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# STEADY VORTEX RINGS WITH SWIRL IN AN IDEAL FLUID: ASYMPTOTICS FOR SOME SOLUTIONS IN EXTERIOR DOMAINS 

Tadie, Copenhagen

(Received October 13, 1997)

Abstract. In this paper, the axisymmetric flow in an ideal fluid outside the infinite cylinder $(r \leqslant d)$ where $(r, \theta, z)$ denotes the cylindrical co-ordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is considered. The motion is with swirl (i.e. the $\theta$-component of the velocity of the flow is non constant). The (non-dimensional) equation governing the phenomenon is ( Pd ) displayed below. It is known from e.g. [9] that for the problem without swirl ( $f_{q}=0$ in (f)) in the whole space, as the flux constant $k$ tends to $\infty$,

1) $\operatorname{dist}(0 z, \partial A)=O\left(k^{1 / 2}\right) ; \operatorname{diam} A=O\left(\exp \left(-c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right)\right)$;
2) $\left(k^{1 / 2} \Psi\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a vortex cylinder $U_{m}$ (see (1.2)).

We show that for the problem with swirl, as $k \nearrow \infty, 1$ ) holds; if $m \leqslant q+2$ then 2) holds and if $m>q+2$ it holds with $U_{q+2}$ instead of $U_{m}$. Moreover, these results are independent of $f_{0}, f_{q}$ and $d>0$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(r, \theta, z)$ denote the cylindrical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We consider an axisymmetric (w.r.t. $O z$ ) flow in an ideal fluid occupying the exterior domain

$$
\Omega_{d}:=\{(r, \theta, z) \mid r>d, \theta=0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad d>0 .
$$

The problem is then posed in the half plane $\Pi_{d}:=\{x=(r, z) \mid r>d, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
It si known (see e.g. [1]) that if $\mathbf{q}=(u, S, v)$ denotes the velocity of the flow and $\omega=\left(w_{1}, \omega, w_{2}\right)=\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{q}$ its vorticity, then $w_{1}=-S_{z} ; \omega=u_{z}-v_{r} ; w_{2}=$ $-(1 / r)\{r S\}_{r}$.

The mass conservation ( $\operatorname{divq}=0$ ) implies that there is a stream function $\Psi$ such that $u=-\Psi_{z} / r, v=\Psi_{r} / r$ whence $\omega=\left\{\Psi_{r r}-\Psi_{r} / r+\Psi_{z z}\right\} / r$.

From Bernoulli's equation $|\mathbf{q}|^{2} / 2+p / \varrho=H(\Psi)$ where $p$ and $\varrho$ denote the pressure and the density and $H$ a scalar function, the dynamical equation $\mathbf{q} \times \omega-\mathbf{q}_{t}=\operatorname{grad} H$, $r S$ and $\omega / r$ are constant on each stream line and for $r S=C(\Psi)$ we have $\omega / r \equiv$ $L \Psi:=r^{-2}\left\{\Psi_{r r}-\Psi_{r} / r+\Psi_{z}\right\}=r^{-2} C(\Psi) C^{\prime}(\Psi)-H^{\prime}(\Psi)$.

So, as seen in [1], the non-dimensional equations (see [9]) governing the flow are

$$
(P d)\left\{\begin{array}{r}
L \psi:=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left\{\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r}+\partial_{z}^{2}\right\} \psi=-\lambda f(r, \Psi) \quad \text { in } \Pi_{d} \\
\left.\psi\right|_{r=0}=0 ; \quad \psi \text { and }|\nabla \psi| \searrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x|=\sqrt{r^{2}+z^{2}} \nearrow \infty \text { in } \Pi_{d}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the stream functions are related by $\psi(x):=\Psi(x)+r^{2} / 2+k$, the vorticity function $f$ is here defined for some $m, q \geqslant 0$ and $f_{0}, f_{q} \geqslant 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, t):=f_{q} \frac{\left\{t_{+}\right\}^{q}}{r^{2}}+f_{0}\left\{t_{+}\right\}^{m} \tag{f}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{+}:=\max \{t, 0\}$ and $f_{q}=0$ for the problem without swirl. The parameter $\lambda>0$ is a Lagrangian multiplier, determined a posteriori. The parameter $k>0$ denotes the flux constant, measuring the flux of the fluid between the boundary $r=d$ and the boundary of the ring $\partial A$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\left\{x \in \Pi_{d} \mid \Psi(x)>0\right\} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the cross-section of the ring. The problem is then to find solutions $\psi \in$ $C^{1}\left(\overline{\Pi_{d}}\right)$ and the corresponding $A$ for (Pd).

