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Abstract. In this paper, the boundedness of the Riesz potential generated by generalized shift operator $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$ from the spaces $\mathrm{B} a=\left(L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}\right)$ to the spaces $\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}=$ $\left(L_{q_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is examined.
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## 1. Introduction

A new class of function spaces, denoted by $\mathrm{B} a$, was introduced by X. Ding and P. Luo in [5]. This class of spaces is a very natural generalization of the classical $L_{p}$ spaces and also includes some important Orlicz spaces, Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, etc. In the past few years, many results have been obtained pertaining to $\mathrm{B} a$ spaces and have been used in both classical analysis and other branches of mathematics (see [3]-[7]). The boundedness of the Riesz potential in $\mathrm{B} a$ spaces was investigated by Y. Deng, W. Chang and Y. Li [7]. The Riesz potential generated by generalized shift operator was introduced by I. A. Aliev and A. D. Gadzhiev [8], where weighted $L_{p}$ estimates were obtained for $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the boundedness of the Riesz potential generated by a generalized shift operator $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$ from the spaces $\mathrm{B} a=\left(L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}\right)$ to the spaces $\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}=\left(L_{q_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 2. $\mathrm{B} a$ spaces and Riesz potential generated by A GENERALIZED SHIFT OPERATOR

Let $B=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of Banach function spaces and $a=$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Let $\varphi(z)=$ $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} z^{m}$ be an entire function. For $f \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m}$, we form a power series as follows

$$
I(f, \lambda)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m}\|f\|_{B_{m}}^{m} \lambda^{m}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{B_{m}}$ is the $B_{m}$-norm of $f$. Let $R_{f}$ denote the radius of convergence of the series $I(f, \lambda)$ and $\mathrm{B} a$ denote the following function set

$$
\mathrm{B} a=\left\{f: f \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_{m}, R_{f}>0\right\} .
$$

The set $\mathrm{B} a$ is proved to be a Banach space when we define the norm of an element $f \in \mathrm{~B} a$ by

$$
\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}=\inf _{\lambda>0}\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda}: I(f, \lambda) \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

(see, for details, [5]).
In this paper we will confine ourselves to the Banach spaces

$$
B_{m}=L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right)=\left\{f:\|f\|_{L_{p_{m}, \nu}} \equiv\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}}|f(x)|^{p_{m}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p_{m}}<\infty\right\}
$$

where $\nu_{j}>0, j=1,2, \ldots, k$ are fixed parameters, $1<p_{m}<\infty(m=1,2, \ldots)$, and $\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}=\left\{x: x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{n-k+1} \geqslant 0, \ldots, x_{n} \geqslant 0,1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$.

For simplicity, we will denote $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p, \nu}}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{p, \nu}$.
The generalized shift operator is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T^{y} f(x)=c_{\nu_{j}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{0}^{\pi} f\left[x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}, \sqrt{x_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+1}^{2}-2 x_{n-k+1} y_{n-k+1} \cos \alpha_{1}}, \ldots,\right. \\
\left.\sqrt{x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}-2 x_{n} y_{n} \cos \alpha_{k}}\right] \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[\sin ^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \alpha_{j} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{j}\right]
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
c_{\nu_{j}}=\pi^{-k / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}\right)}
$$

$x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n-k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n-k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, and $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}_{n-k}$. We remark that $T^{y}$ is closely connected with the Bessel differential operator

$$
B_{r}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} \frac{2 \nu}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad r>0
$$

Let $\Delta_{B_{k}}$ denote the Laplace-Bessel operators,

$$
\Delta_{B_{k}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{2 \nu_{j}}{x_{n-k+j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-k+j}}, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \nu_{j}>0(j=1, \ldots, k)
$$

The shift $T^{y}$ generates the corresponding convolution ("B-convolution")

$$
\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}} f_{1}(x)\left[T^{x} f_{2}(y)\right] x_{n}^{2 \nu} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

We note that this convolution satisfies the property $f_{1} * f_{2}=f_{2} * f_{1}$ (see [1], [2], [9]-[11]).

