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# SOME NEW SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A MODULUS FUNCTION 

Ayhan Esi<br>(Communicated by Michal Zajac)


#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce and examine some properties of new sequence spaces defined using a modulus function.


## Introduction

Let $w$ denote the set of all complex sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$. Let $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $p_{k}>0$ for all $k$ and $\sup p_{k}=H<\infty$. This assumption is made throughout the rest of this paper.

Let $l_{\infty}$ be the set of all real or complex sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ with the norm $\|x\|=\sup _{k}\left|x_{k}\right|<\infty$. A linear functional $L$ on $l_{\infty}$ is said to be a Banach limit (Banach [1]) if it has the properties:
(i) $L(x) \geq 0$ if $x \geq 0$, that is when the sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ has $x_{k} \geq 0$ for all $k$,
(ii) $L(e)=1$, where $e=(1,1,1, \ldots)$,
(iii) $L(\mathrm{D} x)=L(x)$, where the shift operator D is defined by $(\mathrm{D} x)_{n}=x_{n+1}$.

Let $B$ be the set of all Banach limits on $l_{\infty}$. A sequence $x$ is said to be almost convergent to a number $s$ if $L(x)=s$ for all $L \in B$. Let $\hat{c}$ denote the set of all almost convergent sequences. Lorentz [2] proved that

$$
\hat{c}=\left\{x: \lim _{k} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{m+i} \text { exists, uniformly in } m\right\}
$$

Ruckle [3], used the idea of a modulus function $f$ (see Definition 1 below) to construct the sequence space

$$
L(f)=\left\{x \in w: \sum_{k} f\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right)\right\}
$$
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This space is an FK-space and Ruckle proved that the intersection of all such $L(f)$ spaces is $\Phi$, where $\Phi$ denotes the space of all finite sequences.

In the present note we introduce some new sequence spaces by using a modulus function $f$ and examine some properties of these sequence spaces.

## Main results

DEfinition 1. ([3]) A function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called a modulus if
(i) $f(x)=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(ii) $f(x+y) \leq f(x)+f(y)$,
(iii) $f$ is increasing,
(iv) $f$ is continuous from the right at 0 .

DEFINITION 2. Let $f$ be a modulus and $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)$ be a nonnegative matrix. We define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]=\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=0, s \geq 0\right.}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\quad \text { uniformly in } m\} \\
{[w(A, p, f, s)]=\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=0, s \geq 0\right.}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{r}
\text { uniformly in } m \text { for some } L\} \\
{\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]=\left\{x \in w: \sup _{n, m} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}<\infty, s \geq 0\right\}}
\end{array}
$$

where $e=(1,1,1, \ldots)$.
When $f(x)=x$, we have the following sequence space:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[w(A, p, f, s)]=\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|^{p_{k}}=0\right.} \\
& \quad \text { for some } L, \quad s \geq 0, \text { uniformly in } m\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)=(C, 1)$ Cesaro matrix, $s=0$ and $f(x)=x$ in the space $[w(A, p, f, s)]$, we have the following sequence space which is a generalization of the sequence space $[w(p)]$ which was defined by D as and S ahoo [4]:

$$
[w(p)]=\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|^{p_{k}}=0, \text { uniformly in } m\right\}
$$

When $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)=(C, 1)$ Cesaro matrix, $s=0$ and $p_{k}=1$ for all $k$, we have the following sequence spaces, which were defined by Esi [5]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[w, f]_{0} } & =\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)=0, \text { uniformly in } m\right\} \\
{[w, f] } & =\left\{x \in w: \lim _{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)=0, \text { uniformly in } m\right\} \\
{[w, f]_{\infty} } & =\left\{x \in w: \sup _{n, m} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now establish a number of useful theorems.
THEOREM 1. $\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right],[w(A, p, f, s)]$ and $\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ are linear spaces over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We consider only $\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$. The others can be treated similarly. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{k}+y_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq C\left(\left|x_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}+\left|y_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\max \left(1,2^{H-1}\right)$.
Let $x, y \in\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, there exist integers $T$ and $K$ such that $|\lambda| \leq T$ and $|\mu| \leq K$. From Definition 1 (ii) and (1), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x+\mu y)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq & C \cdot T^{H} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+C \cdot K^{H} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(y)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $x, y \in\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$, we have $\lambda x+\mu y \in\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$. Thus $\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ is linear space over $\mathbb{C}$.
THEOREM 2. Let $A$ be a nonnegative regular matrix and $f$ be a modulus, then

$$
\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right] \subset[w(A, p, f, s)] \subset\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]
$$

