# Eckhard Steffen On bicritical snarks

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 51 (2001), No. 2, 141--150

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136800

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



Math. Slovaca, 51 (2001), No. 2, 141-150

# ON BICRITICAL SNARKS

### ECKHARD STEFFEN

(Communicated by Martin Škoviera)

ABSTRACT. Bicritical snarks are the irreducible ones with respect to the reductions considered by Nedela and Škoviera in [NEDELA, R.—ŠKOVIERA, M.: *Decompositions and reductions of snarks*, J. Graph Theory **22** (1996), 253-279]. We show that for some  $n \ge 10$  and for each even  $n \ge 92$  there is a hypohamiltonian and henceforth bicritical snark of order n. This solves a problem stated in [NEDELA, R.—ŠKOVIERA, M.: *Decompositions and reductions of snarks*, J. Graph Theory **22** (1996), 253-279].

### 1. Introduction

We are using standard graph theoretical terminology and notation in this paper. We define a *snark* to be a cubic graph with chromatic index  $\chi' = 4$ . Note that multiple edges and loops are allowed.

There are two main questions which lead to the study of reduction of snarks. The first one is the question about the intrinsic properties of cubic graphs which force them being a snark. The hope is that these properties can be detected in the irreducible snarks, and, since every snark is reducible to an irreducible one, every snark should have this property, too.

The second one is the question about a method to construct all snarks recursively starting from the irreducible snarks. Here the hope is that the reverse operation (of a reduction) can be described without reflecting on the reduction process and hence it would yield such a method.

There are many papers dealing with this topic, cf. [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [8], [9], and we refer the reader to one of these papers for a more extensive introduction.

In this paper we consider the approach of N e d e l a and Š k o v i e r a [6]. We will give their definitions. A multipole M = (V(M), E(M)) consists of a vertex set V(M), and an edge set E(M). Every edge of E(M) has two ends and every

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C15, 05C75.

Key words: snark, edge coloring, cubic graph.

end may or may not be incident to a vertex of V(M). An end of an edge not incident to a vertex is called a *semiedge*.

Let M and N be multipoles with semiedges  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  and  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$   $(k \ge 0)$ , respectively. The *k*-junction M \* N is obtained from M and N by identifying  $f_i$  and  $e_i$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Clearly, M \* N is a multipole, and if it has no semiedges, we say it is a graph.

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a snark which is the k-junction of two multipoles M and N. If the chromatic index of one of the multipoles is 4, say  $\chi'(M) = 4$ , then M can be extended to a snark  $M^* = (V(M^*), E(M^*))$  with  $|V(M^*)| \leq |V(G)|$ , and  $M^*$  is called a *k*-reduction of G. If  $|V(M^*)| < |V(G)|$ , then  $M^*$  is called a *proper k*-reduction of G.

A snark is called *k*-irreducible if it has no proper *m*-reduction for each m < k, and it is called *irreducible* if it is *k*-irreducible for each  $k \ge 1$ .

A snark G is called *bicritical* if  $\chi'(G - \{v, w\}) = 3$  for any two vertices  $v, w \in V(G)$ .

### **THEOREM 1.1.** ([6]) A snark is irreducible if and only if it is bicritical.

It is proved in [6] that the flower snark  $J_{2k+1}$  is irreducible, for each  $k \geq 2$ , where the flower snark  $J_{2k+1}$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(J_{2k+1}) = \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i : i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k\}$  and edge set  $E(J_{2k+1}) = \{b_i a_i, b_i c_i, b_i d_i; a_i a_{i+1}; c_i d_{i+1}; d_i c_{i+1} : i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k\}$ . In the definition of  $E(J_{2k+1})$  the addition in the indices is taken modulo (2k + 1). The graphs  $J_5$  and  $J_7$  are shown in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1.

Thus there are irreducible snarks of order 8k + 4, for each  $k \ge 2$ . In [6] it is also stated that there are no irreducible snarks of orders 12, 14, 16 and 24, but there are irreducible snarks of orders 10, 18, and 22. In [1] these results are obtained independently, in fact, it is shown that there are exactly 2 irreducible snarks of order 18, 1 of order 20, 2 of order 22, 111 of order 26 and that there are 33 irreducible snarks of order 28.

Nedela and Skoviera asked the following questions:

**PROBLEM 1.2.** ([6]) For which even number  $n \ge 10$  does there exist an irreducible snark of order n? In particular, does there exist an irreducible snark of each sufficiently large order?

We will give a partial solution of the first and a positive answer to the second question.

### 2. Families of bicritical snarks

We show that there are irreducible snarks of order n for some  $n \ge 10$  and for each even  $n \ge 92$ . Indeed, we will show the somewhat stronger result, that for some  $n \ge 10$  and that for each even  $n \ge 92$  there is a hypohamiltonian snark. For the proof we use some results of [3] and [8]. Fiorini proved in [3] a sufficient condition under which the dot product preserves the property of being hypohamiltonian. To state Fiorini's results we give the definitions.

A graph G is hypohamiltonian if it is not hamiltonian, but G - v is hamiltonian for every vertex v of G.

