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# A quasistatic bilateral contact problem with adhesion and friction for viscoelastic materials 

Arezki Touzaline


#### Abstract

We consider a mathematical model which describes a contact problem between a deformable body and a foundation. The contact is bilateral and is modelled with Tresca's friction law in which adhesion is taken into account. The evolution of the bonding field is described by a first order differential equation and the material's behavior is modelled with a nonlinear viscoelastic constitutive law. We derive a variational formulation of the mechanical problem and prove the existence and uniqueness result of the weak solution. The proof is based on arguments of time-dependent variational inequalities, differential equations and Banach fixed point theorem.
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## 1. Introduction

Contact problems involving deformable bodies are quite frequent in industry as well as in daily life and play an important role in structural and mechanical systems. Because of the importance of this process a considerable effort has been made in its modelling and numerical simulations. A first study of frictional contact problems within the framework of variational inequalities was made in [7]. The mathematical, mechanical and numerical state of the art can be found in [16]. The bilateral contact problem with Tresca's friction law for viscoelastic materials was studied in [1]. The aim of this paper is to study this model in which moreover the adhesion of contact surfaces is taken into account. Models for dynamic or quasistatic process of frictionless adhesive contact between a deformable body and a foundation have been studied in [4], [5], [10], [17]. In [6] the unilateral quasistatic contact problem with friction and adhesion was studied and an existence result for a friction coefficient small enough was established. As in [9], [10] we use the bonding field as an additional state variable $\beta$, defined on the contact surface of the boundary. The variable is restricted to values $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, when $\beta=0$ all the bonds are severed and there are no active bonds; when $\beta=1$ all the bonds are active; when $0<\beta<1$ it measures the fraction of active bonds and partial adhesion takes place. We refer the reader to the extensive bibliography on the subject in [3], [11], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18]. In this work
we derive a variational formulation of the mechanical problem for which we prove the existence of a unique weak solution, and obtain a partial regularity result for the solution.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we present some notations and give the variational formulation. In Section 3 we state and prove our main existence and uniqueness result, Theorem 2.1.

## 2. Problem statement and variational formulation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} ;(d=2,3)$, be a domain initially occupied by a viscoelastic body. $\Omega$ is supposed to be open, bounded, with a sufficiently regular boundary $\Gamma$. $\Gamma$ is partitioned into three measurable parts $\Gamma=\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{2} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{3}$ where $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ are disjoint open sets and meas $\Gamma_{1}>0$. The body is acted upon by a volume force of density $\varphi_{1}$ on $\Omega$ and a surface traction of density $\varphi_{2}$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. On $\Gamma_{3}$ the body is in bilateral and adhesive contact with Tresca's friction law with a foundation.

Thus, the classical formulation of the mechanical problem is written as follows.
Problem $P_{1}$. Find a displacement field $u: \Omega \times[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a bonding field $\beta: \Gamma_{3} \times[0, T] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{div} \sigma+\varphi_{1}=0 \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \\
\sigma=A \varepsilon(\dot{u})+B \varepsilon(u) \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \\
\sigma \nu=\varphi_{2} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T), \\
u_{\nu}=0 \\
\left|\sigma_{\tau}+c_{\tau} \beta^{2} R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right| \leq g \\
\left|\sigma_{\tau}+c_{\tau} \beta^{2} R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right|<g \Longrightarrow \dot{u}_{\tau}=0 \\
\left|\sigma_{\tau}+c_{\tau} \beta^{2} R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right|=g \Longrightarrow \text { on } \Gamma_{3} \times(0, T), \\
\exists \lambda \geq 0 \text { s.t. } \dot{u}_{\tau}=-\lambda\left(\sigma_{\tau}+c_{\tau} \beta^{2} R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}
\dot{\beta}=-\left(c_{\tau} \beta\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right)\right|^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)_{+} \text {on } \Gamma_{3} \times(0, T), \\
u(0)=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.8}\\
\beta(0)=\beta_{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We denote by $\sigma$ the stress field and $\varepsilon(u)$ the strain tensor. Equation (2.1) represents the equilibrium equation. Equation (2.2) represents the viscoelastic constitutive law of the material in which $A$ and $B$ are given nonlinear constitutive functions. Here and below a dot above a variable represents a time derivative. We recall that in linear viscoelasticity the stress tensor $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ is given by

