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Abstract. In this paper we deal with the four-point singular boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1,0<\xi<\eta<1, \alpha, \beta>0, q \in C[0,1], q(t)>0, t \in(0,1)$, and $f \in C([0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},(0,+\infty))$ may be singular at $u=0$. By using the well-known theory of the Leray-Schauder degree, sufficient conditions are given for the existence of positive solutions.
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## 1. Introduction

Singular boundary value problems (BVPs) arise in applied mathematics and physics such as gas dynamics, nuclear physics, chemical reactions, studies of atomic structure and atomic calculation [7]. They also appear in the study of positive radial solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Therefore, they have been extensively studied in recent years, see, for instance, [1]-[5], [8], [13] and references therein. After studying singular two-point BVPs in detail, some authors began to pay attention to singular multi-point BVPs [9]-[12], [14]-[17]. They studied multi-point BVPs with

[^0]several types of boundary conditions such as
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(0)=0, u(1)=\beta u(\eta) ; & u(0)=\alpha u(\xi), u(1)=0 ; \\
u(0)=0, u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right) ; & u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), u(1)=0 ; \\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right) ; & u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), u^{\prime}(1)=0 ; \\
u^{\prime}(0)=0, u(1)=u(\eta) ; & u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), u^{\prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_{i} u^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right) ; \\
u(0)=\alpha u(\xi), u(1)=\beta u(\eta) ; & u(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\xi_{i}\right), u(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \beta_{i} u\left(\eta_{i}\right),
\end{array}
$$
\]

where $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}>0,0<\xi, \eta, \xi_{i}, \eta_{i}<1(i=1,2, \ldots, m-1)$.
All the above multi-point boundary conditions are generalizations of the classical Dirichlet boundary, Neumann and mixed conditions. Due to its difficulty, few work has been done concerning the Sturm-Liouville-type multi-point boundary condition. It is an interesting problem to establish similar results for Sturm-Liouville-type BVP.

In this paper we aim at investigating the singular four-point BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, p>1,0<\xi<\eta<1, \alpha, \beta>0, q \in C[0,1], q(t)>0$, $t \in(0,1)$, and $f \in C([0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},(0,+\infty))$ may be singular at $u=0$. Sufficient conditions are given to guarantee the existence of positive solutions.

The method we use mainly depends on the theory of the Leray-Schauder degree. First, the positive solutions are considered for a constructed nonsingular BVP, then using the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we obtain positive solutions for the singular problem which is approximated by the family of solutions to the nonsingular BVPs. The key for finding a pseudo-lower-bound is by no means an easy task.

In this paper we consider the Banach space $X=C^{1}[0,1]$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|=\max \left\{|u|_{0},\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right\}$, where $|u|_{0}=\max _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1}|u(t)|$.

We say a function $u(t)$ is a positive solution to problem (1.1) if $u \in C^{1}[0,1]$, $\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}[0,1], u>0$ on $[0,1]$, the differential equation is satisfied for all $t \in(0,1)$ and the boundary conditions hold.

The following hypotheses are adopted throughout this paper:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) 0<\xi<\eta<1,0<\alpha \leqslant 1 / \xi, 0<\beta \leqslant 1 /(1-\eta), q \in C[0,1], q(t)>0 \\
& \\
& t \in(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f:[0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuous, there are functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $h$ such that $0<f(t, y, z) \leqslant h(z)\left[f_{1}(y)+f_{2}(y)\right]$ on $(0,1) \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ where $f_{1}$ is continuous, positive and nonincreasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and such that $\int_{0}^{r} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s<+\infty$ for all $r>0, f_{2}$ is continuous, nonnegative and nondecreasing on $[0,+\infty)$ and $h$ is continuous, positive and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ for given $H>0$ and $L>0$, there are a function $\psi_{H, L}$ and a constant $\gamma \in[0,1)$ such that $\psi_{H, L}$ is continuous on $[0,1]$, positive on $(0,1)$ and the inequality

$$
f(t, y, z) \geqslant \psi_{H, L}(t)\left(\varphi_{p}(|z|)\right)^{\gamma}
$$

holds for $t \in[0,1], y \in(0, H]$ and $z \in[-L, L]$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) I_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left(\varphi_{p}^{-1}(u)\right) /\left(h\left(\varphi_{p}^{-1}(u)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} u<+\infty, x>0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some lemmas which are important in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $e \in C[0,1], e(t)>0, t \in(0,1), A \geqslant 0$ is a constant. Then the BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+e(t)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{2.1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-A, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{\alpha} A
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution. Moreover, this solution can be expressed by

