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# INCIDENCE STRUCTURES OF TYPE $(p, n)$ 

František Machala, Olomouc

(Received October 4, 1999)

Abstract. Every incidence structure $\mathcal{J}$ (understood as a triple of sets ( $G, M, \mathrm{I}$ ), I $\subseteq G \times M$ ) admits for every positive integer $p$ an incidence structure $\mathcal{J}^{p}=\left(G^{p}, M^{p}, \mathrm{I}^{p}\right)$ where $G^{p}$ $\left(M^{p}\right)$ consists of all independent $p$-element subsets in $G(M)$ and $\mathrm{I}^{p}$ is determined by some bijections. In the paper such incidence structures $\mathcal{J}$ are investigated the $\mathcal{J}^{p}$,s of which have their incidence graphs of the simple join form. Some concrete illustrations are included with small sets $G$ and $M$.
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Definition 1. Let $G$ and $M$ be sets and $\mathrm{I} \subseteq G \times M$. Then the triple $\mathcal{J}=$ ( $G, M, \mathrm{I}$ ) is called an incidence structure. ${ }^{1}$ If $A \subseteq G, B \subseteq M$, then we denote

$$
A^{\uparrow}=\{m \in M \mid g \mathrm{I} m \forall g \in A\}, B^{\downarrow}=\{g \in G \mid g \mathrm{I} m \forall m \in B\}
$$

Moreover, we denote $A^{\uparrow \downarrow}:=\left(A^{\uparrow}\right)^{\downarrow}, B^{\downarrow \uparrow}:=\left(B^{\downarrow}\right)^{\uparrow}$ for $A \subseteq G, B \subseteq M$.
Definition 2. An incidence structure $\mathcal{J}_{1}=\left(G_{1}, M_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ is embedded into an incidence structure $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ if $G_{1} \subseteq G, M_{1} \subseteq M$ and $\mathrm{I}_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{I} \cap\left(G_{1} \times M_{1}\right)$. If $\mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{I} \cap\left(G_{1} \times M_{1}\right)$, then $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ is a substructure of $\mathcal{J}$.

If we put $\mathcal{P}_{G}=\left\{A \subseteq G \mid A=A^{\uparrow \downarrow}\right\}$, then the pair $\mathcal{G}=\left(G, \mathcal{P}_{G}\right)$ is a (lower) closure space in which $X^{\uparrow \downarrow}$ is a closure of any subset $X \subseteq G$ in $\mathcal{G}$. A set $A \subseteq G$ is independent in $\mathcal{G}$ if $a \notin(A-\{a\})^{\uparrow \downarrow}$ for all $a \in A$. In what follows we denote $A_{a}:=A-\{a\}$.

[^0]If $A \subseteq G$, then we put $X^{A}(a):=A_{a}^{\uparrow}-\{a\}^{\uparrow}$ for $a \in A$. Then $X^{A}(a)=\emptyset$ iff $A_{a}^{\uparrow} \subseteq\{a\}^{\uparrow}$ iff $a \in A_{a}^{\uparrow \downarrow}$. Hence the set $A$ is independent in $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if $X^{A}(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$. Moreover, $m \in X^{A}(a)$ iff $\{m\}^{\downarrow} \cap A=A_{a}$.

Let a non-empty subset $A \subseteq G$ be independent in $\mathcal{G}$. Then we put $\mathcal{X}=\left\{X^{A}(a) \mid\right.$ $a \in A\}$. For every choice $Q^{A}=\left\{m_{a} \in X^{A}(a) \mid X^{A}(a) \in \mathcal{X}\right\} \subseteq M$ from the set $\mathcal{X}$ (which exists according to the axiom of choice) we define a map $\alpha: A \rightarrow Q^{A}$ by the formula $\alpha(a)=m_{a}$. This map is called an $A$-norming map.

