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Abstract. This paper is a continuation of a previous author's article; the result is now extended to the case when the lattice under consideration need not have the least element.
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In the paper [6] a result of Cantor-Bernstein type was proved for lattices which (a) have the least element, (b) are $\sigma$-complete, and (c) are infinitely distributive.

In the present paper we modify the method from [6] to obtain a generalization of the mentioned result such that the condition (a) is omitted and the conditions (b), (c) are substantially weakened.

We remark that a theorem of Sikorski [10] (proved independently by Tarski [13], cf. also Sikorski [11]) concerning $\sigma$-complete Boolean algebras is a corollary of the result from [6].

## 1. Preliminaries

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{0}$ the class of all lattices satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) above.

Let $L$ be a lattice and $x_{0} \in L$. An indexed system $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $L$ will be called orthogonal over $x_{0}$ if (i) $x_{i} \geqslant x_{0}$ for each $i \in I$, and (ii) $x_{i(1)} \wedge x_{i(2)}=x_{0}$ whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I$. The orthogonality under $x_{0}$ is defined dually.

[^0]Let $\alpha$ be an infinite cardinal. Consider the following conditions for $L$ :
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ If $x_{0} \in L$ and $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is an indexed system of elements of $L$ which is orthogonal over $x_{0}$ and if card $I \leqslant \alpha$, then the join $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}$ exists in $L$.
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ If the assumption of $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ is satisfied and if, moreover, the system $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is upper bounded in $L$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}$ exists in $L$.
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ If the assumption of $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ is satisfied and if, moreover, the join $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}$ exists in $L$, then for each element $y \in L$ the relation

$$
y \wedge\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(y \wedge x_{i}\right)
$$

is valid in $L$.
We denote by $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$ the conditions which are dual to $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1}$ be the class of all lattices which satisfy the conditions $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$. Next let $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{2}$ be the class of all lattices satisfying $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{c}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}$ ).

We use the notion of internal direct factor with a given central element of a lattice in the same sense as in [6].

The main results of the present paper are Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.8. The first one of these theorems says that if $L \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1}$ and $x^{0} \in L$, then the Boolean algebra of all internal direct factors of $L$ with the central element $s^{0}$ is $\alpha$-complete. Theorem 3.8 is a result of Cantor-Bernstein type for lattices belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{2}$, where $\alpha=\aleph_{0}$; this result is stronger than Theorem 2 of [6].

We substiantially apply the methods from [6].
Some theorems of Cantor-Bernstein type for lattice ordered groups and for $M V$ algebras were proved in [1]-[5], [7]-[9].

## 2. Internal direct product decompositions

Let $L$ be a lattice belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1}$, where $\alpha$ is an infinite cardinal. Further let $s^{0}$ be an arbitrary but fixed element of $L$.

We use the terminology and the notation as in [5]; the reader is assumed to be acquainted with the results of Section 2 of [5].

Let $I$ be a set with card $I=\alpha$. Assume that for each $i \in I$ we have an internal direct product decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left(s^{0}\right) L_{i} \times L_{i}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the central element $s^{0}$. We suppose that whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i(1)} \cap L_{i(2)}=\left\{s^{0}\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in L$ and $i \in I$ we denote

$$
x_{i}=x\left(L_{i}\right), \quad x_{i}^{\prime}=x\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $x, y \in L$. We put $x R_{i} y$ if $x\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)=y\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Analogously, we set $x R_{i}^{\prime} y$ if $x\left(L_{i}\right)=$ $y\left(L_{i}\right)$. Then $R_{i}$ and $R_{i}^{\prime}$ are permutable congruence relations on $L$ with $R_{i} \wedge R_{i}^{\prime}=R_{\min }$ and $R_{i} \vee R_{i}^{\prime}=R_{\max }$.

