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#### Abstract

In this paper some infinitely based varieties of groups are constructed and these results are transferred to the associative algebras (or Lie algebras) over an infinite field of an arbitrary positive characteristic.
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Specht's problem [1] about the finite basing of any system of identities is well known in the associative algebra theory. This problem was affirmatively solved by A. A. Kemer [2] for the case of null characteristic of the basic field. If the basic field's characteristic is positive, Specht's problem has negative solution. Essentially using results of the paper [3] A. I. Belov constructed in [4] infinitely based varieties of associative algebras over an infinite field of an arbitrary positive characteristic. (We remark that the methods of V. V. Shigolev's proofs [3] are based on direct combinatorial reasoning with algebra polynomials.) In [5] the author constructed infinite independent systems of identities of associative algebras (or Lie algebras) over an infinite field of characteristic 2 , using methods completely different from those in [3]. In this paper the results from [5] are generalized to the case of an arbitrary positive characteristic.

We denote a commutator in an algebra by $(a, b)=a b-b a$, a commutator in $a$ group by $[a, b]=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$, the conjugation of an element $b$ through an element $a$ in $a$ group by $b^{a}=a^{-1} b a$. We will also use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_{k}\right) & =\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right), a_{k}\right), \\
{\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_{k}\right] } & =\left[\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}\right], a_{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $F$ be an infinite field of positive characteristic $p$ and let $\mathfrak{C}_{p}$ denote the variety of associative $F$-algebras defined by the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y, z)=0, \quad x^{p^{2}}=1, \quad[x, y]^{p}=1, \quad\left[x^{p}, y\right]=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{B}$ is the variety of associative $F$-algebras defined by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right),\left(x_{7}, x_{8}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{N}_{3}$ is the variety of nilpotent Lie $F$-algebras of index not more than 3 , $\mathfrak{D}$ is the variety of Lie $F$-algebras defined by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdot x_{3}\right)\left(x_{4} x_{5} \cdot x_{6}\right)\right)\left(x_{7} x_{8}\right)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{k} & =\left((x, y, z),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right),(x, y, z)\right) ; \\
\nu_{k} & =\left(\left(\left(((x y) z)\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right) \ldots\left(x_{4 k-1} x_{4 k}\right)\right)((x y) z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is proved that in the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ the system of identities $\left\{\mu_{k}=0: k=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$ is independent, i.e. no identity of this system follows from the other identities of the system (Theorem 1). We obtain as a consequence that the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ contains a continuum of different not finitely based subvarieties and that in $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ there exist algebras with the unsolvable problem of words equality. It follows from the second identity in (1) that the algebras of the variety $\mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ are nil-algebras of index $p^{4}$. This is the answer to V. V. Shigolev's question $[3$, p. 144] about the existence of an infinite basis of the associative algebra's identities such that the degree in each variable is bounded in the aggregate.

From Theorem 1 it also follows that the system of identities $\left\{\nu_{k}=0: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is independent in the variety $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{3} \mathfrak{N}_{3}$. The identity of solvability of index 4 follows from (3). It gives the negative answer to A. M. Slinko's question [6, question 1.129] about a finitely based variety of solvable Jordan algebras in the case of a solvable variety of index 4 of special Jordan algebras over an infinite field of characteristic 2.

The varieties $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{3} \mathfrak{N}_{3}$ are locally finite and locally nilpotent. As the last statement is concerned it should be mentioned that it is easy to show that any nilpotent variety of algebras (not necessarily associative) has a finite basis of identities.

Let $A$ be an associative algebra with the identity element 1 and let $B$ be its subalgebra satisfying the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{m}=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set of elements $1-B=\{1-b: b \in B\}$ forms a group and $(1-b)^{-1}=$ $1+b+b^{2}+\ldots+b^{m-1}$.

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be an associative algebra with the identity element 1 and let $B$ be its subalgebra satisfying the identity (4). Then

$$
[1-u, 1-v]=1+\left(1+u+\ldots+u^{m-1}\right)\left(1+v+\ldots+v^{m-1}\right)(u, v)
$$

for $u, v \in B$.
Proof. We have $[1-u, 1-v]=(1-u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}(1-u)(1-v)=(1-$ $u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}(1-u)(1-v)-(1-u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}(1-v)(1-u)+1=1+(1-$ $u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}((1-u)(1-v)-(1-v)(1-u))=1+(1-u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}(1-u, 1-v)=$ $1+(1-u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}(u, v)=1+\left(1+u+\ldots+u^{m-1}\right)\left(1+v+\ldots+v^{m-1}\right)(u, v)$. Lemma is proved.

Let $G$ be an arbitrary group, $F G$ its group algebra over the field $F$. We recall that $F G$ is a free $F$-module with the basis $\{g: g \in G\}$ and for elements of this basis the product is defined as their product in the group $G$. If $H$ is a subgroup of the group $G$, then we denote by $\omega H$ the left ideal of the group algebra $F G$ generated by all the elements $1-h(h \in H)$. If $H=G$, then $\omega G$ is called the augmentation ideal of the group algebra $F G$.

Lemma 2 [7]. Let $H$ be a subgroup of the group $G$. Then
(1) if the elements $h_{i}$ generate the subgroup $H$, then the elements $1-h_{i}$ generate the right ideal $\omega H$;
(2) if $h \in G$, then $1-h \in \omega H$ if and only if $h \in H$;
(3) $H$ is a normal subgroup in $G$ if and only if $\omega H$ is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $\omega G$;
(4) if $H$ is a normal subgroup of the group $G$, then $F(G / H) \cong F G / \omega H$;
(5) $\omega G=\left\{\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{g} g: \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{g}=0\right\}$;
(6) the augmentation ideal $\omega G$ is generated as an $F$-module by elements of the form $1-g(g \in G)$.

Lemma 3 [7]. Let $G$ be a locally finite $p$-group and let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Then the augmentation ideal $\omega G$ is locally nilpotent.

Following the ideas from [8] we consider the groups $A_{n}, B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$. The group $A_{n}$ has the representation $A_{n}=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{4 n}: a_{i}^{p}=1,\left[a_{i}, a_{j}, a_{k}\right]=1\right.$ for all $\left.i, j, k\right\rangle$ where $p$ is any natural number.

The identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x y, z]=[x, z][x, z, y][y, z], \quad[x, y z]=[x, z][x, y][x, y, z] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold in any group. Then, using induction on the words length relative to the variables $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{4 n}$, it is easy to show that the group $A_{n}$ is nilpotent of class 2 . It follows that the derived group $A_{n}^{\prime}$ lies in the centre of $A_{n}$. Let us now show that the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]^{p}=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in the group $A_{n}$. We will prove it by induction. We have $\left[a_{i}^{p}, a_{j}\right]=1$. Further, it follows from (5) that $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]^{p}=\left[u_{1}^{p}, u_{2}\right]$. Suppose that $u_{1}=u_{2} u_{3}$ and that $\left[u_{3}^{p}, u_{2}\right]=\left[u_{4}^{p}, u_{2}\right]=1$. Then $\left[u_{1}^{p}, u_{2}\right]=\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]^{p}=\left[u_{3} u_{4}, u_{2}\right]^{p}=\left[u_{3}, u_{2}\right]^{p}\left[u_{4}, u_{2}\right]^{p}=$ $\left[u_{3}^{p}, u_{2}\right]\left[u_{4}^{p}, u_{2}\right]=1$, i.e. the identity (6) is proved. It follows from (6) that the derived group $A_{n}^{\prime}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. As $A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}$ is also an elementary abelian $p$-group, the group $A_{n}$ has the exponent $p^{2}$ and is finite, for it is the extension of a finite group with help of a finite group.

Now if $u \in A_{n}^{\prime}$, then by (5) $u$ can be written uniquely as the product

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 4 n}\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]^{\beta_{i j}}
$$

where $\beta_{i j}=0,1, \ldots p-1$. Consider the expression

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 4 n}\left(1+x_{i j}\right)^{\beta_{i j}}
$$

Suppose that the polynomial obtained after opening the parentheses contains the monomials $\alpha_{i} x_{i_{1} i_{2}} x_{i_{3} i_{4}} \ldots x_{i_{4 n-1} i_{4 n}}$, where $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 n\}$. Let $s_{i}$ denote the number of inversions in the permutation $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}, \varrho(u)=$ $\sum_{i}(-1)^{s_{i}} \alpha_{i}(\bmod p)$.

