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# ON THE CONTINUITY FOR CONNECTED FUNCTIONS 

MARTA CZAJKA-ZGIRSKA and JAN S. LIPIŃSKI

The presented paper deals with properties of sets of points of continuity of real functions with a connected graph. These functions will be referred to as connected functions. Garret, Nelms and Kellum [1] defined the connectivity points of a real function and demonstrated that a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ is connected if and only if each point of the line $R$ is a point of connectedness. Bruckner and Ceder [2] defined the Darboux points of a real function and noted that it follows from a theorem of Császár [4] that the function $f: R \rightarrow R$ possesses the Darboux property if and only if each point of $R$ is a Darboux point. Ceder [3] has demonstrated, among others, that for any set $C$ of $G_{\delta}$ type on $R$ there exists a real function possessing the Darboux property and such that $C$ is the set of continuity points of the function.

The aim of this paper is to deliver the proof of that theorem concerning the set of continuity points of a connected function which is the analogon of Ceder's theorem on Darboux functions.

Notations. If $M$ is a subset of the plane $R^{2}$, then the projection of $M$ onto the Ox-axis will be denoted by (M) $)_{x}$. A line perpendicular to the Ox -axis passing through the point $(x, 0)$ will be denoted by $l_{x}$. The set of continuity points of a function $f$ will be denoted by $C(f)$ and the graph of $f$ by $G_{f}$.

Definition 1. Let $M \subset R^{2}$. The point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is said to be the limit point of $M$ from the right if for any $\delta>0$ the set $(x, y): x_{0}<x<x_{0}+\delta, y_{0}-\delta<y<y_{0}+$ $+\delta\} \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Analogously, if for any $\delta>0$ the set $\left\{(x, y): x_{0}-\delta<x<x_{0}\right.$, $\left.y_{0}-\delta<y<y_{0}+\delta\right\} \cap M \neq \emptyset$, then $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is said to be the limit point of $M$ from the left.

Definition 2 (Garrett, Nelms, Kellum). The point $z \in R$ is said to be a left-hand (right-hand) connectedness point of a function $f$ if the fact that $a$ and $b$ are two left-hand (right-hand) limit values of $f$ in the point $z$ and that $M \subset R^{2}$ is a continuum such that $(M)_{x}$ is a non-degenerated interval with the right-hand (left-hand) end point in $z$ and $M \cap l_{z} \subset\{(z, y): a<y<b\}$ implies that $M \cap G_{f} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 3 (Garrett, Nelms, Kellum). The function $f$ will be referred to as connected in the point $z$ belonging to its domain if $f(z)$ is the right-hand and
left-hand limit value of $f$ in $z$ and if $z$ is a left-hand and right-hand connectedness point of $f$.

Theorem. If $C$ is a set of the $G_{\boldsymbol{\iota}}$ type on the line $R$, then there exists a connected function $f$ such that $C=C(f)$.

Proof. If $C=R$, we take $f(x)=$ cost. Then, of course, $C(f)=R=C$. Assume now that $R-C \neq \emptyset$.

Denote the interior of $R-C$ by the letter $B$. Then $C \cup B$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$-set and $A=R-(C \cup B)$ is a $F_{c}$-set of the first category. Let $F_{n}$ be a sequence of closed, pairwise disjoint sets such that $A=\bigcup_{n} F_{n}$.

Each $F_{n}$ is nowhere dense. For each $F_{n}$ there exists an open set $G_{n}$ such that $G_{n} \cap F_{n}=\emptyset, F_{n} \subset \bar{G}_{n}, F_{n} \subset \overline{R-\left(F_{n} \cup G_{n}\right)}, R-\left(F_{n} \cup G_{n}\right)$ is a union of closed nondegenerated intervals and each component of $G_{n}$ is bounded. Let $G_{n}$ $=\bigcup_{n-2}^{\infty}\left(a_{i}^{(n)}, b_{i}^{(n)}\right)$ where the open intervals $\left(a_{i}^{(n)}, b_{i}^{(n)}\right)$ are pairwise disjoint. Take $\tau_{n}(x)=0$ for $x \in R-G_{n}, \tau_{n}(x)=2^{-n}$ for $x=2^{-1}\left(a_{i}^{(n)}+b_{i}^{(n)}\right)$ and $\tau_{n}(x)$ linear on the intervals $\left\langle a_{i}^{(n)}, 2^{-1}\left(a_{i}^{(n)}+b_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle 2^{-1}\left(a_{i}^{(n)}+b_{i}^{(n)}\right), b_{i}^{(n)}\right\rangle$. Each function $\tau_{n}$ belongs to the Baire class 1 , possesses the Darboux property and $C\left(\tau_{n}\right)=R-F_{n}$. As $0 \leqslant \tau_{n} \leqslant 2^{-n}$, the series $\tau(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{n}(x)$ is uniformely convergent and the function $\tau(x)$ is also a Darboux function of the Baire class 1 . As the sets $F_{n}$ are pairwise disjoint, $C(\tau)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C\left(\tau_{n}\right)=R-A=C \cup B$.