The Riesz potential $I^{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\left(I^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=r(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y, \quad 0<\alpha<n
$$

where $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}\right)$ and $r(\alpha)=\left[\pi^{n / 2} 2^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(n-\alpha)\right)\right]^{-1}$ (see [12]). It is wellknown that, for $p \in(1, n / \alpha), I^{\alpha}$ is bounded operator from $L_{p}$ to $L_{q}$, with $1 / q=$ $1 / p-\alpha / n$, i.e., there exists a constant $A(p)$ such that $\left\|I^{\alpha} f\right\|_{q} \leqslant A(p)\|f\|_{p}$. In [7], the boundedness of Riesz potential $I^{\alpha}$ in $\mathrm{B} a$ spaces were investigated by Y. Deng, W. Chang and Y. Li

Now let $T^{y}$ be the generalized shift operator. The Riesz potential generated by generalized shift operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}} f(y) T^{y}\left(|x|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} y, \quad 0<\alpha<n+2|\nu| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x)$ belongs to the space of test functions, denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right)=\mathcal{Z}_{+}$, and

$$
c(\alpha)=2^{\alpha-k} \pi^{(k-n) / 2} \Gamma\left(|\nu|+\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

The Riesz potential generated by generalized shift operator $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$ is a bounded operator from $L_{p, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right)$ to $L_{q, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right)$ with $1<p<q<\infty, 1 / q=1 / p-\alpha /(n+2|\nu|)$, i.e., there exists some constant $A_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)$ such that for all $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right)$ (see [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{q, \nu} \leqslant A_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)\|f\|_{p, \nu} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is natural to expect that $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$ is bounded from $\mathrm{B} a=\left(L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}\right)$ to $\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}=$ $\left(L_{q_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}^{\prime}\right)$, where $1 / q_{m}=1 / p_{m}-\alpha /(n+2|\nu|), m=1,2, \ldots$. However, this is not true in general. Indeed, we have

Theorem 2.1. Let $0<\alpha<n+2|\nu|$ and let $1<p_{m}<(n+2|\nu|) / \alpha$. Then the Riesz potential generated by generalized shift operator $I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}$ is bounded from $\mathrm{B} a=\left(L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}\right)$ to $\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}=\left(L_{q_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exist two positive constant $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\beta<p_{m}<\gamma<\frac{n+2|\nu|}{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } \quad a_{m} \neq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove of this theorem we first give the following two lemmas.

## Lemma 2.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}:|u|>\varepsilon\right\}}|u|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\left[T^{u} f(x)\right] \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u=c_{\nu} \int_{|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|>\varepsilon}|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} \\
& \times f\left(y^{\prime}, \sqrt{y_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+2}^{2}}, \cdots, \sqrt{y_{n+k-1}^{2}+y_{n+k}^{2}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|y_{n-k+2 j}\right|^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{\nu}=\pi^{-k / 2} 2^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ and $\tilde{x}=(x^{\prime}, x_{n-k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k \text {-terms }}), x^{\prime}=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-k}\right), \tilde{y}=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n-k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_{n+k}\right)$.