If $u \in A_{n}$, let $\bar{u}$ denote the image of $u$ under the homomorphism $A_{n} \rightarrow A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}$. We define now the group $B_{n}$. It has the representation

$$
B_{n}=\left\langle b^{u}, c^{k}: u \in A_{n}, \quad k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle,
$$

where $b \notin A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(b^{u}\right)^{p} & =\left(c^{k}\right)^{p}=1,  \tag{7}\\
{\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right] } & =1 \quad \text { if } \bar{u} \neq \bar{v}, \\
{\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right] } & \left.=\left(c^{\bar{u}}\right)^{\varrho\left(u v^{-1}\right.}\right) \quad \text { if } \bar{u}=\bar{v}, \\
{\left[b^{u}, c^{k}\right] } & =1,
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u, v \in A_{n}, k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}$. We will show later that $\varrho(u)$ is not zero for some $u \in A_{n}^{\prime}$ and so $B_{n}=\left\langle b^{u}: u \in A_{n}\right\rangle, B_{n}^{\prime}=\left\langle c^{k}: k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $B_{n}^{\prime}$ lies in the centre of $B_{n}$.

The group $B_{n}$ is homomorphic image of the group $B_{n}^{\star}=\left\langle b^{u}: u \in A_{n}, \quad\left(b^{u}\right)^{p}=1\right.$, $\left[b^{u_{1}}, b^{u_{2}}, b^{u_{3}}\right]=1$, for all $\left.u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in A_{n}\right\rangle$. The derived group of $B_{n}^{\star}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group and the elements $\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right]$ form an independent generating set for it satisfying only the relations

$$
\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right]=1, \quad\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right]=\left[b^{v}, b^{u}\right]^{-1}
$$

Now, if $\bar{u}=\bar{v}$, then $u v^{-1} \in A_{n}^{\prime}$, therefore $u v^{-1}=\left(v u^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(c^{\bar{u}}\right)^{\varrho\left(u v^{-1}\right)}=$ $\left(c^{\bar{v}}\right)^{\left(-\varrho\left(v u^{-1}\right)\right)}$. We also have $\varrho(1)=0$, consequently the relations of the group $B_{n}$ $\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right]=1$ if $\bar{u} \neq \bar{v}$ and $\left[b^{u}, b^{v}\right]=\left(c^{\bar{u}}\right)^{\varrho\left(u v^{-1}\right)}$ if $\bar{u}=\bar{v}$ do not impose any restrictions on the group $\left\langle c^{k}: k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Therefore $B_{n}^{\prime}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group and the elements $c^{k}$, where $k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}$, form a set of independent generators for $B_{n}^{\prime}$. Moreover, the group $B_{n}$ is finite and has exponent $p^{2}$.

Define the action of $A_{n}$ on $B_{n}$ as follows. Let $\left(b^{u}\right)^{v}=b^{u v}$ for all $u, v \in A_{n}$ and let $\left(c^{k}\right)^{u}=c^{k \bar{u}}$ for all $k \in A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}$ and all $u \in A_{n}$. It is straightforward to check that this action determines a monomorphism of the group $A_{n}$ into the group of automorphisms of the group $B_{n}$. Form the semidirect product $C_{n}$ of $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$. Since $A_{n}, B_{n}$ are finite and of exponent $p^{2}, C_{n}$ is finite of exponent $p^{4}$. Let $\gamma_{3}$ denote the subgroup of $C_{n}$ generated by all commutators of the form $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]$ of the group $C_{n}$. We have $\gamma_{3}\left(C_{n}\right) \subseteq B_{n}$, therefore $\left[\gamma_{3}\left(C_{n}\right), \gamma_{3}\left(C_{n}\right)\right] \subseteq B_{n}^{\prime}=\left\langle c^{k}\right\rangle$ which is centralized by $A_{n}^{\prime}$ and by $B_{n}$ and hence by $C_{n}^{\prime}$. Therefore $C_{n}$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)=\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right],\left[x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right],\left[x_{7}, x_{8}\right]\right]=1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now show that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[b, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right],\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right],\left[b, a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right] \neq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true in the group $C_{n}$.
The group $B_{n}$ is nilpotent of class 2 , hence it follows from the identities (5) that for any $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in B_{n}$,

$$
\left[t_{1} t_{2}, t_{3}\right]=\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right]\left[t_{2}, t_{3}\right],\left[t_{1}, t_{2} t_{3}\right]=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right]
$$

We will use this fact without further reference.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the ring of integers modulo $p$, let $\mathbb{Z}_{p} A_{n}^{\prime}$ be the group ring of the group $A_{n}^{\prime}$ over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} A_{n}^{\prime}$ then $k=\alpha_{1} u_{1}+\alpha_{2} u_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{r} u_{r}$, where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{r} \in A_{n}^{\prime}$. Then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{1}^{k}, t_{2}\right] } & =\left[\left(t_{1}^{u_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}, t_{2}\right]\left[\left(t_{1}^{u_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}, t_{2}\right] \ldots\left[\left(t_{1}^{u_{r}}\right)^{\alpha_{r}}, t_{2}\right] \\
& =\left[t_{1}^{u_{1}}, t_{2}\right]^{\alpha_{1}}\left[t_{1}^{u_{2}}, t_{2}\right]^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots\left[t_{1}^{u_{r}}, t_{2}\right]^{\alpha_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that this definition does not lead to a contradiction, as $B_{n}^{\prime}$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. If $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} A_{n}^{\prime}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in B_{n}$, then $\left[t_{1}^{k_{1}+k_{2}}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}^{k_{1}}, t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1}^{k_{2}}, t_{2}\right]$. For $u \in A_{n}^{\prime}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in B_{n}$ we also have $\left[t_{1}, u, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}^{u-1}, t_{2}\right]$.

Extend $\varrho: A_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ linearly to the function $\varrho: \mathbb{Z}_{p} A_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then for $u, v \in A_{n}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} A_{n}^{\prime}$ we have $\left[b^{u k}, b^{v}\right]=1$, if $\bar{u} \neq \bar{v}$ and $\left[b^{u k}, b^{v}\right]=\left(c^{\bar{u}}\right)^{\varrho\left(u k v^{-1}\right)}$, if $\bar{u}=\bar{v}$. Further we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[b,\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right],\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right], b\right]} \\
& \quad=\left[b^{\left(\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right]-1\right),\left(\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right]-1\right) \ldots\left(\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right]-1\right)}, b\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\left(1+x_{i_{1} i_{2}}-1\right)\left(1+x_{i_{3} i_{4}}-1\right) \ldots\left(1+x_{i_{4 n-1} i_{4 n}}-1\right)=x_{i_{1} i_{2}} x_{i_{3} i_{4}} \ldots x_{i_{4 n-1} i_{4 n}}$, therefore, if $s$ denotes the number of inversions in the permutation $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varrho\left(\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right]-1\right) \ldots\left(\left[a_{i_{4 k-1}}, a_{i_{4 k}}\right]-1\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}(-1)^{s} & \text { if } k=n \text { and }\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 n\} \\
0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain from (7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[b,\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right],\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right], b\right]} \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}c^{(-1)^{s}} & \text { if } k=n \text { and }\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 n\}, \\
0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, $\left[b, a_{1}\right]=b^{-1} b^{a_{1}}$ and $\left[b, a_{1}, a_{2}\right]=b^{-a_{1}} b b^{-a_{2}} b^{a_{1} a_{2}}$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[b, a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\right.} & {\left.\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right],\left[b, a_{1}, a_{2}\right]\right] } \\
= & {\left[b^{-a_{1}} b b^{-a_{2}} b^{a_{1} a_{2}},\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right], b^{-a_{1}} b b^{-a_{2}} b^{a_{1} a_{2}}\right] } \\
= & {\left[b,\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right], b\right]^{-a_{1}}\left[b,\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\right.} \\
& {\left.\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right], b\right]\left[b,\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right], b\right]^{-a_{2}} } \\
& \times\left[b,\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right],\left[a_{3}, a_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{4 n-1}, a_{4 n}\right], b\right]^{a_{1} a_{2}} \\
= & c^{-a_{1}} c c^{-a_{2}} c^{a_{1} a_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Earlier we have shown that $\left\{c^{\bar{u}}: u \in A_{n}\right\}$ is a set of independent generators for $B_{n}^{\prime}$, therefore $c^{-a_{1}} c c^{-a_{2}} c^{a_{1} a_{2}} \neq 1$. Consequently, the inequality (7) is proved.

Lemma 4. Let $t_{i}, t_{j}, t_{r}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k} \in B_{n}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k} \in A_{n}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[t_{i} t_{j}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right] } & =\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right]\left[t_{j}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right]  \tag{10}\\
{\left[t_{i}, u_{1} t_{1}, u_{2} t_{2}, \ldots, u_{k} t_{k}, t_{j}\right] } & =\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The subgroup $B_{n}$ is normal in $C_{n}$, therefore for $t \in B_{n}, w \in C_{n}$ we have $[t, w]=t^{-1} t^{w} \in B_{n}$ and it is nilpotent of class 2, therefore $\left[t_{i} t_{j}, t_{r}\right]=\left[t_{j} t_{i}, t_{r}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{i} t_{j}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right] } & =\left[\left(t_{i} t_{j}\right)^{\left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(u_{2}-1\right) \ldots\left(u_{k}-1\right)}, t_{r}\right] \\
& =\left[\left(t_{i}\right)^{\left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(u_{2}-1\right) \ldots\left(u_{k}-1\right)}, t_{r}\right]\left[\left(t_{j}\right)^{\left(u_{1}-1\right)\left(u_{2}-1\right) \ldots\left(u_{k}-1\right)}, t_{r}\right] \\
& =\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right]\left[t_{j}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{r}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the equality (10) is proved.