If we put $\mathcal{P}_{M}=\left\{B \subseteq M \mid B=B^{\downarrow \uparrow}\right\}$, then $\mathcal{M}=\left(M, \mathcal{P}_{M}\right)$ is a (upper) closure space. A set $B \subseteq M$ is independent in $\mathcal{M}$ if $m \notin(B-\{m\})^{\downarrow \uparrow}=B_{m}^{\downarrow \uparrow}$ for all $m \in M$. If $m \in B$, then we put $Y^{B}(m)=B_{m}^{\downarrow}-\{m\}^{\downarrow} . B$ is independent in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $Y^{B}(m) \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in B$. Moreover, $a \in Y^{B}(m)$ iff $\{a\}^{\uparrow} \cap B=B_{m}$.

Let a non-empty set $B \subseteq M$ be independent in $\mathcal{M}$. Then we put $\mathcal{Y}=\left\{Y^{B}(m) \mid\right.$ $m \in B\}$. For every choice $Q^{B}=\left\{a_{m} \in Y^{B}(m) \mid Y^{B}(m) \in \mathcal{Y}\right\} \subseteq G$ we consider a $\operatorname{map} \beta: B \rightarrow Q^{B}$ given by the formula $\beta(m)=a_{m}$. It will be called a $B$-norming map.

Theorem 1. Let $A \subseteq G, B \subseteq M$ be independent sets in $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}$, respectively. Then each $A$-norming map $A \rightarrow Q^{A}$ (each $B$-norming map $B \rightarrow Q^{B}$ ) is injective and the sets $Q^{A}, Q^{B}$ are independent in $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}$, respectively. (See [3].)

Remark 1. If $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is a map norming an independent set $A$ of $\mathcal{G}$, then $\alpha^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ is a map norming the independent set $B$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, from $\alpha(a)=m_{a}$ for $a \in A$ we get $a \in Y^{B}\left(m_{a}\right)$.

Definition 3. Let us consider an incidence structure $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ and a positive integer $p \geqslant 2$. Let $G^{p}$ and $M^{p}$ be the sets of all independent sets of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{M}$, respectively, of cardinality $p$. Then $\mathcal{J}^{p}=\left(G^{p}, M^{p}, I^{p}\right)$ is an incidence structure of independent sets of $\mathcal{J}$, where $A \mathrm{I}^{p} B$ if and only if there exists an $A$-norming map $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ for $A \in G^{p}, B \in M^{p}$.

Remark 2. If $A \in G^{p}$, then $X^{A}(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$ and there exists a set $B \in M^{p}$ and a norming map $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$. Similarly for a set $B \in M^{p}$. Hence $A^{\uparrow} \neq \emptyset$, $B^{\downarrow} \neq \emptyset$ in $\mathcal{J}^{p}$ for all $A \in G^{p}, B \in M^{p}$. If $G^{p}=\emptyset$, then $M^{p}=\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{J}^{p}=(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)$. For every incidence structure $\mathcal{J}$ and for every $p \geqslant 2$ there exists a unique incidence structure $\mathcal{J}^{p}$.

Definition 4. $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ is said to be an incidence structure of type $(p, n)$, where $p>1, n \geqslant 1$ are positive integers, if in $\mathcal{J}^{p}=\left(G^{p}, M^{p}, \mathrm{I}^{p}\right)$ we have $G^{p}=$ $\left\{A^{0}, \ldots, A^{n}\right\}, M^{p}=\left\{B^{0}, \ldots, B^{n-1}\right\}$ and $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$ iff $i=j$ or $i=j+1$ for all $j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Remark 3. If $\mathcal{J}$ is the structure of type $(p, n)$, then the incidence graph of the structure $\mathcal{J}^{p}$ can be drawn in the form

and $\mathcal{J}^{p}$ is called a simple join.