$$
\sigma_{i j}=a_{i j k h} \varepsilon_{k h}(\dot{u})+b_{i j k h} \varepsilon_{k h}(u),
$$

where $A=\left(a_{i j k h}\right)$ is the viscosity tensor and $B=\left(b_{i j k h}\right)$ is the elasticity tensor, for $i, j, k, h=1, \ldots, d$. (2.3) and (2.4) are the displacement and traction boundary conditions, respectively, in which $\nu$ denotes the unit outward normal vector on $\Gamma$ and $\sigma \nu$ represents the Cauchy stress vector. Condition (2.5) represents the bilateral contact with Tresca's friction law in which adhesion is taken into account. Here $g$ is a friction bound and the parameters $c_{\tau}$ and $\varepsilon_{a}$ are adhesion coefficients which may depend on $x \in \Gamma_{3}$. As in [18], $R_{\tau}$ is a truncation operator defined by

$$
R_{\tau}(v)= \begin{cases}v & \text { if }|v| \leq L \\ L \frac{v}{|v|} & \text { if }|v|>L\end{cases}
$$

where $L>0$ is a characteristic length of the bonds. Equation (2.6) represents the ordinary differential equation which describes the evolution of the bonding field and it was already used in [18] where $[s]_{+}=\max (s, 0) \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\dot{\beta} \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{3} \times(0, T)$, once debonding occurs, bonding cannot be reestablished. Also we wish to make it clear that from [12] it follows that the model does not allow for complete debonding field in finite time. Finally, (2.7) and (2.8) represent respectively the initial displacement field and the initial bonding field. We recall that the inner products and the corresponding norms on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $S_{d}$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u \cdot v=u_{i} v_{i}, & |v|=(v \cdot v)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
\sigma \cdot \tau=\sigma_{i j} \tau_{i j}, & |\tau|=(\tau \cdot \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in S_{d}
\end{array}
$$

where $S_{d}$ is the space of second order symmetric tensors on $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d=2,3)$. Here and below, the indices $i$ and $j$ run between 1 and $d$ and the summation convention over repeated indices is adopted. Now, to proceed with the variational formulation, we need the following function spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =\left(L^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{d}, H_{1}=\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{d}, Q=\left\{\tau=\left(\tau_{i j}\right) ; \tau_{i j}=\tau_{j i} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} \\
Q_{1} & =\{\sigma \in Q ; \operatorname{div} \sigma \in H\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $H$ and $Q$ are real Hilbert spaces endowed with the respective canonical inner products

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{H}=\int_{\Omega} u_{i} v_{i} d x, \quad\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle_{Q}=\int_{\Omega} \sigma_{i j} \tau_{i j} d x
$$

The strain tensor is

$$
\varepsilon(u)=\left(\varepsilon_{i j}(u)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}\right) ;
$$

$\operatorname{div} \sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j, j}\right)$ is the divergence of $\sigma$. For every element $v \in H_{1}$ we denote by $v_{\nu}$ and $v_{\tau}$ the normal and the tangential components of $v$ on the boundary $\Gamma$ given by

$$
v_{\nu}=v \cdot \nu, \quad v_{\tau}=v-v_{\nu} \nu
$$

Also, for a regular function $\sigma \in Q_{1}$, we define its normal and tangential components by

$$
\sigma_{\nu}=(\sigma \nu) \cdot \nu, \quad \sigma_{\tau}=\sigma \nu-\sigma_{\nu} \nu
$$

and we recall that the following Green's formula holds:

$$
\langle\sigma, \varepsilon(v)\rangle_{Q}+\langle\operatorname{div} \sigma, v\rangle_{H}=\int_{\Gamma} \sigma \nu \cdot v d a \forall v \in H_{1}
$$

where $d a$ is the surface measure element. Let $V$ be the closed subspace of $H_{1}$ defined by

$$
V=\left\{v \in H_{1} ; v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, v_{\nu}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{3}\right\}
$$

