_{m} \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{W}$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $V$ and it is an open set.

To get an a priori bound on $y_{m}$, we shall show that $y_{m} \in \mathcal{W}$.
Corollary. We assume that $\alpha \leqslant \frac{4}{N-2}(\alpha<\infty$ if $N=1,2)$, $y_{0} \in \mathcal{W} \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $y_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}{ }^{\alpha+2}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left[\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}<1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution $y_{m}(t)$ of (3.1) is contained in $\mathcal{W}$, that is,

$$
I\left(y_{m}\right)=\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}\right\|^{2}\right)-\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}>0 \quad \text { on } \quad[0,+\infty)
$$

Proof. Since $I\left(y_{0}\right)>0$, it follows from the continuity of $y_{m}(t)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(y_{m}(t)\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for some interval near } \quad t=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{\max }$ be a maximal time (possibly $t_{\max }=T_{m}$ ) when (3.10) holds on $\left[0, t_{\max }\right.$ ).
Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(y_{m}(t)\right) & =\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+2}\left(\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\frac{2}{\alpha+2} I\left(y_{m}(t)\right)+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad\left[0, t_{\max }\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.6), (3.11) and (2.1), we have
(3.12) $\bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} J\left(y_{m}(t)\right) \leqslant \frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} E\left(y_{m}(t)\right)$

$$
\leqslant \frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha}\left\{E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2}\right\} \quad \text { on } \quad\left[0, t_{\max }\right)
$$

It follows from the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality, (3.9) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{\alpha+2}^{\alpha+2} \leqslant C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{\alpha+2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left\{\lambda_{0}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2}}} C_{*}^{\alpha+2}\left\{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2}\right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad\left[0, t_{\max }\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we get $I\left(y_{m}(t)\right)>0$ on $\left[0, t_{\max }\right)$. This implies that we can take $t_{\max }=T_{m}$. This completes the proof of Corollary.

Using Corollary, we can deduce an a priori bound for $y_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(y_{m}(t)\right) & =\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+J\left(y_{m}(t)\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{2}{\alpha+2} I\left(y_{m}(t)\right)+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \geqslant\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (3.6) and (3.14) imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \bar{M}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s  \tag{3.15}\\
\leqslant E\left(y_{0}\right)+C_{0}^{2}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)}^{2} \leqslant L_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $L_{1}$ is a positive constant independent of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in[0, T]$.

The second estimate. Multiplying both sides of equation (3.1) by $2 g_{j m}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and summing over $j$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \quad=\left(\left|y_{m}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{m}(t), y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}-\left(g^{\prime}(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
&= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} \\
&-2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left|\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad-M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (3.16) and (3.17) imply
(3.18) $\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}$

$$
=2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left|\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|^{2}
$$

$$
+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(\left|y_{m}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{m}(t), y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}-\left(g^{\prime}(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}
$$

Now, since $\alpha<\frac{2}{N-2}$, the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left|y_{m}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{m}(t), y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) & \leqslant\left\|y_{m}(t)\right\|_{2(\alpha+1)}^{\alpha+1}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leqslant C_{*}\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{\alpha+1}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}$ is a positive constant.

Also, the first estimate implies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left|\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.20}\\
M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \leqslant C_{2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(g^{\prime}(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}\right| & \leqslant \lambda\left\|g^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leqslant C_{\lambda}\left(\left\|g^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (3.18)-(3.21) imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.22}\\
\leqslant C_{\alpha}+C_{\lambda}\left\|g^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+C_{3}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Integrating the inequality (3.22) over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} & \left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.23}\\
\leqslant & M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{0 m}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{0 m}, \nabla y_{1 m}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{1 m}\right\|^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left|\left(\nabla y_{m}(t), \nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(C_{\alpha}+C_{\lambda}\left\|g^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+C_{3}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\left(g(t), y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}-\left(g(0), y_{1 m}\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
\leqslant & C_{4}+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{0 m}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{0 m}, \nabla y_{1 m}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla y_{1 m}\right\|^{2} \\
& +C_{5} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|g^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2}+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s+C_{6}\|g(t)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+C_{7}\|g(0)\|_{\Gamma_{0}}\left\|\nabla y_{1 m}\right\| \\
\leqslant & C_{8}+C_{9} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \leqslant C_{10} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Gronwall's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\left\|\nabla y_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \leqslant L_{2} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{2}$ is a positive constant independent of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in[0, T]$.

