

Zhibo Chen

On integral sum graphs with a saturated vertex

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 60 (2010), No. 3, 669–674

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140597>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS WITH A SATURATED VERTEX

ZHIBO CHEN, McKeesport

(Received January 9, 2009)

*Abstract.* As introduced by F. Harary in 1994, a graph  $G$  is said to be an *integral sum graph* if its vertices can be given a labeling  $f$  with distinct integers so that for any two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $G$ ,  $uv$  is an edge of  $G$  if and only if  $f(u) + f(v) = f(w)$  for some vertex  $w$  in  $G$ .

We prove that every integral sum graph with a saturated vertex, except the complete graph  $K_3$ , has edge-chromatic number equal to its maximum degree. (A vertex of a graph  $G$  is said to be *saturated* if it is adjacent to every other vertex of  $G$ .) Some direct corollaries are also presented.

*Keywords:* integral sum graph, saturated vertex, edge-chromatic number

*MSC 2010:* 05C78, 05C15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider only finite graphs with no loops or multiple edges. In general we follow the standard graph-theoretic notation and terminology (see, for example, [1] or [2]).

In 1994, Harary [8] introduced the notion of an *integral sum graph*. The integral sum graph  $G^+(S)$  of a finite subset  $S$  of integers is the graph  $(V, E)$  where  $V = S$  and  $uv \in E$  if and only if  $u + v \in S$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be an *integral sum graph* if it is isomorphic to the integral sum graph  $G^+(S)$  of a finite subset  $S$  of integers. In other words,  $G$  is an *integral sum graph* if its vertices can be given a labeling  $f$  with distinct integers, so that for any two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $G$ ,  $uv$  is an edge of  $G$  if and only if  $f(u) + f(v) = f(w)$  for some vertex  $w$  in  $G$ . (And such a labeling  $f$  is then called an *integral sum labeling* of  $G$ .) If there is an integral sum labeling  $f$  of  $G$  with  $f(x) > 0$  for all vertices  $x$  in  $G$ , then  $G$  is said to be a *sum graph*. In fact, the concept of a sum graph was introduced by Harary [7] earlier in 1990. It is easily seen that none of nontrivial connected graphs is a sum graph.

Many infinite families of connected graphs, however, are known to be integral sum graphs. For example, Harary [8] found that all paths and stars are integral sum graphs. Sharary[14] showed that the cycles  $C_n$  and the wheels  $W_n$  are also integral sum graphs for all  $n \neq 4$ . Ellingham [5] proved a conjecture of Harary that the disjoint union of a single vertex  $K_1$  with any tree is a sum graph. For an arbitrarily given graph  $G$ , how can we determine whether or not  $G$  is an integral sum graph? This is a basic but difficult problem. It has not been solved even for trees. In 1998 we [3] first posted the conjecture that all trees are integral sum graphs. The same conjecture was also raised independently in 2000 by Liao, Guo and Chang [11]. It is still open up to this date, although several classes of trees (see [3], [11], [9], [13]) have been shown to be integral sum graphs. For a survey of known results on sum graphs and integral sum graphs, the reader is referred to the dynamic survey on graph labeling by J. Gallian [6].

To show a graph  $G$  is an integral sum graph, we may try to find an integral sum labeling directly, or we may use some undirect methods such as the methods of identification (see [3], [4], [9] and [13]). On the other hand, however, there is no direct way to prove a graph is not an integral sum graph, and few methods have been discovered. This motivated us to study some graphical properties of integral sum graphs in [4]. In the present note we further study the integral sum graphs with a saturated vertex. (As in [4], a vertex of graph  $G$  is said to be *saturated* if it is adjacent to every other vertex of  $G$ .) We show that every integral sum graph with a saturated vertex, except the complete graph  $K_3$ , is of class 1 (i.e., its edge-chromatic number is equal to its maximum degree.) Some corollaries are also presented.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

From now on, we use the notation  $G^+\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  to denote an (integral) sum graph with an (integral) sum graph labeling such that the vertices of  $G$  are labeled by the integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$ . It is clear that  $G^+\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  generated by the integers  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$  is unique up to isomorphism.