We are concerned with the variational solutions of the type found in [3], i.e. local maximizers of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(u):=\int_{\Pi_{d}} F(r, U) \mathrm{d} \tau ; \mathrm{d} \tau:=r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} z ; \quad F(r, T):=\int_{0}^{T} f(r, s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{Z}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the sphere $S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right):=\left\{u \in H_{d}:=H\left(\Pi_{d}\right) \mid\|u\|^{2}=1\right\}$ where $U(x):=u(x)-r^{2} / 2-k$ and $H_{d}$ denotes the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$ in the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \equiv\|u\|_{H_{d}}:=\left(\int_{\Pi_{d}} \frac{u_{r}^{2}+u_{z}^{2}}{r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{d}\right),\|u\|=\left(-\int_{\Pi_{d}} u L u \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $H_{d}$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle_{H_{d}}:=\int_{\Pi_{d}} \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left\{u_{r} v_{r}+u_{z} v_{z}\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{1.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem without swirl for which $Z$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}(u):=f_{0} \int_{\Pi} \frac{\left\{U_{+}\right\}^{m+1}}{m+1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{J}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\Pi:=\Pi_{0}$ has variational solutions $\psi$ such that ([3])
a) $\psi \in C^{2}(\bar{\Pi})$ if $m>0$ and $\psi \in C^{2}(\bar{\Pi} \backslash \overline{\partial A}) \bigcap C^{1}(\bar{\Pi})$ for $m=0$;
b) $\psi$ is an even function of $z$ and $\psi_{z}<0$ if $z>0$;
c) for $k>0, A$ has a finite number of simply connected components ([9]) and is simply connected if $m \geqslant 1$.

For the asymptotics of these solutions ([9]), for large values of $k$,
d) $\left|a^{2}-(2 / 3) k\right|=O\left(k^{-1 / 2} \log k\right) a:=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / 2$ where $r_{1}:=\inf \{r>0 \mid(r, 0) \in A\}$ $\left(r_{2}:=\sup \{r>0 \mid(r, 0) \in A\}\right.$;
e) for $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\operatorname{diam} A=2 a \varepsilon$ and $c_{0}=8 \pi(2 / 3)^{3 / 2}, \varepsilon \leqslant C \exp \left\{-c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right\}$, $\lambda \leqslant C k^{(m-2) / 2} \exp \left\{2 c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right\}$ and $|\Psi|_{C(\bar{A})}=O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)$; let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Pi}:=\{\zeta=(\xi, \eta) \mid \xi>-1 / \varepsilon, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{1.П}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the image of $\Pi$ in the transformation

$$
r=a(1+\varepsilon \xi), \quad z=a \varepsilon \eta
$$

and for $u$ defined in $\Pi$ let $\hat{u}(\zeta):=u(a(1+\varepsilon \xi)$, $a \varepsilon \eta)$; when $k \nearrow \infty$ the functions $k^{1 / 2} \Psi$ converge in $C^{1}(\Pi)$ to a function $U_{m}$ such that $\hat{U}_{m}$ is radial; namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{m}(\sigma)=\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4 \pi \varrho_{m}^{2}} Q_{m}\left(\varrho_{m} \sigma\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{m}$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime \prime}+Q^{\prime} / \sigma=-Q_{+}^{m}, \quad \sigma>0 ; \quad Q(0)=1 ; \quad Q^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{1.Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varrho_{m}$ is its unique positive zero ([8]). In this context the function $U$ will be called a vortex cylinder.

In the sequel, for any function $\varphi, \Phi(x):=\left\{\varphi(x)-r^{2} / 2-k\right\}$ and diverse constants $C$ will denote generic constants.

By the maximum principle all solutions $\psi$ are positive in their respective domains. The main results that we obtain are:

1) The variational solutions of (Pd) satisfy a)-e) where for $i)$ in a), $\Pi_{d}$ replaces $\Pi, m q>0$ and $m q=0$ replace respectively $m>0$ and $m=0$;
ii) the estimates in d)-e) are independent of $d$.
2) Independently of $d, f_{0}$ and $f_{q}$, the functions $k^{1 / 2} \hat{\Psi}_{d}$ converge to $\hat{U}_{m}$ if $m \leqslant q+2$ and to $\hat{U}_{q+2}$ if $m>q+2$.
3) For large $k$ we deduce variational solutions of the problem in $\Pi$ from those of $\left\{\left(P_{d}\right)\right\}_{d \in(0,1]}$, and they have the same estimates.

## 2. Existence of solutions

2.1. Preliminaries. For $b>0$, let $D \equiv D_{b}$ denote a regular convex domain $\left(\partial D_{b} \in C^{l} ; l \geqslant 2\right)$ in $\Pi_{d}$ such that the rectangle $(d, d+b) \times(-2 b, 2 b)$ is contained in $\bar{D}$. Define the spaces $L^{p}(D):=\left\{\left.u| | u\right|_{p ; D}:=\left(\int_{D}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{1 / p}<\infty\right\}$ and denote by $H(D)$ the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(D)$ in the norm $\|u\|_{D}:=\left(\int_{D}\left\{\left(u_{r}^{2}+u_{z}^{2}\right) / r^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{1 / 2}$. We have the imbeddings ([3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(D) \subset W_{2}^{1}(D) \subset L^{p}(D) ; \quad p \geqslant 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second imbedding is compact. In fact, if $u \in H(D)$ has its support in $R:=\left(r_{0}-\alpha, r_{0}\right) \times(-2 \beta, 2 \beta)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{p, R} \leqslant C_{p} r_{0}^{(2+p) / 2 p}(2 \alpha \beta)^{1 / p}\|u\|_{R} ; \quad p \geqslant 1 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Sobolev inequality ([2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in H(\Pi) \quad \forall p \geqslant 2, \quad \int_{\Pi} \frac{|u|^{p}}{r^{2+p / 2}} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} z \leqslant\left(A_{p}\|u\|_{\Pi}\right)^{p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{p}$ depends only on $p$, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in H(\Pi)$ be such that $A(u):=\{x \in \Pi \mid U(x):=u(x)-k-$ $\left.r^{2} / 2>0\right\}$ has a non void interior. Then $\forall p \geqslant 1$ and $l>0$ with $\mu:=8 p-6$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\forall u \in H(\Pi) & \int_{\Pi}\left(\frac{U_{+}^{l}}{r^{2}}\right)^{p} \mathrm{~d} \tau \leqslant k^{\mu / 2} A_{\mu}^{\mu}\left(|U|_{2 p l}\right)^{p l}\|u\|^{\mu / 2} \\
\forall u \in H(D b) \quad \int_{D b}\left(\frac{U^{l}}{r^{2}}\right)^{p} \leqslant\left(C_{2 p l}\right)^{p l} b^{(1+p l) / 2}\left(\operatorname{diam} D_{b}\right)^{p l}\|u\|_{D b}^{p l} . \tag{2.4b}
\end{array}
$$