Since meas $\Gamma_{1}>0$, the following Korn's inequality holds [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varepsilon(v)\|_{Q} \geq c_{\Omega}\|v\|_{H_{1}} \forall v \in V \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c_{\Omega}>0$ depends only on $\Omega$ and $\Gamma_{1}$. We equip $V$ with the inner product

$$
(u, v)_{V}=\langle\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v)\rangle_{Q}
$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ is the associated norm. It follows from Korn's inequality (2.9) that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_{1}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ are equivalent on $V$. Then $\left(V,\|\cdot\|_{V}\right)$ is a real Hilbert space. Moreover by Sobolev's trace theorem, there exists $d_{\Omega}>0$ which depends only on the domain $\Omega, \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right)^{d}} \leq d_{\Omega}\|v\|_{V} \forall v \in V \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \in[1, \infty]$, we use the standard norm of $L^{p}(0, T ; V)$. We also use the Sobolev space $W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V)}=\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)}+\|\dot{v}\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)} .
$$

For every real Banach space $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ and $T>0$ we use the notation $C([0, T] ; X)$ for the space of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to $X$; recall that $C([0, T] ; X)$ is a real Banach space with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{C([0, T] ; X)}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}\|x(t)\|_{X}
$$

We assume that the body forces and surface tractions have the regularity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1} \in C([0, T] ; H), \quad \varphi_{2} \in C\left([0, T] ;\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)\right)^{d}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we denote by $f(t)$ the element of $V$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(t), v)_{V}=\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1}(t) \cdot v d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \varphi_{2}(t) \cdot v d a \forall v \in V, \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12) yields

$$
f \in C([0, T] ; V) .
$$

Also we define the functional $j: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
j(v)=\int_{\Gamma_{3}} g\left|v_{\tau}\right| d a
$$

where $g$ is assumed to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), g \geq 0 \text { a.e. on } \Gamma_{3} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the study of Problem $P_{1}$ we assume that the viscosity operator $A$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (a) } A: \Omega \times S_{d} \rightarrow S_{d} ; \\
\text { (b) there exists } M_{A}>0 \text { such that } \\
\quad\left|A\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}\right)-A\left(x, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right| \leq M_{A}\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right|, \\
\quad \text { for all } \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \text { in } S_{d} \text {, a.e. } x \text { in } \Omega \text {; } \\
\text { (c) there exists } m_{A}>0 \text { such that } \\
\quad\left(A\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}\right)-A\left(x, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right) \geq m_{A}\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right|^{2},  \tag{2.14}\\
\quad \text { for all } \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \text { in } S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \text { in } \Omega ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

(d) the mapping $x \rightarrow A(x, \varepsilon)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $\Omega$, for any $\varepsilon$ in $S_{d}$;
(e) $x \rightarrow A(x, 0) \in Q$.

The elasticity operator $B$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (a) } B: \Omega \times S_{d} \rightarrow S_{d} ; \\
\text { (b) there exists } M_{B}>0 \text { such that } \\
\quad\left|B\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}\right)-B\left(x, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right| \leq M_{B}\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right| \\
\quad \text { for all } \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \text { in } S_{d}, \text { a.e. } x \text { in } \Omega ;  \tag{2.15}\\
\text { (c) the mapping } x \rightarrow B(x, \varepsilon) \text { is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega, \\
\quad \text { for any } \varepsilon \text { in } S_{d} ; \\
\text { (e) } x \rightarrow B(x, 0) \in Q .
\end{array}\right.
$$

