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# STRONG SINGULARITIES IN MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS 
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## Cordially dedicated to Jaroslav Kurzweil for his 80th birthday anniversary

Abstract. We study singular boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions of the form

$$
\left(p(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) f\left(t, u, p(t) u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad u(T)=0,
$$

where $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, f$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on $(0, T) \times \mathscr{D}, p \in C[0, T]$ and $1 / p$ need not be integrable on $[0, T]$. Here $f$ can have time singularities at $t=0$ and/or $t=T$ and a space singularity at $x=0$. Moreover, $f$ can change its sign. Provided $f$ is nonnegative it can have even a space singularity at $y=0$. We present conditions for the existence of solutions positive on $[0, T)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Assume that $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and that $f$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on $(0, T) \times \mathscr{D}$. We investigate the solvability of the singular mixed boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) f\left(t, u, p(t) u^{\prime}\right) & =0  \tag{1.1}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad u(T) & =0 \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$
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where $p \in C[0, T]$ and $f$ can have time singularities at $t=0$ and/or $t=T$ and a space singularity at $x=0$. In particular, $f$ can have even a space singularity at $y=0$ if $f$ is nonnegative (Theorem 2.1). In [19] we have studied a special case of the above problem with $p(t)=1$ on $[0, T]$ and in [20] we have proved solvability of (1.1), (1.2) provided $1 / p \in L_{1}[0, T]$. Here we investigate problem (1.1), (1.2) under the assumption that $1 / p$ need not be integrable on $[0, T]$. This assumption is motivated by a problem arising in the theory of shallow membrane caps (see [10], [13]), which is controlled by the equation

$$
\left(t^{3} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{t^{3}}{8 u^{2}}-a_{0} \frac{t^{3}}{u}-b_{0} t^{2 \gamma-1}=0, \quad a_{0} \geqslant 0, b_{0}>0, \gamma>1,
$$

with $p(t)=t^{3}$. We see that this is the case $1 / p \notin L_{1}[0, T]$. But in our paper, in contrast to the above example, we will investigate equations where the right-hand side $f$ depends both on $u$ and on $u^{\prime}$.

Note that the importance of singular mixed problems consists also in the fact that they arise when searching for positive, radially symmetric solutions to nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations (see [9], [12]).

In this paper we prove existence of solutions of (1.1), (1.2) which are positive on $[0, T)$. For other existence results of singular mixed problems we refer to $[1]-[8],[11]$, [14]-[22].

Here we extend results of [2], [19], [20] and offer new conditions which guarantee the existence of positive solutions of the singular problem (1.1), (1.2) provided both time and space singularities are allowed. Moreover, we also admit $f$ to change its sign (Theorem 2.2).

First, we recall some definitions and results. Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We say that a real valued function $f$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on the set $[a, b] \times \mathscr{M}$ if
(i) $f(\cdot, x, y):[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable for all $(x, y) \in \mathscr{M}$,
(ii) $f(t, \cdot, \cdot): \mathscr{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$,
(iii) for each compact set $K \subset \mathscr{M}$ there is a function $m_{K} \in L_{1}[0, T]$ such that $|f(t, x, y)| \leqslant m_{K}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$ and all $(x, y) \in K$.
We write $f \in \operatorname{Car}([a, b] \times \mathscr{M})$. By $f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathscr{D})$ we mean $f \in \operatorname{Car}([a, b] \times \mathscr{D})$ for each $[a, b] \subset(0, T)$ and $f \notin \operatorname{Car}([0, T] \times \mathscr{D})$.

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathscr{D})$. We say that $f$ has a time singularity at $t=0$ and/or at $t=T$ if there exists $(x, y) \in \mathscr{D}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty \quad \text { and/or } \quad \int_{T-\varepsilon}^{T}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

for each sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. The point $t=0$ and/or $t=T$ will be called a singular point of $f$. Let $\mathscr{D}=(0, \infty) \times I, I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We say that $f$ has a space singularity at
$x=0$ if

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow 0+}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and for some } y \in I
$$

Let $\mathscr{D}=(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, 0)$. We say that $f$ has a space singularity at $y=0$ if

$$
\limsup _{y \rightarrow 0-}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and for some } x \in(0, \infty)
$$

Definition 1.2. By a solution of problem (1.1), (1.2) we understand a function $u \in C[0, T]$ with $p u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$ satisfying conditions (1.2) and fulfilling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) f\left(t, u(t), p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider an auxiliar regular problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+h\left(t, u, q(t) u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(T)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in C[0, T]$ is positive on $[0, T]$ and $h \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Definition 1.3. A solution of the regular problem (1.4) is defined as a function $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ with $q u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$ sastisfying $u^{\prime}(0)=u(T)=0$ and fulfilling $\left(q(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+h\left(t, u(t), q(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.