Proof. We denote the first part by $I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \pi^{-\frac{k}{2}} 2^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}\right)} \int_{|u|>\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} \\
& \int_{0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{0}^{\pi} f\left(x^{\prime}-u^{\prime}, \sqrt{x_{n-k+1}^{2}+u_{n-k+1}^{2}-2 x_{n-k+1} u_{n-k+1} \cos \alpha_{1}}, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\sqrt{x_{n}^{2}+u_{n}^{2}-2 x_{n} u_{n} \cos \alpha_{n}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \sin ^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \alpha_{j} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{j}\right] \mathrm{d} u \\
= & \pi^{-\frac{k}{2}} 2^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu_{j}\right)} \int_{|u|>\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{0}^{\pi} f\left(x^{\prime}-u^{\prime},\right. \\
& \sqrt{x_{n-k+1}^{2}-2 x_{n-k+1} u_{n-k+1} \cos \alpha_{1}+\left(u_{n-k+1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{n-k+1} \sin \alpha_{1}\right)^{2}}, \ldots, \\
& \left.\sqrt{x_{n}^{2}-2 x_{n} u_{n} \cos \alpha_{k}+\left(u_{n} \cos \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{n} \sin \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \sin ^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \alpha_{j} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{j}\right] \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & c_{\nu} \int_{|s|>\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{0}^{\pi} f\left(x^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right. \\
& \sqrt{\left(x_{n-k+1}-u_{n-k+1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{n-k+1} \sin \alpha_{1}\right)^{2}}, \ldots, \\
& \left.\sqrt{\left(x_{n}-u_{n} \cos \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{n} \sin \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \sin ^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \alpha_{j} \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{j}\right] \mathrm{d} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we pass to the new variables $\tilde{x}=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n-k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \tilde{y}=$ $\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n-k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_{n+k}\right): x^{\prime}-u^{\prime}=y^{\prime}, y_{n-k+(2 j-1)}=x_{n-k+j}-u_{n-k+j} \times$ $\cos \alpha_{j},\left|y_{n-k+2 j}\right|=u_{n-k+j} \sin \alpha_{j}, 0 \leqslant \alpha_{j}<\pi$ and $u_{n-k+j}>0, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Since the Jacobian of the transformation is equal to $\left(u_{n-k+1} \cdot u_{n-k+2} \ldots u_{n}\right)^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=c_{\nu} \int_{|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|>\varepsilon}|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} f\left(y^{\prime}, \sqrt{y_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+2}^{2}}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_{n+k-1}^{2}+y_{n+k}^{2}}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|y_{n-k+2 j}\right|^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 2.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}} f(u) \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u=c_{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n+k}^{k}} f\left(y^{\prime}, \sqrt{y_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+2}^{2}}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_{n+k-1}^{2}+y_{n+k}^{2}}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|y_{n-k+2 j}\right|^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} y_{n+1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} y_{n+k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{\nu}=\pi^{-k / 2} 2^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(\nu_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) / \Gamma\left(\nu_{j}\right)$.
The proof is straightforward by substituting $y^{\prime}=u^{\prime}, y_{n-k+(2 j-1)}=u_{n-k+j} \cos \alpha_{j}$, $y_{n-k+2 j}=u_{n-k+j} \sin \alpha_{j}, 0 \leqslant \alpha_{j}<\pi$ and $u_{n-k+j}>0, j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If $\beta<p<\gamma$ then there exists a $K>0$ such that $A_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \leqslant K$ by the continuity. Now suppose that (2.3) holds, then we have $A_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right) \leqslant K, m=1,2, \ldots$. By the definition of the $\mathrm{B} a$-norm, for all $f \in \mathrm{~B} a=$ $\left(L_{p_{m}, \nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}\right), a_{m}\right)$, we have

$$
I\left(f, \frac{1}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} \frac{\|f\|_{p_{m}, \nu}^{m}}{\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}^{m}} \leqslant 1,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f, \frac{1}{K\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}\right) & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} \frac{\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha}\right\|_{q_{m}, \nu}^{m}}{\left(K\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}\right)^{m}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} A_{\alpha}^{m}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right) \frac{\|f\|_{p_{m}, \nu}^{m}}{\left(K\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}\right)^{m}} \leqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}}=\inf _{\lambda>0}\left\{1 / \lambda: I\left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f, \lambda\right) \leqslant 1\right\} \leqslant K\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a}
$$

and the sufficiency is thus proved.
We now proceed to prove the necessity of condition (2.3). We need some estimates concerning the functions $f_{l}$ and $g_{l}$ defined by

$$
f_{l}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in I=\left\{x:|x| \leqslant l, x_{n-k+j} \geqslant 0(j=1,2, \ldots, k)\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
g_{l}(x)= \begin{cases}|x|^{-\alpha} & \log (1 /|x|)^{-\alpha(n+2|\nu|)^{-1}(1+\varepsilon)}, x \in I, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