Further, by (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{i}, u_{1} t_{1}, t_{j}\right] } & =\left[\left[t_{i}, t_{1}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{1}\right], t_{j}\right] \\
& =\left[t_{i}, t_{1}, t_{j}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{j}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{1}, t_{j}\right]=\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{j}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the equality (11) is true for all numbers smaller than $k$. Then by the induction hypothesis and (10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{i}, u_{1} t_{1}, u_{2} t_{2}, \ldots, u_{k} t_{k}, t_{j}\right]=} & {\left[\left[t_{i}, u_{1} t_{1}\right], u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\left[t_{i}, t_{1}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}\right]\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{1}\right], u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\left[t_{i}, t_{1}\right], u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right]\left[\left[t_{i}, u_{1}\right], u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right] } \\
& \times\left[\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, t_{1}\right], u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right] \\
= & {\left[t_{i}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, t_{j}\right], }
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the equality (11) is also proved.

Lemma 5. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in B_{n}$. Then

$$
\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], t_{2}\right]=1
$$

and

$$
\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{k}, a_{l}\right], t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1},\left[a_{k}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{i}, a_{l}\right], t_{2}\right]^{-1}
$$

for any $i, j, k, l$.

Proof. By (10) the expression $\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], t_{2}\right]$ is a product of factors of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[b^{u},\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], b^{v}\right] } & =\left[b^{u\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right]-1\right)}, b^{v}\right] \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \bar{u} \neq \bar{v} \\
\left(c^{\bar{u}}\right)^{\varrho\left(u\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right]-1\right) v^{-1}\right)} & \text { if } \bar{u}=\bar{v}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, in order to prove the equality $\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right],\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], t_{2}\right]=1$ it is enough to show that $\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right]-1\right) u\right)=0$ for any $u \in A_{n}^{\prime}$. Suppose that $u=\prod\left[a_{r}, a_{s}\right]^{\beta_{r s}}$. Consider the expression $\left(1+x_{i j}-1\right)\left(1+x_{i k}-1\right) \prod\left(1+x_{r s}\right)^{\beta_{r s}}$. It is obvious that any polynomial's monomial, obtained after opening the parentheses contains the product $x_{i j} x_{i k}$. Then $\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right]-1\right) u\right)=0$.

By analogy, in order to prove the second equality it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{k}, a_{l}\right]-1\right) u\right)=-\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{k}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{l}\right]-1\right) u\right)  \tag{12}\\
\text { for every } u \in A_{n}^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $u=\prod\left[a_{r}, a_{s}\right]^{\beta_{r s}}$. We have $\left(1+x_{i j}-1\right)\left(1+x_{k l}-1\right)\left(\prod\left(1+x_{r s}\right)^{\beta_{r s}}=\right.$ $x_{k j} x_{i l} \prod\left(1+x_{r s}\right)^{\beta_{r s}}$ and $\left(1+x_{k j}-1\right)\left(1+x_{i l}-1\right) \prod\left(1+x_{r s}\right)^{\beta_{r s}}=x_{k j} x_{i l} \prod\left(1+x_{r s}\right)^{\beta_{r s}}$. As $\{i, j, k, l\}=\{k, j, i, l\}$ and these permutations differ by an odd number of inversions, both the expressions have the same number of terms of the form $x_{i_{1} i_{2}} \times$ $x_{i_{3} i_{4}} \ldots x_{i_{4 n-1} i_{4 n}}$, where $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 n}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, 4 n\}$ and $\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{k}, a_{l}\right]-\right.\right.$ 1) $u)=-\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{k}, a_{j}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i}, a_{l}\right]-1\right) u\right)$ by the definition of the mapping $\varrho$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in B_{n}$ and $h, u, m \in A_{n}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\left[t_{1},[u, h m], t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1},[u, h], t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1},[u, m], t_{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction on the sum of the lengths of the words $u, h, m$ written as products of the elements $a_{i}$. The result is trivial if this sum does not exceed 2. Further, taking in consideration (5), we have $\left[t_{1},[h m], t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1},[u, h][u, m], t_{2}\right]=\left[\left[t_{1},[u, m]\right]\left[t_{1},[u, h]\right]\left[t_{1},[u, h],[u, m]\right], t_{2}\right]=$ $\left[\left[t_{1},[u, m], t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1},[u, h], t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1},[u, m],[u, h], t_{2}\right]\right.$. Therefore, in order to prove the lemma, it is enough to show that

$$
\left[t_{1},[u, m],[u, h], t_{2}\right]=1
$$

By the induction hypothesis $\left[t_{1},[u, m],[u, h], t_{2}\right]$ is a product of factors of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{1},[u, h],\left[u, a_{i}\right], t_{2}\right] } & =\left[t_{1}^{([u, h]-1)\left(\left[h, a_{i}\right]-1\right)}, t_{2}\right] \\
& =\left[t_{1}^{\left(\left[u, a_{1}\right]-1\right)([u, h]-1)}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1},\left[u, a_{i}\right],[u, h], t_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by the induction hypothesis, the last expression is a product of factors of the form $\left[t_{1},\left[u, a_{i},\right],\left[u, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right]$. Let $u=a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{r}}$. Once again by the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[t_{1},\left[u, a_{i}\right],\left[u, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right]=} & \prod_{1 \leqslant s, t \leqslant r}\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i_{s}}, a_{i}\right],\left[a_{i_{t}}, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right] \\
= & \prod_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant r}\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i_{s}}, a_{i}\right],\left[a_{i_{s}}, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right] \\
& \times \prod_{1 \leqslant s, t \leqslant r}\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i_{s}}, a_{i}\right],\left[a_{i_{t}}, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right] \times\left[t_{1},\left[a_{i_{t}}, a_{i}\right],\left[a_{i_{s}}, a_{j}\right], t_{2}\right]=1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 5. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 7. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in B_{n}$ and $u_{i}=\left[w_{i_{1}}, w_{i_{2}}\right]$, where $w_{i_{j}} \in A_{n}, i=1,2, \ldots, 2 k$. Then

$$
\left[t_{1} t_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{2 k}, t_{1} t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{2 k}, t_{1}\right]\left[t_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{2 k}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. Taking in consideration (10) it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[t_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{2 k}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{2 k}, t_{1}\right]^{-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the fact that $A_{n}^{\prime}$ centralizes $B_{n}^{\prime}$. Hence we have $\left[t_{1}^{u}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}^{u}, t_{2}\right]^{u^{-1}}=$ $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}^{u^{-1}}\right]$ for $u \in A_{n}^{\prime}$. The group $B_{n}$ is nilpotent of class 2 , consequently $\left[t_{1}, u_{1}, t_{2}\right]=$ $\left[t_{1}^{-1} t_{1}^{u}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}^{-1}, t_{2}\right]\left[t_{1}^{u}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]^{-1}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}^{u^{-1}}\right]=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}^{-1}\right]\left[t_{1}, t_{2}^{u^{-1}}\right]=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}^{-1} t_{2}^{u^{-1}}\right]=$ $\left[t_{1},\left[t_{2}, u^{-1}\right]\right]=\left[t_{2}, u^{-1}, t_{1}\right]^{-1}$. Further, by induction we obtain that

$$
\left[t_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{2 k}, t_{2}\right]=\left[t_{2}, u_{2 k}^{-1}, \ldots, u_{2}^{-1}, u_{1}^{-1}, t_{1}\right]^{-1} .
$$

By Lemmas 4, 6 the left- and right-hand sides of the last equality can be represented as products of factors of the form

$$
\left[b^{u},\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right],\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right], b^{v}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[b^{u},\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right]^{-1}, \ldots,\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right]^{-1},\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right]^{-1}, b^{v}\right]} \\
& \quad=\left[b^{v},\left[a_{i_{4 n}}, a_{i_{4 n-1}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4}}, a_{i_{3}}\right],\left[a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{1}}\right], b^{u}\right]^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $u, v \in A_{n}$.

Now it is obvious that in order to prove the equality (13) it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i_{4 n}}, a_{i_{4 n-1}}\right]-1\right) \ldots\left(\left[a_{i_{4}}, a_{i_{3}}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{1}}\right]-1\right) u\right) \\
& \left.\quad=\varrho\left(\left(\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right]-1\right)\left(\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right]-1\right) \ldots\left[a_{i_{4 n-1}}, a_{i_{4 n}}\right]-1\right) u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u \in A_{n}$. This equality is proved similarly to (12), just taking into account that the permutations $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{4 k}$ and $i_{4 k}, i_{4 k-1}, \ldots, i_{1}$ differ by an even number of inversions.