In the proofs of our main results we will use the following lower and upper functions method for problem (1.4).

Definition 1.4. A function $\sigma \in C[0, T]$ is called a lower function of (1.4) if there exists a finite set $\Sigma \subset(0, T)$ such that $q \sigma^{\prime} \in A C_{\mathrm{loc}}([0, T] \backslash \Sigma), \sigma^{\prime}(\tau+), \sigma^{\prime}(\tau-) \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ for each $\tau \in \Sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q(t) \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+h\left(t, \sigma(t), q(t) \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}(0) \geqslant 0, \quad \sigma(T) \leqslant 0, \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\tau-)<\sigma^{\prime}(\tau+) \quad \text { for each } \tau \in \Sigma \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inequalities in (1.5) and (1.6) are reversed, then $\sigma$ is called an upper function of (1.4).

Lemma 1.5 ([20], Theorem 2.3). Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be a lower function and an upper function for problem (1.4) such that $\sigma_{1} \leqslant \sigma_{2}$ on $[0, T]$. Assume also that there is a function $\psi \in L_{1}[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(t, x, y)| \leqslant \psi(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \text { all } x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (1.4) has a solution $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ satisfying $q u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(t) \leqslant u(t) \leqslant \sigma_{2}(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main Results

The first existence result for the singular problem (1.1), (1.2) will be proved under the assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in C[0, T], p>0 \text { on }(0, T], 1 / p \text { need not belong to } L_{1}[0, T], \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{D}=(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, 0), f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathscr{D}),  \tag{2.2}\\
f \text { can have time singularities at } t=0, t=T, \\
f \text { can have space singularities at } x=0, y=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let (2.1), (2.2) hold. Assume that there exist $\varepsilon \in(0,1), \nu \in(0, T)$, $c \in(\nu, \infty)$ and positive functions $\varphi \in L_{1_{\mathrm{loc}}}(0, T), \omega \in C(0, \infty), h \in C[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \varphi(s) \mathrm{d} s \in L_{1_{\mathrm{loc}}}[0, T)  \tag{2.3}\\
& f(t, P(t),-c)=0 \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \\
& \varepsilon \leqslant f(t, x, y) \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, \nu], \text { all } x \in(0, P(t)], y \in[-\nu, 0)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leqslant f(t, x, y) \leqslant & \varphi(t)(\omega(x)+h(x))  \tag{2.6}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T), \text { all } x \in(0, P(t)], y \in[-c, 0),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=c \int_{t}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{p(s)} \quad \text { for } t \in(0, T] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\omega$ is nonincreasing, $h$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x}<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution $u \in C[0, T]$ positive and decreasing on $[0, T)$ with $p u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$.

Note. Condition $\varphi \in L_{1_{\mathrm{loc}}}(0, T)$ or $\varphi \in L_{1_{\mathrm{loc}}}[0, T)$ means that $\varphi \in L_{1}[a, b]$ for each $[a, b] \subset(0, T)$ or $[a, b] \subset[0, T)$, respectively. Functions satisfying (2.3) are for example $p(t)=t^{\alpha}$ and $\varphi(t)=t^{-\beta}+(T-t)^{-3}$, where $\alpha \geqslant 1, \beta \in(0,2)$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 3 / T$. In the following Steps $1-5$ we argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [20]. So we will show just an abridgement of these steps.