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be snarks. The dot product  $G_1 \cdot G_2$  is a snark (see [5]) and it is defined as follows:

- 1. take  $G'_1 = G_1 \{ab, cd\}$ , where ab, cd are two nonadjacent edges of  $G_1$ ;
- 2. take  $G'_2 = G_2 \{x, y\}$ , where x, y are adjacent vertices in  $G_2$ ;

3. let w,  $\tilde{z}$  and u, v be the other neighbors of x and y in  $G_2$ , respectively. Then  $G_1 \cdot G_2$  is the graph G = (V, E) with  $V = VG'_1 \cup VG'_2$  and  $E = EG'_1 \cup EG'_2 \cup \{aw, bz, cu, dv\}$ .

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A pair of vertices (v, w) is good in G if there is a hamiltonian path with terminal vertices v, w.

Two pairs of vertices ((v, w), (x, y)) are good in G if there are two disjoint paths in G forming a spanning subgraph of G, with terminal ends v, w and x, y, respectively.

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([3]) Let G be a hypohamiltonian snark having two independent edges e = uv, f = xy for which

1. each of (u, x), (u, y), (v, x), (v, y), ((u, v), (x, y)) is good in G and

2. for each vertex w, one of (u, v), (x, y) is good in G - w, and let H be a hypohamiltonian snark. Then  $G \cdot H$  is a hypohamiltonian snark. **THEOREM 2.2.** ([3]) The flower snark  $J_{2k+1}$  is hypohamiltonian for each  $k \ge 2$ .

Fiorini mentioned without proof that the two Blanuša snarks and the double-star snark D (cf. [9]) are hypohamiltonian, and that  $J_9$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. The following lemma verifies and extends the latter result. The proof is given in the appendix.

**LEMMA 2.3.** The flower snarks  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 uses the following result of the author.

**THEOREM 2.4.** ([8]) Each hypohamiltonian snark is bicritical.

The converse is not true. The Goldberg snarks [4] on 22 vertices are bicritical and they are not hypohamiltonian, cf. [3].

**THEOREM 2.5.** There is a hypohamiltonian snark of order n

(1) for each  $n \in \{m : m \ge 64 \text{ and } m \equiv 0 \mod 8\}$ ,

(2) for each  $n \in \{10, 18\} \cup \{m : m \ge 98 \text{ and } m \equiv 2 \mod 8\}$ ,

(3) for each  $n \in \{m : m \ge 20 \text{ and } m \equiv 4 \mod 8\}$ ,

(4) for each  $n \in \{30\} \cup \{m : m \ge 54 \text{ and } m \equiv 6 \mod 8\}$ ,

(5) for each even  $n \ge 92$ .

P r o o f. The flower snark  $J_{2k+1}$  is hypohamiltonian for  $k \ge 2$ . Thus there are hypohamiltonian snarks of orders 8k + 4 for all  $k \ge 2$ , and hence (3).

Graph  $J_9$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Applying Theorem 2.1 m times we get that the iterated dot product  $J_9 \cdot (\cdots (J_9 \cdot (J_9 \cdot J_{2k+1})))$  is a hypohamiltonian snark of order n = 8k + 4 + 34m,  $k \ge 2$  and  $m \ge 0$ .

For m = 1, we obtain numbers 8n' + 6 for all  $n' \ge 6$ , and the double-star snark D of order 30 is hypohamiltonian. Thus (4) holds true.

For m = 2, we obtain numbers 8n' for all  $n' \ge 11$ . By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 the graphs  $J_9 \cdot D$ ,  $J_{11} \cdot D$  and  $J_{13} \cdot D$  are hypohamiltonian and they have orders 64, 72, and 80, respectively. Hence (1) holds true.

For m = 3, we obtain numbers 8n'+2 for all  $n' \ge 15$ . Again by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 the graphs  $J_9 \cdot (J_9 \cdot D)$ ,  $J_9 \cdot (J_{11} \cdot D)$  and  $J_{11} \cdot (J_{11} \cdot D)$ , are hypohamiltonian and they have orders 98, 106, and 114, respectively. The Petersen graph and the two Blanuša snarks are hypohamiltonian, see [3], and henceforth (2) holds true.

Combining (1), (2), (3) and (4) we have that there exists a hypohamiltonian snark  $G_i$  of order 92 + 2i for each  $0 \le i \le 16$ . Thus, for each even  $n \ge 126$ , there is  $0 \le i \le 16$  such that the iterated dot product  $J_9 \cdot (\cdots (J_9 \cdot (J_9 \cdot G_i)))$  yields a hypohamiltonian snark of order n. Hence there is a bicritical snark of order n, for each even  $n \ge 92$ .

**COROLLARY 2.6.** There is an irreducible snark of order n

(1) for each  $n \in \{m : m \ge 64 \text{ and } m \equiv 0 \mod 8\}$ ,

(2) for each  $n \in \{10, 18, 26\} \cup \{m : m \ge 98 \text{ and } m \equiv 2 \mod 8\}$ ,

(3) for each  $n \in \{m : m \ge 20 \text{ and } m \equiv 4 \mod 8\}$ ,

(4) for each  $n \in \{22, 30\} \cup \{m : m \ge 54 \text{ and } m \equiv 6 \mod 8\}$ ,

(5) for each even  $n \ge 92$ .

Proof. Theorem 1.1 allows to consider bicritical snarks instead of irreducible ones.

