Igor V. Protasov Coronas of ultrametric spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 52 (2011), No. 2, 303--307

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141502

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Coronas of ultrametric spaces

I.V. PROTASOV

Abstract. We show that, under CH, the corona of a countable ultrametric space is homeomorphic to ω^* . As a corollary, we get the same statements for the Higson's corona of a proper ultrametric space and the space of ends of a countable locally finite group.

Keywords: Stone-Čech compactification, ultrametric space, corona, Higson's corona, space of ends

Classification: 54D35, 54D80, 54G05

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, $x_0 \in X$, X_d be a set X endowed with the discrete topology, βX_d be the Stone-Čech compactification of X_d . We identify βX_d with the set of all ultrafilters on X and denote by $X^{\#}$ the set of all ultrafilters on X whose members are unbounded subsets of X. A subset A is bounded if there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq B(x_0, n)$ where $B(x_0, n) = \{x \in X : \rho(x_0, x) \leq n\}$. In what follows, all metric spaces are supposed to be unbounded, so $X^{\#} \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, $X^{\#}$ is closed in βX_d .

Given any $r, q \in X^{\#}$, we say that r, q are *parallel* (and write $r \parallel q$) if there exists $n \in \omega$ such that, for every $R \in r$, we have $B(R, n) \in q$ where $B(R, n) = \bigcup_{x \in R} B(x, n)$. By [5, Lemma 4.1], \parallel is an equivalence on $X^{\#}$. We denote by \sim the smallest (by inclusion) closed (in $X^{\#} \times X^{\#}$) equivalence on $X^{\#}$ such that $\parallel \subseteq \sim$. By [2, Theorem 3.2.11], the quotient $X^{\#} / \sim$ is a compact Hausdorff space. It is called the *corona* of X and is denoted by \check{X} . To clarify the virtual equivalence \sim , we use the slowly oscillating functions.

A function $h: (X, \rho) \to [0, 1]$ is called *slowly oscillating* if, for any $n \in \omega$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded subset V of X such that, for every $x \in X \setminus V$,

$$\operatorname{diam} h(B(x,n)) < \varepsilon,$$

where diam $A = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in A\}.$

By [6, Proposition 1], $p \sim q$ if and only if $h^{\beta}(p) = h^{\beta}(q)$ for every slowly oscillating function $h: (X, \rho) \to [0, 1]$, where h^{β} is an extension of h to βX_d . If X is ultrametric we may use only the slowly oscillating functions taking values 0, 1 [5, Lemma 4.3]. Recall that (X, ρ) is ultrametric if $\rho(x, y) \leq \max\{\rho(x, z), \rho(y, z)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A metric space X is called *proper* if every ball B(X, n) is compact. In this case \check{X} is homeomorphic to the Higson's corona νX of X (see [1, §6] and [6, p. 154]).

I.V. Protasov

Let (X_1, ρ_1) , (X_2, ρ_2) be metric spaces. A bijection $f : X_1 \to X_2$ is called an *asymorphism* if, for any $n \in \omega$, there exists $m \in \omega$ such that, for all $x_1, x_2 \in X_1$ and $y_1, y_2 \in X_2$,

$$\rho_1(x_1, x_2) \le n \Rightarrow \rho_2(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \le m,$$

$$\rho_2(y_1, y_2) \le n \Rightarrow \rho_1(f^{-1}(y_1), f^{-1}(y_2)) \le m.$$

A subset Y of a metric space (X, ρ) is called *large* if there exists $n \in \omega$ such that B(Y, n) = X. The metric spaces (X_1, ρ_1) , (X_2, ρ_2) are *coarsely equivalent* if there exist large subsets Y_1 , Y_2 of X_1 , X_2 such that (Y_1, ρ_1) , (Y_2, ρ_2) are asymorphic. We show that, in this case, \check{X}_1 and \check{X}_2 are homeomorphic. Let $f: Y_1 \to Y_2$ be an asymorphism. Since f and f^{-1} are \prec -mappings, applying [6, Proposition 1], we conclude that, for any $p, q \in Y_1^{\#}$, $p \sim q$ if and only if $f^{\beta}(p) \sim f^{\beta}(q)$. Then the mapping $\check{f}: \check{Y}_1 \to \check{Y}_2$ defined by $\check{f}([x]) = [f^{\beta}(x)]$, where [x] and $[f^{\beta}(x)]$ are equivalence classes containing x and $f^{\beta}(x)$, is a homeomorphism. To see that \check{X}_i and \check{Y}_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ are homeomorphic, we pick $m_i \in \omega$ such that $X_i = B(Y_i, m_i)$ and, for each $x \in X_i$, choose $h_i(x) \in Y_i$ such that $\rho_i(x, h_i(x)) \leq m_i$. Thus the mapping $\check{h}_i: \check{X}_i \to \check{Y}_i$ is a homeomorphism.