Step 1. Approximate solutions. For $t \in[0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ put

$$
\alpha_{k}(t, x)= \begin{cases}P(t) & \text { if } x>P(t),  \tag{2.9}\\ x & \text { if } 1 / k \leqslant x \leqslant P(t), \\ 1 / k & \text { if } x<1 / k,\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{k}(y)= \begin{cases}-1 / k & \text { if } y>-1 / k \\ y & \text { if }-c \leqslant y \leqslant-1 / k \\ -c & \text { if } y<-c\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\gamma(y)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon & \text { if } y \geqslant-\nu  \tag{2.10}\\ \varepsilon(c+y)(c-\nu)^{-1} & \text { if }-c<y<-\nu \\ 0 & \text { if } y \leqslant-c\end{cases}
$$

For a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$
f_{k}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}\gamma(y) & \text { if } t \in[0,1 / k) \\ f\left(t, \alpha_{k}(t, x), \beta_{k}(y)\right) & \text { if } t \in[1 / k, T-1 / k] \\ 0 & \text { if } t \in(T-1 / k, T]\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
p_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}\max \{p(t), p(1 / k)\} & \text { if } t \in[0,1 / k),  \tag{2.11}\\ p(t) & \text { if } t \in[1 / k, T]\end{cases}
$$

Then $p_{k} \in C[0, T], p_{k}>0$ on $[0, T]$, and there is $\psi_{k} \in L_{1}[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{k}(t) f_{k}(t, x, y)\right| \leqslant \psi_{k}(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and all } x, y \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have got a sequence of auxiliary regular problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{k}(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p_{k}(t) f_{k}\left(t, u, p_{k}(t) u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(T)=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 3 / T$. If we put

$$
\sigma_{1}(t)=0, \sigma_{2 k}(t)=c \int_{t}^{T} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{p_{k}(s)} \text { for } t \in[0, T]
$$

then $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2 k}$ are lower and upper functions of (2.13) and, by Lemma 1.5, problem (2.13) has a solution $u_{k} \in C^{1}[0, T]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant u_{k}(t) \leqslant \sigma_{2 k}(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. A priori estimates of approximate solutions $u_{k}$. Conditions (2.14) and $u_{k}(T)=\sigma_{2 k}(T)=0, p_{k}(0) u_{k}^{\prime}(0)=0$ and the monotonicity of $p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \leqslant p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on }[0, T] . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary compact interval $J \subset(0, T)$. By virtue of (2.5) and (2.15) there is $k_{J} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant k_{J}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 / k_{J} \leqslant u_{k}(t) \leqslant k_{J}, \quad-k_{J} \leqslant u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-1 / k_{J}  \tag{2.16}\\
-c \leqslant p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-1 / k_{J} \quad \text { for } t \in J
\end{array}\right.
$$

and hence there is $\psi \in L_{1}(J)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{k}(t) f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leqslant \psi(t) \quad \text { a.e. on } J . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Convergence of a sequence of approximate solutions. Using conditions (2.16), (2.17) we see that the sequences $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ are equibounded and equicontinuous on $J$. Therefore by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem and the diagonalization principle we can choose $u \in C(0, T)$ and subsequences of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and of $\left\{p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ which we denote for simplicity in the same way such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}=p u^{\prime} \quad \text { locally uniformly on }(0, T)  \tag{2.18}\\
& 0<u(t) \leqslant P(t), \quad-c \leqslant p(t) u^{\prime}(t)<0 \quad \text { for } t \in(0, T) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 4. Convergence of a sequence of approximate problems.

Choose an arbitrary $\xi \in(0, T)$ such that

$$
f(\xi, \cdot, \cdot) \text { is continuous on }(0, \infty) \times(-\infty, 0)
$$

There exists a compact interval $J_{\xi} \subset(0, T)$ with $\xi \in J_{\xi}$ and, by (2.16), we can find $k_{\xi} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \geqslant k_{\xi}$

$$
u_{k}(\xi) \geqslant \frac{1}{k_{\xi}}, \quad p_{k}(\xi) u_{k}^{\prime}(\xi) \leqslant-\frac{1}{k_{\xi}}, \quad J_{\xi} \subset\left[\frac{1}{k}, T-\frac{1}{k}\right] .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{k}(t) f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)= & p(t) f\left(t, u(t), p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (2.13), letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Lebesgue convergence theorem we get for an arbitrary $t \in(0, T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\frac{T}{2}\right) u^{\prime}\left(\frac{T}{2}\right)-p(t) u^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\frac{1}{2} T}^{t} p(\tau) f\left(\tau, u(\tau), p(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. (1.3) is valid.