Theorem 2. If $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ is an incidence structure of type $(p, n)$, then
(a) $\left|A^{i} \cap A^{i+1}\right|=p-1$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$,
(b) $\left|B^{i} \cap B^{i+1}\right|=p-1$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Proof. (a) Since $A^{i}, A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, there exist norming mappings $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}, \beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ and $\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ is a bijective mapping of the sets $A^{i}, A^{i+1}$. We put $\alpha_{i}(a)=m_{a}, \beta_{i}\left(m_{a}\right)=a^{\prime}$ for each $a \in A^{i}$. Since the inverse mapping $\alpha_{i}^{-1}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i}$, in which $\alpha_{i}^{-1}\left(m_{a}\right)=a$, is also norming, we get $a, a^{\prime} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$ for each $a \in A^{i}$.

Let us suppose that there exist two distinct elements $b_{1}, b_{2} \in A^{i+1}-A^{i}$. Then there exist distinct elements $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A^{i}$ such that $\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\left(a_{1}\right)=b_{1}, \beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)=b_{2}$. It is obvious that $a_{1}, b_{1} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a_{1}}\right)$ and $a_{2}, b_{2} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a_{2}}\right)$. If we put $A^{\prime}=A_{a_{i}}^{i} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}$, then $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=p$ and $A^{\prime} \neq A^{i}, A^{i+1}$. We obtain $a \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$ for all $a \in A_{a_{1}}^{i}$. The set $A^{\prime}$ is independent in $\mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha: a \mapsto m_{a}$ for all $a \in A_{a_{1}}^{i}, b_{1} \mapsto m_{a_{1}}$, is a norming mapping of the set $A^{\prime}$ to $B^{i}$. Hence $A^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. However, this contradicts $A^{\prime} \neq A^{i}, A^{i+1}$.
(b) It can be proved similarly to (a).

Notation. Since $\left|A^{i} \cap A^{i+1}\right|=p-1$, we can put $R^{i}=A^{i} \cap A^{i+1}, A^{i}=\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i}$, $A^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\} \cup R^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. In a similar way we put $Q^{i}=B^{i} \cap B^{i+1}$, $B^{i}=\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{i}, B^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$.

Remark 4. In Theorems $3-7$ we suppose that an incidence structure $\mathcal{J}=$ $(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ of type $(p, n)$ is given, where $G^{p}=\left\{A^{0}, \ldots, A^{n}\right\}, M^{p}=\left\{B^{0}, \ldots, B^{n-1}\right\}$, and all former notation is respected.

## Theorem 3.

1. $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$,
2. $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}$ for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Proof. 1. There exist norming maps $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}, \beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, where $\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ is bijective. If $a \in A^{i}$, then we put
$\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}(a)=\beta_{i}\left(m_{a}\right)=\bar{a}$, where $a, \bar{a} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$. Assume that $a \in R^{i}$. If $a \neq \bar{a}$, then $a, \bar{a} \in A^{i+1}$ and $a, \bar{a} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$ implies a contradiction to the independence of $A^{i+1}$. Hence $a=\bar{a}, \beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\left(R^{i}\right)=R^{i}$ and $\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)=a_{i+1}$. This yields $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i+1} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$ and thus $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m_{a_{i}}^{\prime}}^{i}$.
2. Since $A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}, B^{i+1}$ for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ by Definition 4, there exist norming mappings $\beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1}: A^{i+1} \rightarrow B^{i+1}$, where $\alpha_{i+1} \beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow B^{i+1}$ is bijective. If we put $\alpha_{i+1} \beta_{i}(m)=\alpha_{i+1}\left(a_{m}\right)=\bar{m}$ for $m \in B^{i}$, then $m, \bar{m} \in$ $X^{A^{i+1}}\left(a_{m}\right)$. Similarly to 1 we can show that $m_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i+1} \in X^{A^{i+1}}\left(a_{m_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$. Thus $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap$ $A^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=A_{a_{m_{i}^{\prime}}}^{i+1}$.