Let dist $(x, \bar{C})$ denote the distance of $x$ from $\bar{C}$. If $C=\emptyset$, we take dist $(x, \bar{C})=1$. Let

$$
P=\{(x, y): x \in B, \tau(x)<y<\tau(x)+\operatorname{dist}(x, \bar{C})\} .
$$

We form a transfinite sequence $\Gamma_{\xi}, \xi<\Omega$, of all continua of $R^{2}$ such that $\Gamma_{\xi} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. The projection of the set $\Gamma_{\xi} \cap P$ onto the $O x$-axis will be denoted by $\Pi_{\xi}$. If Int $\Pi_{\xi} \neq \emptyset$, then we select by means of a transfinite induction the denumerable set of points $S_{\xi}=\left\{x_{1}^{(\xi)}, x_{2}^{(\xi)}, \ldots\right\}$ dense in Int $\Pi_{\xi}$ in such a manner that $S_{\xi} \cap\left(\bigcup_{\eta<\xi} S_{\eta}\right)=\emptyset$. We define now a function $f(x)$ such that for $x \in S_{a}$ the condition $\left(x, f(x) \in \Gamma_{a} \cap P\right.$ holds, whereas for $x \notin \bigcup_{\xi<\Omega} S_{\xi}$ we take $f(x)=\tau(x)$. We shall show that a function defined in this way satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Let $x \in B$ and let $M$ be the continuum occurring in the definition of the right-hand connectedness point. Then there exists an $\xi<\Omega$ such that $M=\Gamma_{\xi}$. In each interval $(x, x+\delta)$ there exists a point $x_{i}^{(\xi)} \in S_{\xi}$. According to the definition of the function $\left(f\left(x_{i}^{(\xi)}\right), f\left(x_{i}^{(\xi)}\right)\right) \in \Gamma_{\xi}$ and therefore $x$ is a right-hand connectedness
point.* The point $(x, f(x))$ belongs to the closure of $P$. The graph of $f$ is dense in $P$. Point $x$ is an interior point of $B$. It follows therefrom that $f(x)$ is the right-hand and left-hand limit value of $f$ in $x$.