First, we claim that there exists some constant $B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)$, which depends only on $p$ and $B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow 1^{+}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right\|_{q, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p, \nu}} \geqslant B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $p$ near 1 , where $1 / q=1 / p-\alpha(n+2|\nu|)^{-1}$. For any $y \in I$ and $x \notin I$, if we use Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right)(x)=c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}} f_{l}(y) T^{y}\left(|x|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}}|y|^{\alpha-n-2 \nu} T^{y} f_{l}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n+k}^{k}(\tilde{y})}|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} f_{l}\left(y^{\prime}, \sqrt{y_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+2}^{2}}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_{n+k-1}^{2}+y_{n+k}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|y_{n-k+2 j}\right|^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|x|>l,|\tilde{y}| \leqslant l$ and, $|\tilde{x}|=x$, it follows that $|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}| \leqslant|\tilde{x}|+|\tilde{y}| \leqslant|x|+l \leqslant 2|x|$. Thus, for any $x \notin I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right)(x) \\
& \quad \geqslant c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n+k}^{k}(\tilde{y})}(2|x|)^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} f_{l}\left(y^{\prime}, \sqrt{y_{n-k+1}^{2}+y_{n-k+2}^{2}}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_{n+k-1}^{2}+y_{n+k}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{n-k+2 j}^{2 \nu_{j}-1} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f(x) & \geqslant c(\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{n}^{k}}(2|x|)^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} f_{l}(u) \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =c(\alpha)(2|x|)^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} \int_{|u| \leqslant l} f_{l}(u) \prod_{j=1}^{k} u_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}|x|^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} c \frac{l^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a simple computation we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right\|_{q, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p, \nu}} \geqslant \frac{\left(\int_{|x|>l}\left|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}(x)\right|^{q} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / q}}{\left(c \frac{l^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|} c \frac{l^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}}{c^{1 / p} \frac{l^{(n+2|\nu|) / p}}{(n+2|\nu|)^{1 / p}}\left(\int_{|x|>l}|x|^{(\alpha-n-2|\nu|) q} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / q}} \\
& =c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\left(\frac{c}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1-1 / p} l^{n+2|\nu|-(n+2|\nu|) / p} \\
& \quad \times\left(c^{\prime} \int_{l}^{\infty} r^{(\alpha-n-2|\nu|) q+n+2|\nu|-1} \mathrm{~d} r\right)^{1 / q} \\
& =c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\left(\frac{c}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1-1 / p} l^{n+2|\nu|-(n+2|\nu|) / p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \times\left[\frac{l^{(\alpha-n-2|\nu|) q+n+2|\nu|}}{q(n+2|\nu|-\alpha)-(n+2|\nu|)}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}=\frac{c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\left(\frac{c}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1-1 / p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{1 / q}}{[q(n+2|\nu|-\alpha)-(n+2|\nu|)]^{1 / q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain (2.4) by taking

$$
B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)=\frac{c(\alpha) 2^{\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\left(\frac{c}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1-1 / p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)^{1 / q}}{[q(n+2|\nu|-\alpha)-(n+2|\nu|)]^{1 / q}},
$$

where $B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)$ is independent of $l$ and, $B_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow 1^{+}$as desired.
Next, we assert that if $l<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} g_{l}\right\|_{q, \nu}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p, \nu}} \geqslant C_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $p$ sufficiently near $(n+2|\nu|) / \alpha$, where $C_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu)$ is independent of $l$ and $C_{\alpha}(p, q, \nu) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow((n+2 \nu) / \alpha)^{-}$. In fact, if it is not the case, then there exists some $K$, which is independent of $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{|x| \leqslant l}\left|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} g_{l}(x)\right|^{q} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} g_{l}\right\|_{q, \nu} \leqslant K\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p, \nu} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $q \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow((n+2 \nu) / \alpha)^{-}$, and by a similar argument as in [7], [12], it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p, \nu} & =\left(\int_{|x| \leqslant l}\left\{|x|^{-\alpha}\left|\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right|^{-\frac{\alpha}{n+2|\nu|}(1+\varepsilon)}\right\}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\int_{|x| \leqslant l}\left\{|x|^{-\alpha}\left|\log \frac{1}{|x|}\right|^{-(1+\varepsilon)}\right\}^{p} \prod_{j=1}^{k} x_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, $\left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} g_{l}\right)(x)$ is essentially unbounded near the origin since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} g_{l}\right)(x)= & c(\alpha) \int_{|y| \leqslant l} \frac{1}{|y|^{-\alpha}}\left(\log \frac{1}{|y|}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n+2|\nu|}(1+\varepsilon)} \\
& \times T^{y}\left(|x|^{-\alpha-n-2|\nu|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} y_{n-k+j}^{2 \nu_{j}} \mathrm{~d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