**Lemma 2.1** [15]. *Let  $G$  be a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and with edge-chromatic number  $\Delta + 1$ . Then  $G$  contains two distinct vertices  $x, y$  and a collection of  $\Delta$  pairwise edge-disjoint paths each joining  $x, y$ .*

**Note.** A graph  $G$  satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 must have at least two vertices of degree  $\Delta$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [4]. *Let  $G$  be an integral sum graph. Then*

- (i)  $G$  has at most two saturated vertices unless  $G = K_3$ ;
- (ii)  $G \cong G^+ \{1, 0, -1, -2, \dots, -p + 2\}$  if  $G$  has exactly two saturated vertices and  $|V(G)| = p$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f$  be an integral sum labeling of a graph  $G$  with more than one vertex. Then  $f(u) = 0$  for some vertex  $u$  of  $G$  if and only if  $G$  has a saturated vertex.*

*Proof.* The necessity is an obvious fact. So we only need to prove the sufficiency. Let  $v$  be a saturated vertex of  $G$ . If  $f(v) = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. So we may distinguish two cases depending on whether  $f(v) > 0$  or  $f(v) < 0$ .

*Case 1.*  $f(v) > 0$ . We prove this case by contradiction. Assume that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for any vertex  $x$  of  $G$ . Let  $f(w)$  be the largest label among all vertices other than  $v$ . If  $f(w) < 0$ , then  $f(v) > f(v) + f(w) > f(w)$  and so  $f(v) + f(w) \neq f(x)$  for any vertex  $x$  of  $G$ . If  $f(w) > 0$ , then  $f(v) + f(w) > f(x)$  for any vertex  $x$  of  $G$ . Thus, no matter if  $f(w)$  is negative or positive, we always see that  $v$  is not adjacent to  $w$ . This contradicts the condition that  $v$  is a saturated vertex. Hence, there must be a vertex  $u$  of  $G$  such that  $f(u) = 0$ .

*Case 2.*  $f(v) < 0$ . Consider the new labeling  $g$  of  $G$  defined by  $g(x) = (-1)f(x)$  for any  $x \in V(G)$ . It is an obvious fact that  $g$  gives an integral sum labeling of  $G$  and  $g(v) > 0$ . Then from case 1, there must be a vertex  $u$  of  $G$  such that  $g(u) = 0$ . It follows that  $f(u) = -g(u) = 0$ , and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** [4]. *For any sum graph  $G$ , the join  $K_1 \vee G$  is an integral sum graph.*

Now we are ready to prove our theorem and its corollaries in the next section.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Every integral sum graph  $G$  with a saturated vertex, except the complete graph  $K_3$ , has the edge-chromatic number  $\chi'(G)$  equal to the maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G \neq K_3$  be an integral sum graph with a saturated vertex. Clearly,  $G$  is a connected simple graph. If  $G$  has less than 4 vertices, then  $G$  is a path of length 0, 1 or 2. It is then obvious that the edge-chromatic number  $\chi'(G)$  is equal to the maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . So, from now on, we may assume that  $G$  has at least 4 vertices.

If  $G$  has exactly one saturated vertex, then from the note following Lemma 2.1, one can easily see that  $\chi'(G) \neq \Delta(G) + 1$ . It follows that  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ , since the

well-known Vizing's Theorem (see, for example, [1]) asserts that the edge-chromatic number of a simple graph equals either the maximal degree or the maximal degree plus one. Then by Lemma 2.2(i), we only need to consider the remaining case that  $G$  has exactly two saturated vertices. By Lemma 2.2(ii), we may further assume that  $G = G^+\{1, 0, -1, -2, \dots, -p+3, -p+2\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  has  $p$  vertices. (Note that  $p \geq 4$  by assumption.) By Vizing's Theorem, we only need to show that there is a proper edge-coloring of  $G$  in  $\Delta(G)$  colors. We distinguish two cases according to the parity of  $p$ .

*Case 1.*  $p$  is even. Clearly,  $G$  is a subgraph of the complete graph  $K_p$  with  $\Delta(G) = p - 1$ . It is known (see, for example, p.96 of [1]) that  $\chi'(K_p) = p - 1$ . Then we can easily get a proper edge-coloring of  $G$  in  $\Delta(G) = p - 1$  colors, and so  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .

*Case 2.*  $p$  is odd. The proof goes as follows. Let  $H$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the vertex  $-p + 2$  and its incident edges  $e_0 = (-p + 2, 0)$  and  $e_1 = (-p + 2, 1)$ . It is clear that the vertex number of  $H$  is  $p - 1$  which is an even number greater than or equal to 4. Note that  $H$  has saturated vertices. Then, by the same argument as in case 1, we can get  $\chi'(H) = \Delta(H) = p - 2$ . Clearly,  $G$  can be obtained from  $H$  by adding the vertex  $-p + 2$  and the two edges  $e_0 = (-p + 2, 0)$  and  $e_1 = (-p + 2, 1)$  to connect the vertex  $-p + 2$  with exactly the two vertices 0 and 1 in  $H$ . Now a  $p - 1$  edge-coloring of  $G$  can be given as follows: First give the edges of  $H$  a proper coloring in  $p - 2$  colors and color the edges  $e_0$  and  $e_1$  with a new color. Then, by switching the colors of the two edges  $e_0$  and  $(0, -p + 3)$ , we immediately obtain a proper edge-coloring of  $G$  in  $\Delta(G)$  colors. Therefore,  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ .  $\square$