Let $x \in \bar{C}-C$. If $x$ is a right-hand continuity point of $f$, then it is also a right-hand connectedness point of $f$, or otherwise $\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow x+} f(t)>\lim _{t \rightarrow x+} f(t)$. As $x \notin B$, dist $(x, \bar{C})=0$ and $\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow x+} f(t)=\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow x^{+}} \tau(t)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow x+} f(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x+} \tau(t)$. Let $M$ be the continuum occurring in the definition of the right-hand connectedness point of $f$. The functions $\tau(x)$ and $\tau(x)+$ dist $(x, \bar{C})$ belong to the Baire class 1 and possess the Darboux proprety. According to Kuratowski and Sierpiński [5], the graphs of both these functions are connected sets. Therefore by the theorem of Garrett, Nelms and Kellum [1] each point of its domain is a connectedness point of both these functions. For any $\delta>0$ there exist point $\Theta$ such that $\Theta \in(x, x+\delta)$ and $(\Theta, \tau(\Theta)) \in M$. If even one of these points, say $\Theta^{\prime}$ belongs to $\bar{C}$, then $f\left(\Theta^{\prime}\right)=$ $\tau\left(\Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\Theta^{\prime}, f\left(\Theta^{\prime}\right)\right) \in M$. Otherwise no point $\Theta$ belongs to $\bar{C}$ and hence all $\Theta$ belong to $B$. Then all points $\Theta^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\Theta^{\prime \prime} \in(x, x+\delta)$ and $\left(\Theta^{\prime \prime}, \tau\left(\Theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.$ $\left.+\operatorname{dist}\left(\Theta^{\prime \prime}, \bar{C}\right)\right) \in M$ must also belong to $B$. We shall show that in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \cap P \neq \emptyset . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $t \in \bar{C} \cap(x, x+\delta)$ let $P_{t}=(t, f(t))$. For any $t \in \bar{C} \cap(x, x+\delta)$ there exists $\varepsilon_{t}>0$ such that $M \cap K\left(P_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}\right)=\emptyset$, where $K\left(P, \varepsilon_{t}\right)$ denotes a sphere with its center in $P_{t}$ and the radius $\varepsilon_{t}$. Let $Q=[(x, x+\delta) \times R] \cap\left[P \cup \bigcup_{t \in C} K\left(P_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}\right)\right]$. The set $Q$ is open and each point of $Q$ can be connected by means of a segment contained in $Q$ with points belonging to the graph of the function $\tau(t)+2^{-1}$ dist $(t, \bar{C})$, $(x<t<x+\delta)$, which has a connected graph. Therefore $Q$ is connected.

Assume that ( $i$ ) does not hold. Then there exists a $\delta^{\prime}, 0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta$ such that for any $x^{\prime} \in(x, x+\delta)$ there exist points $\left(x^{\prime}, y_{1}\right) \in M$ and $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y_{2}\right) \in M$ such that $y_{1} \leqslant f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant$ $y_{2}$ and $y_{1}<y_{2}$.

In fact, suppose on the contrary that there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ tending from. the right-hand side to $x$ such that for any $n$

$$
\begin{cases}\text { either } & M \cap\left\{\left(x_{n}, y\right): y>f\left(x_{n}\right)=\emptyset\right.  \tag{ii}\\ \text { or } & M \cap\left\{\left(x_{n}, y\right): y<f\left(x_{n}\right)=\emptyset\right.\end{cases}
$$

Choose $x_{n_{0}}<x+\delta$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \cap\left\{\left(x_{n o}, y\right): y>f\left(x_{n_{0}}\right)\right\}=\emptyset \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]There exists a point $(\xi, \eta) \in M$ such that $x<\xi<x_{n,}$ and $\eta>f(\xi)$. If this were not the case, then in girdle $\left\{(t, y): x<t<x_{n v}\right\}$ the entire continuum $M$ would be situated below $Q$ and hence not above the graph of $\tau$. Assume that it is not situated above. Then there exists a sequence of points $t_{n} \in\left(x, x_{n 1}\right)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(t_{n}\right)=$ i
$=\lim _{1 \rightarrow x^{+}} \tau(t)$. For the points $\left(t_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in M$ the condption $u_{n} \leqslant \tau\left(t_{n}\right)$ holds. Select from $t_{n}$ a subsequence $t_{i n}$ such that $u_{i n}$ is convergent and let $u=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{i n}$. Then $(x, u) \in M$, but $u \leqslant \lim _{t \rightarrow x^{+}} \tau(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x+} f(t)$. This contradicts the choice of the continuum $M$. From assumption (iii) there follows therefore the existence of $(\xi, \eta)$. Choose a point $x_{n 1}$ such that $x<x_{n_{1}}<\xi$. If $M \cap\left\{\left(x_{n_{1}}, y\right): y<f\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)\right\}=\emptyset$, then the set

$$
\left\{\left(x_{n_{1}}, y\right): y>f\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{n_{1}}, y\right): y<f\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)\right\} \cup P
$$

divides the continuum $M$ into two non-empty parts, no one of these parts has a limit point belonging to the other part, which contradicts the definition of the continuum. Hence from the alternative (ii) the condition $M \cap\left\{\left(x_{n}, y\right): y>\right.$ $\left.f\left(x_{n 1}\right)\right\}=\emptyset$ remains. However, in this case by (iii) the set

$$
\left\{\left(x_{n(3}, y\right): y>f\left(x_{n 0}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{n 1}, y\right): y>f\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)\right\} \cup P
$$

divides $M$ into two parts, as above, which is impossible. Thus we come to the conclusion that the number $\delta^{\prime}$ exists.

