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#### Abstract

This paper gives some new characterizations of completeness for trellises by introducing the notion of a cycle-complete trellis. One of our results yields, in particular, a characterization of completeness for trellises of finite length due to K. Gladstien (see K. Gladstien: Characterization of completeness for trellises of finite length, Algebra Universalis 3 (1973), 341-344).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

A reflexive and antisymmetric binary relation $\unlhd$ on a set $A$ is called a pseudoorder on $A$. A pseudo-ordered set or a psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ consists of a nonempty set $A$ and a pseudo-order $\unlhd$ on $A$. For $a, b \in A$, if $a \unlhd b$ and $a \neq b$, then we write $a \triangleleft b$. For a subset $B$ of $A$, the notions of a lower bound, an upper bound, the greatest lower bound (GLB or meet, denoted by $\bigwedge B$ ), the least upper bound (LUB or join, denoted by $\bigvee B$ ), a minimal element, a maximal element, the minimum (or the least) element and the maximum (or the greatest) element are defined analogously to the corresponding notions in a poset. As in the case of posets (see [1]), for the empty set $\Phi, \bigvee \Phi$ exists in $A$ if and only if $\bigwedge A$ exists or equivalently $A$ has the minimum element 0 and $\bigvee \Phi=\bigwedge A=0$. By a trellis (also called a $T$-lattice in [2] and a weakly associative lattice in [3]) we mean a poset any two of whose elements have a GLB and a LUB. A trellis in which every subset has a GLB and a LUB is called a complete trellis. The notion of a trellis as a nonassociative generalization of a lattice is due to E. Fried [2] and H. L. Skala [6].

Define a relation $\sqsubset_{B}$ on a subset $B$ of a psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ by setting $b \sqsubset_{B} b^{\prime}$ for two elements $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ of $B$ if there exists a finite sequence $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of elements of $B$ such that $b \triangleleft b_{1} \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft b_{n} \triangleleft b^{\prime}$. If $b \unlhd b_{1} \unlhd \ldots \unlhd b_{n} \unlhd b^{\prime}$ then we write $b \sqsubseteq_{B} b^{\prime}$. If for each pair of elements $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ of $B$ at least one of the relations $b \sqsubseteq_{B} b^{\prime}$ or $b^{\prime} \sqsubseteq_{B} b$ holds, then $B$ will be called a pseudo-chain or a p-chain. If both these relations hold for each pair of elements, $B$ is said to be a cycle. A one-element cycle is called a trivial cycle. It is known that a cycle having a maximum element is a trivial cycle (see [4]). The empty set $\Phi$ is also regarded as a cycle. A $p$-chain $C=\left\{a_{i} \mid i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$ of elements of a psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ is said to be a descending p-chain in $A$ if $a_{1} \triangleright a_{2} \triangleright \ldots \mathrm{~A}$ psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ is said to satisfy the descending p-chain condition if there is no infinite descending $p$-chain of elements of $A$. A $p$-chain satisfying the descending $p$-chain condition is called an ascending well-ordered $p$-chain. An ascending $p$-chain, ascending $p$-chain condition and descending well-ordered $p$-chain are defined similarly.

It is proved in our paper [5] that a trellis $A$ is complete if and only if every ascending well-ordered $p$-chain in $A$ has a join. In this paper, using the notion of a cycle-complete trellis, we obtain some new characterizations of completeness for trellises, one of which yields, in particular, a result of K. Gladstien [4] for trellises of finite length.

## 2. Definitions and results

Let $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ be a psoset and $H$ a nonempty subset of $A$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $H$ by, for $a, b \in H, a \sim b$ if there exists a cycle $C$ of elements of $H$ such that $a, b \in C$. For a $\in H$, let $[a]_{H}$ denote the equivalence class in $H$ containing $a$ with respect to the equivalence relation $\sim$, i.e. $[a]_{H}=\{x \in H \mid x \sim a\}$. Clearly $[a]_{H}$ is a maximal cycle (with respect to set inclusion) in $H$ containing $a$. Let $H^{*}=\left\{[a]_{H} \mid a \in H\right\}$. Then the binary relation $\unlhd^{*}$ on $H^{*}$ defined for $[a]_{H},[b]_{H} \in H^{*}$ by $[a]_{H} \unlhd^{*}[b]_{H}$ if a $\sqsubseteq_{H} b$, is clearly a partial order on $H^{*}$.