Also for $p \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A(u)} \frac{1}{r^{2 p}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \leqslant k^{3-2 p}\left(A_{4 p-6}\|u\|\right)^{4 p-6} \tag{2.4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\mu}$ and $C_{l}$ are from (2.3) and $|\cdot|_{l}:=|\cdot| l ; \Pi$.

Proof. As $u>k$ on $A(u)$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$
\int_{A(u)} r^{-2 p} U^{p l} \mathrm{~d} \tau \leqslant\left(|U|_{2 p l}\right)^{p l} k^{\mu / 2}\left(\int_{A(u)} u^{\mu} / r^{2+\mu / 2} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and (2.4a) follows. The other assertions follow from (2.2) and (2.4a).
Maps between $H(\Pi)$ and the space $V_{5}: \Pi$ becomes a meridional half-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geqslant 3$, if we define $z=x_{N} ; r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{N-1}^{2}}$.

Let $V_{N}$ denote the completion of

$$
C_{0, c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid u \text { depends only on }(r, z)\right\}
$$

in the norm $\|\varphi\|_{V_{N}}:=\left(\int_{\Pi}\left(\varphi_{r}^{2}+\varphi_{z}^{2}\right) r^{N-2} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} z\right)^{1 / 2}$.
From [2], the $\operatorname{map} \varphi \mapsto \bar{\varphi} ; \bar{\varphi}(x):=r^{-(N-1) / 2} \varphi(x)$ is a homeomorphism from $H(\Pi)$ to $V_{N}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{V_{N}}^{2}=\|\varphi\|_{H(\Pi)}^{2}+\frac{(N-1)(N-5)}{4} \int_{\Pi}|\varphi|^{2} r^{-3} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} z \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $N=5$ the map is an isometry between $H(\Pi)$ and $V_{5}$.
2.2. Solutions in bounded $D b \subset \Pi_{d}$. As $d>0, L$ is uniformly elliptic in $\Pi_{d}$ and $\forall u \in H_{d}$ with $Z_{\alpha}(u):=\left(1 / \alpha^{2}\right) J_{q}(u)+J_{m}(u)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2 b}(u) \leqslant Z(u) \leqslant Z_{d}(u) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. $\forall k, b>0$, the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \psi=-\lambda f(r, \Psi) \quad \text { in } D b ;\left.\quad \psi\right|_{\partial D b}=0 \tag{Db}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $\psi$ which is a maximizer of $Z$ on $S_{1}(D b)$. For some $\nu \in(0,1]$, if $m q>0$ there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi} \in C^{2, \nu}(\overline{D b}) \quad\left(\in C^{1, \nu}(\overline{D b}) \bigcap C^{2, \nu}(\overline{D b} \backslash \overline{\partial A}) \quad \text { if } m q=0\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\psi(x)=r^{2} \bar{\psi}(x)$. Moreover, $\bar{\psi}$ is an even function in $z$ with $\bar{\psi}_{z}<0$ for $z>0$ in $D b$.

Proof. From (2.4) and (2.6), as $J_{m}$ is in $H(D)$ (see [3]), $Z$ is bounded on $S_{1}(D b)$ and continuous w.r.t. the weak convergences of $H(D b)$ (hence w.r.t. the strong convergences in $\left.L^{p}(D b), p \geqslant 1\right)$. Thus there is $\psi \equiv \psi_{b} \in S_{1}(D b)$ such that
i) $Z(\psi)=\max _{S_{1}(D b)} Z(u)$;
ii) $Z$ has a Frechet derivative $Z^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle Z^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle_{H(D b)}:=\int_{D b} \varphi f(r, U) \mathrm{d} \tau \forall \varphi \in H(D b) ;
$$

iii) $\psi$ is a critical point of $Z$ whence there is $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
\forall \varphi \in H(D b)\langle\psi, \varphi\rangle_{H(D b)}=\lambda \int_{D b} \varphi f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