## Theorem 4.

1. $a_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \Longleftrightarrow a_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow}$,
2. $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \Longleftrightarrow m_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow}$
for all $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$.
Proof. 1. There exists a norming mapping $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}$ because $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. Since $m_{i}^{\prime} \in B^{i}$, there exists an element $a^{\prime} \in A^{i}$ such that $\alpha_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=m_{i}^{\prime}$. Then $m_{i}^{\prime} \in X^{A^{i}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}=A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$. If we put $\alpha_{i}(a)=m_{a}$ for $a \in A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$, then $m_{a} \in X^{A^{i}}(a)$ and $Q^{i}=B^{i} \cap B^{i+1}=\left\{m_{a} \mid a \in A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}\right\}$.

Let us assume that $a_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow},\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ or $a_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow},\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow}$. From Theorem 3 we obtain $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}$ and thus (by assumption) $\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}=$ $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}=A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$. Hence $m_{i+1} \in X^{A^{i}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. From $B^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$ it follows that $a^{\prime} \mapsto m_{i+1}, a \mapsto m_{a}$ for $a \in A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$ is a norming mapping of $A^{i}$ onto $B^{i+1}$. Thus $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i+1}$. It is a contradiction.
2. There exists a norming mapping $\beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ because $A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. Since $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \in A^{i+1}$, there exists an element $m^{\prime} \in B^{i}$ such that $\beta_{i}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=a_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Then $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$. If we put $\beta_{i}(m)=a_{m}$ for $m \in B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$, then $a_{m} \in Y^{B^{i}}(m)$ and $R^{i+1}=A^{i+1} \cap A^{i+2}=\left\{a_{m} \mid m \in B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}\right\}$.

Let us assume that $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow},\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ or $m_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow},\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. From Theorem 3 we obtain $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}$ and thus (by assumption) $\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$. Hence $a_{i+2} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. From $A^{i+2}=$ $\left\{a_{i+2}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}$ it follows that $\beta: m_{i}^{\prime} \mapsto a_{i+2}, m \mapsto a_{m}$ for $m \in B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$ is a norming mapping of $B^{i}$ onto $A^{i+2}$. Thus $A^{i+2} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. It is a contradiction.

Remark 5. Since $a_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ iff $a_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow}$, we obtain $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ iff $m_{i+1} \notin$ $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. Similarly $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ iff $a_{i+2} \notin\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$.

Theorem 5. Let $m_{i+1}^{\prime}=m_{i+1}$. If $a_{i+1}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$, then $a_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ iff $a_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow}$. If $a_{i+2}^{\prime}=a_{i+2}$, then $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ iff $m_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow}$.

Proof. Accepting the former notation we have $B^{i}=\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{i}, B^{i+1}=$ $\left\{m_{i+1}\right\} \cup Q^{i}=\left\{m_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{i+1}, B^{i+2}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\} \cup Q^{i+1}$. Moreover, $Q^{i}=Q^{i+1}$ and $B^{i+2}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$ because of $m_{i+1}^{\prime}=m_{i+1}$.
a) Let us assume that $a_{i+1}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$. Then $R^{i}=R^{i+1}$ and $A^{i+2}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\} \cup R^{i}$. By Theorem 3 we obtain $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}$, hence $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}=$ $\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}$. Moreover, $\left\{m_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+2}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+2}$. Since $R^{i} \subseteq A^{i+2}$, we obtain $\left\{m_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}$ and the equality $m_{i+1}^{\prime}=m_{i+1}$ implies that $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap R^{i}$.