As in [17] we suppose that the adhesion coefficients $c_{\tau}$ and $\varepsilon_{a}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\tau}, \varepsilon_{a} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), c_{\tau}, \varepsilon_{a} \geq 0, \text { a.e. on } \Gamma_{3} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the initial data satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{0} \in V  \tag{2.17}\\
\beta_{0} \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) ; \quad 0 \leq \beta_{0} \leq 1, \text { a.e. on } \Gamma_{3} . \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, we define the functional $r: L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
r(\beta, u, v)=\int_{\Gamma_{3}} c_{\tau} \beta^{2} R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}\right) \cdot v_{\tau} d a
$$

Finally, we need the following set for the bonding fields,

$$
\mathcal{O}=\left\{\theta:[0, T] \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) ; 0 \leq \theta(t) \leq 1 \forall t \in[0, T], \text { a.e. on } \Gamma_{3}\right\}
$$

Now by assuming the solution to be sufficiently regular, we obtain by using Green's formula that Problem $P_{1}$ has the following variational formulation.

Problem $P_{2}$. Find a displacement field $u:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ and a bonding field $\beta:[0, T] \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle A \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)), \varepsilon(v)-\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t))\rangle_{Q}+\langle B \varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(v)-\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t))\rangle_{Q}+j(v)  \tag{2.19}\\
-j(\dot{u}(t))+r(\beta(t), u(t), v-\dot{u}(t)) \geq(f(t), v-\dot{u}(t))_{V} \quad \forall v \in V, t \in[0, T] \\
\dot{\beta}(t)=-\left(c_{\tau} \beta(t)\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}(t)\right)\right|^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)_{+} \text {a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(0)=u_{0} \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.21}\\
& \beta(0)=\beta_{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{3} . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Our main result of this section, which will be established in the next is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $T>0$ and assume that (2.11), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), and (2.18) hold. Then there exists a unique solution of Problem $P_{2}$ which satisfies

$$
u \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V), \beta \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{O}
$$

## 3. Existence and uniqueness result

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be carried out in several steps. In the first step, for a given $\eta \in C([0, T] ; V)$ we consider the following variational problem.

Problem $P_{1 \eta}$. Find $v_{\eta}:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A \varepsilon\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right), \varepsilon(w)-\varepsilon\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{Q}+\left(\eta(t), w-v_{\eta}(t)\right)_{V}+j(w)-j\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \geq\left(f(t), w-v_{\eta}(t)\right)_{V} \quad \forall w \in V, t \in[0, T]
\end{align*}
$$

We show the following result.
Lemma 3.1. Problem $P_{1 \eta}$ has a unique solution and it satisfies $v_{\eta} \in C([0, T] ; V)$.
Proof: We define the operator $C: V \rightarrow V$ by

$$
(C v, w)_{V}=\langle A \varepsilon(v), \varepsilon(w)\rangle_{Q} \quad \forall v, w \in V
$$

It follows from assumption (2.14) that $C$ is a strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator. The functional $j$ is a continuous semi-norm on $V$, then by a classical argument of elliptic variational inequalities [2], we deduce that for each $t \in[0, T]$, there exists a unique element $v_{\eta}(t) \in V$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A \varepsilon\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right), \varepsilon(w)-\varepsilon\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{Q}+j(w)-j\left(v_{\eta}(t)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \geq\left(f(t)-\eta(t), w-v_{\eta}(t)\right)_{V} \quad \forall w \in V
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (3.2) we deduce that $v_{\eta}(t)$ is the unique solution of (3.1). Now, let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T]$. In inequality (3.1) written for $t=t_{1}$, take $w=v_{\eta}\left(t_{2}\right)$ and also in inequality (3.1) written for $t=t_{2}$, take $w=v_{\eta}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Using (2.14)(c), we find after adding the resulting inequalities that

$$
\left\|v_{\eta}\left(t_{1}\right)-v_{\eta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V} \leq \frac{1}{m_{A}}\left(\left\|f\left(t_{1}\right)-f\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V}+\left\|\eta\left(t_{1}\right)-\eta\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V}\right) .
$$

As $f \in C([0, T] ; V)$ and $\eta \in C([0, T] ; V)$, it follows that $v_{\eta} \in C([0, T] ; V)$.
Let now $u_{\eta}:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\eta}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} v_{\eta}(s) d s+u_{0}, \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{\eta}$ satisfies $u_{\eta} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V), \dot{u}_{\eta}=v_{\eta}$.
Next, we consider the following problem.