$$
u(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \sigma  \tag{2.2}\\ \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{1} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{s} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, & \sigma \leqslant t \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) & +\int_{\xi}^{\sigma} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{1} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{\sigma}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{s} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, we show (2.3) has a unique solution. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}(t):=\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{t} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& v_{2}(t):=\frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{1} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{s} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $v_{1}$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $[0,1], v_{2}$ is continuous and strictly decreasing on $[0,1]$, and $v_{1}(0)<v_{2}(0), v_{1}(1)>v_{2}(1)$, so $v_{1}(t)=v_{2}(t)$ has a unique solution, and we denote it by $\sigma \in(0,1)$.

Then it is easy to verify that (2.2) is a solution of (2.1). On the other hand, if $u$ is a solution of (2.1), then $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-e(t)<0$ on $(0,1)$. Since $u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-A$, $u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\beta \alpha^{-1} A$, there exists a unique $\hat{\sigma} \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}(\hat{\sigma})=0$. Integrating the equation in (2.1) on $[0, \hat{\sigma}]$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(s) \mathrm{d} s\right), \quad t \in[0, \hat{\sigma}], \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $u^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)$. Integrating (2.4) from 0 to $t$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $u(\xi)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{\xi} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s$. Together with the boundary conditions we have

$$
u(t)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\hat{\sigma}} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1,
$$

which is, evidently, the unique solution to (2.1).
Similarly, we obtain

$$
u(t)=\frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\hat{\sigma}}^{1} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)+\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\hat{\sigma}}^{s} e(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 .
$$

Let $t=\hat{\sigma}$, then $v_{1}(\hat{\sigma})=v_{2}(\hat{\sigma})$. Having in mind the definition of $\sigma$ we can see that $\hat{\sigma}=\sigma$. Therefore the unique solution to (2.1) can be expressed by (2.2). The proof is complete.

In order to solve (1.1), we consider the nonsingular problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) F\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, & t \in(0,1),  \tag{2.6}\\ u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-A, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{\alpha} A\end{cases}
$$

where $\varphi_{p}, q$ are the same as in (1.1), $F \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2},(0,+\infty)\right), A \geqslant 0$.

Let $u \in X$ and define the operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
(T u)(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) &  \tag{2.7}\\ \quad+\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{1} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) & \\ \quad+\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{s} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) & \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \\ & \sigma \leqslant t \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma$ is determined by (2.3) with $e(t)$ replaced by $q(t) F\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)$.

Lemma 2.2. $T: X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is well defined. $T$ is completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded subsets of $X$ into relatively compact ones.

Now we show that $T$ is continuous. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|=0$. By Lemma 2.2, for any $n=1,2, \ldots$ there exists a unique $\sigma_{n} \in(0,1)$ such that $A_{1, n}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)=A_{2, n}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1, n}(t)= & \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma_{n}} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma_{n}} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
A_{2, n}(t)= & \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{n}}^{1} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{n}}^{s} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. Since the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ is bounded, it contains a converging subsequence. Replacing, if necessary, $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ by such a subsequence, we denote $\sigma_{0}=$
$\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1,0}(t)= & \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma_{0}} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
A_{2,0}(t)= & \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{0}}^{1} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{0}}^{s} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|A_{i, n}-A_{i, 0}\right|_{0}=0$ for $i=1,2$. Let $\underline{\sigma}_{n}=\min \left\{\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{0}\right\}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{n}=\max \left\{\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{0}\right\}, n=1,2, \ldots$. Of course, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} t_{n}=\sigma_{0}$ holds for each sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $\underline{\sigma}_{n} \leqslant t_{n} \leqslant \bar{\sigma}_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Noticing that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\max _{t \in\left[\underline{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{i, n}(t)-A_{j, 0}(t)\right| \leqslant \\
\max _{t \in\left[\underline{\underline{q}}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{i, n}(t)-A_{i, n}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)\right|+\left|A_{j, n}\left(\sigma_{n}\right)-A_{j, 0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)\right| \\
\\
\quad \max _{t \in\left[\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{j, 0}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)-A_{j, 0}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0 \\
\quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty, i, j=1,2, i \neq j,
\end{array}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|T u_{n}-T u_{0}\right|_{0} \leqslant \max \left\{\max _{t \in\left[0, \underline{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{1, n}(t)-A_{1,0}(t)\right|, \max _{t \in\left[\bar{\sigma}_{n}, 1\right]}\left|A_{2, n}(t)-A_{2,0}(t)\right|,\right. \\
\left.\max _{t \in\left[\underline{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{1, n}(t)-A_{2,0}(t)\right|, \max _{t \in\left[\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{2, n}(t)-A_{1,0}(t)\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0 \\
\quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{array}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1, n}^{\prime}(t)=\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{t}^{\sigma_{n}} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right), & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \sigma_{n} \\
A_{2, n}^{\prime}(t)=-\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{n}}^{t} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u_{n}(\tau), u_{n}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau,\right. & \sigma_{n} \leqslant t \leqslant 1
\end{array}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\left(T u_{n}\right)^{\prime}-\left(T u_{0}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leqslant \\
\max \left\{\max _{t \in\left[0, \underline{\underline{c}}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{1, n}^{\prime}(t)-A_{1,0}^{\prime}(t)\right|, \max _{t \in\left[\bar{\sigma}_{n}, 1\right]}\left|A_{2, n}^{\prime}(t)-A_{2,0}^{\prime}(t)\right|,\right. \\
\left.\max _{t \in\left[\underline{\sigma}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{1, n}^{\prime}(t)-A_{2,0}^{\prime}(t)\right|, \max _{t \in\left[\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}_{n}\right]}\left|A_{2, n}^{\prime}(t)-A_{1,0}^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty,
\end{array}
$$

