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# ITERATES OF PIECEWISE MONOTONIC CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS 

JAROSLAV SMÍTAL

Let $f$ be a continuous piecewise monotonic function from a compact real interval $I$ into itself. Denote by $P(k)$ the number of monotonic pieces in the graph of the $k$-th iterate $f^{k}$ of $f$. A. Sklar [1] recently has set the problem to give the best possible lower estimate of $P(k)$ in the case when $f$ contains a 3-cycle (and hence when' it contains cycles of all orders, c.f. [2]). The corresponding best upper estimate is given by $P(k) \leqq P(1)^{k}$ (cf. [1]).

In this note we give a complete solution of the above quoted problem. We begin with the following two easily verified lemmas which must be known in literature, but we are not able to give any references.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g$ be continuous functions from a real interval $I$ into itself, which are piecewise monotonic and nonconstant on every subinterval of I. If $f$ has a local extremum at a point $x_{0}$, so has $f \circ g$.

Proof. Let $f$ have a local maximum at $x_{0}$. If $g$ is decreasing on a left neighbourhood of $f\left(x_{0}\right)$, then $f \circ g$ (first apply $f$, then $g$ ) has at $x_{0}$ a local minimum, and if $g$ is increasing on a left neighbourhood of $f\left(x_{0}\right)$, then $f_{\circ} g$ has at $x_{0}$ a local maximum. Similarly in other cases.

Lemma 2. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 1 gof has a local extremum at $t_{0} \in I$ if $f$ has a local extremum at $x_{0}$, and if $g\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$.

Proof is similar to that in the preceding lemma.
Now we are able to prove the following
Proposition 1. Let $f$ be a continuous function from the set $R$ of reals into itself, which is non-constant on each interval and which contains a 3-cycle $t_{1} \mapsto t_{2} \mapsto t_{3}$ $\mapsto t_{1}$, where $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}$. Assume that $f$ is piecewise monotonic and that $f$ has $p+1$ monotonic pieces in the interval $\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ and $q+1$ monotonic pieces in the interval ( $t_{2}, t_{3}$ ). Then $f^{n}$ has at least

$$
q \cdot F_{n-1}+p \cdot F_{n}+F_{n+1} \leqq P(n)
$$

monotonic pieces in the interval $\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ where $F_{0}, F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots$ is the Fibonacci sequence defined by $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$, and $F_{n+2}=F_{n}+F_{n+1}$.

Proof. We say that an interval $I$ is of the first type with respect to $f^{n}$ if $f^{n}(I) \supset$ $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ and that $I$ is of the second type with respect to $f^{n}$ if $f^{n}(I) \supset\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$. Clearly, if $I$ is of the first type with respect to $f^{n}$, then $I$ is of the second type with respect to $f^{n+1}$ since $f^{n+1}(I)=f\left(f^{n}(I)\right) \supset f\left(\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)\right) \supset\left(f\left(t_{3}\right), f\left(t_{2}\right)\right)=\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$.

Choose some $t_{0} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ such that $f\left(t_{0}\right)=\max f(t)$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$; such $t_{0}$ exists, since $f\left(t_{1}\right), f\left(t_{3}\right)<f\left(t_{2}\right)$.
We say that $f^{n}$ has the property $P(k, s)$ provided there is a sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{k+s}=t_{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $f_{n}$ has a local extremity at each $a_{i}$ with $i=1, \ldots, k+s-1$, and if the corresponding intervals ( $a_{i-1}, a_{i}$ ), where $i=1, \ldots, k+s$, can be rearranged as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k}, J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $I_{i}^{\prime} s$ are of the first type and $J_{i}^{\prime} s$ of the second type with respect to $f^{n}$.
Now we show that if $f^{n}$ has the property $P(k, s)$, then $f^{n+1}$ has the property $P(s, k+s)$. Since for each interval $J_{i}$ from (2) we have $f^{n}\left(J_{i}\right) \supset\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$, there is an interior point $c_{i}$ of $J_{i}$ such that $f^{n}\left(c_{i}\right)=t_{0}$. Now divide $J_{i}$ into two intervals $J_{i}^{1}, J_{i}^{2}$ with $c_{i}$ as a common end-point such that $\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right) \subset f^{n}\left(J_{i}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(t_{0}, t_{3}\right) \subset f^{n}\left(J_{i}^{2}\right)$. In this case, however, $f^{n+1}\left(J_{i}^{1}\right) \supset f\left(\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right)\right) \supset\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ and $f^{n+1}\left(J_{i}^{2}\right) \supset f\left(\left(t_{0}, t_{3}\right)\right) \supset$ ( $t_{1}, t_{3}$ ), hence $J_{i}^{1}$ is of the first type and $J_{i}^{2}$ of the second type with respect to $f^{n+1}$. Moreover, $f^{n+1}$ has a local extremum at each $c_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$ (see Lemma 2), as well as at each $a_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k+s-1$ (Lemma 1). Hence by the above quoted construction we obtain from (1), (2) a new system

$$
\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{k+s}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right\}
$$

of dividing points, and a new system

$$
J_{1}^{1}, \ldots, J_{s}^{1}, J_{1}^{2}, \ldots, J_{s}^{2}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k}
$$

of corresponding intervals where each of the intervals $J_{i}^{1}$ is of the first type and each of the intervals $J_{i}^{2}, I_{i}$ of the second type with respect to $f^{n+1}$. Thus $f^{n+1}$ has the property $P(s, k+s)$.