## Lemma 8. The identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{k}= & \beta_{k}\left(x, y, z, u ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right)  \tag{14}\\
= & {\left[[x, y, z],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right],[u, y, z]\right] } \\
& \times\left[[u, y, z],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right],[x, y, z]\right]=1, \\
\gamma_{k}= & \gamma_{k}\left(x, y, z, u ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right)  \tag{15}\\
= & {\left[[x, y, z],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right],[x, y, u]\right] } \\
& \times\left[[x, y, u],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right],[x, y, z]\right]=1, \\
\delta_{k}= & \delta_{k}\left(x, y, z ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right)  \tag{16}\\
= & {\left[[x, y, z],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right],[x, y, z]\right]=1 }
\end{align*}
$$

are true in the group $C_{n}$ for $k \neq n$.
Proof. The subgroup $B_{n}$ is normal in $C_{n}$, therefore we have $\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right]=$ $\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right] t$ for any $w_{1}, w_{2} \in C_{n}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in A_{n}, t \in B_{n}$. Further, the group $A_{n}$ is nilpotent of class 2 , hence $\gamma_{3}\left(C_{n}\right) \subseteq B_{n}$. Therefore in order to prove (14), (15) it is sufficient to show, by (10), that $\left[t_{1},\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right],\left[u_{3}, u_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[u_{4 k-1}, u_{4 k}\right], t_{2}\right]=$ $\left[t_{2},\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right],\left[u_{3}, u_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[u_{4 k-1}, u_{4 k}\right], t_{1}\right]^{-1}$. This equality follows from (13).

By analogy, in order to prove the identity (16) it is sufficient to show that $\left[t_{1},\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right],\left[u_{3}, u_{4}\right], \ldots,\left[u_{4 k-1}, u_{4 k}\right], t_{1}\right]=1$. By Lemmas 5 and 7 the left-hand side of this equality is a product of factors of the form $\left[b^{u},\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right],\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4 k-1}}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.a_{i_{4 k}}\right], b^{u}\right]=\left[b,\left[a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}\right],\left[a_{i_{3}}, a_{i_{4}}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{i_{4 k-1}}, a_{i_{4 k}}\right], b\right]^{u}=1$ for $k \neq n$. The lemma is proved.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ denote the variety of groups, defined by the identity (8), let $\mathfrak{N}_{p}$ be the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most 2 defined by the identity $x^{p^{2}}=1$. We have shown above that $C_{n} \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$. Hence we obtain directly from (8), (9), (14)-(16) the following

Proposition 1. The system of identities $\left\{\delta_{k}=1\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots$, is independent in the variety of groups $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$.

We remark that the variety $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$ is locally nilpotent and locally finite. We also remark that an analogous result was obtained in [8] for the case of $p=2$.

Lemma 9. The identity $\delta_{n}=1$ is not a consequence of the system of identities $\beta_{k}=1, \gamma_{k}=1, \delta_{k}=1$ for $k \neq n$ and $\alpha\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)=1$ in the variety of groups $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$.

We will further assume that $F$ is an infinite field of characteristic $p>0$. The group $C_{n}$ is a finite $p$-group, therefore it follows from Lemma 3 that the augmentation ideal of $\omega C_{n}$ is nilpotent. Then, as was shown before Lemma 1, the set $\bar{C}_{n}=1-\omega C_{n}$ forms a group. Obviously, $C_{n} \subseteq \bar{C}_{n}$. Then $F C_{n} \subseteq F \bar{C}_{n}$ and $\omega C_{n} \subseteq \omega \bar{C}_{n}$. Using item (6) of Lemma 2 it is easy to show that $\omega C_{n} \cong \omega \bar{C}_{n}$. The algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$ is nilpotent and $F$ has characteristic $p$, so it is easy to show that the identity $x^{p^{k}}=1$ holds in the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ for some $k$, and it follows from Lemma 1 that the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ is nilpotent. It follows from this that $\bar{C}_{n}$ contains a finite descending central series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}_{n}=\bar{D}_{1} \supset \bar{D}_{2} \supset \ldots \supset \bar{D}_{r+1}=1, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which possesses the property that all the elements of its quotient group $\bar{D}_{i} / \bar{D}_{i+1}$ have order $p$. Therefore each group $\bar{D}_{i} / \bar{D}_{i+1}$ is a direct product of cyclic groups of order $p$. We denote by $\bar{d}_{i \alpha}$ those elements of the group $\bar{D}_{i}$ whose images in $\bar{D}_{i} / \bar{D}_{i+1}$ are independent generators of the group $\bar{D}_{i} / \bar{D}_{i+1}$. Then each element $\bar{g} \in \bar{C}_{n}$ is uniquely written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}=\bar{d}_{1 \alpha_{1}}^{j_{1}} \ldots \bar{d}_{1 \alpha_{m}}^{j_{m}} \bar{d}_{2 \beta_{1}}^{s_{1}} \ldots \bar{d}_{2 \beta_{l}}^{s_{l}} \ldots \bar{d}_{n \gamma_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots \bar{d}_{n \gamma_{k}}^{t_{k}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<j, s, t<p$. We will assume that $\delta_{1}<\delta_{2}<\ldots$, where $\delta_{i}=\alpha_{i} ; \beta_{i} ; \gamma_{i}$.
We denote $d=1-\bar{d}$. Then $d \in \omega \bar{C}_{n}$. We will show that elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=d_{a \alpha_{1}}^{j_{1}} \ldots d_{1 \alpha_{m}}^{j_{m}} d_{2 \beta_{1}}^{s_{1}} \ldots d_{2 \beta_{l}}^{s_{l}} \ldots d_{n \gamma_{1}}^{t_{1}} \ldots d_{n \gamma_{k}}^{t_{k}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

form $F$-basis of the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$. Indeed, the sequence $u=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}\right.$, $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ ) will be called the defining vector of the element $g$. Suppose that the defining vectors for elements $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \omega \bar{C}_{n}$ are $u$ and $v$. We graphically define them in the following way. Suppose that a factor $\bar{d}_{i \alpha}$ in one of the decompositions of the form (18) of the elements $\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}$ lacks. Then we write this factor in the decomposition with the power 0 . We have obtained new defining vectors $\bar{u}=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{r}\right)$, $\bar{v}=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots, \psi_{r}\right)$ for the elements $\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2}$. We will say that the order of the
element $g_{1}$ is higher than the order of the element $g_{2}$ if $\varphi_{i}=\psi_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$, but $\varphi_{s+1}>\psi_{s+1}$.

Let $g_{k}$ have the highest order among the elements $g_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, t)$. Then in the notation of the polynomial $\alpha_{1} g_{1}+\alpha_{2} g_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{t} g_{t}\left(\alpha_{i} \in F\right)$ in terms of elements of the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ the coefficient of $d_{k}$ is equal to $\pm \alpha_{k}$. This directly follows from the uniqueness of each element's notation in the form (18). Consequently, the elements (19) are linearly independent and form $F$-basis of the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$. Therefore each element of $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$ can be represented as a linear combination of monomials (19), moreover, this presentation is unique.

The number $j_{1}+\ldots+j_{m}+p\left(s_{1}+\ldots+s_{l}\right)+\ldots+p^{r-1}\left(t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}\right)$ will be called the weight of the monomial (19) of the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$. The weight of the polynomial's lowest monomial will be called the polynomial's weight. We denote by $D_{s}$ the submodule of the $F$-module $D=\omega \bar{C}_{n}$, generated by the monomials from $D$ whose weights are not less than $s$. We will show that the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s} \cdot D_{t} \subseteq D_{s+t} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true in the algebra $D$. Indeed, consider a monomial of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i_{1} \alpha_{1}}^{j_{1}} d_{i_{2} \alpha_{2}}^{j_{2}} \ldots d_{i_{s+t} \alpha_{s+t}}^{s+t} \quad\left(0<j_{i}<p\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $D_{s} \cdot D_{t}$. If the powers of the same basic element are situated side by side in (21), for example $d_{i \alpha}^{j_{m}} d_{i \alpha}^{j_{m+1}}$ and $j_{m}+j_{m+1}<p$, then we substitute the expression $d_{i \alpha}^{j_{m}+j_{m+1}}$ for this pair. The total weight does not change. But if $j_{m}+j_{m+1}=j+p$, then the product $d_{i \alpha}^{j_{m}} d_{i \alpha}^{j_{m+1}}$ will be represented in the form $d_{i \alpha}^{j} d_{i \alpha}^{p}$. As $d_{i \alpha}^{p}$ enters higher members of the central series (17), the sum of the monomials that belong to these higher members can be substituted for $d_{i \alpha}^{p}$. The total weight does not change. Let now $d_{i_{m} \alpha_{m}}^{j_{m}} d_{i_{m+1} \alpha-m+1}^{j_{m+1}}$ be such elements that their orders are inverse in the normal form (19). Then for the product $d_{i_{m} \alpha_{m}}^{j_{m}} d_{i_{m+1} \alpha_{m+1}}^{j_{m+1}}$ we substitute the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\beta} d_{\alpha}=d_{\alpha} d_{\beta}+d_{\alpha}\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right]+d_{\beta}\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right]-\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right]-d_{\alpha} d_{\beta}\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right], \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\alpha}=d_{i_{m} \alpha_{m}}^{j_{m}}, d_{\beta}=d_{i_{m+1} \alpha_{m+1}}^{j_{m+1}},\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right]=d_{\beta}^{-1} d_{\alpha}^{-1} d_{\beta} d_{\alpha}$. As (17) is the central series of the group $\bar{C}_{n}$, the commutator $\left[d_{\beta}, d_{\alpha}\right]$ will be contained in a member of a higher number than $d_{\alpha}$ and $d_{\beta}$. Therefore the weights of the members from the right-hand side of the equality (22) is not less than $d_{\beta} d_{\alpha}$. Using the stated rules, we are able to express each product of the form (21) as a product of higher order, and the members' weight does not diminish.