so $T$ is continuous.

Suppose $D \subset X$ is a bounded set. Then there exists $r>0$ such that $\|u\| \leqslant r$ for all $u \in D$. When $u \in D$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T u|_{0}= & \frac{1}{2} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)\right. \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{1} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{s} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \left\lvert\,+\frac{A}{\alpha}\right. \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\max _{t \in[0,1],|y|_{0} \leqslant r,|z|_{0} \leqslant r} F(t, y, z)\right)\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\beta}+2\right) \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)+\frac{A}{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|(T u)^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leqslant \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\max _{t \in[0,1],|y|_{0} \leqslant r,|z|_{0} \leqslant r} F(t, y, z)\right) \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)=: \Gamma
$$

so $T(D)$ is bounded.
Moreover, for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\left|(T u)\left(t_{1}\right)-(T u)\left(t_{2}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}(T u)^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right| \leqslant \Gamma\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { uniformly as } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\varphi_{p}\left((T u)^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\varphi_{p}\left((T u)^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} q(s) F\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2}
$$

Since $\varphi_{p}^{-1}$ is continuous, so $\left|(T u)^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-(T u)^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $t_{1} \rightarrow t_{2}$.
By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, $T(D)$ is relatively compact. Therefore, $T$ is completely continuous.

Now we give a existence principle which is important to the proof of the main results.

Consider the BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda q(t) F\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{2.8}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-A, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{\alpha} A
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda \in(0,1), F, q, A$ are defined as before.

Lemma 2.3 (Existence principle). Assume that there exists $M>A / \alpha$ such that for all $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and all solutions $u$ of problem $(2.8)_{\lambda}$ the relation

$$
\|u\| \neq M
$$

holds. Then problem $(2.8)_{1}$ has a solution $u$ such that $\|u\| \leqslant M$.
Proof. For any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ define the operator

$$
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
\quad+\lambda \int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \sigma \\
\lambda \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{1} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
\quad+\lambda \int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma}^{s} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha}, \sigma \leqslant t \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, $T_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. It is easy to verify that $u(t)$ is a solution to $(2.8)_{\lambda}$ if and only if $u$ is a fixed point of $T_{\lambda}$ in $X$. Let $\Omega=\{u \in X:\|u\|<M\}$, then $\Omega$ is an open set in $X$.

If there exists $u \in \partial \Omega$ such that $T_{1} u=u$, then $u(t)$ is a solution of $(2.8)_{1}$ and the conclusion follows. Otherwise, for any $u \in \partial \Omega$ we have $T_{1} u \neq u$. If $\lambda=0$ and $u \in \partial \Omega$, then $\left(I-T_{0}\right) u(t)=u(t)-T_{0} u(t)=u(t)-A / \alpha \not \equiv 0$, so $T_{0} u \neq u$ for any $u \in \partial \Omega$. For $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $u \in \partial \Omega$, the inequality $T_{\lambda} u \neq u$ follows directly from our assumptions.