and $\psi$ is a weak solution of $(\mathrm{Db})$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \equiv \lambda_{b}=\left(\int_{D b} \psi_{b} f\left(r, \Psi_{b}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{-1} \leqslant\{Z(u)\}^{-1} \quad \forall u \in H\left(D_{b}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking large $p$ in (2.4), the elliptic theory implies that $\psi_{b} \in C^{1, \nu}(\overline{D b})$ for any $\nu \in(0,1]$. Let $\varphi:=\overline{\psi_{b}}$, the image of $\psi_{b}$ in the isometry in (2.5); then
$\left(D_{5}\right) \quad \triangle_{5} \varphi:=\varphi_{r r}+\frac{3 \varphi_{r}}{r}+\varphi_{z z}=-\lambda f\left(r, \Psi_{b}\right) \quad$ in $\quad D b_{5} ;\left.\quad \varphi\right|_{\partial D b_{5}}=0$
and $\varphi$ satisfies (2.7). The proof is completed by the fact that the equation in $\left(D_{5}\right)$ is even in $z$ (see [4]).
2.3. Solutions in $\Pi_{d}$ for a fixed $k>0$. For a $b>0$ and $b_{i}:=i b, i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D i:=D b_{i}$ and let $\left(\psi_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ be the corresponding solutions of $(D i)$ where $\psi_{i}$ is extended by 0 outside $D i$. From (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i>1, \quad \lambda_{i} \leqslant\left\{Z\left(\psi_{1}\right)\right\}^{-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. There is a bounded domain $\Omega_{k} \subset \Pi_{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}:=A\left(\psi_{i}\right) \subset \Omega_{k} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $Z$ is uniformly bounded and continuous on $S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$.
Proof. Let $D$ be any of the $D i,(\psi, \lambda)$ the corresponding solution and $A:=$ $A(\psi)$. Let $r_{2}:=\sup \{r>0 \mid(r, 0) \in \bar{A}\}$. The Green function $G$ of $L$ in $D$ satisfies for $S^{2}:=\left(r-r_{2}\right)^{2}+z^{2}$ with $x=(r, z), x_{2}=\left(r_{2}, 0\right)$ and $\sigma:=4 \sqrt{r r_{2}} / s$ the inequality $\left(16 / \sqrt{r r_{2}}\right) G\left(x_{2}, x\right) \leqslant \sinh ^{-1}(1 / \sigma)=\log \left\{1 / \sigma+\sqrt{1+1 / \sigma^{2}}\right\}$ (see [6])
whence for a small $\alpha>0$ and $A \alpha:=\left\{x \in A ; s<\alpha r_{2}\right\}$ we have $r_{2}^{2} / 2+k=\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \leqslant$ $\left(r_{2} / 2 \pi\right) \lambda \int_{A \alpha} \log 1 / s f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau+\left(r_{2} \lambda / 2 \pi k\right) \log \left(24 r_{2} / \alpha\right) \int_{A} \psi f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau$ whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
k+r_{2}^{2} / 2 \leqslant C r_{2} \frac{r_{2}}{2 \pi k}\left\{\log \left(24 r_{2} / \alpha\right)\right\}+\lambda \alpha r_{2}^{m^{\prime}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $m^{\prime}:=m^{\prime}(q, m)$. From (2.9), $\lambda$ is bounded and so is $r_{2}$; in fact if we suppose that $r_{2}$ is very big, then for $\alpha>0$ such that $1 / r_{2}<\lambda \alpha r_{2}^{m^{\prime}}<1$, (2.11) implies that $r_{2} \leqslant\left\{\log r_{2}+\log \lambda\right\}$. From [3], it is known that if $(r, z) \in A$ then $|z|<k^{-1}$. The existence of $\Omega_{k}$ is obtained.

Let $\varphi \in S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right) \bigcap C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$; there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi \in S_{1}(D l)$ whence from (2.1), (2.4) and (2.9),

$$
Z(\varphi) \leqslant Z\left(\psi_{l}\right) \leqslant C\left(\Omega_{k}\right)
$$

The uniform continuity then follows as in the case of $J_{m}$ in [3].
Theorem 2.4. (Pd) has a solution $\psi$ which is a maximizer of $Z$ on $S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$ such that

1) there is $\varphi \in C^{2, \nu}\left(\overline{\Pi_{d}}\right)$ if $m q>0$ and $\varphi \in C^{1, \nu}\left(\overline{\Pi_{d}}\right) \bigcap C^{2, \nu}\left(\overline{\Pi_{d}} \backslash \overline{\partial A(\varphi)}\right)$ if $m q=0$ such that $\psi(x)=r^{2} \varphi(x)$ in $\Pi_{d} ; \psi$ is an even function of $z$ and $\psi_{z}<0$ if $z>0$;
2) the cross-section $A$ is simply connected if $m, q \geqslant 1$ and has a finite number of components otherwise.

$$
\text { Proof. As } \forall i \quad H(D i) \subset H\left(D_{i+1}\right) \subset H\left(\Pi_{d}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \nearrow \infty} Z\left(\psi_{i}\right)=\max _{S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)} Z(u):=\sigma_{d} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the uniform continuity and boundedness of $Z$ on $S_{1}$ implies that there is a subsequence $\left(\psi_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ which converges weakly to a $\psi \in H\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$ with $\|\psi\|_{H\left(\Pi_{d}\right)} \leqslant 1$. If we suppose that $\psi \notin S_{1}$ then $u:=\psi /\|\psi\| \in S_{1}$ with $Z(u)>\sigma_{d}$ which is absurd. As $\left(\psi_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ converges strongly in $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{k}\right) \quad \forall p \geqslant 1,\left(\lambda_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ converges to a $\lambda>0$ and $\psi$ is a weak solution of $(\mathrm{Pd})$ with $\lambda:=\left(\int_{\Pi_{d}} \psi f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{-1}$. The proof is completed by similar arguments as for the last theorem.
2) As the domain is away from $r=0$, if $m, q \geqslant 1$ then a slight extension of the results in [3] and [5] shows that A is simply connected.