Step 5. Properties of $p u^{\prime}$. According to (2.13) and (2.15) we have for each $k \geqslant 3 / T$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} p_{k}(s) f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), p_{k}(s) u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=-p_{k}(T) u_{k}^{\prime}(T) \in(0, c]
$$

which together with (2.6), (2.19) and (2.20) yields, by the Fatou lemma, that $p(t) f\left(t, u(t), p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in L_{1}[0, T]$. Therefore, by (2.21), $p u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$.

Step 6. Properties of $u$. Since $p u^{\prime}$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ and $1 / p$ is continuous on $(0, T]$, we get $u \in C(0, T]$. It remains to prove that $u \in C[0, T]$. By (2.19) $u$ is decreasing on $(0, T)$, which yields

$$
0<A=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u(t)
$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $A<\infty$.
By (1.3), (2.6) and (2.19) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leqslant p(t) \varphi(t)(\omega(u(t))+h(u(t)) \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ and $x_{0} \in(0, A)$ be such that

$$
\omega\left(x_{0}\right)=h\left(x_{0}\right)+B_{0} \in(0, \infty) .
$$

Then there is $t_{0} \in(0, T)$ such that

$$
u\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, \quad x_{0}<u(t)<A \text { for } t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right),
$$

and having in mind monotonicity of $\omega$ and $h$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leqslant p(t) \varphi(t)\left(2 h(A)+B_{0}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right], \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(A)=\lim _{x \rightarrow A} h(x)$. By virtue of (2.8) we can find $a \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} \leqslant a
$$

and due to (2.3) there is $t_{a} \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{a}} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant \frac{1}{3 a}
$$

Integrating (2.23) we get

$$
-u^{\prime}(s) \leqslant\left(2 h(A)+B_{0}\right) \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad s \in\left(0, t_{0}\right],
$$

and integrating the last inequality we obtain

$$
u(t)-u\left(t_{a}\right) \leqslant\left(2 h(A)+B_{0}\right) \int_{t}^{t_{a}} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s, \quad t \in\left(0, t_{a}\right)
$$

Hence, for $t \rightarrow 0+$ we get

$$
A \leqslant u\left(t_{a}\right)+\left(2 h(A)+B_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{a}} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant u\left(t_{a}\right)+\frac{2 h(A)+B_{0}}{3 a}
$$

and

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{u\left(t_{a}\right)}{A}+\frac{2 h(A)+B_{0}}{3 a A}=F(A) .
$$

Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) \leqslant 2 / 3$, there exists $A^{*} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $F(x)<1$ for each $x \geqslant A^{*}$. Since $F(A) \geqslant 1$, we have $A \leqslant A^{*}$.

The second existence result is applicable to sign-changing nonlinearities. Now we will assume (2.1) and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{D}=(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathscr{D})  \tag{2.24}\\
f \text { can have time singularities at } t=0, t=T, \\
f \text { can have a space singularity at } x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (2.1) and (2.24) hold. Assume that there exist $r, \varepsilon, \mu, \nu \in$ $(0, \infty), c \in(\nu, \infty)$ and positive functions $\varphi \in L_{1_{\text {loc }}}(0, T), \psi \in L_{1}[0, T], \omega \in C(0, \infty)$, $h \in C[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \psi(s) \mathrm{d} s \in L_{1}[0, T]  \tag{2.25}\\
& f(t, P(t),-c) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T)  \tag{2.26}\\
& \varepsilon \leqslant f(t, x, y) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T), \text { all } x \in(0, \nu], y \in[-\nu, \nu] \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\psi(t) \leqslant f(t, x, y) \leqslant \varphi(t)(\omega(x)+h(x))(|y|+1)+r y^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
\text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T), \text { all } x \in(0, P(t)], y \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