By the property of the Leray-Schauder degree, we get

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left\{I-T_{1}, \Omega, \theta\right\}=\operatorname{deg}\left\{I-T_{0}, \Omega, \theta\right\}=1
$$

so $T_{1}$ has a fixed point $u$ in $\Omega$. That is, (2.8) ${ }_{1}$ has a solution $u$ satisfying $\|u\| \leqslant M$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ hold. If $u$ is a solution to problem (2.6), then
(i) $u(t)$ is concave on $[0,1]$;
(ii) there exists a unique $\sigma \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}(\sigma)=0, u^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, t \in[0, \sigma]$, $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0, t \in[\sigma, 1] ;$
(iii) $u(t) \geqslant A / \alpha$ on $[0,1]$;
(iv) $u(t) \geqslant t(1-t)|u|_{0}$ on $[0,1]$;
(v) $|u|_{0} \leqslant K\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}+A / \alpha$, where $K=\max \{1 / \alpha+1,1 / \beta+1\}$.

Proof. Suppose $u(t)$ is a solution to BVP (2.6), then
(i) $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-q(t) F\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \leqslant 0, t \in(0,1)$, so $\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ is nonincreasing, therefore $u^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing, which implies the concavity of $u(t)$.
(ii) By the proof of Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists a unique $\sigma \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}(\sigma)=0, u^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0, t \in[0, \sigma], u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0, t \in[\sigma, 1]$.
(iii) By Lemma 2.1 and $0<\alpha \leqslant 1 / \xi$, we have for $t \in[0, \sigma]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \\
= & \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{\xi} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \\
\geqslant & \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& -\xi \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \\
\geqslant & \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma} q(\tau) F\left(\tau, u(\tau), u^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{A}{\alpha} \geqslant \frac{A}{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by $0<\beta \leqslant 1 /(1-\eta)$, we can also obtain $u(t) \geqslant A / \alpha$ for $t \in[\sigma, 1]$. Therefore, $u(t) \geqslant A / \alpha$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
(iv) Since $u$ is concave and $u(t) \geqslant A / \alpha$ on [0,1], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{u(t)}{t} \geqslant \frac{u(\sigma)}{\sigma} \geqslant|u|_{0} \Rightarrow u(t) \geqslant t|u|_{0} \geqslant t(1-t)|u|_{0}, \quad t \in[0, \sigma] \\
& \frac{u(t)}{1-t} \geqslant \frac{u(\sigma)}{1-\sigma} \geqslant|u|_{0} \Rightarrow u(t) \geqslant(1-t)|u|_{0} \geqslant t(1-t)|u|_{0}, \quad t \in[\sigma, 1]
\end{aligned}
$$

thus, $u(t) \geqslant t(1-t)|u|_{0}$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.
(v) By the boundary condition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u|_{0} & =\max _{0 \leqslant 1}|u(t)|=|u(\sigma)| \\
& =\left|u(\xi)+\int_{\xi}^{\sigma} u^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\alpha} u^{\prime}(0)+\frac{A}{\alpha}+\int_{\xi}^{\sigma} u^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}+\frac{A}{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly, we can obtain $|u|_{0} \leqslant(1+1 / \beta)\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}+A / \alpha$. Let $K=\max \{1+1 / \alpha, 1+1 / \beta\}$, then $|u|_{0} \leqslant K\left|u^{\prime}\right|_{0}+A / \alpha$. The proof is complete.

## 3. Existence results

In this section we present some new existence results for positive solutions of the singular four-point BVP (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Assume $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ hold and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{0<c<+\infty} \frac{c}{K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(c) c+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{c} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)}>1,  \tag{5}\\
\text { where } K=\max \left\{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Then (1.1) has a positive solution $u$.
Proof. Choose $M_{0}>0$ and $0<\varepsilon<M_{0}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{0}}{\varepsilon+K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}\left(M_{0}\right) M_{0}+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{M_{0}} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)}>1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{0} \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ be chosen so that $1 / n_{0} \leqslant \varepsilon$ and let $N_{0}=\left\{n_{0}, n_{0}+1, n_{0}+2, \ldots\right\}$.
In what follows, we show that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{3.2}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-\frac{\alpha}{m}, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a positive solution for each $m \in N_{0}$.
To this end, we consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f^{*}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{3.3}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-\frac{\alpha}{m}, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f^{*}(t, y, z)= \begin{cases}f(t, y, z), & y \geqslant \frac{1}{m}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R} \\ f\left(t, \frac{1}{m}, z\right), & y<\frac{1}{m}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

then $f^{*}(t, y, z) \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2},(0,+\infty)\right)$.