Theorem 1. For a metric space X, the following statements hold:

- (i) every non-empty open subset of \check{X} contains a copy of ω^* ;
- (ii) every non-empty G_{δ} -subset of \check{X} has non-empty interior;
- (iii) if X is ultrametric then \check{X} is zero-dimensional F-space;
- (iv) if X is countable then \check{X} is of weight \mathfrak{c} .

PROOF: We need some notations. Let x_0 be a fixed point of X. Given an unbounded subset P of X and a function $f: \omega \to \omega$, we put

$$\Psi_{P,f} = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} B(P \setminus B(x_0, f(i)), i),$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{P,f} = \{ q \in X^{\#} : \Psi_{P,f} \in q \},$$

$$\check{\Psi}_{P,f} = \{ \check{q} \in \check{X} : q \in \overline{\Psi}_{P,f} \},$$

where $\check{q} = \{r \in X^{\#} : r \sim q\}$. By [5, Theorem 2.1], for every $p \in X^{\#}$,

$$\check{p} = \bigcap \{ \overline{\Psi}_{P,f} : P \in p, f : \omega \to \omega \},\$$

and the family $\Psi_p = \{ \check{\Psi}_{P,f} : P \in p, f : \omega \to \omega \}$ is a base of neighbourhoods of \check{p} in \check{X} .

(i) Let P be an unbounded subset of X. We choose an injective sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\omega}$ in P such that, for each $n\in\omega$,

$$B({t_1,...,t_n}, n) \cap B(t_{n+1}, n+1) = \emptyset,$$

and put $T = \{t_n : n \in \omega\}$. Let q, r be distinct ultrafilters from $T^*, Q \in q, R \in r$ and $Q \cap R = \emptyset$. By the choice of T, for each $n \in \omega, B(Q, n) \cap B(R, n)$ is bounded,

304

so we can choose $h: \omega \to \omega$ and $h': \omega \to \omega$ such that $\Psi_{Q,h} \cap \Psi_{R,h'} = \emptyset$. Hence, the mapping from T^* to \check{X} , defined by $p \mapsto \check{p}$ is injective. It follows that, for every $f: \omega \to \omega, \check{\Psi}_{P,f}$ contains a copy of ω^* .

(ii) Let $p \in X^{\#}$, $\{P_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a decreasing family of members of p, $\{f_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of functions $f_n : \omega \to \omega$. It suffices to show that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \check{\Psi}_{P_n, f_n}$ has non-empty interior. We choose a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in X such that $a_n \in P_n \setminus B(x_0, n)$, where x_0 is taken from definition of $\Psi_{P,f}$, put $A = \{a_n : n \in \omega\}$, define a function $f : \omega \to \omega$ by

$$f(i) = \max\{i, f_0(i), \dots, f_i(i)\},\$$

and note that

 $A \setminus B(x_0, f(i)) \subseteq P_n \setminus B(x_0, f_n(i))$

for all $i \ge n$. Since the subset

$$\Psi_{A,f} \setminus \bigcap_{i \ge n} B(A \setminus B(x_0, f(i)), i)$$

is bounded, we get $\check{\Psi}_{A,f} \subseteq \check{\Psi}_{P_n,f_n}$.

(iii) To show that \check{X} is zero-dimensional, we fix $p \in X^{\#}$, $P \in p$ and $f : \omega \to \omega$. By the definition, $\Psi_{P,f}$ is closed. We put $\Phi = \Psi_{P,f}$. Since X is ultrametric, B(B(x,n),i) = B(x,n) for all $x \in X$ and $n \ge i$. It follows that $B(\Phi,i) \setminus \Phi$ is bounded for each $i \in \omega$. Therefore we can define a function $h : \omega \to \omega$ such that $\Psi_{\Phi,h} \subseteq \Phi$, so $\check{\Psi}_{\Phi,h} \subseteq \check{\Psi}_{P,f}$ and $\check{\Psi}_{P,f}$ is open.

To prove that \check{X} is an *F*-space, in view of [4, Lemma 1.2.2(b)], it suffices to verify that any two disjoint open F_{δ} subsets Y, Z of \check{X} have disjoint closures. We may suppose that

$$Y = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \check{\Psi}_{Y_n, f_n}, \quad Z = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \check{\Psi}_{Z_n, f_n}.$$

Since $\check{\Psi}_{Y_n,f_n} \cap \check{\Psi}_{Z_m,f_m}$ is bounded for all $m, n \in \omega$, we can choose inductively the sequences of functions $(f'_n)_{n \in \omega}, (h'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ such that

$$\check{\Psi}_{Y_n,f_n}=\check{\Psi}_{Y_n,f_n'},\;\check{\Psi}_{Z_m,h_m}=\check{\Psi}_{Z_m,h_m'},\;\Psi_{Y_n,f_n'}\cap\Psi_{Z_m,h_m'}=\emptyset$$

for all $m, n \in \omega$.

