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# A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF ANDERSON'S INEQUALITY IN $C_{1}$-CLASSES 
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Abstract. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $\mathscr{L}(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$ into itself. Let $A=$ $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$; we define the elementary operators $\Delta_{A, B}: \mathscr{L}(H) \mapsto \mathscr{L}(H)$ by

$$
\Delta_{A, B}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}-X
$$

In this paper, we characterize the class of pairs of operators $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ satisfying Putnam-Fuglede's property, i.e, the class of pairs of operators $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} T A_{i}=T$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{*} T B_{i}^{*}=T$ for all $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$ (trace class operators). The main result is the equivalence between this property and the fact that the ultraweak closure of the range of the elementary operator $\Delta_{A, B}$ is closed under taking adjoints. This leads us to give a new characterization of the orthogonality (in the sense of Birkhoff) of the range of an elementary operator and its kernel in $C_{1}$ classes.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $\mathscr{L}(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$ into itself. Given $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$, we define the generalized derivation $\delta_{A, B}: \mathscr{L}(H) \mapsto \mathscr{L}(H)$ by $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A X-X B . \quad$ Note $\delta_{A, A}=\delta_{A} . \quad$ Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=$
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$\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$, and define the elementary operator $\Delta_{A, B}: \mathscr{L}(H) \mapsto \mathscr{L}(H)$ by $\Delta_{A, B}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}-X$. In [2] J. Anderson, J. Bunce, J. A. Deddens and J.P. Williams show that, if $A$ is $D$-symmetric, (i.e. $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}}\right)}$, where $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}$ is the closure of the range, $R\left(\delta_{A}\right)$, of $\delta_{A}$ in the norm topology), then $A T=T A$ implies $A^{*} T=T A^{*}$ for every $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$ (trace class operators).
S. Bouali and J. Charles in [3] gave some properties of $P$-symmetric operators, the class of operators $A$ such that $A T=T A$ implies $A^{*} T=T A^{*}$ for every $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$. In order to generalize these results we initiated in [4] the study of a more general class of $P$-symmetric operators, namely the class of pairs of operators $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ such that $B T=T A$ implies $A^{*} T=T B^{*}$ for all $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$. We call such operators generalized $P$-symmetric operators. In this paper we characterize the class of pairs of operators $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} T A_{i}=T$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{*} T B_{i}^{*}=T$ for all $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$. This leads us to present a new characterization of the orthogonality (in the sense of Birkhoff) of the range of an elementary operator and its kernel in $C_{1}$ classes.

## 2. Preliminaries

The ideal $C_{1}(H)$ of $\mathscr{L}(H)$ admits a trace function $\operatorname{tr}(T)$, given by $\operatorname{tr}(T)=$ $\sum_{n}\left(T e_{n}, e_{n}\right)$ for any complete orthonormal system $\left(e_{n}\right)$ in $H$. As a Banach space $C_{1}(H)$ can be identified with the dual of the ideal $K$ of compact operators by means of the linear isometry $T \mapsto f_{T}$, where $f_{T}(X)=\operatorname{tr}(X T)$. Moreover $\mathscr{L}(H)$ is the dual of $C_{1}(H)$. The ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on $\mathscr{L}(H)$ are those of the form $f_{T}$ for $T \in C_{1}(H)$ and the weakly continuous ones are those of the form $f_{T}$ with $T$ of finite rank.

Definition 1. Let $E$ be a complex Banach space. We say that $b \in E$ is orthogonal to $a \in E$ if for all complex $\lambda$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a+\lambda b\| \geqslant\|a\| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This definition has a natural geometric interpretation. Namely, $b \perp a$ if and only if the complex line $\{a+\lambda b: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is disjoint with the open ball $K(0,\|a\|)$, i.e., iff this complex line is a tangent one. Note that if $b$ is orthogonal to $a$, then $a$ need not be orthogonal to $b$. If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then from (1.1) follows $\langle a, b\rangle=0$, i.e, orthogonality in the usual sense.

Definition 2. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. The pair $(A, B)$ is called generalized $D$-symmetric pair of operators if $\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}=\overline{R\left(\Delta_{B^{*}, A^{*}}\right)}$. The set of such pairs is denoted by GS $(H)$. Here $\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}$ is the closure of the range $R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)$ of $\Delta_{A, B}$ in the norm topology.