For each congruence relation $\varrho$ on $L$ and each $x \in L$ we put

$$
x_{\varrho}=\{y \in L: x \varrho y\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=s_{R_{i}^{\prime}}^{0}, \quad L_{i}^{\prime}=s_{R_{i}}^{0}, \quad\left\{x\left(L_{i}\right)\right\}=s_{R_{i}}^{0} \cap x_{R_{i}^{\prime}}, \quad\left\{x\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}=s_{R_{i}^{\prime}}^{0} \cap x_{R_{i}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall systematically apply the relations (3).
2.1. Lemma. Let $x^{0} \in L$. Then

$$
x_{R_{i(1)}}^{0} \cap x_{R_{i(2)}}^{0}=\left\{x^{0}\right\}
$$

whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I$.
Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (3).
Let $a, b \in L, a \leqslant b$. Further let $i \in I$. There exist uniquely determined elements $x^{i}$ and $y^{i}$ in $L$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(x^{i}\right)_{i}=b_{i}, \\
&\left(y^{i}\right)_{i}=x_{i}, \\
& i\left(y^{i}\right)_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}^{\prime} \\
&=b_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x^{i}\right\}=a_{R_{i}} \cap b_{R_{i}^{\prime}}, \quad\left\{y^{i}\right\}=a_{R_{i}^{\prime}} \cap b_{R_{i}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $x^{i}$ and $y^{i}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{i}, y^{i} \in[a, b] \quad \text { for each } i \in I \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Lemma. Let $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ be distinct elements of $I$. Then

$$
x^{i(1)} \wedge x^{i(2)}=a, \quad y^{i(1)} \vee y^{i(2)}=b
$$

Proof. Put $x^{i(1)} \wedge x^{i(2)}=t$. In view of (5), $t \geqslant a$. Then $t \in\left[a, x^{i(1)}\right]$ and hence according to (4), $t \in a_{R_{i(1)}}$; similarly, $t \in a_{R_{i(2)}}$. Thus 2.1 yields that $t=a$. Therefore $x^{i(1)} \wedge x^{i(2)}=a$. Analogously we obtain $y^{i(1)} \vee y^{i(2)}=b$.
2.3. Corollary. Under the notation as above, the indexed system $\left(x^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is orthogonal over $a$, and the indexed system $\left(y^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is orthogonal under $b$.

Since these systems are bounded, we get
2.4. Corollary. There exist elements $x$ and $y$ in $L$ such that

$$
x=\bigvee_{i \in I} x^{i}, \quad y=\bigwedge_{i \in I} y^{i}
$$

2.5. Lemma. $x \wedge y=a$ and $x \vee y=b$.

Proof. We apply the same steps as in proving the relations (4) and (5) in [6], Section 4 with the distinction that instead of infinite distributivity we apply 2.3 and the relation $L \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1}$.

The assertions of 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 in [6] remain valid for our case (again, in the proof of 4.3 we have to use Lemma 2.3 above).

Now we can use the same argument as in Section 5 of [6] (instead of Lemma 4.2 of [5] we take Lemma 2.5 above). We apply the definitions of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ on $L$ (cf. [5]) and we obtain
2.6. Lemma. $L=\left(s^{0}\right) s_{R}^{0} \times s_{R^{\prime}}^{0}$ and the relation

$$
s_{R}^{0}=\bigvee_{i \in I} L_{i}
$$

is valid in the Boolean algebra $F\left(L, s^{0}\right)$.
By applying the well-known theorem of Smith and Tarski [12] (cf. also Sikorski [11], Chapter II, Theorem 20.1) we conclude from 2.6 that the following theorem holds.
2.7. Theorem. Let $\alpha$ be an infinite cardinal and let $L \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1}$. Then the Boolean algebra $F\left(L, s^{0}\right)$ is $\alpha$-complete.

## 3. On lattices belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{2}$

If $\alpha=\aleph_{0}$, then instead of $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{2}$ we write $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{2}$.
Let $L$ be a lattice belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{2}$ and $s^{0} \in L$. Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have an internal direct product decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left(s^{0}\right) L_{n} \times L_{n}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, whenever $n(1)$ and $n(2)$ are distinct positive integers, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n(1)} \cap L_{n(2)}=\left\{s^{0}\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use analogous notation as in Section 2 with the distinction that we now have $\mathbb{N}$ instead of $I$.

In particular, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{R}^{0}=\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid in the Boolean algebra $F\left(L, s^{0}\right)$; we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left(s^{0}\right) s_{R}^{0} \times\left(s_{R}^{0}\right)^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in view of the duality, (3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s_{R}^{0}\right)^{\prime}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n}^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a, b, x$ and $y$ are as in 2.5 , then we write

$$
x=x(a, b), \quad y=y(a, b)
$$

3.1. Lemma. Let $x^{0} \in L$. Then $x_{R}^{0}$ is the set of all elements $z \in L$ such that there exist $u, v \in L$ with $x^{0}, z \in[u, v], x\left(x^{0}, v\right)=v$ and $y\left(u, x^{0}\right)=u$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the definition of $R$ (cf. [5], Section 5).
3.2. Lemma. Let $m$ and $n$ be distinct positive integers. Then $L_{m} \subseteq L_{n}^{\prime}$.