Let us assume that either $a_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow},\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ or $a_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow},\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow}$. Since $A^{i}=\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i}, A^{i+2}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\} \cup R^{i}$, we get $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}$. Since $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$, there exists a norming mapping $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}$. Let $\alpha_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=m_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}(a)=m_{a}$ for $a \in A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$. Then $\alpha_{i}\left(A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}\right)=Q^{i}$. From $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i}=A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$ it follows that $m_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i+2} \in$ $X^{A^{i}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $a^{\prime} \mapsto m_{i+2}, a \mapsto m_{a}$ for $a \in A_{a^{\prime}}^{i}$ is a norming mapping of the set $A^{i}$ onto $B^{i+2}=\left\{m_{i+2}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$, i.e. $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i+2}$. It is a contradiction.
b) Let us assume that $a_{i+2}^{\prime}=a_{i+2}$. Then $R^{i+1}=R^{i+2}$ and $A^{i+3}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}$. By Theorem 3 we obtain $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}$, hence $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}=$ $\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}$. Moreover, $\left\{a_{i+2}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+2}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+2}$. Since $Q^{i} \subseteq B^{i+2}$, we obtain $\left\{a_{i+2}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}$ and the equality $a_{i+2}^{\prime}=a_{i+2}$ implies that $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap Q^{i}$.

Let us assume that either $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow},\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ or $m_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow},\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. Then $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}$. By assumption $A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$, where $A^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}$. Hence there exists a norming mapping $\beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}$, where $\beta_{i}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=a_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for a certain $m^{\prime} \in B^{i}$ and $\beta_{i}(m)=a_{m}$ for $m \in B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$. Then $\beta_{i}\left(B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}\right)=R^{i+1}$. From $\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}=\left\{a_{i+3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}$ we get $a_{i+1}^{\prime}, a_{i+3} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$. From $A^{i+3}=$ $\left\{a_{i+3}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}$ we obtain that $m^{\prime} \mapsto a_{i+3}, m \mapsto a_{m}$ for $m \in B_{m^{\prime}}^{i}$ is a norming mapping of the set $B^{i}$ onto $A^{i+3}$, i.e. $A^{i+3} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. It is a contradiction.

Theorem 6. If $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2$, then $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}^{\prime}, a_{i+2}, a_{i+2}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let us recall that $A^{i}=\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i}, A^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\} \cup R^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}$, $A^{i+2}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\} \cup R^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+2}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i+2}$.

1. If $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$, then $A^{i+1}=A^{i}$. This is a contradiction.
2. Let $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}^{\prime}$. If $a_{i+1}=a_{i+1}^{\prime}$, then $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$, a contradiction. If $a_{i+1} \neq a_{i+1}^{\prime}$, then $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \in R^{i}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime} \in R^{i}$. This is a contradiction again.
3. We prove that $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}$. Since $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$, there exists a norming mapping $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}$, where $\alpha_{i}(a)=m_{a}$ for $a \in A^{i}$.
a) Let $a_{i+1} \neq a_{i+1}^{\prime}$. From $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+1}^{\prime}$ we obtain $m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \neq m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}}$. Hence $m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i}^{\prime}$ or $m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i}^{\prime}$. First assume that $m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i}^{\prime}$. This yields $m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}} \in Q^{i}$ and $m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}} \in B^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$. From $m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \in X^{A^{i}}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right), m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}} \in X^{A^{i}}\left(a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain
$a_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}}$ and $a_{i+1}^{\prime} \ddagger m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}}$. By Theorem $3\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i+1}$, thus $a_{i+2} \nsubseteq m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}}$. Since $a_{i}^{\prime}$ I $m_{a_{i+1}^{\prime}}$, we get $a_{i+2} \neq a_{i}^{\prime}$. If $m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \neq m_{i}^{\prime}$, then we can proceed similarly.
b) Let $a_{i+1}=a_{i+1}^{\prime}$. First we assume that $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\dagger}$. According to Theorem 3, $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}$, which implies $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ and $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. From Theorem 4 we get $m_{i}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{i+2}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. Hence $a_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} m_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i+2} \nmid m_{i}^{\prime}$ and thus $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}$. If $m_{i}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$, then we can proceed similarly.
4. We show that $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}^{\prime}$. If $a_{i+2}^{\prime}=a_{i+2}$, then $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}^{\prime}$ according to 3 . Let $a_{i+2}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}$. Then $a_{i+2}^{\prime} \in R^{i+1}$. If $a_{i+1}=a_{i+2}^{\prime}$, then $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+2}^{\prime}$ implies $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$. This is a contradiction to 1 . Hence $a_{i+1} \neq a_{i+2}^{\prime}$. From $a_{i+2}^{\prime} \in R^{i+1}$ we obtain $a_{i+2}^{\prime} \in R^{i}$ and thus $a_{i}^{\prime} \neq a_{i+2}^{\prime}$.