Definition 3. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. The pair $(A, B)$ of operators such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} T A_{i}=T$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{*} T B_{i}^{*}=T$ for all $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)$ is called generalized $P$-symmetric pair of operators. The set of such pairs is denoted by $\mathrm{GF}_{0}(H)$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 4. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. Then

$$
(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{0} H \Leftrightarrow{\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *} \text { is closed under taking adjoints. }
$$

Proof. The $w^{*}$-topology is generated by all $f_{T}$ with $T \in C_{1}$ and so ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *}$ is the intersection

$$
\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} f_{T}: f_{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}-X\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } X \in \mathscr{L}(H)\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}-X\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}-X\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} T A_{i}-T\right) X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

this intersection is

$$
\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} f_{T}: T \in \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{B, A} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})\right\}
$$

If $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{0}(\mathscr{H})$, then

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{B, A} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})=\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})
$$

and so the weak*-closure of

$$
R\left(\Delta_{B^{*}, A^{*}}\right)=\left(R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)\right)^{*}
$$

Conversely, if ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *}$ is self-adjoint the set of $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$ for which $f_{T}$ vanishes on $R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)$ must be self-adjoint $\left(Y \in R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)\right.$ implies $0=f_{T}\left(Y^{*}\right)=$ $\left.\operatorname{tr}\left(T Y^{*}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{tr}\left(T^{*} Y\right)}\right)$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{B, A} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)=\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(H)
$$

and $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{0}(H)$.
Theorem 5 ([5]). Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$, and let $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\left\|T+\Delta_{A, B}(X)\right\|_{1} \geqslant\|T\|_{1}$, for all $\left.T \in \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A, B}\right|_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}$ and for all $X \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$.
2. $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{0}(H)$.

Now by using Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 it is easy to prove the following theorem which gives us an other characterization of the orthogonality of the range of $\Delta_{A, B}$ and its kernel.

Theorem 6. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$, and let $T$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *}$ is closed under taking adjoints
(ii) $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{0} H$
(iii) $\left\|T+\Delta_{A, B}(X)\right\|_{1} \geqslant\|T\|_{1}$, for all $\left.T \in \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A, B}\right|_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}$ and for all $X \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$.

Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. We define the elementary operator

$$
\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}: \mathscr{L}(H) \mapsto \mathscr{L}(H)
$$

by

$$
\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}
$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4 we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots, A_{n}\right), B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2} \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples of operators in $\mathscr{L}(H)$. Then $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H}) \Leftrightarrow{\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}\right)}}^{\omega *}$ is closed under taking adjoints. Here $\mathrm{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$ is the set of pairs of operators such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} T A_{i}=0$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{*} T B_{i}^{*}=0$ for all $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$.

Theorem 8 ([5]). Let $A, B \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ and let $T \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$ have the polar decomposition $T=U|T|$. Then the follwing statements are equivalent:

1. $\left\|T+\delta_{A, B}(X)\right\|_{1} \geqslant\|T\|_{1}$, for all $\left.T \in \operatorname{ker} \delta_{A, B}\right|_{\mathscr{C}_{1}}$ and for all $X \in \mathscr{C}_{1}$, where $\delta_{A, B}$ is the generalized derivation defined on $\mathscr{L}(H)$ by $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A X-X B$.
2. $(A, B) \in \operatorname{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$.

Remark 9. Theorem 6 remains hold if we consider instead of $\Delta_{A, B}$ the generalized derivation $\delta_{A, B}$. This leads us to pose the following open problem.

Are the following statements equivalent:
(i) ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}\right)}}^{\text {w* }}$ is closed under taking adjoints
(ii) $(A, B) \in \operatorname{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$
(iii) $\left\|T+\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}(X)\right\|_{1} \geqslant\|T\|_{1}$, for all $\left.T \in \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}\right|_{C_{1}}$ and for all $X \in C_{1}$, where $\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}$ is the elementary operators defined on $\mathscr{L}(H)$ by $\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime}(X)=A X B-C X D$ and $\mathrm{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$ is the set of pairs of operators satisfying ker $\Delta_{A, B}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A, B}^{*}$. Here $\Delta_{A, B}^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_{A, B}^{*}(X)=A^{*} X B^{*}-C^{*} X D^{*}
$$

The generalization of the above results to the elementary operators $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}$ for $n>2$ is not possible. In [7] Shulman stated that there exists a normally represented elementary operator of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} X B_{i}$ with $n>2$ such that asc $E>1$, i.e. the range and kernel have no trivial intersection.

In [8, p. 276], J. P. Williams showed that if $A \in B(H)$, then

$$
R\left(\delta_{A}\right)^{\circ} \simeq R\left(\delta_{A}\right)^{\circ} \cap K^{\circ}(H) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{A}\right) \cap C_{1}
$$

where $R\left(\delta_{A}\right), K(H), \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{A}\right)$ and $C_{1}$ denote, respectively, the range of $\delta_{A}$, the ideal of compact operators, the kernel of $\delta_{A}$ and the trace class operators. The following theorems generalize this result.