Assume only that $m, q \geqslant 0$.
If $A$ has an infinite number of disjoint connected components then for some $\theta>0$, $A^{\theta}:=A \bigcap\{z=-\theta\}$ and $A^{0}:=A \bigcap\{z=0\}$ have an infinite number of components $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)$ with $t_{i}<r_{i}<r_{i+1}<t_{i+1} \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\Omega_{k}$ is bounded, the sequences $\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $\left(r_{i}\right)$ converge to the same limit. We then have a contradiction as $\forall i, \Psi\left(r_{i}, 0\right)=0$ and $\Psi\left(t_{i}, 0\right)=-\theta([9])$.
2.4. Estimates for $\psi$ in $\Pi_{d}$ for large $k>0$. Let $(\psi, \lambda) \equiv\left(\psi_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right)$ denote the solution in $\Pi_{d}$ corresponding to $k>0$.

Lemma 2.5. For any $d>0$ with $c_{0}:=8 \pi(2 / 3)^{3 / 2}$, as $k \nearrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leqslant C k^{(m-2) / 2} \exp \left\{2 c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $v(x):=\psi_{0}(r-d, z)$ where $\psi_{0}$ denotes the solution of the problem without swirl with $m=0$ (see [9]). We have $v \in S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d}\right)$ and for large $k, J_{m}(v) \geqslant$ $C k^{(2-m) / 2)} \exp \left(-2 c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right)$ (see [9]).

The estimate then derives from the fact that $\lambda \leqslant\{Z(v)\}^{-1} \leqslant\left\{J_{m}(v)\right\}^{-1}$.
Define $r_{1}:=\inf \{r>0 ;(r, 0) \in A\}\left(r_{2}:=\sup \{r>0 ;(r, 0) \in A\}\right)$.

Theorem 2.6. For any $d>0$, as $k \nearrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|r_{i}^{2}-\frac{2}{3} k\right|=O\left(k^{-1 / 2} \log k\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \operatorname{diam} A \leqslant C k^{1 / 2} \exp \left\{-c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right\}  \tag{2.15}\\
& |\psi|_{C(\bar{A})}=O(k)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \lambda k|f(., \Psi)|_{C(A)}|A|_{\tau}=\lambda k|f(., \Psi)|_{C(A)} \int_{A} \mathrm{~d} \tau=O(1) ;  \tag{2.17}\\
& |\Psi|_{C(A)} \leqslant C k^{-1 / 2} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As the Green function $P$ of $L$ in $\Pi_{d}$ has the same estimates as that in $D$, (2.11) and (2.13) imply that for large $k, r_{i}^{2} / 2+k \leqslant C r_{i}\left\{k^{1 / 2}+\log r_{2}\right\}$ after taking a suitable value for $\alpha$ (note that (2.11) shows also that $\lambda_{k}$ cannot be bounded as $k \nearrow \infty)$. The last estimate implies that $r_{i}=O\left(k^{1 / 2}\right)$ and (2.16) is similarly obtained as the estimate holds for any $x=(r, z) \in A$. The capacity theory ([7]) shows that for large $k$, as $\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) / r_{1}$ is bounded and $A$ is moving away from $z=0$ as $k \nearrow \infty$, if $\operatorname{diam} A=2 \varepsilon r_{0}$ where $r_{0}:=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) / 2$, then we have the estimate

$$
\varepsilon \leqslant C \exp \left\{-c_{0} k^{3 / 2}\right\}
$$

In fact, the capacity of a closed subset $E$ of $\Pi$ relative to the operator $L$ is defined as the quantity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cap}_{L}(E) & :=\inf \left\{-\int_{\Pi \backslash E} u L u \mathrm{~d} \tau\left|u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Pi), u\right|_{E} \geqslant 1\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\|u\|^{2}|u \in H(\Pi) ; u|_{E} \geqslant 1\right\} . \quad([7])
\end{aligned}
$$

For $E:=[a(1-\varepsilon), a(1+\varepsilon)] \times\{z=0\}$, if $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, then

$$
\operatorname{Cap}_{L}(E)=2 \pi\left(\log \left(16 / e^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right)^{-1}\{1+O(\varepsilon \log 1 / \varepsilon)\}
$$

(Theorem 3 of [7].)
Thus we have $2 \pi\left(r_{1} \log \left(16 e^{-2} / \varepsilon\right)\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{Cap}_{L} A \leqslant\left(r_{1}^{2} / 2+k\right)^{-2}$ whence $\varepsilon \leqslant$ $16 e^{-2} \exp \left\{-2 \pi k^{3 / 2} s^{-1 / 2}\left(s^{2} / 2+1\right)^{2}\right\}$ with $r_{1}^{2} \simeq s k$ for large $k . y(s):=2 \pi s^{-1 / 2}\left(s^{2} / 2+\right.$ $1)^{2}$ has its minimum $c_{0}=8 \pi(2 / 3)^{3 / 2}$ at $s_{0}=2 / 3$. As $y^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{0}\right)>0$, if $r_{1}^{2} \simeq\left(s_{0}+\tau^{2}\right) k$ for large $k$, (2.13) and (2.17) imply that $k^{m^{\prime}} \exp \left(-\tau^{2} k^{3 / 2}\right)=O(1)$ and this leads to (2.14). (2.17) follows from the fact that $\lambda k \int_{A} f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau=O(1)$ for large $k$.