Remark 6. In an incidence structure of type $(p, n)$ the case $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i+3}$ is possible, as is shown in Fig. 5.

Theorem 7. If $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-3$, then $m_{i}^{\prime} \neq m_{i+1}, m_{i+1}^{\prime}, m_{i+2}, m_{i+2}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Analogous to Theorem 6.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ be an incidence structure and $p>1$ a positive integer. Let $A^{i} \subseteq G,\left|A^{i}\right|=p$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $B^{i} \subseteq M,\left|B^{i}\right|=p$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, where $n \geqslant 1$. Let the following conditions be valid:

1. The sets $A^{0}, B^{0}$ are independent in $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}$, respectively, and there exists a norming mapping $\alpha_{0}: A^{0} \rightarrow B^{0}$.
2. $\left|A^{i} \cap A^{i+1}\right|=p-1,\left|B^{i} \cap B^{i+1}\right|=p-1$ for all possible $i$.
3. (a) $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.
(b) $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$
with respect to the former notation.
Then all sets $A^{i}, B^{i}$ are independent in $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}$, respectively, and $A^{i} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{j}$ for $i=j$, $i=j+1, j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Proof. Let all the assumptions hold. If $A^{i} \in G^{p}, B^{i} \in M^{p}$ for a certain $i \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$ and a norming mapping $\alpha_{i}: A^{i} \rightarrow B^{i}$ exists, then $A^{i+1} \in G^{p}$, $B^{i+1} \in M^{p}$ and there exist norming mappings $\beta_{i}: B^{i} \rightarrow A^{i+1}, \alpha_{i+1}: A^{i+1} \rightarrow B^{i+1}$. We have $A^{i}=\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{i}, A^{i+1}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\} \cup R^{i}, R^{i}=A^{i} \cap A^{i+1}$ with respect to our notation. If we put $\alpha_{i}(a)=m_{a}$ for $a \in A^{i}$, then $a \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$ and $\{a\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m_{a}}^{i}$. According to $3(\mathrm{a}),\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=\left\{a_{i+1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{i}=B_{m_{a_{i}^{\prime}}}^{i}$ and thus $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i+1} \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a^{\prime}}\right)$. Since $a \in Y^{B^{i}}\left(m_{a}\right)$ for $a \in R^{i}$, the set $A^{i+1}$ is independent in $\mathcal{G}$ and $\beta_{i}: m_{a} \mapsto a$ for $a \in R^{i}, m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \mapsto a_{i+1}$ is a norming mapping of the set $B^{i}$ onto $A^{i+1}$. Hence $A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i}$. Moreover, $m_{a} \in X^{A^{i+1}}(a)$ for $a \in R^{i}$ and $m_{a_{i}^{\prime}} \in X^{A^{i+1}}\left(a_{i+1}\right)$.

If we put $B^{i}=\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{i}, B^{i+1}=\left\{m_{i+1}\right\} \cup Q^{i}$, where $Q^{i}=B^{i} \cap B^{i+1}$, then $\alpha_{i}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=m_{i}^{\prime}$ for a certain $a^{\prime} \in A^{i}$. According to $3(\mathrm{~b})$ we have $\left\{m_{i}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=$ $\left\{m_{i+1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{i+1}=A_{a^{\prime}}^{i+1}$, which implies $m_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i+1} \in X^{A^{i+1}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime} \in R^{i}$. Then $\alpha_{i+1}: a \mapsto m_{a}$ for $a \in R_{a^{\prime}}^{i}, a^{\prime} \mapsto m_{i+1}, a_{i+1} \mapsto m_{a_{i}^{\prime}}$ is a norming mapping of the set $A^{i+1}$ onto $B^{i+1}$ and $B^{i+1}$ is independent in $\mathcal{M}$. If $a^{\prime}=a_{i+1}$, then $\alpha_{i+1}: a \mapsto m_{a}$ for $a \in R^{i}, a_{i+1} \mapsto m_{i+1}$ is a norming mapping of $A^{i+1}$ onto $B^{i+1}$ again. Thus $A^{i+1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{i+1}$.