is infinity at the origin as long as $\alpha(n+2|\nu|)^{-1}(1+\varepsilon) \leqslant 1$.
Now let us suppose that there exists a constant $A$, independent of $f$, such that for all $f \in \mathrm{~B} a$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}} \leqslant A\|f\|_{\mathrm{B} a .} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.7) that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m}\left[B_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right)\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}\right]^{m}}{\left(A\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}\right)^{m}} \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m}\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right\|_{q_{m}, \nu}^{m}}{\left\|I_{B_{k}}^{\alpha} f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a^{\prime}}}=1
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{m}^{1 / m} B_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right)\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{A\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant 1, \quad \text { or } \frac{a_{m}^{1 / m}\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant \frac{A}{B_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p_{m} \rightarrow 0^{+}$. So if $\beta$ in (2.3) does not exist, then there is a $p_{m^{\prime}}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}^{1 / m} \frac{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}<\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } p_{m} \in\left(1, p_{m^{\prime}}\right] \text { and } l \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume there is $a_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ such that $a_{m^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0, p_{m^{\prime \prime}}<p_{m^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{p_{m^{\prime \prime}}}}{\left\|f_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}<\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us choose $l_{0}$ large enough such that $c l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}(n+2|\nu|)^{-1}>1$ and

$$
M\left(c \frac{l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p_{m^{\prime}}}<a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}}\left(c \frac{l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p_{m^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

where $M=\sup \left(a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}}: m=1,2, \ldots\right)<\infty$. Then we have for any $p_{m}>p_{m^{\prime}}$

$$
a_{m}^{1 / m}\left(c \frac{l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p_{m}} \leqslant M\left(c \frac{l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p_{m^{\prime}}} \leqslant a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}}\left(c \frac{l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}}{n+2|\nu|}\right)^{1 / p_{m^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

Thus by using (2.10) and the fact $\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{p, \nu}=\left(c l_{0}^{n+2|\nu|}(n+2|\nu|)^{-1}\right)^{1 / p}$, we have for any $p_{m}>p_{m^{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}^{1 / m} \frac{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{p_{m^{\prime \prime}}, \nu}}{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}<\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (2.9) gives

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} \frac{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{p_{m}}^{m}}{\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}^{m}}<\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m}=1
$$

which contradicts the definition of the $\mathrm{B} a$-norm since $\left.I\left(f_{l_{0}}, 1 /\left\|f_{l_{0}}\right\|\right\}_{\mathrm{B} a}\right)=1$. We have thus proved that $\beta>1$. Next we prove $\gamma<(n+2|\nu|) / \alpha$. Using (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m} \frac{\left(C_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right)\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}\right)^{m}}{\left(A\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}\right)^{m}} \leqslant 1
$$

So $a_{m}^{1 / m}\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu} /\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a} \leqslant A / C_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right)$. Note that $C_{\alpha}\left(p_{m}, q_{m}, \nu\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p_{m} \rightarrow$ $((n+2|\nu|) / \alpha)^{-}$. Thus if $\gamma$ does not exist, we can find $p_{m^{\prime}}$ large enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}^{1 / m} \frac{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } p_{m} \in\left[p_{m^{\prime}}, \frac{n+2|\nu|}{\alpha}\right) \text { and } l \in(0, \infty) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we may assume there exists a $m^{\prime \prime}$ such that $p_{m^{\prime \prime}}>p_{m^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{p_{m^{\prime \prime}, \nu}}}{\left\|g_{l}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}}<\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } l \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $l_{1}$ small enough such that $1 / \varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}<1$ and

$$
M\left[\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}}\right]^{1 / p_{m^{\prime}}}<a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}}\right]^{1 / p_{m^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

then for any $p_{m}<p_{m^{\prime}}$,

$$
a_{m}^{1 / m}\left[\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}}\right]^{1 / p_{m}} \leqslant M\left[\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}}\right]^{1 / p_{m^{\prime}}}<a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}}\left[\frac{C_{1}}{\varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}}\right]^{1 / p_{m^{\prime \prime}}}
$$

thus by using (2.12) and the fact that $\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{p, \nu} \leqslant\left[C_{1} / \varepsilon\left(-\log l_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right]^{1 / p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}^{1 / m} \frac{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{1 / m^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{p_{m^{\prime \prime}, \nu}}}{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } p_{m}<p_{m^{\prime}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equations (2.12) and (2.14) we see that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m}^{1 / m} \frac{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{p_{m}, \nu}}{\left\|g_{l_{1}}\right\|_{\mathrm{B} a}} \leqslant 1
$$

So we again have a contradiction to the definition of the $\mathrm{B} a$-norm, and the theorem is proved.
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