hold, where $\omega$ is nonincreasing, $h$ is nondecreasing, $\varphi$ and $h$ satisfy (2.3) and (2.8), respectively, and $P$ is given by (2.7). Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has a positive solution $u \in C[0, T]$ with $p u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geqslant 3 / T$.
Step 1. Approximate solutions. For $t \in[0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\alpha_{k}, \gamma$ and $p_{k}$ by (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), respectively. Consider a sequence $\left\{\varrho_{k}\right\} \subset(1, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_{k}=\infty$, and put for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{k}(y) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y \text { if }|y| \leqslant \varrho_{k}, \\
\varrho_{k} \operatorname{sign} y \text { if }|y|>\varrho_{k},
\end{array}\right. \\
f_{k}(t, x, y) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma(y) \text { if } t \in[0,1 / k) \cup(T-1 / k, T], \\
f\left(t, \alpha_{k}(t, x), \beta_{k}(y)\right) \text { if } t \in[1 / k, T-1 / k] .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In such a way we have got a sequence of regular problems (2.13) fulfilling (2.12) and consequently a sequence of their solutions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfying (2.14).

Step 2. A priori estimates of approximate solutions $u_{k}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\varepsilon>0$ is so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} p(s) \mathrm{d} s<\nu \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(I) Assume that $u_{k}(0) \geqslant \nu$. Since $u_{k}(T)=0$ there exist $s_{0} \in[0, T), \tau_{0} \in\left(s_{0}, T\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(t) \geqslant \nu \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, s_{0}\right] \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
u_{k}\left(s_{0}\right)=\nu, \quad u_{k}(t)<\nu \text { for } t \in\left(s_{0}, \tau_{0}\right]
$$

Then $u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$ and we will consider two cases: $-\nu<p_{k}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$ and $p_{k}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant-\nu$.

C ase A. Let $-\nu<p_{k}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$. Then there exists $t_{0} \in\left(s_{0}, T\right]$ such that for $t \in\left[s_{0}, t_{0}\right]$

$$
0 \leqslant u_{k}(t) \leqslant \nu, \quad\left|p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \nu .
$$

By (2.27) we get

$$
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s+p_{k}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s, t \in\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right]
$$

i.e. for $t \in\left[s_{0}, t_{0}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $u_{k}(t)<\nu, u_{k}^{\prime}(t)<0$ and $p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant-\nu$ on $\left(s_{0}, t_{0}\right]$. Assume that $t_{0}<T$. Then there exists $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right]$ such that $p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)<-\nu$ for $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, which yields $u_{k}(t)<\nu$ and (2.31) on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Assume that $t_{1}<T$. Then there exists $t_{2} \in\left(t_{1}, T\right]$ such that

$$
-\nu<-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s<p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0 \text { for } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right] .
$$

This implies that $u_{k}<\nu$ on $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and, by (2.27),

$$
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s+p_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s \text { for } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

a contradiction. So, we have proved $t_{1}=T$ and hence, by (2.29),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2.31) \text { and } u_{k}(t)<\nu \quad \text { hold on }\left(s_{0}, T\right] . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case B. Let $p_{k}\left(s_{0}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \leqslant-\nu$. Then there exists $s_{1} \in\left(s_{0}, T\right]$ such that $0 \leqslant$ $u_{k}(t)<\nu$ for $t \in\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right]$ and, by (2.29),

$$
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s, t \in\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right] .
$$

Assume that $s_{1}<T$. Then there exists $s_{2} \in\left(s_{1}, T\right]$ such that

$$
-\nu<-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s<p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0 \text { for } t \in\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right] .
$$

This implies that $u_{k}<\nu$ on $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$ and, by (2.27),

$$
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)<-\varepsilon \int_{s_{1}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s+p_{k}\left(s_{1}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{s_{0}}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s \text { for } t \in\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right]
$$

a contradiction. So, we have proved $s_{1}=T$, which yields (2.32). Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\max \{p(t): t \in[0, T]\} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (2.30) and integrating (2.31), we obtain

$$
u_{k}(t) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nu \text { for } t \in\left[0, s_{0}\right]  \tag{2.34}\\
\varepsilon M^{-1} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{s_{0}}^{s} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \text { for } t \in\left[s_{0}, T\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