We already mentioned in the introduction that there are bicritical graphs of orders 22 and 26. Thus the statement follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.  $\Box$ 

Martin Škoviera [7] told me that he can solve Problem 1.2 completely by a different method.

## Appendix

#### Proof of Lemma 2.3.

Let the vertices and edges be denoted as in the definition of  $J_{2k+1}$ ,  $k \ge 2$ .

We show that edges  $b_0c_0$  and  $b_4c_4$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 in each of  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

CLAIM 1. Vertices  $(c_0, c_4)$  are good in  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

Proof. The Hamilton-paths are for

- $\begin{array}{c} J_9\colon c_0,\, d_8,\, c_7,\, b_7,\, a_7,\, a_8,\, b_8,\, c_8,\, d_7,\, c_6,\, d_5,\, b_5,\, c_5,\, d_6,\, b_6,\, a_6,\, a_5,\, a_4,\, b_4,\, d_4,\, c_3,\, b_3,\, a_3,\, a_2,\, b_2,\, d_2,\, c_1,\, d_0,\, b_0,\, a_0,\, a_1,\, b_1,\, d_1,\, c_2,\, d_3,\, c_4 \end{array}$
- $J_{11}: \ c_0, \ d_{10}, \ c_9, \ b_9, \ a_9, \ a_{10}, \ b_{10}, \ c_{10}, \ d_9, \ c_8, \ d_7, \ b_7, \ a_7, \ a_8, \ b_8, \ d_8, \ c_7, \ d_6, \ c_5, \ b_5, \ d_5, \ c_6, \ b_6, \ a_6, \ a_5, \ a_4, \ b_4, \ d_4, \ c_3, \ b_3, \ a_3, \ a_2, \ b_2, \ d_2, \ c_1, \ d_0, \ b_0, \ a_0, \ a_1, \ b_1, \ d_1, \ c_2, \ d_3, \ c_4.$
- $\begin{array}{l} J_{13}\colon \ c_0,\ d_{12},\ c_{11},\ b_{11},\ a_{11},\ a_{12},\ b_{12},\ c_{12},\ d_{11},\ c_{10},\ d_9,\ b_9,\ a_9,\ a_{10},\ b_{10},\ d_{10},\ c_9,\ d_8,\\ c_7,\ b_7,\ a_7,\ a_8,\ b_8,\ c_8,\ d_7,\ c_6,\ d_5,\ b_5,\ c_5,\ d_6,\ b_6,\ a_6,\ a_5,\ a_4,\ b_4,\ d_4,\ c_3,\ b_3,\ a_3,\ a_2,\ b_2,\ d_2,\ c_1,\ d_0,\ b_0,\ a_0,\ a_1,\ b_1,\ d_1,\ c_2,\ d_3,\ c_4. \end{array}$

CLAIM 2. Vertices  $(c_0, b_4)$  are good in  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

Proof. The Hamilton-paths are for

- $\begin{array}{c} J_9\colon \ c_0,\ d_8,\ b_8,\ c_8,\ d_7,\ b_7,\ c_7,\ d_6,\ b_6,\ c_6,\ d_5,\ c_4,\ d_3,\ b_3,\ c_3,\ d_4,\ c_5,\ b_5,\ a_5,\ a_6,\ a_7,\\ a_8,\ a_0,\ b_0,\ d_0,\ c_1,\ d_2,\ b_2,\ c_2,\ d_1,\ b_1,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ a_4,\ b_4. \end{array}$
- $J_{11}: \ c_0, \ d_{10}, \ b_{10}, \ c_{10}, \ d_9, \ b_9, \ c_9, \ d_8, \ b_8, \ c_8, \ d_7, \ b_7, \ c_7, \ d_6, \ b_6, \ c_6, \ d_5, \ c_4, \ d_3, \ b_3, \ c_3, \ d_4, \ c_5, \ b_5, \ a_5, \ a_6, \ a_7, \ a_8, \ a_9, \ a_{10}, \ a_0, \ b_0, \ d_0, \ c_1, \ d_2, \ b_2, \ c_2, \ d_1, \ b_1, \ a_1, \ a_2, \ a_3, \ a_4, \ b_4.$

 $\begin{array}{l} J_{13}\colon \ c_0,\ d_{12},\ b_{12},\ c_{12},\ d_{11},\ b_{11},\ c_{11},\ d_{10},\ b_{10},\ c_{10},\ d_{9},\ b_{9},\ c_{9},\ d_{8},\ b_{8},\ c_{8},\ d_{7},\ b_{7},\ c_{7},\\ d_{6},\ b_{6},\ c_{6},\ d_{5},\ c_{4},\ d_{3},\ b_{3},\ c_{3},\ d_{4},\ c_{5},\ b_{5},\ a_{5},\ a_{6},\ a_{7},\ a_{8},\ a_{9},\ a_{10},\ a_{11},\ a_{12},\ a_{0},\\ b_{0},\ d_{0},\ c_{1},\ d_{2},\ b_{2},\ c_{2},\ d_{1},\ b_{1},\ a_{1},\ a_{2},\ a_{3},\ a_{4},\ b_{4}. \end{array}$ 

CLAIM 3. Vertices  $(b_0, c_4)$  are good in  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