The group $C_{n}$ is finite. Hence there are only a finite number of factors of the form $\bar{d}_{i \alpha}$ in (18). Therefore each monomial of (21) can be reduced (by a finite number
of transformations) to a polynomial whose every monomial has the form (19). Then the weight of the monomial's product is not less than the sums of these polynomials' weights. Consequently, the inclusion (20) is proved.

From (20) and the above proved statement about the basis of the algebra $D$ it follows that $D_{i}$ is an ideal of the algebra $D$ and that $D^{i} \subseteq D_{i}$, where $D^{i}$ means the $i$ th power of the algebra $D$. Let $\bar{D}_{i}$ be the $i$ th member of the central series (17). We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{D}_{i} \subseteq 1-D_{i} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, r+1$. In order to prove (23) we will show that $\bar{D}_{i} \subseteq 1-D^{i}$. We have $\bar{D}=\bar{D}_{1} \subseteq 1-D^{1}$. Suppose that $\bar{D}_{i} \subseteq 1-D^{i}$ and let $\bar{d}_{i}=1-d_{i} \in 1-D^{i}$, $\bar{d}=1-d \in 1-D$. Then $1-\left[\bar{d}_{i}, \bar{d}\right]=\bar{d}_{i}^{-1} d^{-1}\left(\overline{d d}_{i}-\bar{d}_{i} \bar{d}\right)=1-\bar{d}_{i}^{-1} \bar{d}\left(\bar{d}, \bar{d}_{i}\right)=$ $1-\bar{d}_{i} \bar{d}\left(d, d_{i}\right) \in 1-D^{i+1}$, i.e. the inclusion (23) is proved.

By the construction, $C_{n} / B_{n} \cong A_{n}$. Then by item 4) of Lemma $2 F C_{n} / \omega B_{n} \cong$ $F A_{n}$. Earlier we have shown that $F C_{n}=F \bar{C}_{n}$. It is obvious that $\bar{B}_{n}=1-\omega B_{n}$ will be the kernel of the homomorphism induced on the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ by the homomorphism $F \bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow F \bar{C}_{n} / \omega B_{n}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{n}$ is a normal subgroup of the group $\bar{C}_{n}$. Further, by item 5) of Lemma 2 the homomorphism $F \bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow F \bar{C}_{n} / \omega B_{n}$ preserves the sum of the polynomials' coefficients. Therefore, again by item 5) of Lemma 2, it follows from the relation $F \bar{C}_{n} / \omega B_{n} \cong F A_{n}$ that $\omega \bar{C}_{n} / \omega B_{n} \cong \omega A_{n}$, where $\omega A_{n}$ is the augmentation ideal of the group algebra $F A_{n}$. Now let us show that these relations imply that $\bar{C}_{n} / \bar{B}_{n} \cong \bar{A}_{n}$, where $\bar{A}_{n}=1-A_{n}$. Consider a homomorphism $\alpha: F \bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow F A_{n}$. Let $\bar{c}=1-c$, where $c \in \omega \bar{C}_{n}$. Then it follows from the relation $\omega \bar{C}_{n} / \omega B_{n} \cong \omega A_{n}$ that $\alpha\left(c+\omega B_{b}\right)=a$, where $a \in \omega A_{n}$. If $e$ is the identity element of the group $A_{n}$, then $\alpha\left(\bar{c}_{n}\right)=\alpha\left((1-e)\left(1-\omega B_{n}\right)\right)=\alpha\left(1-c-\omega B_{n}+c \cdot \omega B_{n}\right)=\alpha\left(1-\left(c+\omega B_{n}\right)\right)=$ $\alpha 1-\alpha\left(c+\omega B_{n}\right)=e-a \in \bar{A}_{n}$. It means that the homomorphism $\alpha$ maps the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ into the group $\bar{A}_{n}$. But if $\bar{a}=e-a \in \bar{A}_{n} \subseteq \bar{C}_{n}$, then $\alpha \bar{a}=\alpha(e-a)=$ $\alpha e-\alpha a=\alpha e-\alpha\left(c+\omega B_{n}\right)=\alpha e-\alpha\left(c+\omega B_{n}-c \cdot \omega B_{n}\right)=\alpha\left(1-c-\omega B_{n}+c\right.$. $\left.\omega B_{n}\right)=\alpha(1-c)\left(1-\omega B_{n}\right)=\alpha\left(\bar{c} \bar{B}_{n}\right)$. It means that $\alpha$ is an epimorphism. Therefore $\bar{C}_{n} / \bar{B}_{n} \cong \bar{A}_{n}$. It follows that $\omega \bar{C}_{n} / \omega \bar{B}_{n} \cong \omega \bar{A}_{n}$. Further, as $F \bar{C}_{n}=F C_{n}$, it is easy to show that $\omega \bar{B}_{n}=\omega B_{n}, \omega \bar{A}_{n}=\omega A_{n}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}_{n}=1-\omega C_{n}, \quad \bar{B}_{n}=1-\omega B_{n}, \quad \bar{A}_{n}=1-\omega A_{n} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $t=j_{1}+\ldots+j_{m}+p\left(s_{1}+\ldots+s_{l}\right)$. The set $\left(\omega \bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$ is an ideal of the algebra $\omega \bar{B}_{n}$, and $\omega \bar{B}_{n}$ is an ideal of the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$. It easily follows that $\left(\omega \bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$ will be an ideal of the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$, too. Consider the homomorphism $\varphi: \omega \bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow$ $\omega \bar{C}_{n} /\left(\omega \bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$. Let $\varphi\left(\omega \bar{C}_{n}\right)=U_{n}, \varphi\left(\omega \bar{B}_{n}\right)=V_{n}, \varphi\left(\omega \bar{A}_{n}\right)=W_{n}, \varphi \bar{C}_{n}=\bar{U}_{n}, \varphi \bar{B}_{n}=$
$\bar{V}_{n}, \varphi \bar{A}_{n}=\bar{W}_{n}$. It follows from the relation $\omega \bar{C}_{n} / \omega \bar{B}_{n} \cong \omega \bar{A}_{n}$ that $U_{n} / V_{n} \cong W_{n}$. Now consider the homomorphism $\psi: U_{n} \rightarrow W_{n} \rightarrow W_{n} /\left(W_{n}\right)_{t}$ and let $\psi U_{n}=L_{n}$, $\psi V_{n}=M_{n}, \psi W_{n}=K_{n}$. The series (17) of the group $\bar{C}_{n}$ induces the central series $\bar{L}_{n}=\left(\bar{L}_{n}\right)_{1} \supseteq\left(\bar{L}_{n}\right)_{2} \supseteq \ldots$ of the group $\bar{L}_{n}$, which in turn induces respectively the central series $\bar{M}_{n}=\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{1} \supseteq\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{2} \supseteq \ldots$ and $\bar{K}_{n}=\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{1} \supseteq\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{2} \supseteq \ldots$ of the subgroups $\bar{M}_{n}$ and $\bar{K}_{n}$, where $\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{i}=\bar{M}_{n} \cap\left(\bar{L}_{n}\right)_{i},\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{i}=\bar{K}_{n} \cap\left(\bar{L}_{n}\right)_{i}$. The quotient groups $\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{i} /\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{i+1},\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{i} /\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{i+1}$ are elementary abelian $p$-groups. Then it follows from the definition of series (17), homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi$ and (23) that $\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)_{3}=1,\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)_{3}=1$ and that the derived groups $\left(\bar{M}_{n}\right)^{\prime},\left(\bar{K}_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ are also elementary abelian $p$-groups. Therefore the groups $\bar{M}_{n}, \bar{K}_{n}$ are nilpotent of class 2 and have exponent $p^{2}$, i.e. they belong to the variety $\mathfrak{N}_{p}$. Then it follows from the relations $\omega \bar{C}_{n} / \omega \bar{B}_{n} \cong \omega \bar{A}_{n}$ and $\bar{C}_{n} / \bar{B}_{n} \cong \bar{A}_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} / M_{n} \cong K_{n}, \quad \bar{L}_{n} / \bar{M}_{n} \cong \bar{K}_{n} \quad \bar{M}_{n}, \bar{K}_{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_{p}, \quad \bar{L}_{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us show that the homomorphism $\varphi: F \bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow F \bar{C}_{n} /\left(\omega \bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$ induces isomorphisms on the subgroups $A_{n}, B_{n}$ of the group $C_{n}$. Indeed, let $H$ be a normal subgroup of the group $B_{n}$ corresponding to the induced homomorphism $\eta$. We have to show that $H=1$. Assume the contrary. Let the element $1 \neq h \in H$ have the form (18). With help of the identity $1-x y=1-x+1-y-(1-x)(1-y)$ we write the element $1-h$ as a linear combination of monomials of the form (19). By the construction, the groups $A_{n}, B_{n}$ are nilpotent of class 2 , the derived groups $A_{n}^{\prime}$, $B_{n}^{\prime}$ and the quotient groups $A_{n} / A_{n}^{\prime}, B_{n} / B_{n}^{\prime}$ are elementary abelian $p$-groups. Then no monomial of the form (19) from the decomposition of the element $1-h$ has a weight greater than $t$. It means that $1-h$ does not belong to the ideal $\left(\bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$. On the other hand, by item 2) of Lemma 2 the element $1-h$ belongs to the ideal $\omega H$ corresponding to the homomorphism $\eta$. We obtain a contradiction as it is obvious that $\omega H \subseteq H \cap\left(\bar{B}_{n}\right)_{t}$. Consequently, $H=1$, i.e. $\eta$ is an isomorphism of the subgroup $B_{n}$. The isomorphisms $\varphi A_{n} \cong A_{n}$ and $\psi\left(\varphi B_{n}\right) \cong B_{n}, \psi\left(\varphi A_{n}\right) \cong A_{n}$ can be proved by analogy. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\varphi C_{n}\right) \cong C_{n} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote elements of the group $\bar{L}_{n}$ by $l$ and let $E$ be the subgroup of the group $\bar{L}_{n}$, generated by all the expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{8}\right), \quad \beta_{k}\left(l_{i}, l_{j}, l_{s}, l_{t} ; l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{4 k}\right),  \tag{27}\\
\gamma_{k}\left(i_{i}, l_{j}, l_{s}, l_{t} ; l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{4 k}\right), \quad \delta_{k}\left(l_{i}, l_{j}, l_{s} ; l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{4 k}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for $k \neq n$. Obviously, the subgroup $E$ is normal in $\bar{L}_{n}$ and the identities (8), (14)(16) hold in $\bar{L}_{n} / E$. By $d, g_{i}$ we denote the images of the elements $b, a_{i}$ under the
homomorphism $\bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{L}_{n} / E=\bar{T}_{n}$. We have shown earlier that $\bar{L}_{n} \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$. Then $\bar{T}_{n} \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$, too. By Lemma 9 the identity $\delta_{n}=1$ is not a consequence of the system of identities (8), (14)-(16) in the variety of groups $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{p} \mathfrak{N}_{p}$. So it follows from (9) and (26) that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}\left(d, g_{1}, g_{2} ; g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{4 n}\right) \neq 1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true in the group $\bar{T}_{n}$.
The subgroup $E$ is normal in $\bar{L}_{n}$. Then by item 3) of Lemma 2, $\omega E$ will be an ideal of the algebra $F \bar{L}_{n}$. Consider a homomorphism $\varphi: F \bar{L}_{n} \rightarrow F \bar{L}_{n} / \omega E$. We denote $\varphi L_{n}=T_{n}, \varphi M_{n}=S_{n}, \varphi K_{n}=R_{n}, \varphi \bar{L}_{n}=\bar{T}_{n}, \varphi \bar{M}_{n}=\bar{S}_{n}, \varphi \bar{K}_{n}=R_{n}$. Earlier we have proved the following properties for the groups $\bar{M}_{n}, \bar{K}_{n}$ : a) the groups $\bar{M}_{n}$, $\bar{K}_{n}$ belong to the variety $\left.\mathfrak{N}_{p} ; \mathrm{b}\right)$ the derived groups $\bar{M}_{n}^{\prime}, \bar{K}_{n}^{\prime}$ are elementary abelian $p$-groups. Then the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{n}, \bar{S}_{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_{p}, \quad T_{n} / S_{n} \cong R_{n}, \quad \bar{T}_{n} / \bar{S}_{n} \cong R_{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