(II) Assume that $u_{k}(0) \in[0, \nu)$. Since $p_{k}(0) u_{k}^{\prime}(0)=0$, we can argue as in (I) Case A with $s_{0}=0$ and derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this inequality and using (2.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(t) \geqslant \varepsilon M^{-1} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary interval

$$
J=[a, b] \subset(0, T)
$$

According to (2.7), (2.14), (2.34) and (2.36) there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \geqslant k_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \subset[1 / k, T-1 / k] \quad \text { and } \quad c_{b} \leqslant u_{k}(t) \leqslant P(a) \quad \text { for } t \in J \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{b}=\min \left\{\nu, \varepsilon M^{-1} \int_{b}^{T} \int_{b}^{s} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s\right\} .
$$

Step 3. A priori estimates of $\left|p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\right|$ on $J$. By virtue of (2.37) there exists $\xi_{k} \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
p_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=\frac{u_{k}(b)-u_{k}(a)}{b-a} p_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right)
$$

and, using (2.33) and (2.37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{k}\left(\xi_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{M P(a)}{T}=m_{J} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\max \left\{\left|p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|: t \in[a, b]\right\}=\left|p_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)\right|=R_{k}>m_{J}$. Then we can find $\zeta_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left|p_{k}\left(\zeta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)\right|=m_{J} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \geqslant m_{J} \quad \text { for } t \in\left[\min \left\{\zeta_{k}, \eta_{k}\right\}, \max \left\{\zeta_{k}, \eta_{k}\right\}\right] .
$$

Assume that $p_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)=R_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k}>\eta_{k}$. By (2.9), (2.11), (2.28), (2.33), (2.37),

$$
\int_{\zeta_{k}}^{\eta_{k}} \frac{\left(p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t}{p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)+1} \leqslant M\left[\left(\omega\left(c_{b}\right)+h(P(a))\right) \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t+r M P(a)\right]=M_{J}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{m_{J}}^{R_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{s+1} \leqslant M_{J} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $p_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)=-R_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k}<\eta_{k}$. Similarly as above we get

$$
\int_{\zeta_{k}}^{\eta_{k}} \frac{-\left(p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t}{-p_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)+1} \leqslant M_{J}
$$

which gives (2.39). Since there exists $\varrho_{J}>0$ such that $\int_{m_{J}}^{\varrho_{J}}(s+1)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} s>M_{J}$, we get $R_{k}<\varrho_{J}$. If $p_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)=R_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k}<\eta_{k}$ or $p_{k}\left(\eta_{k}\right) u_{k}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{k}\right)=-R_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k}>\eta_{k}$, we get by (2.28)

$$
R_{k} \leqslant m_{J}+\int_{a}^{b} p(t) \psi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

We can choose

$$
\varrho_{J} \geqslant m_{J}+\int_{a}^{b} p(t) \psi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \varrho_{J}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{\varrho_{J}}{c_{J}} \quad \text { for } t \in J, \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{J}=\min \{p(t): t \in J\}$.
Step 4. Convergence of sequences of approximate solutions and problems. Having in mind (2.37) and (2.40) we get (2.17) and hence condition (2.18) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<u(t) \leqslant P(t) \quad \text { for } t \in(0, T) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid. Further we can follow Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 2.1 to obtain (2.20) and (2.21).

Step 5. Properties of $p u^{\prime}$. By (2.32) and (2.35) we have $p_{k}(T) u_{k}^{\prime}(T)<0$. The conditions (2.14) and $u_{k}(T)=\sigma_{2 k}(T)=0$ give

$$
p_{k}(t) \frac{u_{k}(T)-u_{k}(t)}{T-t} \geqslant p_{k}(t) \frac{\sigma_{2 k}(T)-\sigma_{2 k}(t)}{T-t} \quad \text { for } t \in(0, T)
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \leqslant p_{k}(T) u_{k}^{\prime}(T)<0 . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.13) and (2.42) we have for each $k \geqslant 3 / T$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} p_{k}(s) f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), p_{k}(s) u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=-p_{k}(T) u_{k}^{\prime}(T) \in(0, c]
$$

This together with $(2.28),(2.41),(2.20)$ yields, by the Fatou lemma, that

$$
p(t) f\left(t, u(t), p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in L_{1}[0, T] .
$$