Proof. The Hamilton-paths are for

- $\begin{array}{l} J_9\colon b_0,\ a_0,\ a_1,\ b_1,\ d_1,\ c_0,\ d_8,\ b_8,\ a_8,\ a_7,\ b_7,\ c_7,\ d_6,\ b_6,\ a_6,\ a_5,\ a_4,\ b_4,\ d_4,\ c_5,\ b_5,\ d_5,\ c_6,\ d_7,\ a_8,\ d_0,\ c_1,\ d_2,\ c_3,\ b_3,\ a_3,\ a_2,\ b_2,\ c_2,\ d_3,\ c_4. \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} J_{11}\colon b_0,\, a_0,\, a_1,\, b_1,\, d_1,\, c_0,\, d_{10},\, b_{10},\, a_{10},\, a_9,\, a_8,\, a_7,\, b_7,\, c_7,\, d_6,\, b_6,\, a_6,\, a_5,\, a_4,\, b_4,\\ d_4,\, c_5,\, b_5,\, d_5,\, c_6,\, d_7,\, c_8,\, b_8,\, d_8,\, c_9,\, b_9,\, d_9,\, c_{10},\, d_0,\, c_1,\, d_2,\, c_3,\, b_3,\, a_3,\, a_2,\, b_2,\\ c_2,\, d_3,\, c_4. \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} J_{13}\colon b_0,\, a_0,\, a_1,\, b_1,\, d_1,\, c_0,\, d_{12},\, b_{12},\, a_{12},\, a_{11},\, a_{10},\, a_9,\, a_8,\, a_7,\, b_7,\, c_7,\, d_6,\, b_6,\, a_6,\, a_5,\, a_4,\, b_4,\, d_4,\, c_5,\, b_5,\, d_5,\, c_6,\, d_7,\, c_8,\, b_8,\, d_8,\, c_9,\, b_9,\, d_9,\, c_{10},\, b_{10},\, d_{10},\, c_{11},\, b_{11},\, d_{11},\, c_{12},\, d_0,\, c_1,\, d_2,\, c_3,\, b_3,\, a_3,\, a_2,\, b_2,\, c_2,\, d_3,\, c_4. \end{array}$

CLAIM 4. Vertices  $(b_0, b_4)$  are good in  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

Proof. The Hamilton-paths are for

- $\begin{array}{l} J_{9} \colon b_{0}, \ d_{0}, \ c_{8}, \ b_{8}, \ d_{8}, \ c_{0}, \ d_{1}, \ c_{2}, \ b_{2}, \ a_{2}, \ a_{3}, \ b_{3}, \ d_{3}, \ c_{4}, \ d_{5}, \ c_{6}, \ d_{7}, \ b_{7}, \ c_{7}, \ d_{6}, \ b_{6}, \\ a_{6}, \ a_{7}, \ a_{8}, \ a_{0}, \ a_{1}, \ b_{1}, \ c_{1}, \ d_{2}, \ c_{3}, \ d_{4}, \ c_{5}, \ b_{5}, \ a_{5}, \ a_{4}, \ b_{4}. \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} J_{11}\colon b_0,\, d_0,\, c_{10},\, a_{10},\, d_{10},\, c_0,\, d_1,\, c_2,\, b_2,\, a_2,\, a_3,\, b_3,\, d_3,\, c_4,\, d_5,\, c_6,\, d_7,\, b_7,\, a_7,\, a_6,\, b_6,\, d_6,\, c_7,\, d_8,\, c_9,\, b_9,\, d_9,\, c_8,\, b_8,\, a_8,\, a_9,\, a_{10},\, a_0,\, a_1,\, b_1,\, c_1,\, d_2,\, c_3,\, d_4,\, c_5,\, b_5,\, a_5,\, a_4,\, b_4. \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{l} J_{13}\colon b_0,\ d_0,\ c_{12},\ a_{12},\ d_{12},\ c_0,\ d_1,\ c_2,\ b_2,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ b_3,\ d_3,\ c_4,\ d_5,\ c_6,\ d_7,\ b_7,\ c_7,\ d_6,\ b_6,\ a_6,\ a_7,\ a_8,\ a_9,\ b_9,\ c_9,\ d_8,\ b_8,\ c_8,\ d_9,\ c_{10},\ d_{11},\ b_{11},\ c_{11},\ d_{10},\ b_{10},\ a_{10},\ a_{11},\ a_{12},\ a_0,\ a_1,\ b_1,\ c_1,\ d_2,\ c_3,\ d_4,\ c_5,\ b_5,\ a_5,\ a_4,\ b_4. \end{array}$

**CLAIM 5.** The two pairs of vertices  $((b_0, c_0), (b_4, c_4))$  are good in  $J_9$ ,  $J_{11}$  and  $J_{13}$ .

Proof. The cycles are for

 $\begin{array}{l} J_9\colon c_0,\, d_1,\, b_1,\, a_1,\, a_2,\, b_2,\, c_2,\, d_3,\, b_3,\, a_3,\, a_4,\, a_5,\, b_5,\, c_5,\, d_6,\, b_6,\, a_6,\, a_7,\, b_7,\, c_7,\, d_8,\, b_8,\, a_8,\, a_0,\, b_0 \\ \\ \text{and} \end{array}$ 