The third estimate. Let $m_{2} \geqslant m_{1}$ be two natural numbers and consider $z_{m}=$ $y_{m_{2}}-y_{m_{1}}$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+2\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}= & -2 M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& +2 M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& +\left(f\left(y_{m_{2}}(t)\right)-f\left(y_{m_{1}}(t)\right), z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.27}\\
& =2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t), \nabla y_{m_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla z_{m}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& =2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t), \nabla y_{m_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+2 M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)-\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then (3.26) and (3.27) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+2\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
& =2\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right\}\left(\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& \quad+2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t), \nabla y_{m_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(f\left(y_{m_{2}}(t)\right)-f\left(y_{m_{1}}(t)\right), z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the first estimate (3.15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right|  \tag{3.29}\\
& \leqslant \int_{\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}}^{\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}}\left|M^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \mathrm{d} \xi \leqslant C_{11}\left|\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}-\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right| \\
& \leqslant C_{11}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|+\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{12}\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ are positive constants.
From (3.15) and (3.29) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left|M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\right|\left(\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t), \nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
& \quad \leqslant 2 C_{12}\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& \quad \leqslant C_{13}\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{13}$ is a positive constant.

Again from (3.15) and (3.25), there exists a positive constant $C_{14}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 M^{\prime}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t), \nabla y_{m_{2}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \leqslant C_{14}\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for some constant $C_{15}$ we have

Thus (3.15) and the Sobolev imbedding imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid\left(f\left(y_{m_{2}}(t)\right)\right. & \left.-f\left(y_{m_{1}}(t)\right), z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \mid \\
& \leqslant C_{15} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|y_{m_{1}}(t)\right|^{\alpha}+\left|y_{m_{2}}(t)\right|^{\alpha}\right)\left|z_{m}(t)\right|\left|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} x  \tag{3.33}\\
& \leqslant C_{15}\left(\left\|y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|_{\alpha N}^{\alpha}+\left\|y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|_{\alpha N}^{\alpha}\right)\left\|z_{m}(t)\right\|_{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}^{N-2}\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{16}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{1}}(t)\right\|^{\alpha}+\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{\alpha}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant C_{17}\left(\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the inequalities (3.30), (3.31) and (3.33), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{m_{2}}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)+\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.34}\\
& \quad \leqslant C_{18}\left\{\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the inequality (3.34) over $(0, t)$, employing the Gronwall lemma we obtain the third estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla z_{m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla z_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant L_{3} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{3}$ is a positive constant.
Due to the estimates $(3.15),(3.25)$ and (3.35), we can extract a subsequence $\left(y_{\mu}\right)$ of $\left(y_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y_{\mu} \rightarrow y & \text { strongly } & \text { in } C^{0}([0, T] ; V),  \tag{3.36}\\
y_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} & \text { strongly } & \text { in } C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
y_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} & \text { strongly } & \text { in } L^{2}([0, T] ; V), \\
y_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} & \text { weakly star } & \text { in } L^{\infty}([0, T] ; V), \\
y_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime \prime} & \text { weakly } & \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Now (3.36) implies

$$
\left\|\nabla y_{\mu}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow\|\nabla y\|^{2} \quad \text { in } C^{0}([0, T])
$$

From the above result and $M \in C^{1}([0, \infty) ; R)$ we obtain

$$
M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{\mu}\right\|^{2}\right) \rightarrow M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) \quad \text { in } C^{0}([0, T])
$$