Recall that a simple graph is said to be of class 1 or of class 2 if its edge-chromatic number is respectively equal to or greater than its maximum degree. Then Theorem 3.1 can be restated as follows:

Any integral sum graph  $G \neq K_3$  is of class 1 if  $G$  has a saturated vertex.

In other words, except for  $K_3$ , any integral sum graph of class 2 has no saturated vertices.

By Lemma 2.3, we can easily see that the following Theorem 3.1' is equivalent to Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1'.** *Let  $S$  be a set of integers. The integral sum graph  $G^+(S)$  is of class 1 if  $S$  contains 0 and  $S \neq \{0, -n, n\}$  for any integer  $n$ .*

Now we apply Theorem 3.1 to two familiar families of graphs. The wheels  $W_n$  with vertex number  $n \neq 4$  were shown to be integral sum graphs in [14], and the fans  $K_1 \vee P_n$  (obtained by joining  $K_1$  with every vertex of  $P_n$ ) were also shown to

be integral sum graphs in [4]. Note that  $W_4 = K_4$  is of class 1. Then, the following is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** *The wheels  $W_n$  and the fans except  $K_3$  are of class 1.*

**Corollary 3.3.** *For any sum graph  $G$ , the join  $K_1 \vee G$  is of class 1.*

*Proof.* It is trivial if  $|V(G)| = 1$ . When  $|V(G)| > 1$ ,  $G$  is not connected, and so  $K_1 \vee G$  has exactly one saturated vertex and  $G \neq K_3$ . Since  $K_1 \vee G$  is an integral sum graph from Lemma 2.4, it is of class 1 by Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Finally, we give a corollary concerning graphs which may have no saturated vertices. From a theorem of Mahmoodian [12] (also, see p.294 of [10]), we know that the Cartesian product of a finite set of graphs is of class 1 if at least one of the factor graphs is not totally disconnected and of class 1. Then we easily obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** *The Cartesian product of a finite set of graphs is of class 1 if at least one of the factor graphs is not  $K_3$  but is an integral sum graph with a saturated vertex.*

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for his (her) helpful suggestions.

#### References

- [1] *J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty:* Graph Theory with Applications. Macmillan, London, 1976.
- [2] *G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak:* Graphs and Digraphs, 2nd ed. Wadsworth., Belmont, 1986.
- [3] *Z. Chen:* Integral sum graphs from identification. *Discrete Math.* *181* (1998), 77–90.
- [4] *Z. Chen:* On integral sum graphs. *Discrete Math.* *306* (2006), 19–25 (It first appeared in The Ninth Quadrennial International Conference on Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications, 11 pp. (electronic), *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, 11, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002.).
- [5] *M. N. Ellingham:* Sum graphs from trees. *Ars Combin.* *35* (1993), 335–349.
- [6] *J. Gallian:* A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electronic J. Combinatorics* *15* (2008).
- [7] *F. Harary:* Sum graphs and difference graphs. *Congr. Numer.* *72* (1990), 101–108.
- [8] *F. Harary:* Sum graphs over all the integers. *Discrete Math.* *124* (1994), 99–105.
- [9] *W. He, L. Wang, H. Mi, Y. Shen and X. Yu:* Integral sum graphs from a class of trees. *Ars Combinatoria* *70* (2004), 197–205.
- [10] *W. Imrich and S. Klavar:* Product Graphs. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000.
- [11] *S.-C. Liaw, D. Kuo and G. Chang:* Integral sum numbers of Graphs. *Ars Combin.* *54* (2000), 259–268.
- [12] *E. S. Mahmoodian:* On edge-colorability of Cartesian products of graphs. *Canad. Math. Bull.* *24* (1981), 107–108.

- [13] *A. V. Pyatkin*: Subdivided trees are integral sum graphs. *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008), 1749–1750.
- [14] *A. Sharary*: Integral sum graphs from complete graphs, cycles and wheels. *Arab Gulf Sci. Res.* 14-1 (1996), 1–14.
- [15] *C. Thomassen*: Hajós conjecture for line graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 97 (2007), 156–157.

*Author's address*: Zhibo Chen, Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, Greater Allegheny Campus, McKeesport, PA, 15132, U.S.A.