 $c_4, d_5, c_6, d_7, c_8, d_0, c_1, d_2, c_3, d_4, b_4.$ 

- $J_{11}: c_0, d_1, b_1, a_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, d_3, b_3, a_3, a_4, a_5, b_5, c_5, d_6, b_6, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, b_9, c_9, d_{10}, b_{10}, a_{10}, a_0, b_0$ and  $c_4, d_5, c_6, d_7, b_7, c_7, d_8, b_8, c_8, d_9, c_{10}, d_0, c_1, d_2, c_3, d_4, b_4.$
- $J_{13}: c_0, d_1, b_1, a_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, d_3, b_3, a_3, a_4, a_5, b_5, c_5, d_6, b_6, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9, a_{10}, a_{11}, b_{11}, c_{11}, d_{12}, b_{12}, a_{12}, a_0, b_0$ and  $c_4, d_5, c_6, d_7, b_7, c_7, d_8, b_8, c_8, d_9, b_9, c_9, d_{10}, b_{10}, c_{10}, d_{11}, c_{12}, d_0, c_1, d_2, c_3, d_4, b_4.$

CLAIM 6. For each  $v \in VJ_9$  one of  $(b_0, c_0)$ ,  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - v$ .

Proof. A Hamilton-cycle H in  $J_9 - a_0$  is:

 $\begin{array}{c} c_0,\, d_8,\, c_7,\, b_7,\, a_7,\, a_8,\, b_8,\, c_8,\, d_7,\, c_6,\, d_5,\, b_5,\, a_5,\, a_6,\, b_6,\, d_6,\, c_5,\, d_4,\, c_3,\, b_3,\, a_3,\, a_4,\, b_4,\, c_4,\, d_3,\, c_2,\, d_1,\, b_1,\, a_1,\, a_2,\, b_2,\, d_2,\, c_1,\, d_0,\, b_0. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from *i* to i+j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_9 - a_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - a_4$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_9 - a_8$ :

 $c_0, d_1, c_2, d_3, b_3, c_3, d_2, b_2, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, b_5, c_5, d_4, b_4, c_4, d_5, c_6, d_7, b_7, a_7, a_6, b_6, d_6, c_7, d_8, b_8, c_8, d_0, c_1, b_1, a_1, a_0, b_0.$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_0c_0$ ,  $b_1c_1$  and  $b_5c_5$ . Shifting the indices of the vertices of this cycle from i to i+j yields that  $(b_0,c_0)$  is good in  $J_9 - a_{j+8}$  for  $j \in \{0,4,8\}$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_9 - b_1$ :

 $\begin{array}{c}c_{0},\,\,d_{1},\,\,c_{2},\,\,b_{2},\,\,d_{2},\,\,c_{1},\,\,d_{0},\,\,c_{8},\,\,d_{7},\,\,b_{7},\,\,a_{7},\,\,a_{8},\,\,b_{8},\,\,d_{8},\,\,c_{7},\,\,d_{6},\,\,c_{5},\,\,b_{5},\,\,a_{5},\,\,a_{6},\,\,b_{6},\,\,c_{6},\,\,d_{5},\,\,c_{4},\,\,d_{3},\,\,b_{3},\,\,c_{3},\,\,d_{4},\,\,b_{4},\,\,a_{4},\,\,a_{3},\,\,a_{2},\,\,a_{1},\,\,a_{0},\,\,b_{0}.\end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of H from i to i+j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_9 - b_{j+1}$  for  $j \in \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - b_{j+1}$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, 8\}$ .

The following cycle shows that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - b_6$ :

 $\begin{array}{c}c_4,\,\,d_3,\,\,b_3,\,\,a_3,\,\,a_4,\,\,a_5,\,\,a_6,\,\,a_7,\,\,b_7,\,\,c_7,\,\,d_6,\,\,c_5,\,\,b_5,\,\,d_5,\,\,c_6,\,\,d_7,\,\,c_8,\,\,d_0,\,\,b_0,\,\,a_0,\,\,a_8,\,\,b_8,\,\,d_8,\,\,c_0,\,\,d_1,\,\,c_2,\,\,b_2,\,\,a_2,\,\,a_1,\,\,b_1,\,\,c_1,\,\,d_2,\,\,c_3,\,\,d_4,\,\,b_4.\end{array}$ 

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_9 - c_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_4,\, d_3,\, c_2,\, d_1,\, b_1,\, c_1,\, d_2,\, b_2,\, a_2,\, a_1,\, a_0,\, b_0,\, d_0,\, c_8,\, d_7,\, b_7,\, a_7,\, a_8,\, b_8,\, d_8,\, c_7,\\ d_6,\, c_5,\, b_5,\, d_5,\, c_6,\, b_6,\, a_6,\, a_5,\, a_4,\, a_3,\, b_3,\, c_3,\, d_4,\, b_4. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, \}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of H from i to i+j yields that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - c_j$  for  $j \in \{0, 1, 3, 7, 8\}$ , and that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_9 - c_j$  for  $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ .

The following cycle shows that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - c_2$ :

 $c_4, d_3, b_3, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, b_6, d_6, c_5, b_5, d_5, c_6, d_7, c_8, b_8, a_8, a_7, b_7, c_7, d_8, c_0, d_1, b_1, c_1, d_0, b_0, a_0, a_1, a_2, b_2, d_2, c_3, d_4, b_4.$ 

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_9 - d_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0}, \ d_{8}, \ c_{7}, \ b_{7}, \ d_{7}, \ c_{8}, \ b_{8}, \ a_{8}, \ a_{7}, \ a_{6}, \ a_{5}, \ b_{5}, \ d_{5}, \ c_{6}, \ b_{6}, \ d_{6}, \ c_{5}, \ d_{4}, \ c_{3}, \ b_{3}, \ a_{3}, \ a_{4}, \ b_{4}, \ c_{4}, \ d_{3}, \ c_{2}, \ d_{1}, \ b_{1}, \ c_{1}, \ d_{2}, \ b_{2}, \ a_{2}, \ a_{1}, \ a_{0}, \ b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of H from i to i+j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_9 - d_j$  for  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_9 - d_j$  for  $j \in \{4, 7\}$ .

CLAIM 7. For each  $v \in VJ_{11}$  one of  $(b_0, c_0)$ ,  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - v$  for all v.

**Proof.** A Hamilton-cycle H in  $J_{11} - a_0$  is:

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0},\ d_{10},\ c_{9},\ b_{9},\ d_{9},\ c_{10},\ b_{10},\ a_{10},\ a_{9},\ a_{8},\ a_{7},\ b_{7},\ c_{7},\ d_{8},\ b_{8},\ c_{8},\ d_{7},\ c_{6},\ d_{5},\ b_{5},\ a_{5},\ a_{6},\ b_{6},\ d_{6},\ c_{5},\ d_{4},\ c_{3},\ b_{3},\ a_{3},\ a_{4},\ b_{4},\ c_{4},\ d_{3},\ c_{2},\ d_{1},\ b_{1},\ a_{1},\ a_{2},\ b_{2},\ d_{2},\ c_{1},\ d_{0},\ b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{11} - a_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - a_j$  for all  $j \in \{5, 6\}$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle H in  $J_{11} - a_{10}$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0}, \ d_{1}, \ c_{2}, \ d_{3}, \ b_{3}, \ c_{3}, \ d_{2}, \ b_{2}, \ a_{2}, \ a_{3}, \ a_{4}, \ a_{5}, \ b_{5}, \ c_{5}, \ d_{4}, \ b_{4}, \ c_{4}, \ d_{5}, \ c_{6}, \ d_{7}, \ b_{7}, \ c_{7}, \ d_{6}, \ b_{6}, \ a_{6}, \ a_{7}, \ a_{8}, \ a_{9}, \ b_{9}, \ d_{9}, \ c_{8}, \ b_{8}, \ d_{8}, \ c_{9}, \ d_{10}, \ b_{10}, \ c_{10}, \ d_{0}, \ c_{1}, \ b_{1}, \ a_{1}, \ a_{0}, \ b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edge  $b_1c_1$ . Shifting the indices of the vertices from i to i + 10 yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{11} - a_9$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{11} - b_1$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0},\,d_{1},\,c_{2},\,b_{2},\,d_{2},\,c_{1},\,d_{0},\,c_{10},\,d_{9},\,b_{9},\,c_{9},\,d_{10},\,b_{10},\,a_{10},\,a_{9},\,a_{8},\,a_{7},\,b_{7},\,d_{7},\,c_{8},\,b_{8},\,d_{8},\,c_{7},\,d_{6},\,c_{5},\,b_{5},\,a_{5},\,a_{6},\,b_{6},\,c_{6},\,d_{5},\,c_{4},\,d_{3},\,b_{3},\,c_{3},\,d_{4},\,b_{4},\,a_{4},\,a_{3},\,a_{2},\,a_{1},\,a_{0},\,b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{11} - b_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - b_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 4, 6, 7, 10\}$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{11} - c_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_4,\ d_3,\ c_2,\ d_1,\ b_1,\ c_1,\ d_2,\ b_2,\ a_2,\ a_1,\ a_0,\ b_0,\ d_0,\ c_{10},\ d_9,\ b_9,\ a_9,\ a_{10},\ b_{10},\ d_{10},\\ c_9,\ d_8,\ c_7,\ b_7,\ a_7,\ a_8,\ b_8,\ c_8,\ d_7,\ c_6,\ d_5,\ b_5,\ c_5,\ d_6,\ b_6,\ a_6,\ a_5,\ a_5,\ a_4,\ a_3,\ b_3,\ c_3,\ d_4,\ b_4. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{11} - c_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - c_1$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{11} - c_2$ :