Let $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ be a psoset. We call a subset $S$ of $A$ join-closed if, whenever $T$ is a subset of $S$ such that $\bigvee T$ exists in $A$, then $\bigvee T \in S$. We call a subset $S$ of $A$ updirected if every pair of elements of $S$ has an upper bound in $S$. If any two-elements of $A$ have a LUB, then it is clear that any join-closed subset of $A$ is up-derected.

Remark 1. We make the following observations.
(i) If $H$ is a nonempty up-directed subset of a $\operatorname{psoset}\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$, then $\left\langle H^{*} ; \unlhd^{*}\right\rangle$ is an up-directed poset.
(ii) An up-directed psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ has the maximum element $a$ if and only if the poset $\left\langle A^{*} ; \unlhd^{*}\right\rangle$ has the maximum element $[a]_{A}$ where $[a]_{A}=\{a\}$.

For brevity, a trellis $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ is said to be cycle-complete if every cycle in $A$ has a join. It is clear that any lattice with a minimum element is a cycle-complete trellis. The following theorem gives some characterizations of completeness for trellises in terms of cycle-completeness.

Theorem 1. For a trellis $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$, the following statements are equivalent.
(1) $A$ is complete.
(2) $A$ is cycle-complete and for every join-closed subset $S$ of $A$, the poset $S^{*}$ has a maximum element.
(3) $A$ is cycle-complete and for every subset $H$ of $A$, the poset $\left(H^{\nabla}\right)^{*}$ has a maximum element, where $H^{\nabla}$ denotes the set of all lower bounds of $H$ in $A$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Clearly $A$ is cycle-complete by (1). Also, for any join-closed subset $S$ of $A, \bigvee S=a$ exists in $A$ and $a \in S$. Hence $a$ is the maximum element of $S$. This implies $S^{*}$ has the maximum element $[a]_{S}=\{a\}$ by (ii) of Remark 1.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Follows by noting that $H^{\nabla}$ in join-closed.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ : To show that $A$ is complete it is enough to show that for any subset $H$ of $A, \bigwedge H$ exists in $A$ (see [6]). Let $H$ be a subset of $A$. Then $H^{\nabla} \neq \Phi$ as $0=\bigvee \Phi$ exists in $A$ and therefore $0 \in H^{\nabla}$ since $H^{\nabla}$ is join-closed. By $(3),\left(H^{\nabla}\right)^{*}$ has the maximum, say $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}$. Then $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}$, being a cycle in $H^{\nabla}$, is also a cycle in $A$. Therefore $\bigvee[a]_{H^{\nabla}}=x$ exists in $A$ and $x \in H^{\nabla}$. Now $[x]_{H^{\nabla}} \in\left(H^{\nabla}\right)^{*}$ and $[a]_{H^{\nabla}} \unlhd^{*}[x]_{H^{\nabla}}$ as $a \unlhd x$. But $[a]_{H \nabla}$ is the maximum of $\left(H^{\nabla}\right)^{*}$. Thus $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}=[x]_{H^{\nabla}}$, consequently $x$ is the maximum of the cycle $[a]_{H}$. Hence $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}=\{x\}$ so that $a=x$. Therefore by (ii) of Remark $1, H^{\nabla}$ has the maximum element $a$ and hence $a=\bigwedge H$. Thus $A$ is complete.