Consider
$(3.3)_{\lambda}^{m} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda q(t) f^{*}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\ u^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u(\xi)=-\frac{\alpha}{m}, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+\beta u(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{m} .\end{array}\right.$
Let $u \in X$ be a solution of $(3.3)_{\lambda}^{m}$. From Lemma 2.4 we know that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ on $(0,1), u(t) \geqslant 1 / m$ on $[0,1]$, and there exists $\sigma \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{\prime}(\sigma)=0, u^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$, $t \in[0, \sigma]$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0, t \in[\sigma, 1]$.

Now, for $t \in[0, \sigma]$, by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leqslant-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} & =\lambda q(t) f^{*}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\lambda q(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& \leqslant q(t) h\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\left[f_{1}(u(t))+f_{2}(u(t))\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (3.4) by $u^{\prime}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right) \leqslant q(t) h\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\left[f_{1}(u(t))+f_{2}(u(t))\right] u^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.5) from $t$ to $\sigma$ yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)} \frac{\varphi_{p}^{-1}(s)}{h\left(\varphi_{p}^{-1}(s)\right)} \mathrm{d} s & \leqslant|q|_{0} \int_{u(t)}^{u(\sigma)}\left[f_{1}(s)+f_{2}(s)\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqslant|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right) \leqslant|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $t \in[\sigma, 1]$, let $I_{2}(x)=I_{1}(-x), x<0$. By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(-\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)=I_{2}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right) \leqslant|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain that

$$
0 \leqslant\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)
$$

Considering Lemma 2.4 (v), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(\sigma) & \leqslant \frac{1}{m}+K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon+K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u(\sigma)}{\varepsilon+K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(u(\sigma)) u(\sigma)+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{u(\sigma)} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (3.1) together with (3.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<u(\sigma)=|u|_{0}<M_{0} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we notice that any solution $u$ of $(3.3)_{\lambda}^{m}$ with $1 / m \leqslant u(t) \leqslant M_{0}$ for $t \in[0,1]$ also satisfies
(3.10) $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|<\varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(|q|_{0} f_{2}(M) M+|q|_{0} \int_{0}^{M} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)+1=: M_{1}, \quad t \in[0,1]$.

Let $M=\max \left\{M_{0}, M_{1}\right\}$. From (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$
\|u\| \neq M
$$

Thus Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 imply that for any $m \in N_{0},(3.3)^{m}$ has a positive solution $u_{m} \in C^{1}[0,1]$ and there exists $\sigma_{m} \in(0,1)$ such that $u_{m}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{m}\right)=0, u_{m}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ on $\left[0, \sigma_{m}\right]$ and $u_{m}^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ on $\left[\sigma_{m}, 1\right]$.

In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m} \leqslant u_{m}(t) \leqslant M_{0}, \quad\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|<M_{1} \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{m}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, u_{m}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{3.12}\\
u_{m}^{\prime}(0)-\alpha u_{m}(\xi)=-\frac{\alpha}{m}, \quad u_{m}^{\prime}(1)+\beta u_{m}(\eta)=\frac{\beta}{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next we will give a sharper lower bound on $u_{m}$, i.e., we will show that there exists a constant $k>0$ independent of $m$ such that $u_{m}(t) \geqslant k t(1-t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$.

Notice that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ guarantees the existence of a function $\psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(t)$ which is continuous on $[0,1]$ and positive on $(0,1)$ with $f\left(t, u_{m}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geqslant \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(t)\left[\varphi_{p}\left(\left|u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)\right]^{\gamma}$ for $\left(t, u_{m}(t), u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \in[0,1] \times\left(0, M_{0}\right] \times\left[-M_{1}, M_{1}\right]$. For $t \in\left[0, \sigma_{m}\right)$ we have

$$
-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \geqslant q(t) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(t)\left[\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\gamma},
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)}{\left[\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]^{\gamma}} \geqslant q(t) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(t) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.13) from $t$ to $\sigma_{m}$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{t}^{\sigma_{m}} q(s) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating (3.14) from 0 to $t$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t) \geqslant \int_{0}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{s}^{\sigma_{m}} q(\tau) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $t \in\left(\sigma_{m}, 1\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u_{m}^{\prime}(t) \geqslant \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{\sigma_{m}}^{t} q(s) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t) \geqslant \int_{t}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{\sigma_{m}}^{s} q(\tau) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. If $\xi<\sigma_{m}$, by (3.15) we have

$$
u_{m}(\xi) \geqslant \int_{0}^{\xi} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{s}^{\xi} q(\tau) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=: \theta_{1}>0
$$