Therefore, by (2.21), $p u^{\prime} \in A C[0, T]$.
Step 6. Properties of $u$. We will prove that $u \in C[0, T]$. Since $p u^{\prime}$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ and $1 / p$ is continuous on $(0, T]$, we get $u \in C(0, T]$. It remains to prove that $u$ is right continuous at $t=0$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} u(t)=A \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Assume $A<\nu$. By (2.41) and (1.2) there is a $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
u(t) \in(0, \nu), \quad\left|p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \nu \quad \text { for } t \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)
$$

and so, due to (2.27), $u$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$. Hence

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u(t)=A \in(0, \nu)
$$

which yields $u \in C[0, T]$.
(ii) Assume $A \geqslant \nu$. Then there exist $t_{0} \in[0, T)$ and $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right]$ such that $u\left(t_{0}+\right)=$ $\nu$ and $u(t)<\nu \quad$ for $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. If $t_{0}=0$, we get $u \in C[0, T]$ as in (i). Now, assume that $t_{0}>0$. Then we argue as in Step 2 and deduce $t_{1}=T$. Hence, according
to (1.2), we can find $t^{*} \in(0, T)$ such that $\nu \leqslant u(t)$ for $t \in\left(0, t^{*}\right)$. By (2.8) we can find $a \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} \leqslant a .
$$

Further, by (2.3), (2.43) and (1.2), there is $\delta^{*} \in\left(0, t^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant \frac{1}{2(\nu+1) a}  \tag{2.44}\\
& \nu \leqslant u(t) \leqslant A+1, \quad\left|p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \nu \quad \text { for } t \in\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, (2.27) and (2.28) yield $\varepsilon \leqslant \varphi(t)[\omega(\nu)+h(\nu)]$ for a.e. $t \in(0, T)$. Thus for $t \in[0, T]$

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega(\nu)+h(\nu)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s
$$

and so, due to (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s=c^{*} \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.28) and using (2.44) we get for $t \in\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)$

$$
-p(t) u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant(\omega(\nu)+h(A+1))(\nu+1) \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+r \nu^{2} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

and integrating this inequality once more and using (2.44) and (2.45) we have for $t \in\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)$

$$
u(t) \leqslant u\left(\delta^{*}\right)+(\omega(\nu)+h(A+1)) \frac{1}{2 a}+r \nu^{2} c^{*}
$$

According to (2.43) we can choose a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset\left(0, \delta^{*}\right), t_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $u\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow A$. Therefore

$$
A \leqslant u\left(\delta^{*}\right)+(\omega(\nu)+h(A+1)) \frac{1}{2 a}+r \nu^{2} c^{*}
$$

and

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{1}{A}\left[u\left(\delta^{*}\right)+\frac{\omega(\nu)}{2 a}+r \nu^{2} c^{*}\right]+\frac{(A+1) h(A+1)}{2 a A(A+1)}=F(A) .
$$

Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) \leqslant 1 / 2$, there exists $A^{*} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $F(x)<1$ for each $x \geqslant A^{*}$. Since $F(A) \geqslant 1$, we get $A \leqslant A^{*}$, which means that $u$ is bounded on $[0, T]$. Due to (2.44) and (2.28)

$$
-p(t) \psi(t) \leqslant-\left(p(t) u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leqslant p(t)\left[\varphi(t)(\omega(\nu)+h(A+1))(\nu+1)+r \nu^{2}\right]
$$

holds for a.e. $t \in\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)$. If we put $K_{1}=(\omega(\nu)+h(A+1))(\nu+1), K_{2}=r \nu^{2}$ and integrate the above inequalities, we get on $\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)$

$$
-\frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) \psi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \leqslant-u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant K_{1} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) \varphi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+K_{2} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

Due to (2.3), (2.25) and (2.45) there exists $h_{0} \in L_{1}\left[0, \delta^{*}\right]$ such that $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant h_{0}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in\left(0, \delta^{*}\right)$. Therefore $u \in C\left[0, \delta^{*}\right]$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Examples

In Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we assume that $\omega \in C(0, \infty)$ is positive and nonincreasing but no additional assumption about the behaviour of $\omega$ near the singularity $x=0$ is required. Therefore $\omega(x)$ can go to $+\infty$ for $x \rightarrow 0+$ very quickly, which means that $f(t, x, y)$ can have at $x=0$ a strong singularity.

