Ladislav Nebeský A characterization of geodetic graphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 3, 491-493

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128536

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A CHARACTERIZATION OF GEODETIC GRAPHS

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ, Praha

(Received August 30, 1993)

By a graph we mean a finite undirected graph with no multiple edge or loop (i.e. a graph in the sense of the book [1], for example).

Let G be a connected graph with a vertex set V(G) and an edge set E(G). A sequence $\langle u_0, \ldots, u_m \rangle$ is called a $u_0 \cdot u_m$ path (of length m) in G if $m \ge 0, u_0, \ldots, u_m$ are mutually distinct vertices of G and $u_i u_{i+1} \in E(G)$ for each integer $i, 0 \le i < m$. We denote by \mathscr{P}_G the set of all paths in G and by $\mathscr{P}_G(r, s)$ the set of all r-s paths in G for any $r, s \in V(G)$. The distance function d_G of G is defined as follows:

 $d_G(t, u) = \min(j; \text{ there exists a } t - u \text{ path of length } j \text{ in } G),$

for any $t, u \in V(G)$. Next, we denote by $\mathscr{D}_G(v, w)$ the set of all v-w paths of length $d_G(v, w)$ for any $v, w \in V(G)$. Finally, denote

$$\mathscr{D}_G = \bigcup_{x,y \in V(G)} \mathscr{D}_G(x,y).$$

A connected graph G is called *geodetic* if $|\mathscr{D}_G(u, v)| = 1$ for every ordered pair of vertices u and v of G. The following theorem gives a characterization of geodetic graphs:

Theorem. A connected graph G is geodetic if and only if there exists $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_G$ such that \mathscr{A} fulfils the following Axioms I–V (for arbitrary $u, v, u_0, \ldots, u_m, v_0, \ldots, v_n \in V(G)$, where $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$):

- $I |\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{P}_G(u, v)| = 1;$
- II if $uv \in E(G)$, then $\langle u, v \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$;
- III if $\langle u_0, \ldots, u_m \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$, then $\langle u_m, \ldots, u_0 \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$;
- IV if $\langle u_0, \ldots, u_m \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$ and $m \ge 3$, then $\langle u_0, \ldots, u_{m-1} \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$;

V if $\langle u_0, \ldots, u_m \rangle$, $\langle v_0, \ldots, v_n \rangle$, $\langle u_m, v_n \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$, $u_0 = v_0$ and $u_1 \neq v_1$, then $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_n \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$.

This theorem (more exactly: a theorem very similar to it) was proved by the present author in [2] but its proof was rather complicated and long: the theorem was derived from another (much more general) theorem proved there.

In the present note a simple proof of our theorem will be given. We will obtain the theorem as a consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma. Let G be a connected graph, and let $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_G$. If \mathscr{A} fulfils Axioms I–V, then $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{D}_G$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{A} fulfil Axioms I–V. Consider arbitrary $r, s \in V(G)$. According to Axiom I, $|\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{P}_G(r, s)| = 1$. Let $\alpha(r, s)$ denote the only element of $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{P}_G(r, s)$.

Consider arbitrary $u, v \in V(G)$. Obviously, $\mathscr{D}_G(u, v) \neq \emptyset$. We want to prove that

(1)
$$\beta = \alpha(u, v)$$
 for each $\beta \in \mathscr{D}_G(u, v)$.

We proceed by induction on $d_G(u, v)$. If $d_G(u, v) = 0$, then (1) follows from the fact that $|\mathscr{P}_G(u, v)| = 1$. If $d_G(u, v) = 1$, then (1) follows from Axiom II. Let $d_G(u, v) = n \ge 2$. Suppose the assertion is true for all pairs of vertices whose distance is less than n. Consider an arbitrary $\beta \in \mathcal{D}_G(u, v)$. There exist $x_0, \ldots, x_m, y_0, \ldots, y_n \in V(G)$ such that $m \ge n, x_0 = u = y_n, x_m = v = y_0$,

$$\alpha(u, v) = \langle x_0, \dots, x_m \rangle$$
 and $\beta = \langle y_n, \dots, y_0 \rangle$.