Thus

$$
M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{\mu}\right\|^{2}\right) y_{\mu} \rightarrow M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y \quad \text { in } \quad C^{0}([0, T] ; V) .
$$

Applying a method similar to (3.33), we get for every $\varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\left|y_{\mu}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{\mu}(t)-|y(t)|^{\alpha} y(t), \varphi\right)\right| \leqslant & C_{19}\left(\left\|\nabla y_{\mu}(t)\right\|^{\alpha}+\|\nabla y(t)\|^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \times\left\|\nabla y_{\mu}(t)-\nabla y(t)\right\|\|\varphi(t)\| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the function $y: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
y_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) \Delta y-\Delta y^{\prime}=|y|^{\alpha} y \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

Also, taking into account that $\Delta\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+$ $\left.y^{\prime}\right) \in V$ by the generalized Green's formula, we infer $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(M\left(|\nabla y|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right)=g \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$.

This completes the proof.
Remark. We observe that for a.e. $t \geqslant 0$ the function $y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the weak solution to the elliptic problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\} & =|y|^{\alpha} y-y^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\Omega) \\
M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Gamma_{1}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right) & =g \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\overline{\Gamma_{0}} \cap \overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ is empty, the theory of elliptic problems gives $y \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)\right)$. Now we can consider $g \in H^{1}\left(0, \infty ; H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$, hence one has $y \in L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Uniqueness. Let $y$ and $\bar{y}$ be two solutions of the problem (1.1). Then defining $z=y-\bar{y}$ and repeating the same argument already used in the third estimate we obtain $\|\nabla z\|=\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|=0$.

Existence of weak solution. We have just the existence of solutions to problem (1.1) when the initial data is smooth. However, when $\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, g\right\} \in \mathcal{W} \times$
$L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$, there exist $\left\{y_{\mu 0}, y_{\mu 1}, g_{\mu}\right\} \in \mathcal{W} \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \times V \cap L^{2}(\Omega) \times$ $H^{1}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{y_{\mu 0}, y_{\mu 1}, g_{\mu}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, g\right\} \in \mathcal{W} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)
$$

and using the density argument and proceeding analogously to the first and second estimates we can find a sequence $\left\{y_{\mu}\right\}$ of solutions to problem (1.1) such that $y_{\mu} \in$ $C^{0}([0, T] ; V), y_{\mu}^{\prime} \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $y_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \in L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
y_{\mu} \rightarrow y & \text { strongly } & \text { in } C^{0}([0, T] ; V),  \tag{3.37}\\
y_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} & \text { strongly } & \text { in } C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
y_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime} & \text { strongly } & \text { in } L^{2}([0, T] ; V), \\
\left|y_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} y_{\mu} \rightarrow|y|^{\alpha} y & \text { weakly } & \text { in } L^{2}(Q), \\
y_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow y^{\prime \prime} & \text { weakly } & \text { in } L^{2}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The above convergences are sufficient for passing to the limit in order to obtain a weak solution of (1.1) which satisfies

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) \Delta y=|y|^{\alpha} y \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right)=g \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let us consider the problems

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta p & =|y|^{\alpha} y & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.39}\\
p & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \\
-\Delta q & =y^{\prime} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.40}\\
q & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} & =g & & \text { on } \Gamma_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

which admit unique solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p, q \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty, \mathcal{H}), \quad \text { where } \quad \mathcal{H}=\left\{u \in V ; \quad \Delta u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$
-\Delta\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\}=|y|^{\alpha} y-y^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

and considering (3.39) and (3.40) we conclude

$$
-\Delta\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\}=-\Delta p+\Delta q^{\prime} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\prime}\left(0, \infty ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then we deduce

$$
-\int_{0}^{T} \Delta\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\}(t) \theta(t) \mathrm{d} t=-\int_{0}^{T} \Delta p(t) \theta(t) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} \Delta q(t) \theta^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

in $V^{\prime}$ for all $\theta \in D(0, T)$.
Consequently,

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\{M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right\}(t) \theta(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{T} p(t) \theta(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{T} q(t) \theta^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

in $V$. The last equality combined with (3.41) allows us to conclude that

$$
M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}=p-q^{\prime} \quad \text { in } H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{-1}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H}) .
$$