By the concavity of $u_{m}(t)$ on $(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{u_{m}(t)}{t} \geqslant \frac{u_{m}(\xi)}{\xi} \Rightarrow u_{m}(t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{1}}{\xi} t \geqslant \frac{\theta_{1}}{\xi} t(1-t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \xi] \\
& \frac{u_{m}(t)}{1-t} \geqslant \frac{u_{m}(\xi)}{1-\xi} \Rightarrow u_{m}(t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{1}}{1-\xi}(1-t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{1}}{1-\xi} t(1-t) \quad \text { for } t \in[\xi, 1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k_{0}=\min \left\{\theta_{1} / \xi, \theta_{1} /(1-\xi)\right\}$, then $u_{m}(t) \geqslant k_{0} t(1-t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$.

Case 2. If $\eta>\sigma_{m}$, by (3.17) we have

$$
u_{m}(\eta) \geqslant \int_{\eta}^{1} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\left[(1-\gamma) \int_{\eta}^{s} q(\tau) \psi_{M_{0}, M_{1}}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right]^{1 /(1-\gamma)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=: \theta_{2}>0
$$

By the concavity of $u_{m}(t)$ on $(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{u_{m}(t)}{t} \geqslant \frac{u_{m}(\eta)}{\eta} \Rightarrow u_{m}(t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{2}}{\eta} t \geqslant \frac{\theta_{2}}{\eta} t(1-t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \eta] \\
& \frac{u_{m}(t)}{1-t} \geqslant \frac{u_{m}(\eta)}{1-\eta} \Rightarrow u_{m}(t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{2}}{1-\eta}(1-t) \geqslant \frac{\theta_{2}}{1-\eta} t(1-t) \quad \text { for } t \in[\eta, 1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k_{1}=\min \left\{\theta_{2} / \eta, \theta_{2} /(1-\eta)\right\}$, then $u_{m}(t) \geqslant k_{1} t(1-t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
Consequently, there exists a constant $k=\min \left\{k_{0}, k_{1}\right\}>0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t) \geqslant k t(1-t), \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we show that both $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty},\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded and equi-continuous on $[0,1]$. We need only to check the equi-continuity of $\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ since (3.11) holds. For any $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} & \leqslant q(t) h\left(u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left[f_{1}\left(u_{m}(t)\right)+f_{2}\left(u_{m}(t)\right)\right]  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leqslant h\left(M_{1}\right)\left[f_{2}\left(M_{0}\right)+f_{1}(k t(1-t))\right]|q|_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies $\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is equi-continuous.
From (3.11), (3.18), (3.19) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ we get that both $\left\{u_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty},\left\{u_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are bounded and equi-continuous on $[0,1]$.

The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem guarantees that there is a subsequence $N^{*} \subset N_{0}$ and a function $z(t) \in X$ with $u_{m}^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow z^{(j)}(t)$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$ through $N^{*}$. So $z^{\prime}(0)-\alpha z(\xi)=0, z^{\prime}(1)+\beta z(\eta)=0$ with $z(t) \geqslant k t(1-t), t \in[0,1]$. Taking into account that $u_{m}(t)$ is the solution of (3.2) ${ }^{m}$ and applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
u_{m}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma_{m}} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)  \tag{3.20}\\ & +\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma_{m}} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{1}{m} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{m}}^{1} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\ & \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \sigma_{m} \\ & \int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{m}}^{s} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, u_{m}(\tau), u_{m}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{1}{m} \\ & \sigma_{m} \leqslant t \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{m}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ is bounded, it contains a converging subsequence. Replacing $\left\{\sigma_{m}\right\}$ by such a subsequence, if necessary, we denote $\sigma_{0}=\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \sigma_{m}$. Let $m \rightarrow+\infty$ through $N^{*}$ in (3.20). Then by Lemma 2.2, one has

$$
z(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\sigma_{0}} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, z(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)  \tag{3.21}\\
\quad+\int_{\xi}^{t} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{s}^{\sigma_{0}} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, z(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s, 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \sigma_{0} \\
\frac{1}{\beta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{0}}^{1} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, z(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \\
\quad+\int_{t}^{\eta} \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(\int_{\sigma_{0}}^{s} q(\tau) f\left(\tau, z(\tau), z^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s, \sigma_{0} \leqslant t \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (3.21) we deduce immediately that $z \in X$ and $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f\left(t, z(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right)=\right.$ $0, t \in(0,1)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