For every $n \in \omega$, we put

$$\Psi_n = \bigcap_{i \ge n} B(Y_n \setminus B(x_0, f'_n(i)), i),$$

$$\Psi'_n = \bigcap_{i \ge n} B(Z_n \setminus B(x_0, h'_n(i)), i),$$

and note that

$$\check{\Psi}_n = \check{\Psi}_{Y_n, f_n}, \ \check{\Psi}'_n = \check{\Psi}_{Z_n, h_n}.$$

Now suppose that $\check{p} \in \operatorname{cl}_{\check{X}} \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \check{\Psi}_n$ and pick $p' \in \check{p}$ such that $p' \in \operatorname{cl}_{X^{\#}} \bigcup \overline{\Psi}_n$, so $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n \in p'$. We put $P = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n$ and define a function $f : \omega \to \omega$ by

$$f(i) = \max\{f_0(i), \dots, f_i(i)\}.$$

Since X is ultrametric, $B(\Psi_n, i) = \Psi_n$ for every $i \leq n$. Hence, $\Psi_{P,f} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n$ and $\check{p} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n}$.

Analogously, for every $\check{q} \in \operatorname{cl}_{\check{X}} \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \check{\Psi}'_n$, we have $\check{q} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi'_n}$. Since $(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi_n) \cap (\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Psi'_n) = \emptyset$, we conclude that $\operatorname{cl}_{\check{X}} Y \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\check{X}} Z = \emptyset$.

(iv) By (i), $w(\check{X}) \ge \mathfrak{c}$. The family

 $\{\Psi_{P,f}: P \text{ is an unbounded subset of } X, f: \omega \to \omega\}$

is a base of topology of \check{X} , so $w(\check{X}) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Theorem 2. Let X be an ultrametric space such that X = B(A, n) for some countable subset A of X and $n \in \omega$. Then, under CH, \check{X} is homeomorphic to ω^* .

PROOF: Since X and A are coarsely equivalent, \check{X} and \check{A} are homeomorphic, so we may suppose that X is countable. By Theorem 1, \check{X} is a compact zerodimensional F-space of weight \mathfrak{c} in which every non-empty G_{δ} -subset has an infinite interior. Thus, we can apply a characterization [4, Corollary 1.2.4] of ω^* under CH.

Corollary 1. Under CH, the Higson's corona νX of a proper ultrametric space X is homeomorphic to ω^* .

PROOF: To apply Theorem 2, we note that νX is homeomorphic to \check{X} and X = B(A, 1) for some countable subset A of X.

Let G be an infinite discrete group. A subset $A \subseteq G$ is called *almost invariant* if $gA \setminus A$ is finite for every $g \in G$. We denote by \mathcal{A} the family of all infinite almost invariant subsets of G and by εG the set of all maximal filters in \mathcal{A} endowed with the topology defined by the family $\{\{\varphi \in \varepsilon G : A \in \varphi\} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ as a base for the open sets. Then εG is the remainder of the Freudental-Hopf compactification of G and every element of εG is called an *end* of G (for this approach to definition of ends see [3]). If G is countable and locally finite, by [7, Theorem 3.1.1] and [5, Proposition 2], there is an ultrametric on G such that \check{G} is homeomorphic to εG . Recall that G is *locally finite* if every finite subset of G generates a finite subgroup.

Corollary 2. Under CH, the space of ends of a countable locally finite group G is homeomorphic to ω^* .

Acknowledgment. The author is thankful to the referee who helped to improve the presentation of the paper.

306

References

- [1] Dranishnikov A., Asymptotic topology, Russian Math. Surveys 55 (2000), 1085–1129.
- [2] Engelking R., General Topology, PWN, Warzsawa, 1985.
- [3] Houghton C.H., Ends of groups and associated first cohomology groups, J. London Math. Soc. 6 (1972), 81–92.
- [4] van Mill J., Introduction to $\beta \omega$, in Handbook of Set-Theoretical Topology, Chapter 11, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 1984.
- [5] Protasov I.V., Normal ball structures, Math. Stud. 20 (2003), 3-16.
- [6] Protasov I.V., Coronas of balleans, Topology Appl. 149 (2005), 149-160.
- [7] Protasov I., Zarichnyi M., General asymptology, Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser. 12, VNTL, Lviv, 2007.

Department of Cybernetics, Kyiv University, Volodimirska 64, Kyiv 01033, Ukraine

E-mail: I.V.Protasov@gmail.com

(Received November 17, 2010, revised January 12, 2011)