Clearly $f$ has the property $P(1,1)=P\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ with $t_{0}$ as the dividing point, thus by induction we involve that $f^{n}$ has the property $P\left(F_{n}, F_{n+1}\right)$.

Now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}, \ldots, I_{F_{n}}, J_{1}, \ldots, J_{F_{n+1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be intervals of the first and second type, respectively, corresponding to $f^{n}$. It is easy to see that $f^{n+1}$ takes on at least $q$ local extremities in the interior of each $I_{i}$ (i.e. $f^{n+1}$ has at least $p+1$ monotonic pieces on $I_{i}$ ), and at least $p$ local extremities in the interior of each $J_{i}$. Moreover, $f^{n+1}$ takes on, by Lemma 2, a local extremity at each
of the $\left(F_{n}+F_{n+1}+1\right)$ end-points of the intervals (3), with the possible exceptions of $t_{1}$ and $t_{3}$, hence at $\left(F_{n}+F_{n+1}-1\right)$ points. Thus $f^{n+1}$ has at least

$$
q \cdot F_{n}+p \cdot F_{n+1}+\left(F_{n}+F_{n+1}-1\right)
$$

local extremities on the interval $\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$, and so

$$
P(n+1) \geqq q \cdot F_{n}+p \cdot F_{n+1}+F_{n+2}
$$

and the proposition is proved.
Proposition 2. Proposition 1 holds also for any function $f: R \rightarrow R$ with a cycle $t_{1} \mapsto t_{2} \mapsto t_{3} \mapsto t_{1}$, where $t_{3}<t_{2}<t_{1}$ if the intervals $\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ and $\left(t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ in Proposition 1 are replaced by $\left(t_{3}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(t_{3}, t_{2}\right)$, respectively.

Proof. Put $f^{*}(t)=-f(-t)$ for all $t$. Then clearly $f^{*}$ is piecewise monotonic and has a 3-cycle $t_{1}^{*} \mapsto t_{2}^{*} \mapsto t_{3}^{*} \mapsto t^{*}$, where $t_{i}^{*}=-t_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $t_{1}^{*}<t_{2}^{*}<t_{3}^{*}$. Moreover, $\left(f^{*}\right)^{k}(t)=-\left(f^{k}\right)(-t)=\left(f^{k}\right)^{*}(t)$, hence $\left.f^{*}\right)^{k}$ has the same number of monotonic pieces as $f^{k}$.

Remark 1. The cases $t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}$ and $t_{3}<t_{2}<t_{1}$, where $t_{1} \mapsto t_{2} \mapsto t_{3} \mapsto t_{1}$, are, under suitable notation, clearly all possible cases of the 3-cycles.

Now we are able to prove the main result.
Theorem. Let $f$ be a continuous piecewise monotonic function from a compact interval $I \subset R$ to $I$, which is nonconstant on every subinterval of $I$, and which contains a 3-cycle. Then

$$
P(n) \geqq P(1)-2+F_{n+2} .
$$

Proof. Choose a 3-cycle of $f$ and let $J$ be the smallest interval containing it. Assume that $f$ has $p+1$ monotonic pieces on $J$, and denote $P(1)=m$. Then by the above propositions and Remark 1 , $f^{n}$ has at least $\left(p \cdot F_{n}+F_{n+1}-1\right.$ ) local extrema in the interior of $J$, and by Lemma 1, at least $(m-p-1)$ local extrema outside the interior of $J$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(n) & \geqq\left(p \cdot F_{n}+F_{n+1}-1\right)+(m-p-1)+1 \\
& \geqq P(1)-2+F_{n+2},
\end{aligned}
$$

q.e.d.

Remark 2. The estimation given in the theorem is the best possible in the sense that there is a function $f$ with $P(1)=2$ such that $P(k)=F_{k+2}$, for each $k$; such a function can be defined, e.g., as follows: $f:[0,2] \rightarrow[0,2], f(0)=1, f(1)=2$, $f(2)=0$ and $f$ is linear in the intervals $[0,1]$ and $[1,2]$.

Moreover, one can easily construct a function $f$ with arbitrarily large $P(1)$ such that $P(k)=P(1)-2+F_{k+2}$ for each $k$.
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## ИТЕРАЦИИ КУСОЧНО МОНОТОННЫХ НЕПРЕРЫВНЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ

## Ярослав Смитал

## Резюме

Пусть $f: I \rightarrow I$ - непрерывная кусочно монотонная функция и $I$ - компактной интервал. Пусть $P(k)$ - число монотонных частей $k$-той итерации $f^{k}$ функции $f$. В статье предлагается нижняя оценка $P(k)$ в том случае, если функция $f$ содержит 3 -цикл.