Proof. In view of (1) and according to 3.7 in [5] we have

$$
L_{m}=\left(s^{0}\right)\left(L_{m} \cap L_{n}\right) \times\left(L_{m} \cap L_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Thus according to (2),

$$
L_{m}=\left(s^{0}\right)\left\{s^{0}\right\} \times\left(L_{m} \cap L_{n}^{\prime}\right)=L_{m} \cap L_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Since the element $s^{0}$ was arbitrarily chosen, we get
3.3. Corollary. Let $m, n$ be as in 3.2 and $x \in L$. Then

$$
x_{R_{m}} \subseteq x_{R_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

3.4. Lemma. Let $x^{0} \in L$ and suppose that $\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an indexed system of elements of $L$ such that (i) this system is orthogonal over $x^{0}$, and (ii) $x^{n} \in x_{R_{n}}^{0}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x=\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^{n}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x R_{n}^{\prime} x^{n}$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $L \in \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{2}$, there exists $t \in L$ with

$$
t=\bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{n\}} x^{m}
$$

According to 3.3, all elements $x^{m}$ under consideration belong to $x_{R_{n}^{\prime}}^{0}$. Thus $t$ belongs to the set $x_{R_{n}^{\prime}}^{0}$ as well. Clearly $x=x^{n} \vee t$. Then $x R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x^{n} \vee x^{0}\right)$, whence $x R_{n}^{\prime} x^{n}$.
3.5. Lemma. Let $\left(y^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an indexed system of elements of $L$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, y^{n} \in L_{n}$. Then there exists $p \in s_{R}^{0}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, p\left(L_{n}\right)=y^{n}$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote

$$
y^{n} \vee s^{0}=x^{n}, \quad y^{n} \wedge s^{0}=z^{n} .
$$

Then in view of (2), the indexed system $\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthogonal over $s^{0}$, and $\left(z^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthogonal under $s^{0}$. Hence there exist elements

$$
x=\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^{n}, \quad z=\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z^{n}
$$

in $L$. Thus $x R s^{0} R z$, whence

$$
[z, x] \subseteq s_{R}^{0}
$$

Also, $y^{n} \in s_{R}^{0}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a uniquely determined element $t^{n}$ in $L$ such that

$$
\left\{t^{n}\right\}=x_{R_{n}^{\prime}}^{n} \cap z_{R_{n}} .
$$

Then from the relation $z \leqslant x^{n}$ we obtain that $t^{n}$ belongs to the interval $\left[z, x^{n}\right]$ and hence $t^{n} \in s_{R}^{0}$. Put $p^{n}=t^{n} \wedge y^{n}$. We have $p^{n} \in\left[z, t^{n}\right]$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{n} R_{n} z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore 3.2 yields that the indexed system $\left(p^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthogonal over $z$. Hence there exists

$$
p=\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p^{n}
$$

in $L$. Clearly $p \in[z, x] \subseteq s_{R}^{0}$. In view of 3.4, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p R_{n}^{\prime} p^{n} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x^{n} R_{n}^{\prime} t^{n}$ we get

$$
\left(x^{n} \wedge y^{n}\right) R_{n}^{\prime}\left(t^{n} \wedge y^{n}\right)
$$

thus $y^{n} R_{n}^{\prime} p^{n}$. Hence in view of $(7), y^{n} R_{n}^{\prime} p$. But $y^{n} \in L_{n}$ and hence $p\left(L_{n}\right)=y^{n}$.
3.6. Lemma. Let $x, y \in s_{R}^{0}$. Suppose that $x\left(L_{n}\right)=y\left(L_{n}\right)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x=y$.

Proof. Denote $a=x \wedge y, b=x \vee y$. Then $a\left(L_{n}\right)=b\left(L_{n}\right)=x\left(L_{n}\right)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to show that $a=b$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $a\left(L_{n}\right)=t$. Then $\{t\}=L_{n} \cap a_{R_{n}^{\prime}}$. Hence $a R_{n}^{\prime} t$ and similarly $b R_{n}^{\prime} t$, which implies that $a R_{n}^{\prime} b$.