follow from a) and (25). It follows from the properties a), b) that the identities $[x, y]^{p}=1,[x, y, z]=1$ hold in the groups $\bar{M}_{n}, \bar{K}_{n}$. Taking in consideration (5), the identity $\left[x^{p}, y\right]=1$ follows from them. Therefore the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]^{p}=1, \quad\left[x^{p}, y\right]=1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold in the groups $\bar{R}_{n}, \bar{S}_{n}$.
Earlier we have shown that the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$ is nilpotent. Then the algebra $T_{n}$ is also nilpotent, say, of index $m$. We denote the elements of the group $C_{n}$ by $u_{i}$, and the images of the elements $u_{i}$ under the homomorphism $\bar{C}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{T}_{n}$ by $v_{i}$. We introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{i} & =1-v_{i} \\
\{x, y\} & =-\left(1+x+\ldots+x^{m-1}\right)\left(1+y+\ldots+y^{m-1}\right)(x, y), \\
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right\} & =\left\{\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right\}, x_{i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]=1-\left\{w_{i}, w_{j}\right\}$, and this implies directly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i}\right]=1-\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i}\right\} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(x_{1},\right. & \left.x_{2}, \ldots, x_{8}\right) \\
\quad= & \left\{\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right\},\left\{x_{7}, x_{8}\right\}\right\}, \\
\eta_{k}\left(x_{s},\right. & \left.x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right) \\
= & \left\{\left\{x_{s}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right\},\left\{x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}\right\} \\
& \quad-\left\{\left\{x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right\},\left\{\left\{x_{s}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right\}\right\}\right\}, \\
\xi_{k}\left(x_{s},\right. & \left.x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right) \\
= & \left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{s},\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right\},\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{t}\right\}\right\} \\
& \quad-\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{t}\right\},\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{s}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right\}\right\}\right\}, \\
\lambda_{k}\left(x_{i},\right. & \left.x_{j}, x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right) \\
\quad= & \left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{s}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{x_{4 k-1}, x_{4 k}\right\},\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{s}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us show that the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta & =\theta\left(\alpha_{1} w_{1}, \alpha_{2} w_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{8} w_{8}\right)=0 \\
\eta_{k} & =\eta_{k}\left(\alpha_{s} w_{s}, \alpha_{t} w_{t}, \alpha_{i} w_{i}, \alpha_{j} w_{j} ; \alpha_{1} w_{1}, \alpha_{2} w, \ldots, \alpha_{4 k} w_{4 k}\right)=0,  \tag{32}\\
\xi_{k} & =\xi_{k}\left(\alpha_{s} w_{s}, \alpha_{t} w_{t}, \alpha_{i} w_{i}, \alpha_{j} w_{j} ; \alpha_{1} w_{1}, \alpha_{2} w_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{4 k} w_{4 k}\right)=0, \\
\lambda_{k} & =\lambda_{k}\left(\alpha_{i} w_{i}, \alpha_{j} w_{j}, \alpha_{s} w_{s} ; \alpha_{1} w_{1}, \alpha_{2}, w_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{4 k} w_{4 k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

hold in the algebra $T_{n}$. Indeed, in (27) we substitute $l_{i}=\alpha_{i}\left(1-u_{i}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i} \in F$, and let the image of the expression obtained for $\eta_{k}\left(l_{i}, l_{j}, l_{s}, l_{t} ; l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{4 k}\right)$ under the homomorphism $\bar{L}_{n} \rightarrow \bar{L}_{n} / E$ have the form $\varphi_{k} \varrho_{k}$. Then the equality $\varphi_{k} \varrho_{k}=1$ or $\varphi_{k}=\varrho_{k}^{-1}$ is true in the group $\bar{L}_{n} / E=\bar{T}_{n}$, where $\varphi_{k}, \varrho_{k}$ are commutator expressions of the group $\bar{T}_{n}$. With help of the identity $[u, v]=[v, u]^{-1}$ we represent $\varrho^{-1}$ in the form $\psi_{k}$, in which the arrangement of parentheses [, ] in $\psi_{k}$ coincides with the arrangement of parentheses $\{$,$\} in the second member of \eta_{k}$. The parentheses arrangements in $\varphi_{k}$ and in the first member of $\eta_{k}$ coincide. Now we apply the equality (31) for $\varphi_{k}, \psi_{k}$. Suppose that $\varphi_{k}=1-\bar{\varphi}_{k}, \psi_{k}=1-\bar{\psi}_{k}$. As the equality $\varphi_{k}=\psi_{k}$ holds in the group $\bar{L}_{n} / E$, it follows from the relation $\omega\left(\bar{L}_{n} / E\right) \cong \omega \bar{L}_{n} / \omega E$ that the equality $\bar{\varphi}_{k}-\bar{\psi}_{k}=0$ holds in the algebra $T_{n}$. But $\bar{\varphi}_{k}-\bar{\psi}_{k}=\eta_{k}$. Therefore the equality $\eta_{k}=0$ holds in the algebra $T_{n}$. By analogy we obtain the validity of the equalities $\theta=0, \xi_{k}=0, \lambda_{k}=0$ in the algebra $T_{n}$.