Example 3.1. Let $\alpha, \gamma, \theta \in(0, \infty), c_{1}, c_{2} \in[0, \infty), \beta \in[0,1], 0<\delta<\min \{2$, $\theta+1\}$. By Theorem 2.1 the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t^{\theta} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+t^{\theta-\delta}\left(c_{1} u^{-\alpha}+c_{2} u^{\beta}+1\right)\left(1-\left(t^{\theta}\left|u^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\gamma}\right)=0,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{\theta} u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad u(1)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

has a positive decreasing solution.
To see this we put $p(t)=t^{\theta}, \varphi(t)=t^{-\delta}, \nu=1 / 2, \varepsilon=1-(1 / 2)^{\gamma}, c=1$, $\omega(x)=c_{1} x^{-\alpha}+1, h(x)=c_{2} x^{\beta}+1$ and $f(t, x, y)=t^{-\delta}\left(c_{1} x^{-\alpha}+c_{2} x^{\beta}+1\right)\left(1-|y|^{\gamma}\right)$.

Remark 3.2. Note that:

1. Since $\alpha$ can be chosen in $(0, \infty)$, equation (3.1) can have both a weak singularity at $x=0$ (if we choose $\alpha \in(0,1)$ ) and a strong singularity at $x=0$ (if we choose $\alpha \geqslant 1$ ). Hence we generalize the results of [2] where only weak singularities are admitted. See Examples 2.2 and 2.3 in [2].
2. $\theta \in(0, \infty)$ implies that we can choose $\theta \geqslant 1$ and get $1 / p \notin L_{1}[0,1]$.
3. Similarly, $0<\delta<\min \{2, \theta+1\}$ implies that if $\theta \geqslant 1$ we can choose $\delta \in[1,2)$ and get $\varphi \notin L_{1}[0,1]$.
4. Since $\beta \in[0,1]$, the function $f$ can have for $x \rightarrow \infty$ either a sublinear growth (if $\beta \in(0,1)$ ) or a linear growth (if $\beta=1$ ) or $f$ can be bounded for large $x$ (if $\beta=0$ ).
5. $\gamma \in(0, \infty)$ yields that $f$ can have a similar behaviour for large $y$ as for large $x$ but, moreover, $f$ can have also a superlinear growth for $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ (if we choose $\gamma>1)$.

Example 3.3. Let $\alpha \in[0, \infty), \beta \in[0,1], \gamma, \theta \in[1, \infty), \delta \in[1,2)$. Denote $q(t)=t^{-\delta}+(1-t)^{-\gamma}, q_{1}(t)=1 / \sqrt{t}+1 / \sqrt{1-t}$ and consider the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(t^{\theta} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+t^{\theta} q(t)\left[\left(u^{-\alpha}+u^{\beta}+1\right)\left|1+t^{\theta} u^{\prime}\right|+4\left(1+t^{\theta} u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \\
&-t^{\theta} q_{1}(t)\left(\sin ^{2}(u+1)+1\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.2 the problem (3.3), (3.2) has a positive solution.
To see this we put $p(t)=t^{\theta}, \varphi(t)=q(t)+2 q_{1}(t), \psi(t)=2 q_{1}(t), r=4, \varepsilon=1$, $\nu=1 / 3, c=1, \omega(x)=x^{-\alpha}+1, h(x)=x^{\beta}+1$ and $f(t, x, y)=q(t)\left[\left(x^{-\alpha}+x^{\beta}+\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.|1+y|+4(1+y)^{2}\right]-q_{1}(t)\left(\sin ^{2}(x+1)+1\right)$.

Remark 3.4. In Example 3.1 the function $f$ is nonnegative on the set where we have found solutions, i.e. for $t \in(0,1], x \in(0, \infty), y \in[-1,0)$. Let us show that in Example 3.3 the function $f$ changes its sign. We can see that $f(t, x,-1)<0$ for $t \in(0,1), x \in(0, \infty)$. On the other hand, for $t \in(0,1), x \in(0,1 / 3], y \in[-1 / 3,1 / 3]$ we have $f(t, x, y)>1$.
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