Let $\langle P ; \leqslant\rangle$ be a poset and $\mathbf{S}$ the set of all ascending well-ordered chains in $P$. Define a binary relation $\leqslant$ on $\mathbf{S}$ for $C, D \in \mathbf{S}$ by $C \leqslant D$ if $C=D$ or $C=\{x \in D \mid x<d\}$ for some $d \in D$. Then $\langle\mathbf{S} ; \leqslant\rangle$ is a poset and, by using Zorn's lemma, it follows that $\langle\mathbf{S} ; \leqslant\rangle$ has a maximal element (see [1]). Any maximal element of the poset $\langle\mathbf{S} ; \leqslant\rangle$ is called a maximal ascending well-ordered chain in $P$.

Remark 2. Let $P$ be an up-directed poset. Then it is clear that the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $P$ has the maximum element.
(ii) Every subchain of $P$ has an upper bound.
(iii) Every ascending well-ordered chain in $P$ has an upper bound.
(iv) Every maximal ascending well-ordered chain in $P$ has an upper bound (or equivalently has the maximum).
(v) $P$ has a maximal element.

In (2) of Theorem 1, we note that $S^{*}$ is an up-directed poset by (i) of Remark 1. Therefore replacing $P$ by $S^{*}$ in the above remark, some equivalent formulations of (2) can be obtained. We make similar observations for (3) of Theorem 1 since $H^{\nabla}$ is join-closed.

Lemma 1. $A$ psoset $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ satisfies the ascending $p$-chain condition if and only if it satisfies the following conditions.
(1) All cycles of $A$ are finite.
(2) The poset $\left\langle A^{*} ; \unlhd^{*}\right\rangle$ satisfies the ascending chain condition.

Proof. $\quad(\Rightarrow)$ : (1) If $C$ is an infinite cycle in $A$, then we can find infinitely many elements $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ in $C$. Then $a_{0} \sqsubset_{c} a_{1} \sqsubset_{c} a_{2} \sqsubset_{c} \ldots$ This implies, for each $i \geqslant 0$, that there exists an integer $n_{i} \geqslant 0$ and $a_{i j} \in C$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{i}$ such that $a_{i}=a_{i 0} \unlhd a_{i 1} \unlhd \ldots \unlhd a_{i n_{i}}=a_{i+1}$. These elements $a_{i j}$ of $C$ form an infinite ascending $p$-chain in $A$, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis.
(2) If $\left\langle A^{*} ; \unlhd^{*}\right\rangle$ does not satisfy the ascending chain condition, then in $A^{*}$ there exists an infinite chain of the form $\left[a_{0}\right]_{A} \triangleleft^{*}\left[a_{1}\right]_{A} \triangleleft^{*} \ldots$. This implies $a_{i} \sqsubset{ }_{A} a_{i+1}$ for $i \geqslant 0$. Now, arguing as in (1), we obtain an infinite ascending $p$-chain, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis.
$(\Leftarrow)$ : Assume that (1) and (2) hold for $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$. If there exists an infinite ascending $p$-chain in $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$, say $a_{0} \triangleleft a_{1} \triangleleft \ldots$, then $\left[a_{0}\right]_{A} \unlhd^{*}\left[a_{1}\right]_{A} \unlhd^{*} \ldots$ in the poset $\left\langle A^{*} ; \unlhd^{*}\right\rangle$. By (2), this implies that there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $\left[a_{n}\right]_{A}=\left[a_{n+i}\right]_{A}$ for every $i \geqslant 1$. This implies $a_{n+i} \in\left[a_{n}\right]_{A}$ for every $i \geqslant 1$. Thus $\left[a_{n}\right]_{A}$ is an infinite cycle in $A$, a contradiction to (1). Therefore $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ satisfies the ascending $p$-chain condition.

We now obtain a useful corollary of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. A trellis $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ satisfying the ascending $p$-chain condition is complete if and only if it is cycle-complete.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ : Obvious.
$(\Leftarrow)$ : We verify the second part of the condition (2) of Theorem 1. Let $S$ be a join-closed subset of $A$. Then $S \neq \Phi$ since $\bigvee \Phi=0$ exists in $A$ so that $0 \in S$. Also, $S$ satisfies the ascending $p$-chain condition since $A$ satisfies the same condition. Then $S^{*}$ is nonempty and $S^{*}$ satisfies the ascending chain condition by Lemma 1. Therefore $S^{*}$ has a maximal element. But then $S^{*}$ has the maximum by Remark 2. Hence $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ is complete by Theorem 1 .