By assumption 1 we get $A^{0} \in G^{p}, B^{0} \in M^{p}$ and $A^{0} I^{p} B^{0}$. Hence $A^{1} \in G^{p}$, $B^{1} \in M^{p}$ and $A^{1} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{0}, B^{1}$. This yields $A^{2} \in G^{p}, B^{2} \in M^{p}, A^{2} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{1}, B^{2}$ and so on.

Remark 7. Let the assumptions from Theorem 8 be valid. If we put $G_{1}^{p}=$ $\left\{A^{0}, \ldots, A^{n}\right\}, M_{1}^{p}=\left\{B^{0}, \ldots, B^{n-1}\right\}$ and $A^{i} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{p} B^{j}$ iff $i=j, i=j+1$, then the incidence structure $\mathcal{J}_{1}^{p}=\left(G_{1}^{p}, M_{1}^{p}, \mathrm{I}_{1}^{p}\right)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{J}^{p}$.

Theorems 2-7 can be used to construct incidence structures of type $(p, n)$, as is shown in the following example.

Example. Let us construct the incidence tables of some incidence structures of type $(3,3)$. Let $\mathcal{J}=(G, M$, I) be an incidence structure of type (3.3). Then $G^{3}=\left\{A^{0}, A^{1}, A^{2}, A^{3}\right\}, M^{3}=\left\{B^{0}, B^{1}, B^{2}\right\}$, where $A_{i} \subset G$ for $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ and $B^{i} \subset M$ for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$. In what follows we suppose that $G=\bigcup_{i=0}^{3} A^{i}, M=\bigcup_{i=0}^{2} B^{i}$. From Theorem 2 we obtain $A^{0}=\left\{a_{0}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{0}, A^{1}=\left\{a_{1}\right\} \cup R^{0}=\left\{a_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{1}, A^{2}=\left\{a_{2}\right\} \cup$ $R^{1}=\left\{a_{2}^{\prime}\right\} \cup R^{2}, A^{3}=\left\{a_{3}\right\} \cup R^{2}$ and $B^{0}=\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{0}, B^{1}=\left\{m_{1}\right\} \cup Q^{0}=\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cup Q^{1}$, $B^{2}=\left\{m_{2}\right\} \cup Q^{1}$.

Moreover, we will assume that the following conditions are satisfied:
(P1) $R^{0} \neq R^{1} \neq R^{2} \neq R^{0}$,
(P2) $Q^{0} \neq Q^{1}$,
(P3) $a_{3} \neq a_{0}^{\prime}, a_{1} \neq a_{2}^{\prime}$.
According to (P1), (P3) and Theorem 6, $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{j}$ are distinct elements for all possible $i, j$. From $R^{0} \neq R^{1}$ and $R^{1} \neq R^{2}$ we obtain $a_{1}^{\prime} \in R^{0}$ and $a_{2}^{\prime} \in R^{1}$. The condition $a_{1} \neq a_{2}^{\prime}$ implies $a_{2}^{\prime} \in R^{0}$. Hence $R^{0}=\left\{a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}\right\}, R^{1}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}^{\prime}\right\}, R^{2}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$. Similarly $m_{1}^{\prime} \in Q^{0}$. If we put $Q^{0}=\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$, then $m_{i}^{\prime}, m_{j}$ are distinct elements and $Q^{1}=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. There exist a norming set $\alpha: A^{0} \rightarrow B^{0}$ by assumptions.

