Guang-hui Cai Strong laws of large numbers for weighted sums of $\overline{\rho}$ -mixing random variables

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 4, [381]--388

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136964

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-007-0032-5 Math. Slovaca **57** (2007), No. 4, 381–388

STRONG LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF ρ̃-MIXING RANDOM VARIABLES

Guang-hui Cai

(Communicated by Gejza Wimmer)

ABSTRACT. Strong laws are established for linear statistics that are weighted sums of a $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random sample. The results obtained generalize the results of Baxter et al. [SLLN for weighted independent indentically distributed random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. **17** (2004), 165–181] to $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables.

> ©2007 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Given nonempty sets $S, T \subset \mathcal{N}$, define $\mathcal{F}_S = \sigma(X_k, k \in S)$, and the maximal correlation coefficient $\tilde{\rho}_n = \sup \operatorname{corr}(f, g)$ where the supremum is taken over all (S, T) with $\operatorname{dist}(S, T) \geq n$ and all $f \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_S)$, $g \in L_2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and where $\operatorname{dist}(S, T) = \inf_{x \in S, y \in T} |x - y|$.

DEFINITION 1. A sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ on a probability space $\{\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P\}$ is called $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\tilde{\rho}(k) < 1$.

As for $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing sequences of random variables, one can refer to Bryc and Smolenski (1993), who found bounds for the moments of partial sums for a sequence of random variables satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\rho}(n) < 1,$$

to Peligrad (1996) for CLT, Peligrad (1998) for invariance principles, Peligrad and Gut (1999) for the Rosenthal type maximal inequality, Y ang

This paper is supported by Key discipline of Zhejiang Province (Key discipline of Statistics of Zhejiang Gongshang University) and National Natural Science Foundation of China.



²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F15.

Keywords: Strong law of large numbers, weighted sum, $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing.

(1998) for the moment inequalities and strong law of large numbers, and to Utev and Peligrad (2003) for invariance principles of nonstationary sequences.

As for independent random variables, let $\{X, X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be a triangular array of constants. The almost sure (a.s.) limiting behavior of weighted sums $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}X_i$ was studied by many authors (see, Baxter, 2004; Sung, 2001; Bai and Cheng, 2000; Choi and Sung, 1987; Cuzick, 1995; Wu, 1999). Recently Baxter (2004) proved the following strong laws of large numbers (see Theorem A).

THEOREM A. Let $\{X, X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables satisfying EX = 0 and $E|X| < \infty$. And let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be a triangular array of constants satisfying $A_{\alpha} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_{\alpha,n} < \infty$, $A_{\alpha,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\alpha}/n$ for some $\alpha > 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{ni}X_i \to 0 \ a.s..$$

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong laws for linear statistics of $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing sequences of random variables. The results obtained generalize the results of B a x t e r et al. [2] to $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables.

2. The Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund strong laws

Throughout this paper, C will represent a positive constant though its value may change from one appearance to the next, and $a_n = O(b_n)$ will mean $a_n \leq Cb_n$, and $a_n \ll b_n$ will mean $a_n = O(b_n)$.

In order to prove our results, we need the concept of complete convergence and Lemma 2.1 bellow. The concept of complete convergence see the following.

DEFINITION 2. (see [8]) Let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

holds, we call $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ completely converging to X.

As for complete convergence, let $\{X, X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of independent indentically distribution random variables (i.i.d) random variables and denote $S_n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. The Hsu-Robbins-Erdös law of large numbers ([8], [7]) states that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S_n| > \varepsilon n) < \infty$$

is equivalent to EX = 0 and $EX^2 < \infty$.

This is a foundamental theorem in probability theory and has been intensively investigated by many authors in the past decades. See in Petrov (1995), Chow (1997) and Stout (1974), for example. Many extensions of Hsu-Robbins-Erdös law of large numbers have appeared since in various directions.