Proof. The first inclusion is trivial. We now show that $[w(A, p, f, s)] \subset$ $\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$. Let $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$. By Definition 1 (ii) and (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e+L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq & C \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+C \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}[f(|L|)]^{p_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists an integer $K_{L}$ such that $|L| \leq K_{L}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq & C \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+C\left[K_{L} f(1)\right]^{H} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ is regular and $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$, we get $x \in\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ and this completes the proof.

TheOrem 3. Let $A$ be a nonnegative regular matrix and $M=\max (1, H)$. $\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ and $[w(A, p, f, s)]$ are complete linear topological spaces paranormed by $G$, where

$$
G(x)=\sup _{n, m}\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}
$$

Proof. From Theorem $2, G(x)$ exists for each $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$. Clearly $G(0)=0, G(x)=G(-x)$, where $0=(0,0,0, \ldots)$. By Minkowski's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x+y)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(y)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we obtain that $G(x+y) \leq G(x)+G(y)$. We now show that the scalar multiplication is continuous. From this $\lambda \rightarrow 0, x \rightarrow 0$ imply $G(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ and also $x \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda$ fixed imply $G(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$. We now show that $\lambda \rightarrow 0, x$ fixed imply $G(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$.

Let $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
S_{m n}=\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \rightarrow 0, \text { uniformly in } m
$$

For $|\lambda|<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
= & \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x-\lambda L+\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x-\lambda L)\right|\right)+f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Minkowski's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x-\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{k>N} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left(\sum_{k \leq N} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \\
& \quad+\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $N$ such that for each $n, m$ and $k>N$ implies $S_{m n}<\varepsilon / 2$. For each $N$, by continuity of $f$, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left(\sum_{k \leq N} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then choose $\delta<1$ such that $|\lambda|<\delta$ implies

$$
\left(\sum_{k \leq N} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}+\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda L)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(\lambda x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
$$

and $G(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0(\lambda \rightarrow 0)$. Thus $[w(A, p, f, s)]$ is paranormed linear topological space by $G$.

Now, we show that $[w(A, p, f, s)]$ is complete with respect to its paranorm topology.

Let $\left(x^{i}\right)$ be a Cauchy sequence in $[w(A, p, f, s)]$. Then we write $G\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right) \rightarrow 0, i, j \rightarrow \infty$. i.e., as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$, for all $n$ and $m$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)=\sup _{n, m}\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for each $n, m$ and $k$, as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and by continuity of $f$

$$
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=k^{-s}\left[f\left(\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}
$$

It follows that

$$
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|=0
$$

for each $k$ and $m$. In particular

$$
\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left|t_{0 m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|=\lim _{i, j \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|=0
$$

for each fixed $m$. Hence $\left(x^{i}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is complete, there exists $x \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x^{i} \rightarrow x$ coordinatewise as $i \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (2) that given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $i_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\varepsilon \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n, m$ and $i, j>i_{0}$. Since for any fixed natural number $U$, we have from (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k \leq U} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x^{j}\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\varepsilon \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n, m$ and $i, j>i_{0}$, by taking $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the above expression we obtain

$$
\left(\sum_{k \leq U} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $n, m$ and $i>i_{0}$. Since $U$ is arbitrary, by letting $U \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
\left(\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-x\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\varepsilon
$$

for all $n, m$ and $i>i_{0}$, that is $G\left(x^{i}-x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and thus $x^{i} \rightarrow x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Also, for each $i$, there exists $L^{i}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}\left(x^{i}-L^{i} e\right)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $m$. From the regularity of $A$, Definition 1 (ii) and (5), we have $f\left(\left|L^{i} e-L^{j} e\right|\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left(L^{i}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{C}$. So $\left(L^{i}\right)$ converges, say, to $L$. Consequently we get