Let $f=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)$ be one of the polynomials

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{8}\right), \quad \eta_{k}\left(x_{s}, x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{x}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right) \\
\xi\left(x_{s}, x_{t}, x_{i}, x_{j} ; x_{1}, x_{x}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right), \quad \lambda_{k}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{s} ; x_{1}, x_{x}, \ldots, x_{4 k}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the definition of $\{$,$\} we pass to the operations (+),(\cdot)$ in $f$ and for the polynomial obtained we introduce in a natural way the notions of degree in every variable $x_{i}$, degree and homogeneity of polynomials. Let us represent $f$ in the form $f=f_{0}+$ $f_{1}+\ldots+f_{r_{1}}$, where $f_{i}$ is the sum of all the monomials of the polynomial $f$ that have the degree $i$ in $x_{1}$. Let $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{t}$ be elements of the algebra $\omega T_{n}$ determined above. Using abbreviations we write $f(w)$ instead of $f\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{t}\right)$. If $\alpha \in$ $F$, then $f\left(\alpha w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{t}\right)=f_{0}(w)+\alpha f_{1}(w)+\alpha^{2} f_{2}(w)+\ldots+\alpha^{r_{1}} f_{r_{1}}(w)$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r_{1}}$ be arbitrary elements from $F$. Then by (32) we get a system consisting of $r_{1}$ equations

$$
f_{0}(w)+\alpha_{i} f_{1}(w)+\ldots+\alpha_{i}^{r_{1}} f_{r_{1}}(w)=0
$$

with variables $f_{0}(w), f_{1}(w), \ldots, f_{r_{1}}(w)$. By $[9], d_{1} f_{j}(w)=0$, where $d_{1}$ is the determinant of this system. The field $F$ is infinite. Then we can choose such $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r_{1}}$ that $d_{1} \neq 0$. That is why $f_{j}(w)=0$. Doing the same operation with the polynomials $f_{j_{i}}$ and variable $x_{2}$ and so on, we finally get the following statement.

Lemma 10. Let $f=f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)+\ldots+f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)+\ldots+$ $f_{r}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)$ be the decomposition of the polynomial $f$ into homogeneous components $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)$ and let $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{t}$ be the elements of the algebra $\omega T_{n}$ determined above. Then $f_{i}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{t}\right)=0$.

In particular, examining the homogeneous components of the least degree in each of the cases (32), we obtain that the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right),\left(w_{4}, w_{5}, w_{6}\right),\left(w_{7}, w_{8}\right)\right)=0 \\
& \left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(w_{4 k-1}, w_{4 k}\right),\left(w_{t}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(\left(w_{t}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(w_{4 k-1}, w_{4 k}\right)\right)\right)=0, \\
& \left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(w_{4 k-1}, w_{4 k}\right),\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{t}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{t}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(w_{4 k-1}, w_{4 k}\right)\right)\right)=0, \\
& \left(\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right),\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(w_{4 k-1}, w_{4 k}\right),\left(w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{s}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

are valid in the algebra $T_{n}$ for $k \neq n$.
By item (6) of Lemma 2 the augmentation ideal $\omega C_{n}$ is generated as an $F$-module by elements of the form $1-u_{i}$. Then the $F$-module $T_{n}$ is generated by the elements $w_{i}$, i.e. any element $h$ from $T_{n}$ has the decomposition $h=\alpha_{1} w_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{s} w_{s}$. The statement can be proved taking into account the identity $(x, y)=-(y, x)$ and using induction on the length $s$, from the last equalities it is easy to prove the statement.

Lemma 11. The identities (2) and $\mu_{k}=0$ hold in the algebra $T_{n}$ for $k \neq n$.

Lemma 12. The algebra $T_{n}$ belongs to the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$.
Proof. The groups $\bar{R}_{n}, \bar{S}_{n}$ are epimorphic images of the groups $\bar{A}_{n}, \bar{B}_{n}$, and algebras $R_{n}, S_{n}$ are respectively the images of the algebras $\omega \bar{A}_{n}, \omega \bar{B}_{n}$. Then it follows from (24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{n}=1-R_{n}, \quad \bar{S}_{n}=1-S_{n}, \quad \bar{T}_{n}=1-T_{n} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h$ be an arbitrary element of the algebra $R_{n}$ (or $S_{n}$ ) and let $q=1-h$. Then it follows from (33) that $q \in \bar{R}_{n}$ (or $q \in \bar{S}_{n}$ ), and it follows from (29) that $q^{p^{2}}=1$. We have $h^{p^{2}}=(1-q)^{p^{2}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{p^{2}-1}\binom{i}{p^{2}-1}(-1)^{i} q^{i}+(-1)^{p^{2}} q^{p^{2}}=1+(-1)^{p^{2}}$ since all binomial coefficients can be divided by $p$. If $p=2$, then $1+(-1)^{p^{2}}=1+1=0$, as $F$ is a field of characteristic 2. But if $p \neq 2$, then $1+(-1)^{p^{2}}=1-1=0$. Consequently, $h^{p^{2}}=0$, i.e., the algebras $R_{n}, S_{n}$ satisfy the identity $x^{p^{2}}=0$.

The groups $\bar{R}_{n}, \bar{S}_{n}$ satisfy the identity (30) and by (29) are nilpotent of the index 2 . Then, considering (33), similarly to the proof of the of identity (2) in the algebra $T_{n}$ (Lemma 11), it is shown that the algebras $R_{n}, S_{n}$ satisfy the identities $(x, y, z)=0$, $(x, y)^{p}=0,\left(x^{p}, y\right)=0$. Consequently, $R_{n}, S_{n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ and by $(29) T_{n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$. It follows from Lemma 11 that $T_{n} \in \mathfrak{B}$, therefore $T_{n} \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$. Lemma is proved.

Let $(A, \cdot,+)$ be an arbitrary associative algebra. It is known that the algebra's $A$ operations of taking the commutator $(u, v)=u v-v u$ and addition $(+)$ turn $A$ into a Lie algebra. We denote it by $\Lambda(A)$.

Now we use the notation introduced before the relation (28). Let $G$ be a subgroup of the group $\bar{T}_{n}$ generated by the set $X=\left\{d, g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{4 n}\right\}$ and let $A$ be a subalgebra of the augmentation ideal $\omega \bar{T}_{n}$ generated by the set $\left\{y_{i}: y_{i}=1-x_{i}\right\}$. We have shown earlier (after the relation (28)) that the algebra $\omega \bar{C}_{n}$ is nilpotent. Then the algebra $\bar{T}_{n}$ is nilpotent. In particular, the algebra $A$ is also nilpotent. Then for every monomial $v \in A$ there exists such a number $m$ that $v \in A^{m} \backslash A^{m+1}$. The number $m$ will be called the weight of the monomial $v$. A polynomial that consists of monomials of the weight $m$ will be called homogeneous of the weight $m$. Let $U$ be a word of group $G$ from the generating set $X$. We pass in $U$ to the generators $y_{i}$ of the algebra $A$, with help of the relation $x_{i}=1-y_{i}$. Assume that $U$ has the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=1-\left(u_{m}+u_{m+1}+\ldots, u_{r}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $A$, where $u_{i}$ is a homogeneous polynomial from $A$ of the weight $i$ and $u_{m}$ is a polynomial of the smallest weight. We define a mapping $\delta: G \rightarrow A$ by $\delta(U)=0$ if $U=1$, and $\delta(U)=u_{m}$ otherwise.

Lemma 13. Let $U, V$ be words $(\neq 1)$ of the group $G$ from the generating set $X$ and let $\delta(U)=u_{m}, \delta(V)=v_{k}$. Then for every integer $l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(U^{l}\right)=l u_{m} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m<k$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U V)=u_{k} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=k$ and $u_{k}+v_{k} \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U V)=u_{k}+v_{k} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=k$ and $u_{k}+v_{k}=0$, then $U V=1$ or $\delta(U V)$ lies in $A^{t}$, where $t>m$. If $\left(u_{m}, v_{k}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta([U, V])=\left(u_{m}, v_{k}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(u_{m}, v_{k}\right)=0$, then $[U, V]=1$ or $\delta([U, V])$ lies in $A^{t}$, where $t>m+k$.
Proof. We denote $u_{m}+m_{m+1}+\ldots, u_{r}=u$. Then $U=1-u$. We use the decomposition $(1-u)^{l}=\sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{t}\binom{l}{t} u^{t}$, where $\binom{l}{t}=\frac{l(l-1) \ldots(l-t+1)}{t!}$, for the proof of (35). As $u \in A$, all nonconstant members of the smallest weight of the element $(1-u)^{l}$ belong to $-l u$. Hence (35) is proved.