LEMMA 2.1. ([17]) Let $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be a $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing sequence of random variables, $EX_i = 0, E|X_i|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$ and for every $i \ge 1$. Then there exists C = C(p), such that

$$E \max_{1 \le k \le n} \bigg| \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i \bigg|^p \le C \bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_i|^p + \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_i^2 \bigg)^{p/2} \bigg\}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. ([13, p. 84]) Let $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables, $EX_i = 0$, $E|X_i|^p < \infty$ for some $p \ge 2$ and for every $i \ge 1$. Then there exists C = C(p), such that

$$E \max_{1 \le k \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i \right|^p \le C \bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_i|^p + \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_i^2 \bigg)^{p/2} \bigg\}.$$

Our main result is:

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{X, X_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing identically distributed random variables satisfying EX = 0 and $E|X| < \infty$. And let $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be a triangular array of constants satisfying $A_{\alpha} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_{\alpha,n}$ $< \infty, A_{\alpha,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\alpha}/n$ for some $\alpha \geq 2$. Let $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}X_i, n \geq 1$, then we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j| > \varepsilon n\Big) < \infty.$$
(2.1)

Proof. For all
$$i \ge 1$$
, define $X_i^{(n)} = X_i I(|X_i| \le n) + nI(X_i > n) - nI(X_i < -n)$,
 $T_j^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^j (a_{ni} X_i^{(n)} - Ea_{ni} X_i^{(n)})$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,
 $P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j| > \varepsilon n\Big)$
 $\le P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_j| > n\Big) + P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left|T_j^{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^j Ea_{ni} X_i^{(n)}\right| > \varepsilon n\Big)$
 $\le P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_j| > n\Big) + P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j^{(n)}| > \varepsilon n - \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^j Ea_{ni} X_i^{(n)}\right|\Big)$. (2.2)

First we show that

$$n^{-1} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} Ea_{ni} X_i^{(n)} \right| \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.3)

By $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\alpha} = O(n)$ and Hölder inequality, for all $1 \le k \le \alpha$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^k \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{k\frac{\alpha}{k}}\right)^{\frac{k}{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\right)^{\frac{\alpha-k}{\alpha}} \le Cn.$$

$$(2.4)$$

Using EX = 0, (2.4), Markov inequality and $E|X| < \infty$, when $n \to \infty$, then

$$n^{-1} \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{j} E a_{ni} X_{i}^{(n)} \right|$$

$$\leq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E |a_{ni} X_{i}| I(|X_{i}| > n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}| P(|X_{i}| > n)$$

$$\ll n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}| E |X| I(|X| > n) + nP(|X| > n)$$

$$\leq CE |X| I(|X| > n) + nP(|X| > n) \to 0.$$
(2.5)

From (2.5), we have that (2.3) is true.

From (2.2) and (2.3), it follows that for n large enough

$$P\Big(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}|T_j|>\varepsilon n\Big)\leq \sum_{j=1}^n P(|X_j|>n)+P\Big(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}|T_j^{(n)}|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}n\Big).$$

Hence we need only to prove that

$$I =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(|X_j| > n) < \infty,$$

$$II =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j^{(n)}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}n\right) < \infty.$$
(2.6)

From the fact that $E|X| < \infty$, it follows easily that

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} n P(|X| > n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > n)$$

$$\leq E|X| + 1 < \infty.$$
 (2.7)

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$II \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} n^{-2} E \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |T_{j}^{(n)}|^{2}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E |a_{nj} X_{j}^{(n)}|^{2}$$

$$= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{2} E X^{2} I(|X| \leq n) + n^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{2} P(|X| > n) \right\}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} n E X^{2} I(|X| \leq n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} n P(|X| > n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E X^{2} I(k-1 < |X| \leq k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n^{-2} E X^{2} I(k-1 < |X| \leq k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X| > n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1} k^{2} P(k-1 < |X| \leq k) + E |X| + 1$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k P(k-1 < |X| \leq k) + E |X| + 1$$

$$\leq 2(E|X|+1) < \infty.$$
(2.8)

Now we complete the prove of Theorem 2.1.