$$
\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x-L e)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

uniformly in $m$. So that $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$ and the space is complete.
Using the same technique of Theorem 4 of Maddox [6], it is easy to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let $A$ be a nonnegative regular matrix, $\inf p_{k}>0$ and $f$ be a modulus, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[w_{0}(A, p, s)\right] } & \subset\left[w_{0}(A, p, f, s)\right] \\
{[w(A, p, s)] } & \subset[w(A, p, f, s)] \\
{\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, s)\right] } & \subset\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 5. Let $A$ be a nonnegative regular matrix, $\inf p_{k}>0$ and $f$ be a modulus. If $\beta=\lim _{t}(f(t) / t)>0$ then, $[w(A, p, s)]=[w(A, p, f, s)]$.

Proof. In Theorem 4, it was shown that $[w(A, p, s)] \subset[w(A, p, f, s)]$. We must show that $[w(A, p, f, s)] \subset[w(A, p, s)]$. For any modulus function, the existence of a positive limit for given $\beta$ is proved in $\mathrm{Maddox}[7$; Proposition 1]. Now, let $\beta>0$ and let $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$. Since $\beta>0$, for every $t>0$, we write $f(t) \geq \beta t$. From this inequality, it is easy to see that $x \in[w(A, p, s)]$. This completes the proof.

Some information on multipliers for $\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ is given in Theorem 6(i). For any set $E$ of sequences, we denote by $M(E)$ the space $\{a \in w: a \cdot x \in E$ for $x \in E\}$.

THEOREM 6. Let $A$ be a nonnegative regular matrix and $f$ be a modulus, then
(i) $l_{\infty} \subset M\left(\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]\right) \subset\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$,
(ii) $\inf p_{k}>0$ and $x_{k} \rightarrow L$ imply $x_{k} \rightarrow L[w(A, p, f, s)]$,
(iii) $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$ implies $\left[w\left(A, p, f, s_{1}\right)\right] \subset\left[w\left(A, p, f, s_{2}\right)\right]$.

Proof.
(i) Let a $\in l_{\infty}$. This implies $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq K$ for some $K>0$ and all $k$. Hence $x \in\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(a x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} & \leq \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[K f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
& \leq K^{H} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the first inclusion. The second inclusion follows from the fact $e=$ $(1,1,1, \ldots) \in\left[w_{\infty}(A, p, f, s)\right]$.
(ii) Suppose that $x_{k} \rightarrow L$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $t_{k m}(x) \rightarrow L$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $m$. Since $f$ is modulus then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]=f\left[\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]=0
$$

uniformly in $m$. Since $\inf p_{k}=h>0$ then,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{h}=0
$$

uniformly in $m$. So, for $0<\varepsilon<1, \exists k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $k>k_{0}$ and for all $m$,

$$
\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{h}<\varepsilon<1
$$

and since $p_{k} \geq h$ for all $k$,

$$
\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{h}<\varepsilon
$$

then we get

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=0
$$

uniformly in $m$. Since $\left(k^{-s}\right)$ is bounded, we write

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=0
$$

uniformly in $m$. From regularity of $A$, we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=0
$$

uniformly in $m$. So that $x \in[w(A, p, f, s)]$.
(iii) Let $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$. Then $k^{-s_{2}}<k^{-s_{1}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$
k^{-s_{2}}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq k^{-s_{1}}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}
$$

for all $k$ and $m$. Hence we have

$$
\sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s_{2}}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq \sum_{k} a_{n k} k^{-s_{1}}\left[f\left(\left|t_{k m}(x)-L\right|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}
$$

Since $x \in\left[w\left(A, p, f, s_{1}\right)\right]$, we get $x \in\left[w\left(A, p, f, s_{2}\right)\right]$.
Theorem 7. Let $f$ and $g$ be two moduli, then
(i) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ implies $[w(A, p, g, s)] \subset[w(A, p, f, s)]$,
(ii) $[w(A, p, g, s)] \cap[w(A, p, f, s)] \subset[w(A, p, f+g, s)]$,

Proof. This is trivial.
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