Problem $P_{2 \eta}$. Find a bonding field $\beta_{\eta}:[0, T] \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\beta}_{\eta}(t)=-\left(c_{\tau} \beta_{\eta}(t)\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta \tau}(t)\right)\right|^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)_{+} \text {a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{3.4}\\
\beta_{\eta}(0)=\beta_{0} \text { on } \Gamma_{3} . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have the following result.
Lemma 3.2. There exists a unique solution to Problem $P_{2 \eta}$ and it satisfies

$$
\beta_{\eta} \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{O}
$$

Proof: Let $k>0$ and let

$$
X=\left\{\beta \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right) ; \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left[\exp (-k t)\|\beta(t)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right]<+\infty\right\}
$$

$X$ is a Banach space for the norm

$$
\|\beta\|_{X}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left[\exp (-k t)\|\beta(t)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right]
$$

and consider the mapping $\mathcal{T}: X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$
\mathcal{T} \beta(t)=\beta_{0}-\int_{0}^{t}\left(c_{\tau} \beta(s)\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta \tau}(s)\right)\right|^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)_{+} d s
$$

Using that $\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta} \tau\right)\right| \leq L$, it follows that there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T} \beta_{1}(t)-\mathcal{T} \beta_{2}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\beta_{1}(s)-\beta_{2}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} d s
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\beta_{1}(s)-\beta_{2}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} d s & =\int_{0}^{t} e^{k s}\left(e^{-k s}\left\|\beta_{1}(s)-\beta_{2}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right) d s \\
& \leq\left\|\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right\|_{X_{1}} \frac{e^{k t}}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

this inequality implies

$$
e^{-k t}\left\|\mathcal{T} \beta_{1}(t)-\mathcal{T} \beta_{2}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{k}\left\|\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right\|_{X_{1}} \forall t \in[0, T]
$$

and then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{T} \beta_{1}-\mathcal{T} \beta_{2}\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{c_{1}}{k}\left\|\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right\|_{X} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.6) shows that for $k>c_{1}, \mathcal{T}$ is a contraction. Then we deduce, by Banach fixed point theorem that $\mathcal{T}$ has a unique fixed point $\beta_{\eta}$ which satisfies
(3.4) and (3.5). The regularity $\beta_{\eta} \in \mathcal{O}$ is a consequence of (3.5) and (2.18), see [17] for details.

Moreover, we use the Riesz representation theorem to define the function $\Lambda$ : $[0, T] \rightarrow V$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
(\Lambda \eta(t), w)_{V}=\left\langle B \varepsilon\left(u_{\eta}(t)\right), \varepsilon(w)\right\rangle_{Q}+ & r\left(\beta_{\eta}(t), u_{\eta}(t), w\right),  \tag{3.7}\\
& \forall w \in V, t \in[0, T] .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. For each $\eta \in C([0, T] ; V)$ the function $\Lambda \eta:[0, T] \rightarrow V$ belongs to $C([0, T] ; V)$. Moreover, there exists a unique $\eta^{*} \in C([0, T] ; V)$ such that $\Lambda \eta^{*}=\eta^{*}$. Proof: Let $\eta \in C([0, T] ; V), t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T]$. Using (3.7), there exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Lambda \eta\left(t_{1}\right)-\Lambda \eta\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V} \\
& \leq c_{2}\left(\left\|B \varepsilon\left(u_{\eta}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-B \varepsilon\left(u_{\eta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right\|_{Q}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\beta_{\eta}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right) R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta \tau}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\beta_{\eta}^{2}\left(t_{2}\right) R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta \tau}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the properties of the operator $R_{\tau}$ (see [16]) such that

$$
\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta \tau}\right)\right| \leq L,\left|R_{\tau}(a)-R_{\tau}(b)\right| \leq|a-b| \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d},
$$