As $\psi \in S^{1}(\Pi)$ and for large $k$ we have $|A(\psi)|_{\tau}:=\int_{A(\psi)} \mathrm{d} \tau \simeq\left|A\left(\psi_{0}\right)\right|_{\tau}$ where $\psi_{0}$ denotes the solution for the problem without swirl with $m=0$, for large $k$ we obtain $\left(|A(\psi)|_{\tau}\right)^{-1} J_{0}(\psi) \leqslant\left(\left|A\left(\psi_{0}\right)\right|_{\tau}\right)^{-1} J_{0}\left(\psi_{0}\right) \leqslant\left|\Psi_{0}\right|_{C\left(A\left(\psi_{0}\right)\right)}=C k^{-1 / 2}$ and (2.18) follows.

Theorem 2.7. Suppose that for large $k,|\Psi|_{C(A)}=O\left(k^{-\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$ and define

$$
g(\Psi):= \begin{cases}f_{0} \Psi_{+}^{m} \quad \text { if } \quad m<q+2 ;  \tag{2.19}\\ f_{q}^{\prime} \Psi_{+}^{q+1 / \alpha}:=\left(2 f_{q} / 3\right) \Psi_{+}^{q+1 / \alpha} & \text { if } m>q+2 \\ f_{q 0} \Psi_{+}^{m}:=\left(\left(2 f_{q} / 3\right)+f_{0}\right) \Psi_{+}^{m} & \text { if } m=q+2\end{cases}
$$

Then as $k \nearrow \infty, \psi$ becomes the solution for the problem without swirl

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \psi=-\lambda g(\Psi) \quad \text { in } \Pi_{d} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.14), for large $k$, in $A$ we have $f(r, \Psi) \simeq\left(2 f_{q} / 3\right) \Psi^{q+1 / \alpha}+$ $f_{0} \Psi^{m} \simeq k^{-(1+\alpha q)}\left\{2 f_{q} / 3+f_{0} k^{\alpha(q-m)+1}\right\}$, hence $f(r, \Psi) \simeq g(\Psi)$ in $A$ for large $k$. As $\|\psi\|_{H\left(\Pi_{d}\right)}^{2}=\lambda \int_{A} \psi f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau$, we then have $\lim _{k / \infty}\left\{\langle\psi, \psi\rangle_{H\left(\Pi_{d}\right)}-\lambda \int_{A} \psi g(\Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau\right\}=0$ and (2.20) follows.

Define $\forall k>0 a \equiv a(k)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \Psi(a, 0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi(a, 0)=\max _{x \in A} \Psi(x) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{\Pi}_{d}$ be the image of $\Pi_{d}$ in the transformation (1. $\zeta$ ) where $\varepsilon$ satisfies diam $A=2 a \varepsilon$. $\hat{D}$ will denote the image of any $D \subset \Pi_{d}$. Define $u(\zeta):=u(a(1+\varepsilon \xi)$, $a \varepsilon \eta)$ for $u$ defined in $\Pi_{d}$ and $\hat{f}(U):=f(a(1+\varepsilon \xi), U(\zeta))$. For large $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A} \subset B^{1}:=\left\{\left.\zeta| | \zeta\right|^{2}=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}<1\right\} \text { and } \operatorname{diam} \hat{A}=2 \tag{3.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x, x_{0} \in \Pi$ with $x=(r, z)$ and $x_{0}=\left(r_{0}, z_{0}\right)$, the Green function of $L$ in $\Pi$ is

$$
P\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\frac{r r_{0}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos \theta \mathrm{d} \theta}{\left\{r^{2}+r_{0}^{2}-2 r r_{0} \cos \theta+\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}}
$$