In the same way, considering for each $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta p_{\mu} & =\left|y_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} y_{\mu} & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.42}\\
p_{\mu} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \\
\frac{\partial p_{\mu}}{\partial \nu} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta q_{\mu} & =y_{\mu}^{\prime} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.43}\\
q_{\mu} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \\
\frac{\partial q_{\mu}}{\partial \nu} & =g_{\mu} & & \text { on } \Gamma_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

we have $p_{\mu}, q_{\mu} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty ; H)$ and $-\Delta\left\{M\left(\left|\Delta y_{\mu}\right|^{2}\right) y_{\mu}+y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right\}=\left|y_{\mu}\right|^{\alpha} y_{\mu}-y_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; V^{\prime}\right)$.

Next we are going to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu} \rightarrow q \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H}) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, first taking into account the generalized Green's formula and considering (3.40) and (3.43), we infer

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(q_{\mu}-q\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\left(y_{\mu}^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right)\left(q_{\mu}-q\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|\left(g_{\mu}-g\right)\left(q_{\mu}-q\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Gamma .
$$

Integrating it over $[0, T]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla q_{\mu}(t)-\nabla q(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leqslant C \int_{0}^{T}\left|y_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)-y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|g_{\mu}(t)-g(t)\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, (3.40) and (3.43) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|q_{\mu}-q\right\|_{L^{2}(0, T ; \mathcal{H})}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla q_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)-\nabla q(t)\right|^{2}+\left|\Delta q_{\mu}(t)-\Delta q(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{3.46}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left|\nabla q_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)-\nabla q(t)\right|^{2}+\left|y_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)-y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.37), (3.45) and (3.46), we conclude

$$
q_{\mu} \rightarrow q \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H}) .
$$

Analogously, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu} \rightarrow p \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H}) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (3.44) and (3.47) we have

$$
p_{\mu}-q_{\mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow p-q^{\prime} \quad \text { in } H_{\mathrm{loc}}^{-1}(0, \infty ; \mathcal{H}) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(p_{\mu}-q_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } H_{\text {loc }}^{-1}\left(0, \infty ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu} \rightarrow g \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then combining (3.48) and (3.49), we deduce the desired result

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(M\left(\|\nabla y\|^{2}\right) y+y^{\prime}\right)=g \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Uniqueness. Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be two weak solutions to problem (1.1). Then defining $z=y_{1}-y_{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
z^{\prime \prime}-\Delta\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) y_{1}+y_{1}^{\prime}-\left(M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) y_{2}+y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left|y_{1}\right|^{\alpha} y_{1}-\left|y_{2}\right|^{\alpha} y_{2} \\
\text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; V^{\prime}\right), \\
z=0 \quad \text { in } \Sigma_{0}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left\{M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) y_{1}+y_{1}^{\prime}-\left(M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) y_{2}+y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=0 \quad \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right), \\
z(0)=0, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then noting that $z^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, \infty ; V)$ we see that the duality $\left\langle z^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime}\right\rangle_{V^{\prime} \times V}$ makes sense. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla z^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}= & M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{2}(t), \nabla z^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& -M\left(\left\|\nabla y_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla y_{1}(t), \nabla z^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& +\left(\left|y_{1}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{1}(t)-\left|y_{2}(t)\right|^{\alpha} y_{2}(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above equality and making use arguments analogous to those in the third estimate we deduce that $\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}=\|\nabla z(t)\|^{2}=0$. This completes the proof.
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