Ivan Chajda Discriminator order algebras

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 47 (2008), No. 1, 23--25

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133398

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Discriminator Order Algebras^{*}

IVAN CHAJDA

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic e-mail: chajda@inf.upol.cz

(Received January 24, 2008)

Abstract

We prove that an order algebra assigned to a bounded poset with involution is a discriminator algebra.

Key words: Order algebra; ordered set; involution; ternary discriminator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A06, 06A11, 08A40

In accordance with [1], by an order algebra we mean an algebra defined on an ordered set whose operations are derived by means of the order relation and, conversely, the partial order is determined by these operations.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 1)$ be an ordered set with the greatest element 1. The following two operations are introduced in [1]:

 $x \to y = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \le y \\ y \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad x \circ y = \begin{cases} y \text{ if } x \le y \\ 1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let us mention that these operations are not independent:

Observation 1 For any ordered set $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 1)$ we have $x \circ y = (x \to y) \to y$.

Moreover, we have $x \to y \in \{y, 1\}$ and hence for any interval [y, 1] of \mathcal{P} it holds $x \to y \in [y, 1]$. Thus, having $a \in [y, 1]$, we can define a unary operation on the interval [y, 1] assigning to a the element $a^y = a \to y$. Evidently, $y^y = 1$ and

^{*}Supported by the Research Project MSM 6198959214.

 $1^y = y$ thus this operation interchanges the endpoints of the interval [y, 1] and hence it is called a *section switching mapping*. Hence, the operation \rightarrow determines not only the the order \leq but also the family $(^p)_{p \in P}$ of section switching mappings, i.e. the extended structure $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 1, (^p)_{p \in P})$.

We can ask if also conversely the operation \circ can determine the operation \rightarrow . Since also $x \circ y \in [y, 1]$, the operation $(x \circ y)^y$ is defined correctly whenever y denotes the section switching mapping on the interval [y, 1]. Hence, we can state

Observation 2 Let $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 1, (p)_{p \in P})$ be an ordered set with 1 and with section switching mappings. Then $x \to y = (x \circ y)^y$ for the above mention operations \to and \circ .

An ordered set \mathcal{P} is *bounded* if it has a least element 0 and a greatest element 1. This will be expressed by the notation $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1)$.

By an involution on a set P is meant a mapping of P into itself denoted by $x \mapsto x'$ satisfying x'' = x. Every bounded poset $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1)$ admits some involutions. Let us pick up one of them which satisfies 0' = 1. Hence, x'' = x gets immediately 1' = 0 and thus this involution is a switching mapping. Then we can enlarge the type of \mathcal{P} and we will write $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1, ')$ to express the fact that this involution is considered as a basic operation of \mathcal{P} . From now on, $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1, ')$ will be called a *poset with involution*.

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded poset. By a *globalization* (frequently called also a Baaz operation named by M. Baaz) is meant a unary operation \triangle on P defined by

$$\triangle(1) = 1$$
 and $\triangle(x) = 0$ for $x \neq 1$.

Observation 3 In every poset with involution $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1, ')$, we can define a globalization Δ by means of \rightarrow , ' and 0 as follows

$$\triangle(x) = x' \to 0.$$

Another binary operation defined on an ordered set $(P; \leq)$ is mentioned in [1]:

$$x \sqcap y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \le y \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, let $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1, ')$ be a poset with involution. Define the assigned order algebra $\mathcal{A}(P) = (P; \rightarrow, \sqcap, ', 0)$ of type (2, 2, 1, 0) where \rightarrow and \sqcap are the above mentioned operations and ' is the involution of P.

As stated by Observations 1 and 3, the globalization \triangle and the operation \circ (as well as the constant 1) are term operations of $\mathcal{A}(P)$. We can state our main result:

Theorem 1 Let $\mathcal{P} = (P; \leq, 0, 1, ')$ be a poset with involution and $\mathcal{A}(P) = (P; \rightarrow, \sqcap, ', 0)$ the assigned algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}(P)$ is a discriminator algebra whose ternary discriminator is

$$t(x,y,z) = ((\triangle((x \to y)' \circ (y \to x)')') \to z) \sqcap (\triangle(((x \to y)' \circ (y \to x)')' \to 0) \to x).$$

Proof If card P = 1, the proof is trivial. Suppose card P > 1, i.e. $0 \neq 1$. It is an easy observation that \sqcap satisfies

$$x \sqcap 1 = x = 1 \sqcap x. \tag{1}$$

For the sake of brevity, denote by

$$e(x,y) = ((x \to y)' \circ (y \to x)')'.$$

Due to the previous Observations 1 and 3, e(x, y) is a term operation of $\mathcal{A}(P)$.

Clearly $e(x, x) = (1' \circ 1')' = (0 \circ 0)' = 0' = 1$. Suppose $x \neq y$.

(a) If x < y then $x \neq 1$ and $x \rightarrow y = 1, y \rightarrow x = x$ and hence

$$e(x,y) = (1' \circ x')' = (0 \circ x') = x'' = x \neq 1$$

(b) If y < x then $y \neq 1$, i.e. $y' \neq 0$ and $x \rightarrow y = y, y \rightarrow x = 1$ thus

$$e(x,y) = (y' \circ 1')' = (y' \circ 0)' = 1' = 0 \neq 1.$$

(c) If $x \parallel y$ then $x \to y = y, y \to x = x$ and

$$e(x,y) = (y' \circ x')' = \begin{cases} 1' = 0 & \text{for } y' \le x' \\ x'' = x & \text{for } y' \le x' \end{cases}$$

Since $x \parallel y$ we have $x \neq 1$ thus $e(x, y) \neq 1$ for $x \neq y$ in all the cases.

The term t(x, y, z) can be clearly rewritten as follows

$$t(x,y,z) = (\triangle(e(x,y)) \to z) \sqcap (\triangle(e(x,y) \to 0) \to x).$$

Using of (1), we compute

$$t(x, x, z) = (\triangle(1) \to z) \sqcap (\triangle(1 \to 0) \to x) = (1 \to z) \sqcap (0 \to x) = z \sqcap 1 = z$$

and for $x \neq y$

$$t(x,y,z) = (0 \to z) \sqcap (\triangle (0 \to 0) \to x) = 1 \sqcap (\triangle (1) \to x) = 1 \sqcap x = x.$$

Hence, t(x, y, z) is a term function of $\mathcal{A}(P)$ which is the ternary discriminator.

References

 Berman J., Blok W. J.: Algebras Defined from Ordered Sets and the Varieties they Generate. Order 23 (2006), 65–88.