([3], [6]). So, provided that $\varepsilon\left|\zeta_{0}\right|, \varepsilon|\zeta| \in(0,1)$, the Green function $P$ of $L$ in $\hat{\Pi}$ satisfies for $P\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right):=P\left((a(1+\varepsilon \xi), a \varepsilon \eta),\left(a\left(1+\varepsilon \xi_{0}\right), a \varepsilon \eta_{0}\right)\right)([6],[9])$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right)=\frac{a}{2 \pi}\left\{\log \frac{8 e^{-2}}{\varepsilon\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|}+R_{1}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right) \log \frac{8}{\varepsilon\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|}+R_{2}\left(\zeta, \zeta_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{3.2~b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $|\alpha| \in \mathbb{N},\left|D^{\alpha} R_{i}\right|=O(\varepsilon)$. Under those conditions we have the following estimates for large $k$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta\right) & =\frac{a}{2 \pi} \log \frac{8 e^{-2}}{\varepsilon\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|}+O\left(\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& =C k^{2}+\frac{a}{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|}+O\left(\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.4a}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\zeta^{\prime} \in \hat{A}$, we get for large $k$ and $\left|\nabla_{\zeta^{\prime}} P\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right)\right|:=\sqrt{P_{\xi^{\prime}}^{2}+P_{\eta^{\prime}}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{\zeta^{\prime}} P\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant \text { const } a\left\{\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|}+\varepsilon \log \frac{e}{\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|}\right\} . \tag{3.4~b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. For large $k$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi\left(\zeta_{0}\right) & =\frac{\lambda a^{4} \varepsilon^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{\hat{A}} \hat{f}(\Psi) \log \frac{1}{\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|} \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta+O\left(\varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{3.5a}\\
& =O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { in } \hat{A},  \tag{3.5b}\\
\text { and } & \|\left.\psi\right|_{C(A)}-4 k / 3 \mid=O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (3.4), for large $k$, with $\delta:=\varepsilon \log 1 / \varepsilon$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \hat{A}$, we have $\psi\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=C k+\left(\lambda a^{4} \varepsilon^{2} / 2 \pi\right) \int_{\hat{A}} \log \left(1 /\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|\right) \hat{f}(\Psi)(1+\varepsilon \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{d} \eta+O(\delta)$. From (2.17), for large $k$, we have $\lambda a^{5} \varepsilon^{2}|\hat{f}(\Psi)|_{\hat{A}}=O(1)$ hence the integral term above is $O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)$. The fact that $|\psi|_{C(A)}=O(k)$ then leads to (3.5a). The formula (3.6) follows from (2.14) and (3.5b).

For any $k>0$, define $u_{k}:=k^{1 / 2} \Psi$. In $\hat{\Pi}_{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k>0 \quad \nabla u_{k}(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|u_{k}\right|_{C(\hat{A})}=O(1) \quad \text { for large } k \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\forall k>0 \lambda \int_{A} \psi f(r, \Psi) \mathrm{d} \tau=1$, by (2.19), (3.5b) and (3.6) each of the quantities

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{4}{3} \lambda a^{2} \varepsilon^{2} k^{(2-m) / 2} f_{0} u_{k}(0)^{m}|\hat{A}| & \text { if } m<q+2  \tag{3.8}\\
\frac{4}{3} \lambda a^{3} \varepsilon^{2} k^{-q / 2} f_{q}^{\prime} u_{k}(0)^{q+2}|\hat{A}| & \text { if } m>q+2 \\
\text { and } \frac{4}{3} f_{q 0} \lambda a^{3} \varepsilon^{2} k^{(2-m) / 2} u_{k}(0)^{m}|\hat{A}| & \text { if } m=q+2
\end{align*}\right.
$$

converges to 1 as $k \nearrow \infty$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\mu \in(0,1]$; then $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $u$, such that

1) $u \in C^{2, \mu}\left(\hat{\Pi}_{d}\right)$ if $m q>0$ and $u \in C^{1, \mu}\left(\hat{\Pi}_{d}\right) \bigcap C^{2, \mu}(\hat{\Pi} \backslash \partial \hat{A}(u))$ if $m q=0$;
2) $u$ is radial and independent of $d, f_{0}$ and $f_{q}$. In fact, for $\sigma:=|\zeta|$ we have

$$
u(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4 \pi \varrho_{m}^{2}} Q_{m}\left(\varrho_{m} \sigma\right) \quad \text { if } m \leqslant q+2  \tag{3.9}\\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4 \pi \varrho_{q+2}^{2}} Q_{q+2}\left(\varrho_{q+2} \sigma\right) \quad \text { if } m>q+2\end{cases}
$$

where $Q_{l}$ and $\varrho_{l}$ are defined in (1.Q).
Proof. Let $B$ be a (bounded) ball centered at the origin in $\hat{\Pi}_{d}$ and let $k$ be large. From the equation $L \Psi=-\lambda f(r, \Psi)$, with $A_{k}:=A(\psi)$ we obtain $\left(\partial_{\xi}^{2}-\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} /(1+\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon \xi)+\partial_{\eta}^{2}\right) u_{k}=-\lambda a^{4} \varepsilon^{2} k^{1 / 2}(1+\varepsilon \xi)^{2} \hat{f}(\Psi)$ in $\hat{A_{k}}$.

From (2.17) and (3.8), the second member of this equation is bounded uniformly on $\hat{A}_{k}$ and the elliptic theory implies that $\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W_{p}^{2}(B)}$ is uniformly bounded as easy calculations show that $\left|u_{k}(\zeta)\right| \leqslant C|\zeta|$ for $\zeta \notin \hat{A}_{k}$.