For any $t \in\left(x, x+\delta^{\prime}\right)$ there exist points $(t, w) \in M$ and $(t, v) \in M$ such that $w \leqslant \tau(t), \tau(t)+\operatorname{dist}(t, \bar{C}) \leqslant v$. Reasoning as in the case of point $(x, u)$ we come to the conclusion that there exist the points $\left(x, w^{\prime}\right) \in M$ and $\left(x, v^{\prime}\right) \in M$ such that $w^{\prime} \leqslant \lim _{t \rightarrow x^{+}} \tau(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow x^{+}} f(t)$ and $v^{\prime} \geqslant \varlimsup_{t \rightarrow x^{+}} \tau(t)=\varlimsup_{t \rightarrow x^{+}} f(t)$, which contradicts the choice of $M$. therefore (i) holds.

Hence there exists an $\xi$ such that $M=\Gamma_{\xi}$. If the measure of $\left(\Gamma_{\xi}\right)_{x} \cap(x, x+\delta)$ is positive, then there exists a point $x_{i}^{(\xi)} \in\left(\Gamma_{\xi}\right)_{x}$ and then $\left(x_{i}^{(\xi)}, f\left(x_{i}^{(\xi)}\right) \in \Gamma_{\xi}=M\right.$. If the measure of $\left(\Gamma_{\xi}\right)_{x} \cap(x, x+\delta)$ is equal to zero, then the set $H=\Gamma_{\xi} \cap P \cap\{(t, y)$ $: x \leqslant t \leqslant x+\delta\}$ is a sum of segments $I$ parallel to the Oy-axis and such that at least one end point of these segments belongs to $G_{\tau}$ or $G_{\tau^{\prime}}$ where $\tau^{\prime}=\tau(t)+\operatorname{dist}(t, \bar{C})$. There exists among them a segment $I$ such that $I=\{(c, y): \tau(c)<y<\tau(c)$ $+\operatorname{dist}(c, \bar{C})\}, x_{0}<c<x_{0}+\delta, c \in B$. Indeed, should $I$ not exist, then $H=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$, where $H_{1} \cap H_{2}=\emptyset, H_{1}$ is the sum of intervals $I$ possessing common points only with the graph of the function $\tau(x)+$ dist $(x, \bar{C})$ and $H_{2}$ only with the graph of $\tau(x)$.

The set $Q^{*}=Q-H$ is connected. By the definition of $H$ we have $Q^{*} \cap \Gamma_{\xi}=\emptyset$. This, however, leads in a similar manner as the foregoing case with the assumption
that $P \cap M=\emptyset$ to a contradition with the assumed property of $M$. Thus the existence of $I$ has been proved.

Clearly $(c, f(c)) \in I \subset M$. The point $x$ is therefore a right-hand connectedness point of $f$.*) The number $f(x)=\tau(x)$ is the left-hand and the right-hand limit value of $\tau$. In fact, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \rightarrow x \in \bar{C}$ the condition $\tau\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant \tau\left(x_{n}\right)$ $+\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \bar{C}\right)$ is satisfied. As $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(x_{n}\right)=\tau(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\tau\left(x_{n}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{n}, \bar{C}\right)\right]$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n}\right)=\tau(x)=f(x)$. Point $x \in \bar{C}-C$ is therefore also a connectedness point of $f$, which completes the proof.
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# О НЕПРЕРЫВНОСТИ СВЯЗНЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ 

М. Чайка-Згирска, Я. С. Липински

## Резюме

Дж. Сидер [3] доказал, что в пространстве вещественных чисел для всякого множества $E$ типа $G_{\delta}$ существует функция $f: R \rightarrow R$ обладающая свойством Дарбу, непрерывная во всех точках множества $E$ и разрывная в остальных точках. Пользуясь гипотезой континуума авторы этой статьи доказывают, что функция $f$ может быть выбрана так, что ее график связен.

[^1]
[^0]:    *) In a analogous way we can prove that $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a left-hand connectedness point.

[^1]:    *) In a similar way we can prove that $x$ is a left-hand connectedness point of $f$.