We have $a, b \in s_{R}^{0}$. Then there exists an indexed system $\left(x^{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is orthogonal over $a$ such that $a R_{n} x^{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^{n}=b$ (cf. the definition of $R$ in [6]).

From the relations $a \leqslant x^{n} \leqslant b$ and $a R_{n}^{\prime} b$ we obtain $a R_{n}^{\prime} x^{n}$, whence $a=x^{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $b=a$.

Consider the mapping

$$
\varphi: s_{R}^{0} \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n}
$$

defined by

$$
\varphi(x)=\left(x\left(L_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

for each $x \in s_{R}^{0}$.
From the definition of $\varphi$ we immediately obtain that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism. In view of $3.5, \varphi$ is an epimorphism. According to $3.6, \varphi$ is a monomorphism. Hence $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $s_{R}^{0}$ onto $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n}$. All $L_{n}$ are sublattices of $s_{R}^{0}$ containing the element $s^{0}$. If $x \in L_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\varphi(x))_{n}=x$ and $(\varphi(x))_{m}=s^{0}$ for $m \neq n$. Hence in view of (3) we have
3.7. Lemma. Let (1) and (3) be valid. Then

$$
\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n}=\left(s^{0}\right) \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_{n}
$$

3.8. Theorem. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be lattices belonging to $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{2}$. Suppose that
(i) $L_{1}$ is isomorphic to some direct factor of $L_{2}$;
(ii) $L_{2}$ is isomorphic to some direct factor of $L_{1}$.

Then $L_{1}$ is isomorphic to $L_{2}$.
Proof. It suffices to apply the same argument as in proving Theorem 2 of [6] (Section 6) with the distinction that instead of Lemma 6.3 from [6] we now use Lemma 3.7.

Theorem 3.8 generalizes Theorem 2 of [6].

## 4. Examples

4.1. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers with the usual linear order and let $A$ be a two-element lattice. Put $B=A \times \mathbb{N}, L=B \cup\{\omega\}$, where $b<\omega$ for each $b \in B$. Then $L \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{2}$ for each infinite cardinal $\alpha$, but $L$ fails to be infinitely distributive.
4.2. Let $L$ be as in 4.1 and let $L_{1}$ be a sublattice of $L$ such that $L_{1}=L \backslash\{\omega\}$. Then $L_{1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{1} \cap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{2}, L_{1}$ is infinitely distributive and fails to be $\sigma$-complete.

Now let us return to the conditions $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$. We denote the system of these condition by $S$. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary infinite cardinal.

It is obvious that $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
4.3. Let $F$ be the system of finite subsets of the set $\mathbb{N}$; the system $F$ is partially ordered by the set-theoretic inclusion. Then $F$ satisfies all the conditions from $S$ except $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$.
4.4. Let $F$ be as in 4.3 and let $F_{1}$ be a lattice which is dual to $F$. Let $\alpha$ be an arbitrary infinite cardinal. Then $F_{1}$ satisfies all the conditions from $S$ except the condition ( $\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}$ ).
4.5. Let $F$ be as in 4.3 and let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers with the natural linear order. The lattice dual to $\mathbb{N}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{N}^{\prime}$. We may assume that $F \cap \mathbb{N}^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Put $L=F \cup \mathbb{N}^{\prime}$. The partial order in $L$ is defined as follows: for each $x \in F$ and each $y \in \mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ we put $x<y$. If $x, y \in F$ or $x, y \in \mathbb{N}^{\prime}$, then the relation $x \geqslant y$ has its original meaning (deduced from $F$ or from $\mathbb{N}^{\prime}$, respectively). The lattice $L$ satisfies all conditions from $S$ except $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{b}_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}$ ).
4.6. Let $L$ be as in 4.5 and let $L_{1}$ be a lattice dual to $L$. Then $L_{1}$ satisfies all conditions from $S$ except $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\alpha d}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
4.7. Let $L$ be as in 4.5 . We denote by $\omega$ the greatest element of $L$. Further, let $L_{1}$ be the sublattice of $L$ with the underlying set $F \cup\{\omega\}$. Then $L_{1}$ satisfies all the conditions of the system $S$ except ( $\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ ).
4.8. Let $L_{1}$ be as in 4.7 and let $L_{2}$ be a lattice dual to $L_{1}$. Then $L_{2}$ satisfies all the conditions of $S$ except $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\alpha d}^{\prime}\right)$.
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