In fact, from (3.4b), as $\varepsilon^{2} a^{5} \lambda|\hat{f}(\Psi)|_{C(\hat{A})}=O(1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla \psi(\zeta)| \leqslant & \operatorname{const} \varepsilon^{2} a^{4} \lambda|\hat{f}(\Psi)|_{C(\hat{A})} \\
& \times\left\{\varepsilon \log (1 / \varepsilon)+\int_{\hat{A}}\left(1 /\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \eta^{\prime}+\varepsilon \int_{\hat{A}} \log \left(e /\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \eta^{\prime}\right\} \\
\leqslant & (\text { const } / a)\{\varepsilon \log (1 / \varepsilon)+O(1+\varepsilon)\}=O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\zeta^{\prime \prime} \in \partial \hat{A}$ satisfying $\operatorname{dist}(\zeta, \partial \hat{A})=\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right|$, as $u_{k}\left(\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, we conclude $\left|u_{k}(\zeta)\right|=$ $\left|u_{k}(\zeta)-u_{k}\left(\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime \prime}\right| \leqslant\left|\nabla u_{k}\right||\zeta| \leqslant \mathrm{const}|\zeta|$ as $\left|\nabla u_{k}\right| \leqslant \operatorname{const} k^{1 / 2}|\nabla \psi|+$ $O\left(k^{3 / 2} \varepsilon\right)$. The existence of $u \in C^{1, \nu}(B)$ as the limit of a subsequence of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ follows from the Sobolev imbedding theorems. The regularity of $u$ follows from the elliptic theory.

Let $m<q+2$. From (2.19) and (3.5), for large $k$ and $\delta:=\varepsilon \log (1 / \varepsilon)$, we have $u_{k}(\zeta) \simeq(1 / 2 \pi)(\sqrt{2 / 3}) \lambda a^{3} \varepsilon^{2} k^{(2-m) / 2} f_{0} \int_{\hat{A}} u_{k}^{m} \log \left(1 /\left(\left|\zeta^{\prime}-\zeta\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \eta^{\prime}+O(\delta)\right.$. So, as from (3.8) $\lim _{k / \infty}(\sqrt{2 / 3}) \lambda a^{3} \varepsilon^{2} k^{(2-m) / 2} f_{0}=\sqrt{6} /\left(4|\hat{A}(u)| u(0)^{m}\right):=\nu_{m}, u$ is a fixed point of $N$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \zeta \in \hat{\Pi}_{d} \quad N \varphi(\zeta)=\frac{\nu_{m}}{2 \pi} \int_{\hat{A}(u)} \varphi_{+}^{m} \log \frac{1}{\left|\zeta^{\prime}-\zeta\right|} \mathrm{d} \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \eta^{\prime} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from [8], $u$ is radial and $\nu_{m}=\sqrt{6} /\left(4 \pi u(0)^{m}\right)$. For $\sigma:=|\zeta|, u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime} / \sigma=-\nu_{m} u_{+}^{m}$, $\sigma>0 ; u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(0):=u_{0}$ for some $u_{0}>0$.

With $t:=\left\{\nu_{m} u_{0}^{m-1}\right\}^{1 / 2} \sigma$ and $W(t)=: u(\sigma) / u_{0}$, we have $W^{\prime \prime}+W^{\prime} / t=-W_{+}^{m}$; $W(0)=1, W^{\prime}(0)=0$ whence $u(\sigma)=u_{0} Q_{m}\left(\left\{\nu_{m} u_{0}^{m-1}\right\}^{1 / 2} \sigma\right) . \quad u(1)=0 \Longrightarrow$ $\sqrt{6} /\left(4 \pi u_{0}\right)=\varrho_{m}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4 \pi \varrho_{m}^{2}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $u(0)$ is independent of the choice of the subsequence, $\left(u_{k}\right)$ converges to $u$. The cases when $m \geqslant q+2$ follow by the same arguments.

## 4. Existence of variational solutions in $\Pi$ for large $k$ AND THEIR ESTIMATES

Lemma 4.1. Let $\mu \in(0,1]$; there is $K>0$ such that for $k>K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \psi=-\lambda f(r, \Psi) \quad \text { in } \Pi \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi \in H(\Pi)$ has a solution $\psi \in C^{2, \mu}(\Pi)$ if $m q>0\left(\in C^{1, \mu}(\Pi) \bigcap C^{2, \mu}(\Pi \backslash \partial A(\psi))\right.$ if $m q=0$ ) which is a maximizer of $Z$ on $S_{1}(\Pi)$.

Proof. Theorem 2.6 implies that there is $K>0$ such that $\forall d \in(0,1]$ and $k>K$ we have $r_{1}:=\inf \left\{r>0 \mid(r, 0) \in A\left(\psi_{d}\right)\right\}>1$.

Consider a decreasing sequence $\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0,1]$ such that $d_{i} \searrow 0$ and a fixed $k>K$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 as $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d_{i}}\right) \subset S_{1}\left(\Pi_{d_{i+1}}\right) \subset S_{1}(\Pi)$.

Theorem 4.2. Theorems 2.6, 2.7 and 3.2 hold for the variational solutions $\left\{\psi_{k}\right\}_{k>K}$ of the problems in $\Pi$.

Proof. This follows from the facts that the Green function of $L$ in $\Pi$ has the same upper bounds as that in $\Pi_{d}$ and the estimates (3.3)-(3.4) hold for it as well. It has to be noted that $\hat{\Pi}_{d} \simeq \hat{\Pi}$ for large $k$.

To my uncles G. Tatuam, P. Wabo and JB. Topa Chatue, in memoriam.
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