The assertions (36), (37) follow from the multiplication rules, and the other assertions follow from Lemma 1.

We denote $D_{k}=\left\{g \in G: 1-g \in(\omega G)^{k}\right\}$. It is easy to see that $D_{k}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism induced on the group $G$ by the natural homomorphism $F G \rightarrow F G /(\omega G)^{k}$. This follows from Lemma 13.

Lemma 14. If $G_{m}$ is the $m$-th member of the lower central series of the group $G$, then $G_{m} \subseteq D_{m}$.

Proof. We will use induction on $m$. We have $G_{1}=G=D_{1}$. Suppose that $G_{m} \subseteq D_{m}$ and let $a \in G_{m}, u \in G$. Then $[a, u]=1$, or $\delta([a, u]$ has weight not less than $m+1$, as $\delta(a)$ has weight not less than $m$. In any case $[a, u] \in D_{m+1}$ and therefore $G_{m+1} \subseteq D_{m+1}$. The lemma is proved.

By the construction, the group $C_{n}$ is finite of exponent $p^{4}$. Then the group $G$, being a subgroup of the homomorphic image of the group $C_{n}$, is also finite of exponent $p^{4}$. Therefore it is nilpotent. Following [10], we link the lower central series $G=G_{1} \supset$ $G_{2} \supset \ldots \supset G_{s}=1$ of the group $G$ with the Lie algebra $L(G)$. It is the direct sum of modules $B_{i}=G_{i} / G_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, s-1$, in which the multiplication [, ] is defined in the following way. Let $b_{i} \in B_{i}, b_{j} \in B_{j}$ and let $g_{i} \in G_{i}, g_{j} \in G_{j}$ be such elements that the mappings

$$
G_{i} \rightarrow G_{i} / G_{i+1}, \quad G_{j} \rightarrow G_{j} / G_{j+1}
$$

transfer $g_{i}$ into $b_{i}$ and $g_{j}$ in $b_{j}$. Then the product $\left[b, b_{j}\right]$ is defined as the element from $G_{i+j} / G_{i+j+1}$ containing the commutator $\left[g_{i}, g_{j}\right]$. The null element of the algebra $L(G)$ will be $1+\ldots+1$, where 1 is the identity element of $B_{i}$.

The commutator $\beta^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ is naturally defined for the elements $x_{i} \in X$, where $\beta^{k}$ is an arrangement of parentheses [ and ] [10]. The group $G$ is nilpotent. Hence there exists such a number $\mu(k)$ that $\beta^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in G_{\mu(k)} / G_{\mu(k)+1}$.

Proposition 2. Let $G$ and $A$ be the algebras considered above. Then the mapping $x_{i} G_{2} \rightarrow y_{i}$ induces the monomorphism of the Lie algebra $L(G)$ into the Lie algebra $A \subseteq \Lambda(\omega G)$. The monomorphism is determined in the following way:

Let $\beta^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$, where $x_{i} \in X$, be a commutator of the group $G$ with some parentheses arrangement of $\beta^{k}$ and let $\beta^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in G_{\mu(k)} \backslash G_{\mu(k)+1}$. Then the mapping

$$
\beta^{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) G_{\mu(k)+1} \rightarrow \beta^{k}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)
$$

is a monomorphism of the quotient group $G_{\mu(k)} / G_{\mu(k)+1}$ in the additive group $\Lambda_{\mu(k)}$ $(A)$, where $\Lambda_{\mu(k)}(A)$ is the submodule of the module $\Lambda(A)$ that consists of homogeneous polynomials of the weight $\mu(k)$ and the parentheses arrangement $\beta^{k}$ means the multiplication in $\Lambda(A)$.

Proof. By the definition of the multiplication operation in the algebra $L(G)$, and also by the link between the operation of taking the commutator in the group $G_{k} / G_{k+1}$ and the multiplication in the algebra $\Lambda(\omega G)$, the expression $\beta^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ obviously turns into an element $\beta^{k}\left(y_{i_{1}}, y_{y_{2}}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ of the algebra $\Lambda(A)$.

Further, an arbitrary element $U$ from $G_{k} \backslash G_{k+1}$, under the mapping $x_{i} \rightarrow y_{i}$, is transferred into the element of the algebra $A$ of the form

$$
1+u_{k}+u_{k+1}+\ldots+u_{t},
$$

where $u_{i}$ has the weight $i$ or equals zero, and $i>k$ by Lemma 14. This lemma also shows that the equality

$$
\delta\left(U G_{k}\right)=\delta(U)=u_{k}
$$

determines a mapping $\delta_{k}$ of the group $C_{k}=G_{k} / G_{k+1}$ into the set of homogeneous elements of the weight $k$ of the algebra $A$. Modulo members of the lower central series, the multiplication in the group $G$ coincides with the addition in the algebra $L(G)$. Therefore the identity $x^{p^{4}}=1$ of the group $G$ does not influence the characteristic $p$ of the field $F$, and it follows from (34)-(38) that $\delta_{k}$ is a linear mapping $C_{k}$ in $A^{k}$. By [10] the commutators of the form $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ generate the subgroup $G_{k}$, therefore the mapping

$$
\delta(V)=\delta_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)+\delta_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)+\ldots+\delta_{k}\left(v_{k}\right)+\ldots
$$

is a linear mapping of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $L(G)$ into the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-module $A$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ means the ring of integers modulo $p$. Consequently, the mapping $x_{i} G_{2} \rightarrow y_{i}$ induces a homomorphism of the Lie algebra $L(G)$ in $A$.

By [10] the subgroup $G_{2}$ generated by all the commutators of the group $G$ is contained in the Frattini subgroup. Therefore the mapping $x_{i} G_{2} \rightarrow y_{i}$ is one-to-one. If $a, b$ are elements from $G$, then it follows from Lemma 1 that $[a, b]=1-a^{-1} b^{-1}(a, b)$. Therefore, if $[a, b] \neq 1$ then $(a, b) \neq 0$. Now it is easy to show by induction that if $\beta^{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right) \neq 1$, then $\beta^{k}\left(y_{i_{1}}, y_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \neq 0$. Then it follows from (38) that the mapping $x_{i} G_{2} \rightarrow y_{i}$ induces a monomorphism of the Lie algebra $L(G)$ into the Lie algebra $A$. The proposition is proved.

By (33) we have $\bar{T}_{n}=1-T_{n}$. Then it follows from the definition of the augmentation ideal $\omega \bar{T}_{n}$ that $\omega \bar{T}_{n} \subseteq T_{n}$. Then $A \subseteq T_{n}$ and (28) together with Proposition 2 yields

Lemma 15. The identity $\mu_{n}=0$ does not hold in the algebra $T_{n}$.

Now we directly obtain from Lemmas 3, 11 and 15:

Theorem 1. In the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ of associative algebras over an infinite field of characteristic $p>0$ the system of identities $M=\left\{\mu_{k}=0: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is independent.

Different subsets from $M$ determine different varieties, hence Theorem 1 implies

Corollary 1. The variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ contains a continuum of different not finitely based subvarieties.

Corollary 2. In the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ there exists an algebra determined by an enumerable set of identity relations in which the words problem is unsolvable.

Proof. Let $S$ be an enumerable and unsolvable set of numbers. Consider the algebra of the variety $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{p} \mathfrak{C}_{p}$ determined by the identity relations $\left\{\mu_{k}=0\right\}$ for $n \in S$. It is obvious that each relation of the algebra $A$ is an identity relation. By Theorem 1 an arbitrary identity from $\left\{\mu_{k}=0\right\}$ for given $n$ is fulfilled in $A$ if and only if $n \in S$. Consequently, in $A$ the problem of words equality is not solvable.

It is known that if on the additive $F$-module $T_{n}$ we introduce multiplication $(\cdot)$ : $x \cdot y=x y-y x$, then the resulting algebra will be special Jordan and since $F$ is a field of characteristic 2, it will be Lie, too. Then, from Theorem 1 and (2) we get

Corollary 3. In the variety $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{3} \mathfrak{N}_{3}$ of Lie algebras (special Jordan algebras) over an infinite field of characteristic $p>0$ (over an infinite field of characteristic 2) the system of identities $\left\{\nu_{k}=0: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is independent.

As in the case of Corollaries 1, 2, this implies

Corollary 4. The variety $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{3} \mathfrak{N}_{3}$ contains a continuum of different not finitely based subvarieties and in $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{3} \mathfrak{N}_{3}$ there exists an algebra determined by an enumerable set of identity relations, where the words problem is unsolvable.

Note, eventually, that in the case of Lie algebras Corollary 3 does not pretend to novelty. Infinite systems of identities for the varieties of Lie algebras over the field are given in [11], [12].
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