(2.10), and that $0 \leq \beta_{\eta}(t) \leq 1, \forall t \in[0, T]$, it follows that there exists a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Lambda \eta\left(t_{1}\right)-\Lambda \eta\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V} \\
& \leq c_{3}\left(\left\|u_{\eta}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\eta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{V}+\left\|\beta_{\eta}\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta_{\eta}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{\eta} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V)$ and $\beta_{\eta} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V)$ we deduce from inequality (3.8) that $\Lambda \eta \in C([0, T] ; V)$.

Let now $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in C([0, T] ; V)$. For $t \in[0, T]$ we integrate (3.4) with the initial condition (3.5) to obtain that

$$
\beta_{\eta_{i}}(t)=\beta_{0}-\int_{0}^{t}\left(c_{\tau} \beta_{\eta_{i}}(s)\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta_{i} \tau}(s)\right)\right|^{2}-\varepsilon_{a}\right)_{+} d s
$$

Then there exists a constant $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(t)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \\
& \leq c_{4} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(\beta_{\eta_{1}}(s)\right)\left(\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta_{1} \tau}(s)\right)\right|\right)^{2}-\left(\beta_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right)\left(\left|R_{\tau}\left(u_{\eta_{2} \tau}(s)\right)\right|\right)^{2}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the definition of the truncation operator $R_{\tau}$ and write

$$
\beta_{\eta_{1}}(s)=\beta_{\eta_{1}}(s)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(s)+\beta_{\eta_{2}}(s) .
$$

After some elementary calculus we find that there exists a constant $c_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(t)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq & c_{5} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(s)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \\
& +c_{5} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\eta_{1} \tau}(s)-u_{\eta_{2} \tau}(s)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right)^{d}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Gronwall-type inequality, it follows that there exists a constant $c_{6}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(t)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq c_{6} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\eta_{1} \tau}(s)-u_{\eta_{2} \tau}(s)\right\|_{\left(L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right)^{d}} d s
$$

Furthermore using (2.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(t)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)} \leq c_{6} d_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\eta_{1}}(s)-u_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{V} d s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using arguments similar to those in the proof of (3.8), we find that there exists a constant $c_{7}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Lambda \eta_{1}(t)-\Lambda \eta_{2}(t)\right\|_{V} \\
& \leq c_{7}\left(\left\|u_{\eta_{1}}(t)-u_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{V}+\left\|\beta_{\eta_{1}}(t)-\beta_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using (3.9) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Lambda \eta_{1}(t)-\Lambda \eta_{2}(t)\right\|_{V} \\
& \leq c_{7}\left\|u_{\eta_{1}}(t)-u_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{V}+c_{7} c_{6} d_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\eta_{1}}(s)-u_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{V} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover keeping in mind that

$$
\left\|u_{\eta_{1}}(t)-u_{\eta_{2}}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{\eta_{1}}(s)-v_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{V} d s
$$

we deduce, after combining these two last inequalities, that there exists a constant $c_{8}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\Lambda \eta_{1}(t)-\Lambda \eta_{2}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq c_{8} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{\eta_{1}}(s)-v_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{V} d s
$$