 $\begin{array}{l} c_4,\ d_3,\ b_3,\ a_3,\ a_4,\ a_5,\ a_6,\ b_6,\ d_6,\ c_5,\ b_5,\ d_5,\ c_6,\ d_7,\ c_8,\ b_8,\ d_8,\ c_7,\ b_7,\ a_7,\ a_8,\ a_9,\ a_{10},\ b_{10},\ c_{10},\ d_9,\ b_9,\ c_9,\ d_{10},\ c_0,\ d_1,\ b_1,\ c_1,\ d_0,\ b_0,\ a_0,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ b_2,\ d_2,\ c_3,\ d_4,\ b_4. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_1c_1$ , and  $b_4c_4$ , shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i+j yields that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - c_{j+2}$  for all  $j \in \{0,3\}$ , and that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good  $J_{11} - c_9$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{11} - d_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{l} c_{0},\,\,d_{10},\,\,c_{9},\,\,b_{9},\,\,a_{9},\,\,a_{10},\,\,b_{10},\,\,c_{10},\,\,d_{9},\,\,c_{8},\,\,d_{7},\,\,b_{7},\,\,c_{7},\,\,d_{8},\,\,b_{8},\,\,a_{8},\,\,a_{7},\,\,a_{6},\,\,a_{5},\,\,b_{5},\,\,d_{5},\,\,c_{6},\,\,b_{6},\,\,d_{6},\,\,c_{5},\,\,d_{4},\,\,c_{3},\,\,b_{3},\,\,a_{3},\,\,a_{4},\,\,b_{4},\,\,c_{4},\,\,d_{3},\,\,c_{2},\,\,d_{1},\,\,b_{1},\,\,c_{1},\,\,d_{2},\,\,b_{2},\,\,a_{2},\,\,a_{1},\,\,a_{0},\,\,b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{11} - d_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{11} - d_j$  for all  $j \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ .

CLAIM 8. For each  $v \in VJ_{13}$  one of  $(b_0, c_0)$ ,  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - v$  for all v.

Proof. A Hamilton-cycle H in  $J_{13} - a_0$  is:

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0}, \ d_{12}, \ c_{11}, \ b_{11}, \ d_{11}, \ c_{12}, \ b_{12}, \ a_{12}, \ a_{11}, \ a_{10}, \ a_{9}, \ b_{9}, \ d_{9}, \ c_{10}, \ b_{10}, \ d_{10}, \ c_{9}, \ d_{8}, \\ c_{7}, \ b_{7}, \ a_{7}, \ a_{8}, \ b_{8}, \ c_{8}, \ d_{7}, \ c_{6}, \ d_{5}, \ b_{5}, \ a_{5}, \ a_{6}, \ b_{6}, \ d_{6}, \ c_{5}, \ d_{4}, \ c_{3}, \ b_{3}, \ a_{3}, \ a_{4}, \ b_{4}, \\ c_{4}, \ d_{3}, \ c_{2}, \ d_{1}, \ b_{1}, \ a_{1}, \ a_{2}, \ b_{2}, \ d_{2}, \ c_{1}, \ d_{0}, \ b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13} - a_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - a_j$  for all  $j \in \{4, 7\}$ .

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{13} - a_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0},\,d_{1},\,c_{2},\,b_{2},\,a_{2},\,a_{1},\,b_{1},\,c_{1},\,d_{2},\,c_{3},\,d_{4},\,b_{4},\,c_{4},\,d_{3},\,b_{3},\,a_{3},\,a_{4},\,a_{5},\,a_{6},\,b_{6},\,c_{6},\,d_{5},\,b_{5},\,c_{5},\,d_{6},\,c_{7},\,d_{8},\,b_{8},\,c_{8},\,d_{7},\,b_{7},\,a_{7},\,a_{8},\,a_{9},\,a_{10},\,b_{10},\,c_{10},\,d_{9},\,b_{9},\,c_{9},\,d_{10},\,c_{11},\,d_{12},\,b_{12},\,a_{12},\,a_{11},\,b_{11},\,d_{11},\,c_{12},\,d_{0},\,b_{0}.\end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_1c_1$ ,  $b_2c_2$  and  $b_5c_5$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from *i* to i+8, i+11 and i+12 yields that  $(b_0,c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13}-a_8$ ,  $J_{13}-a_{11}$  and  $J_{13}-a_{12}$ , respectively.

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{13} - b_1$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0},\,d_{1},\,c_{2},\,b_{2},\,d_{2},\,c_{1},\,d_{0},\,c_{12},\,d_{11},\,b_{11},\,a_{11},\,a_{12},\,b_{12},\,d_{12},\,c_{11},\,d_{10},\,c_{9},\,b_{9},\,d_{9},\,c_{10},\,b_{10},\,a_{10},\,a_{9},\,a_{8},\,a_{7},\,b_{7},\,d_{7},\,c_{8},\,b_{8},\,d_{8},\,c_{7},\,d_{6},\,c_{5},\,b_{5},\,a_{5},\,a_{6},\,b_{6},\,c_{6},\,d_{5},\,c_{4},\,d_{3},\,b_{3},\,c_{3},\,d_{4},\,b_{4},\,a_{4},\,a_{3},\,a_{2},\,a_{1},\,a_{0},\,b_{0}.\end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13} - b_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{0, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - b_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 9, 12\}$ .