1. Assume that $\alpha\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right)=m_{0}^{\prime}$. We select such a notation that $\alpha\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right)=m_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha\left(a_{2}^{\prime}\right)=$ $m_{2}^{\prime}$ (see Tab. 1). By Theorem 3 we get $\left\{a_{0}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{0}=\left\{a_{1}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{0}$ and $\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{1}=$ $\left\{m_{1}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{1}$. From Theorem 4, $a_{0}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{0}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ implies $a_{0}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{1}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ and thus $a_{0}^{\prime}$ I $m_{1}$. Moreover, $\left\{a_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \cap B^{1}=\left\{a_{2}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{1}$ by Theorem 3 and $m_{0}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ implies $m_{0}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{2}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ by Theorem 4. Thus $a_{2} \nsucceq m_{0}^{\prime}$.

We know that $\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{2}=\left\{m_{2}\right\}^{\downarrow} \cap A^{2}$ and $a_{1}^{\prime} \notin\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}\right\}^{\downarrow}$ implies $a_{1}^{\prime} \in\left\{m_{2}\right\}^{\downarrow}$. Thus $a_{1}^{\prime}$ I $m_{2}$. Finally, we obtain $\left\{a_{2}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{2}=\left\{a_{3}\right\}^{\uparrow} \cap B^{2}$ and $m_{1}^{\prime} \notin\left\{a_{3}\right\}^{\uparrow}$ because of $m_{1}^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{2}^{\prime}\right\}^{\uparrow}$. Thus $a_{3} \nsucceq m_{1}^{\prime}$.

It remains to decide about the incidence of elements $a_{0}^{\prime}, m_{2}$ and $a_{3}, m_{0}^{\prime}$. If $a_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} / m_{2}$, then for instance $A^{0} \mathrm{I}^{p} B^{1}$. This is a contradiction and hence $a_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} m_{2}$.

| I | $m_{0}^{\prime}$ | $m_{1}^{\prime}$ | $m_{2}^{\prime}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{0}^{\prime}$ |  | - | - | - | - |
| $a_{1}^{\prime}$ | - |  | - | - | - |
| $a_{2}^{\prime}$ | - | - |  | - | - |
| $a_{1}$ |  | - | - |  | - |
| $a_{2}$ |  |  | - | - |  |
| $a_{3}$ | $?$ |  |  | - | - |

Table 1.
My colleague Dr. V. Tichý has devised a computer program assigning to every incidence structure $\mathcal{J}=(G, M, \mathrm{I})$ for $|G|,|M|<12$ all incidence structures $\mathcal{J}^{p}$ of independent sets of $\mathcal{J}$. In the figures enclosed part a) shows the incidence table of the structure $\mathcal{J}$, parts b), c) show all independent sets in $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{M}$, respectively, and part d) ahows the incidence graph of the structure $\mathcal{J}^{p}$. Fig. 1 illustrates the described incidence structure $\mathcal{J}$ for $a_{3} \nsubseteq m_{0}^{\prime}$ and Fig. 2 for $a_{3}$ I $m_{0}^{\prime}$. Both structures are of type $(3,3)$.
2. Assume that $\alpha\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right) \neq m_{0}^{\prime}$. Let for instance $\alpha\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right)=m_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha\left(a_{1}^{\prime}\right)=m_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha\left(a_{2}^{\prime}\right)=$ $m_{0}^{\prime}$. Fig. 3 shows such an incidence structure $\mathcal{J}$ of type $(3,3)$ which is assigned similarly to 1 .

Incidence structures in Figs. 1, 2, 3 are not isomorphic.
Figs. 4, 5 illustrate incidence structure of type (3,3), in which conditions ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ), $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ are satisfied but $a_{3} \neq a_{0}^{\prime}, a_{1}=a_{2}^{\prime}$, and $a_{3}=a_{0}^{\prime}, a_{1} \neq a_{2}^{\prime}$, respectively.

An incidence structure of type (5,4), where $R^{0}=R^{1}$ and $Q^{1}=Q^{2}$, is in Fig. 6.
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