On the other hand using (3.1) we see that

$$
\left\langle A \varepsilon\left(v_{\eta_{1}}\right)-A \varepsilon\left(v_{\eta_{2}}\right), \varepsilon\left(v_{\eta_{2}}\right)-\varepsilon\left(v_{\eta_{1}}\right)\right\rangle_{Q}+\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}, v_{\eta_{2}}-v_{\eta_{1}}\right)_{V} \geq 0
$$

which implies by using (2.14)(c) that

$$
\left\|v_{\eta_{1}}(s)-v_{\eta_{2}}(s)\right\|_{V} \leq \frac{1}{m_{A}}\left\|\eta_{1}(s)-\eta_{2}(s)\right\|_{V} \quad \forall s \in[0, T] .
$$

Hence we deduce that there exists a constant $c_{9}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Lambda \eta_{1}(t)-\Lambda \eta_{2}(t)\right\|_{V} \leq c_{9} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\eta_{1}(s)-\eta_{2}(s)\right\|_{V} d s \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $\alpha>0$, and denote

$$
\|\eta\|_{\alpha}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left[\exp (-\alpha t)\|\eta(t)\|_{V}\right], \forall \eta \in C([0, T] ; V)
$$

Clearly $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ defines a norm on the space $C([0, T] ; V)$ which is equivalent to the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_{C([0, T] ; V)}$. Using (3.10) and arguments similar to those in the proof of (3.6), after some calculus we find that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\Lambda \eta_{1}-\Lambda \eta_{2}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha}\left\|\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}\right\|_{\alpha}, \forall \eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in C([0, T] ; V)
$$

So for $\alpha>c$, the operator $\Lambda$ is a contraction on the space $C([0, T] ; V)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$. Then by using Banach fixed point theorem it follows that $\Lambda$ has a unique fixed point $\eta^{*} \in C([0, T] ; V)$, which concludes the proof.

Now, we have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof: Existence. Let $\eta^{*} \in C([0, T] ; V)$ be the fixed point of $\Lambda$ and let $v_{\eta^{*}}$ be the solution of Problem $P_{1 \eta}$ for $\eta=\eta^{*}$. We show that $\left(u_{\eta^{*}}, \beta_{\eta^{*}}\right)$ is a solution of Problem $P_{2}$. Indeed, from (3.3), it follows that $u_{\eta^{*}} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V)$ and it satisfies the initial condition (2.21). Let $\beta$ denote the solution of Problem $P_{2 \eta}$ for $\eta=\eta^{*}$, i.e., $\beta=\beta_{\eta^{*}}$. As $\eta^{*}=\Lambda \eta^{*}$, it follows that from (3.1) and (3.7) that (2.19) holds. Clearly, equalities (2.20) and (2.22) hold by Problem $P_{2 \eta^{*}}$. Also the regularity of the bonding field $\beta \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{O}$ follows from Lemma 3.2.

Uniqueness. Let $(u, \beta) \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V) \times W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{O}$ be a solution of Problem $P_{2}$ and denote by $\eta \in C([0, T] ; V)$ the function defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(\eta(t), w)_{V}=\langle B \varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(w)\rangle_{Q}+r(\beta(t), u(t), w)  \tag{3.11}\\
\forall w \in V, t \in[0, T] .
\end{array}
$$

Inequality (2.19) and equality (3.11) associated with the initial condition $u(0)=$ $u_{0}$ imply that $\dot{u}$ is a solution of Problem $P_{1 \eta}$ and, since this problem has a unique solution denoted $v_{\eta}$, we conclude that

$$
\dot{u}=v_{\eta} .
$$

As $v_{\eta}=\dot{u}_{\eta}$ and $u_{\eta}(0)=u(0)=u_{0}$, then we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\eta}=u \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, (2.20) and the initial condition $\beta(0)=\beta_{0}$ imply that $\beta$ is a solution of Problem $P_{2 \eta}$ and, since this problem admits a unique solution $\beta_{\eta}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta_{\eta} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using now (3.7) and (3.11)-(3.13) we obtain that $\Lambda \eta=\eta$ and as the operator $\Lambda$ admits a unique fixed point guaranteed by Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta^{*} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The uniqueness of the solution is now a consequence of (3.12)-(3.14).
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