## 4. Examples

In this section we give some explicit examples to illustrate our results.
Example 4.1. Consider the singular four-point BVP with p-Laplacian

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\mu \mathrm{e}^{u^{\prime}}\left[u^{-b}+\lambda_{0} u^{l}+\lambda_{1}\right]=0, \quad 0<t<1,  \tag{4.1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-u\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+u\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>1,0<b<1, \lambda_{0} \geqslant 0, \lambda_{1} \geqslant 0, l \geqslant 0, \mu>0$. If $\mu$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<c<+\infty} \frac{c}{2 \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(\mu \mathrm{e}^{c} c+\mu(1-b)^{-1} c^{1 /(1-b)}\right)\right)}>1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the BVP (4.1) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. Obviously, $\alpha=\beta=1, \xi=\frac{1}{4}, \eta=\frac{3}{4}, q(t)=\mu>0$ and $q \in C[0,1]$, $f(t, y, z)=\mathrm{e}^{z}\left(y^{-b}+\lambda_{0} y^{l}+\lambda_{1}\right) \in C([0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},(0,+\infty))$. It is easy to verify
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) 0<\alpha=1<1 / \xi=4, \quad 0<\beta=1<1 /(1-\eta)=4 ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) 0<f(t, y, z)=\mathrm{e}^{z}\left(y^{-b}+\lambda_{0} y^{l}+\lambda_{1}\right) \leqslant h(z)\left[f_{1}(y)+f_{2}(y)\right]$, where $f_{1}(y)=$ $y^{-b}>0$ is continuous, nonincreasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and for any $x>0$, $\int_{0}^{x} f_{1}(u) \mathrm{d} u=\int_{0}^{x} u^{-b} \mathrm{~d} u<+\infty, f_{2}(y)=\lambda_{0} y^{l}+\lambda_{1}>0$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty), h(z)=\mathrm{e}^{z}>0$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ for constants $H>0, L>0$ there exists a function $\psi_{H, L}(t)=H^{-b}>$ 0 continuous on $[0,1]$ and a constant $\gamma=1$ with $f(t, y, z) \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{z} H^{-b} \geqslant$
$\psi_{H, L}(t) \varphi_{p}(|z|)$ on $[0,1] \times(0, H] \times[-L, L]$, where $L$ satisfies the equation $|z|^{p-1}=\mathrm{e}^{z}$.
By (4.2), we know $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ holds. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 we can obtain that (4.1) has at least one positive solution $u(t)$.

Example 4.2. Consider the singular four-point BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{9}\left(u^{-1 / 3}+1\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{4.3}\\
u^{\prime}(0)-u\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)+u\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the BVP (4.3) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. Let $p=2, \alpha=\beta=1, \xi=\frac{1}{4}, \eta=\frac{3}{4}, q(t)=\frac{1}{9}, f(t, y, z)=y^{-1 / 3}+1$. Clearly $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds and $f_{1}(y)=y^{-1 / 3}>0$ is continuous, nonincreasing on $(0,+\infty)$, $f_{2}(y)=y+1>0$ is continuous on $[0,+\infty), h(z)=1>0$ is continuous and nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$. So $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Take $\psi_{H, L}(t)=H^{-1 / 3}, \gamma=1$, then $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ holds. From $I_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} s \mathrm{~d} s=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}, x>0, I_{2}(x)=I_{1}(-x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}, x<0$ we obtain that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ holds. By $q(t)=\frac{1}{9}, \sup _{0<c<+\infty} c /\left(K \varphi_{p}^{-1}\left(I_{1}^{-1}\left(f_{2}(c) c+\int_{0}^{c} f_{1}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)\right)\right)=$ $\sup _{0<c<+\infty} c /\left(2\left(2 c(c+1)+3 c^{2 / 3}\right)^{1 / 2}=1 /(2 \sqrt{2})>\frac{1}{3}=\left(|q|_{0}\right)^{1 / 2},\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ holds, too. By Theorem 3.1 we conclude that (4.3) has at least one positive solution $u(t)$.
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