According to K. Gladstien [4], a psoset $A$ is of finite length if there exists a finite $p$-chain in $A$ such that the number of its elements is the maximum possible.

Corollary 2 (Theorem 2 in [4]). A trellis $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ of finite length is complete if and only if every cycle has a GLB and a LUB.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 1, by noting that any trellis of finite length satisfies the ascending $p$-chain condition.

It is proved in [5] that a trellis $A$ is complete if and only if every ascending wellordered $p$-chain in $A$ has a join. However, if $A$ is cycle-complete this statement can be simplified as in Theorem 2 below. First we state a lemma, the proof of which is similar to that of Lemma 2.1 of [5].

Lemma 2. Let $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ be a psoset and let $A^{\square}$ denote the set of all acyclic ascending well-ordered $p$-chains in $A$. Define a relation $\leqslant$ on $A^{\square}$ by setting $C \leqslant D$ for $C, D \in A^{\square}$. If $C=D$ or $C=\left\{x \in D \backslash x \sqsubset_{D} d\right\}$ for some $d \in D$. Then $\left\langle A^{\square} ; \leqslant\right\rangle$ is a poset and has a maximal element.

Theorem 2. $A$ trellis $\langle A ; \unlhd\rangle$ is complete if and only if it is cycle-complete and every acyclic ascending well-ordered $p$-chain in $A$ has a join.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ : Obvious.
$(\Leftarrow)$ : Let $H$ be any subset of $A$. It is enough to show that $\bigwedge H$ exists in $A$. Let $H^{\nabla}$ be the set of all lower bounds of $H$ and $P$ the set of all acyclic ascending wellordered $p$-chains in $H^{\nabla}$. An application of Lemma 2 yields that the poset $\langle P ; \leqslant\rangle$ has a maximal element $M$. By hypothesis $\bigvee M=a$ exists in $A$. Since $H^{\nabla}$ is join-closed, $a \in H^{\nabla}$. Clearly $M \cup\{a\} \in P$. If $a \notin M$, then $M<M \cup\{a\}$ as $M=\left\{x \in M \cup\{a\} \mid x \sqsubset_{M \cup\{a\}} a\right\}$, a contradiction to the maximality of $M$. Thus $a$ is the maximum of $M$. Now $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}$, being a cycle in $A, \bigvee[a]_{H^{\nabla}}=t$ exists in $A$ and $t \in H^{\nabla}$.

Claim. $\quad t=a$.
If $t \neq a$, then $t \triangleright a$. But then $M \cup\{t\}$ is clearly an ascending well-ordered $p$-chain in $H^{\nabla}$. Further, $M \cup\{t\}$ is acyclic. For otherwise, it would contain a nontrivial cycle $C$ containing $t$. This implies $C \cup\{a\}$ is a nontrivial cycle in $M \cup\{t\}$ containing $a$. But then $C \cup\{a\} \subseteq[a]_{H^{\nabla}}$ since $C \cup\{a\} \subseteq H^{\nabla}$. Hence $t \in[a]_{H^{\nabla}}$ so that $t$ is the maximum of $[a]_{H \nabla}$ and $[a]_{H^{\nabla}}=\{t\}$. Thus $a=t$, a contradiction. Therefore $M \cup\{t\} \in P$. Now $M<M \cup\{t\}$, a contradiction to the maximality of $M$. Therefore $t=a$.

We claim that $a=\bigwedge H$. For otherwise, there would exist an element $b \in H^{\nabla}$ such that $b \nsubseteq a$. Then $a \vee b \in H^{\nabla}$ and $a \vee b \triangleright a$. Now it follows that $M \cup\{a \vee b\} \in P$ and $M<M \cup\{a \vee b\}$, a contradiction to the maximality of $M$. Thus $a=\bigwedge H$. Hence $A$ is complete.
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