The following Hamilton-cycle shows that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - b_7$ :

 $\begin{array}{l} b_4, \, a_4, \, a_5, \, b_5, \, c_5, \, d_4, \, c_3, \, d_2, \, b_2, \, c_2, \, d_3, \, b_3, \, a_3, \, a_2, \, a_1, \, a_0, \, b_0, \, d_0, \, c_1, \, b_1, \, d_1, \\ c_0, \, d_{12}, \, c_{11}, \, b_{11}, \, a_{11}, \, a_{12}, \, b_{12}, \, c_{12}, \, d_{11}, \, c_{10}, \, d_9, \, b_9, \, a_9, \, a_{10}, \, b_{10}, \, d_{10}, \, c_9, \, d_8, \\ c_7, \, d_6, \, b_6, \, a_6, \, a_7, \, a_8, \, b_8, \, c_8, \, d_7, \, c_6, \, d_5, \, c_4. \end{array}$ 

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{13} - c_1$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0}, \ d_{12}, \ b_{12}, \ a_{12}, \ a_{0}, \ a_{1}, \ b_{1}, \ d_{1}, \ c_{2}, \ d_{3}, \ b_{3}, \ c_{3}, \ d_{2}, \ b_{2}, \ a_{2}, \ a_{3}, \ a_{4}, \ a_{5}, \ b_{5}, \ c_{5}, \ d_{4}, \ b_{4}, \ c_{4}, \ d_{5}, \ c_{6}, \ d_{7}, \ b_{7}, \ c_{7}, \ d_{6}, \ b_{6}, \ a_{6}, \ a_{7}, \ a_{8}, \ a_{9}, \ b_{9}, \ d_{9}, \ c_{8}, \ b_{8}, \ d_{8}, \ c_{9}, \ d_{10}, \ c_{11}, \ b_{11}, \ a_{11}, \ a_{10}, \ b_{10}, \ c_{10}, \ d_{11}, \ c_{12}, \ d_{0}, \ b_{0}. \end{array}$ 

This cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13} - c_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - c_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 4, 7, 12\}$ .

The following cycle shows that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13} - c_{12}$ :

 $\begin{array}{l} b_0, \ d_1, \ c_2, \ d_3, \ b_3, \ c_3, \ d_2, \ b_2, \ a_2, \ a_3, \ a_4, \ a_5, \ b_5, \ c_5, \ d_4, \ b_4, \ c_4, \ d_5, \ c_6, \ d_7, \ b_7, \\ c_7, \ d_6, \ b_6, \ a_6, \ a_7, \ a_8, \ a_9, \ b_9, \ c_9, \ d_8, \ b_8, \ c_8, \ d_9, \ c_{10}, \ d_{11}, \ b_{11}, \ a_{11}, \ a_{10}, \ b_{10}, \\ d_{10}, \ c_{11}, \ d_{12}, \ b_{12}, \ a_{12}, \ a_0, \ a_1, \ b_1, \ c_1, \ d_0, \ b_0. \end{array}$ 

The following cycle is a Hamilton-cycle in  $J_{13} - d_0$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} c_{0},\ d_{12},\ c_{11},\ b_{11},\ d_{11},\ c_{12},\ b_{12},\ a_{12},\ a_{11},\ a_{10},\ a_{9},\ b_{9},\ c_{9},\ d_{10},\ b_{10},\ c_{10},\ d_{9},\ c_{8},\\ d_{7},\ b_{7},\ c_{7},\ d_{8},\ b_{8},\ a_{8},\ a_{7},\ a_{6},\ a_{5},\ b_{5},\ d_{5},\ c_{6},\ b_{6},\ d_{6},\ c_{5},\ d_{4},\ c_{3},\ b_{3},\ a_{3},\ a_{4},\ b_{4},\\ c_{4},\ d_{3},\ c_{2},\ d_{1},\ b_{1},\ c_{1},\ d_{2},\ b_{2},\ a_{2},\ a_{1},\ a_{0},\ b_{0}.\end{array}$ 

The cycle contains edges  $b_i c_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ . Thus shifting the indices of the vertices of the cycle from i to i + j yields that  $(b_0, c_0)$  is good in  $J_{13} - d_j$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12\}$ , and that  $(b_4, c_4)$  is good in  $J_{13} - d_j$  for all  $j \in \{5, 8, 11\}$ .  $\Box$ 

#### ECKHARD STEFFEN

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BRINKMANN, G.—STEFFEN, E.: Snarks and reducibility, Ars Combin. 50 (1998), 292–296.
- [2] CAMERON, P. J.—CHETWYND, A. G.—WATKINS, J. J.: Decomposition of snarks, J. Graph Theory 11 (1987), 13-19.
- [3] FIORINI, S.: Hypohamiltonian snarks. In: Graphs and Other Combinatorial Topics (M. Fiedler, ed.), Teubner-Texte Math. 59, Teubner, Leipzig, 1983, pp. 70-75.
- [4] GOLDBERG, M. K.: Construction of class 2 graphs with maximum vertex degree 3, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 31 (1981), 282-291.
- [5] ISAACS, R.: Infinite families of non-trivial trivalent graphs which are not Tait colorable, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 221-239.
- [6] NEDELA, R.—ŠKOVIERA, M.: Decompositions and reductions of snarks, J. Graph Theory 22 (1996), 253-279.
- [7] SKOVIERA, M.: Dipoles and the existence of irreducible snarks (In preparation).
- [8] STEFFEN, E.: Classifications and characterizations of snarks, Discrete Math. 188 (1998), 183-203.
- [9] WATKINS, J. J.—WILSON, R. J.: A Survey of snarks. In: Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications (Y. Alavi et al., eds.), Wiley, New York, 1991, pp. 1129–1144.

Received June 11, 1998 Revised March 1, 1999 Universität Bielefeld Fakultät für Mathematik Postfach 100131 D–33501 Bielefeld GERMANY

E-mail: steffen@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de