385

COROLLARY 2.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} = 0 \quad a.s..$$

Proof. By (2.1), we have

$$\infty > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j| > \varepsilon n\Big)$$

=
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^i}^{2^{i+1}-1} n^{-1} P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j| > \varepsilon n\Big)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le 2^i} |T_j| > \varepsilon 2^{i+1}\Big).$$

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have

$$P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq 2^{i}}|T_{j}|>\varepsilon 2^{i+1}\ i.o.\right)=0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \max_{1 \le j \le 2^i} \frac{|T_j|}{2^i} = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

and using

$$\max_{2^{i}} \max_{1 \le n < 2^{i}} \frac{|T_{n}|}{n} \le \max_{1 \le j \le 2^{i}} \frac{|T_{j}|}{2^{i}},$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Remark 2.1. Corollary 2.1 generalizes the result of Baxter et al. [2] to $\tilde{\rho}$ -mixing random variables.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $\{X, X_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables satisfying EX = 0 and $E|X| < \infty$. And let $\{a_{ni}, 1 < \infty\}$ $i \leq n, n \geq 1$ } be a triangular array of constants satisfying $A_{\alpha} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_{\alpha,n}$

 $<\infty, A_{\alpha,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{ni}|^{\alpha}/n$ for some $\alpha \ge 2$. Let $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ni}X_i, n \ge 1$, then we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P\Big(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |T_j| > \varepsilon n\Big) < \infty.$$
(2.9)

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 instead of Lemma 2.1, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

STRONG LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS

REFERENCES

- BAI, Z. D.—CHENG, P. E.: Marcinkiewicz strong laws for linear statistics, Statist. Probab. Lett. 46 (2000), 105–112.
- [2] BAXTER, J.-JONES, R.-LIN, M.-OLSEN, J.: SLLN for weighted independent indentically distributed random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 17 (2004), 165–181.
- [3] BRYC, W.—SMOLENSKI, W.: Moment conditions for almost sure convergence of weakly correlated random variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1993), 629–635.
- [4] CHOI, B. D.—SUNG, S. H.: Almost sure convergence theorems of weighted sums of random variables, Stochastic Anal. Appl. 5 (1987), 365–377.
- [5] CHOW, Y. S.—TEICHER, H.: Probability Theory: Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales (3rd ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [6] CUZICK, J.: A strong law for weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 8 (1995), 625-641.
- [7] ERDOS, P.: On a theorem of Hsu-Robbins, Ann. Math. Statist. 20 (1949), 286 291.
- [8] HSU, P. L.—ROBBINS, H.: Complete convergence and the law of large numbers, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 33 (1947), 25–31.
- [9] JOAG, D. K.—PROSCHAN, F.: Negative associated of random variables with application, Ann. Statist. 11 (1983), 286 295.
- [10] PELIGRAD, M.: On the asymptotic normality of sequences of weak dependent random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 9 (1996), 703 715.
- [11] PELIGRAD, M.: Maximum of partial sums and an invariance principle for a class weak depend random variables, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 1181–1189.
- [12] PELIGRAD, M.—GUT, A.: Almost sure results for a class of dependent random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 12 (1999), 87 104.
- [13] PETROV, V. V.: Limit Theorems of Probability Theory Sequences of Independent Random Variables, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1995.
- [14] SHAO, Q. M.: A comparison theorem on moment inequalities between Negatively associated and independent random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 13 (2000), 343–356.
- [15] STOUT, W.: Almost Sure Convergence, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [16] SUNG, S. H.: Strong laws for weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables, Statist. Probab. Lett. 52 (2001), 413-419.
- [17] UTEV, S.—PELIGRAD, M.: Maximal inequalities and an invariance principle for a class of weakly dependent random variables, J. Theoret. Probab. 16 (2003), 101–115.

[18] WU, W. B.: On the strong convergence of a weighted sums, Statist. Probab. Lett 44 (1999), 19–22.

Received 23. 5. 2005

Department of Mathematics and Statist cs Zhejiang Gongshang University Hangzhou 310035 P. R. CHINA E-mail: cghzju@163 com

•