First, we will prove that

(2)
$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\} \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Suppose, to the contrary,

$$(\overline{2}) \qquad \{x_1,\ldots,x_{m-1}\} \cap \{y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}\} = \emptyset.$$

Denote

$$\alpha_i = \langle x_i, \dots, x_m = y_0, \dots, y_i \rangle$$
 and
 $\beta_i = \langle x_i, \dots, x_0 = y_n, \dots, y_i \rangle$

for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. Thus $\alpha_0 = \alpha(u, v)$ and $\beta_0 = \beta$. Recall that $\alpha(u, v), \beta \in \mathscr{P}_G(u, v)$. It follows from $(\overline{2})$ that $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathscr{P}_G$ for each $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. If $\alpha_n \in \mathscr{A}$,

492

then combining Axioms III and IV we get $\beta = \alpha(u, v)$, which is a contradiction with $(\overline{2})$. Thus $\alpha_n \notin \mathscr{A}$. Since $\alpha_0 \in \mathscr{A}$, there exists $k \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $\alpha_k \in \mathscr{A}$ but $\alpha_{k+1} \notin \mathscr{A}$. Hence $\alpha_k = \alpha(x_k, y_k)$. Since $\beta_k \in \mathscr{P}_G(x_k, y_k)$, we have $d_G(x_k, y_k) \leq n$. If $d_G(x_k, y_k) < n$, then the induction hypothesis implies that $d_G(x_k, y_k) = m$, and thus m < n, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_G(x_k, y_k) = n$. We get $\beta_k \in \mathscr{P}_G(x_k, y_k)$. This implies that

$$\langle x_k, \dots, x_0 = y_n, \dots, y_{k+1} \rangle \in \mathscr{D}_G$$
 and $d_G(x_k, y_{k+1}) = n - 1$.

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\langle x_k, \ldots, x_0 = y_n, \ldots, y_{k+1} \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$$

Recall that $\alpha_k = \langle x_k, \ldots, x_m = y_0, \ldots, y_k \rangle$, $\langle y_k, y_{k+1} \rangle \in \mathscr{A}$, $x_1 \neq y_{n-1}$ and if $k \ge 1$, then $x_{k+1} \neq x_{k-1}$. As follows from Axiom V, $\alpha_{k+1} \in \mathscr{A}$, which is a contradiction. Thus (2) holds.

It follows from (2) that there exist integers g and $h, 1 \leq g \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, such that $x_g = y_h$. Put $w = x_g = y_h$. Since $\beta \in \mathcal{D}_G$, we get $d_G(u, w) = n-h < n$ and $d_G(w, v) = h < n$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\langle y_n, \ldots, y_h \rangle = \alpha(u, w)$$
 and $\langle y_h, \ldots, y_0 \rangle = \alpha(w, v).$

Recall that $\alpha(u, v) = \langle x_0, \dots, x_m \rangle$. Combining Axioms III and IV we get

$$\alpha(u, w) = \langle x_0, \dots, x_q \rangle$$
 and $\alpha(w, v) = \langle x_q, \dots, x_m \rangle$.

Hence $\beta = \alpha(u, v)$. We see that (1) holds, which completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. Let G be geodetic. Put $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{D}_G$. It is easy to see that \mathscr{A} fulfils Axioms I-V.

Conversely, suppose there exists $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_G$ such that \mathscr{A} fulfils Axioms I–V. According to the lemma, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{D}_G$. Axiom I implies that G is geodetic, which completes the proof.

References

- M. Behzad, G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak-Foster: Graphs & Digraphs. Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, 1979.
- [2] L. Nebeský: A characterization of the set of all shortest paths in a connected graph. Mathematica Bohemica 119 (1994), 15-20.

Author's address: Filozofická fakulta Univerzity Karlovy, nám. J. Palacha 2, 11638 Praha 1, Czech Republic.