Note that the weakly continuous linear form (resp. the ultra-weakly continuous linear form) on $B(H), \Phi_{T}$, where $T \in F(H)$ (resp. $T \in C_{1}$ ), is defined by

$$
\Phi_{T}(X)=\operatorname{tr}(X T)=\operatorname{tr}(T X)
$$

for all $X \in \mathscr{L}(H)($ see $[6$, p. 23]).
Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a subspace of $B(H)$. Let

$$
\mathscr{S}^{0}=\left\{f \in B(H)^{\prime}: f(x)=0, \text { if } x \in \mathscr{S}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a Banach space and let $\mathscr{S}$ be a subspace of $\mathscr{B}$. Denote

$$
\mathscr{S}^{\circ}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{B}^{\prime}: f(x)=0, \text { if } x \in \mathscr{S}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 10. Let $\mathscr{S}_{1}, \mathscr{S}_{2}$ be two sub-vectorspaces of $\mathscr{B}$. Then $\mathscr{S}_{1}^{\circ} \subset \mathscr{S}_{2}^{\circ}$ if and only if $\mathscr{S}_{2} \subset \overline{\mathscr{S}}_{1}$.

The following theorem is proved in [6]. For the convenience of the reader we will prove it.

Theorem 11. Let $E, F$ be Banach spaces and $S \in B(E, F)$ a bounded operator. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}=\left(R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ} \cap F^{\circ}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(S^{*}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One has $F^{* * *}=F^{\circ} \oplus F^{*}$ (here we have identified $F^{*}$ with its isometric image in $F^{* * *}$ and $F^{\circ}$ is really $(i(F))^{\circ}$ where $i(F)$ is the image of $F$ in $F^{* *}$ under the canonical isometric embedding $i$ ), since $f \in F^{* * *}$ has the unique decomposition $f=f_{0}+f_{1}$, where $f_{1}=\left.f\right|_{F} \in F^{*}$ and $f_{0}=f-f_{1} \in F^{\circ}$. Suppose that $f \in R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}$. Decompose $f$ as above: $f=f_{0}+f_{1} \in F^{\circ} \oplus F^{*}$. Recall that $\operatorname{ker}\left(S^{*}\right)=R(S)^{\circ}$ (considered in $F^{*}$ ). For $u \in E$ one has

$$
0=f(S u)=f_{0}(S u)+f_{1}(S u)=f_{1}(S u),
$$

since $S u \in F$ and $f_{0} \in F^{\circ}$. Thus $f_{1} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(S^{*}\right)$.
Recall that $F^{*}=\left(F^{* *}, w^{*}\right)^{*}$ (the $w^{*}$-continuous functionals on $F^{* *}$ ). Since $E$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $E^{* *}$ (Goldstine's theorem) and $f_{1} \in F^{*}$ is $w^{*}$-continuous on $F^{* *}$, it follows from $\left.f_{1}\right|_{S E}=0$ that $\left.f_{1}\right|_{S^{* *} E^{* *}}=0$, that is, $f_{1} \in R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}$. Thus $f_{0}=f-f_{1} \in$ $\left(R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}\right.$, so that $f=f_{0}+f_{1}$ is the desired decomposition.

Conversely, if $f=f_{0}+f_{1} \in\left(R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ} \cap F^{\circ}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(S^{*}\right)$, then one uses the $w^{*}$ continuity of $f_{1}$ as above to deduce that $f_{1} \in R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}$. It follows that $f \in R\left(S^{* *}\right)^{\circ}$.

The following theorem generalizes the result of J.P. Williams [8].

Theorem 12. Let $A=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ and $B=\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right)$ be $n$-tuples in $B(H)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(E_{A, B}\right)^{\circ}=R\left(E_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap K^{\circ}(H) \oplus \operatorname{ker}\left(E_{B, A}\right) \cap C_{1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to take in (3.1) $E=F=K(H)$ and

$$
S=E_{A, B}: K(H) \rightarrow K(H),
$$

where $S^{*}=E_{B, A}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{1}$ using trace duality.

Note that ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *}$ is self-adjoint if and only if

$$
R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap \mathscr{L}(H)^{\prime w *}
$$

is also self-adjoint. By using Theorem 11 we obtain in particular

$$
R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap \mathscr{L}(H)^{\prime w *} \simeq\{A\}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})
$$

Thus $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GF}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$ if and only if ${\overline{R\left(\Delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{w *}$ is self-adjoint.
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