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# Gradient estimates for a nonlinear equation $\Delta_{f} u+c u^{-\alpha}=0$ on complete noncompact manifolds 

Jing Zhang, Bingqing Ma


#### Abstract

Let $(M, g)$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. We consider gradient estimates on positive solutions to the following nonlinear equation $$
\Delta_{f} u+c u^{-\alpha}=0 \quad \text { in } M,
$$ where $\alpha, c$ are two real constants and $\alpha>0, f$ is a smooth real valued function on $M$ and $\Delta_{f}=\Delta-\nabla f \nabla$. When $N$ is finite and the $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is bounded from below, we obtain a gradient estimate for positive solutions of the above equation. Moreover, under the assumption that $\infty$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is bounded from below and $|\nabla f|$ is bounded from above, we also obtain a gradient estimate for positive solutions of the above equation. It extends the results of Yang [16].


## 1 Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a complete noncompact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For a smooth real-valued function $f$ on $M$, the drifting Laplacian (see [11], [12]) is defined by $\Delta_{f}=\Delta-\nabla f \nabla$. There is a naturally associated measure $d \mu=e^{-f} d V$ on $M$, which makes the operator $\Delta_{f}$ self-adjoint. The $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{N}=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} f-\frac{1}{N} d f \otimes d f
$$

for $0 \leq N \leq \infty$ and $N=0$ if and only if $f=0$. Here $\nabla^{2}$ is the Hessian and Ric is the Ricci tensor. In particular, the $\infty$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is denoted by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}_{f}:=\operatorname{Ric}_{f}^{\infty}=\operatorname{Ric}+\nabla^{2} f
$$

with $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}=\lambda g$ is called a gradient Ricci soliton which is extensively studied in Ricci flow.

[^0]The author in [16] obtained interesting gradient estimates for positive solutions to the following elliptic equation with singular nonlinearity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+c u^{-\alpha}=0 \quad \text { in } M, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, c$ are two real constants and $\alpha>0$. For the importance of equation (1), the authors who are interested in it see [5], [8]. In this paper, we consider the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u+c u^{-\alpha}=0 \quad \text { in } M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a smooth real-valued function on $M$. For some interesting gradient estimates in this direction, for example, we refer to [2], [3], [6], [7], [9], [10], [15]. When $N$ is finite and the $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is bounded from below, we obtain a gradient estimate for positive solutions of the above equation. Moreover, under the assumption that $\infty$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is bounded from below and $|\nabla f|$ is bounded from above, we also obtain a gradient estimate for positive solutions of the above equation. Main results of this paper are stated as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete noncompact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor bounded from below by the constant $-K:=-K(2 R)$, where $R>0$ and $K(2 R) \geq 0$, in the metric ball $B_{p}(2 R)$ with radius $2 R$ around $p \in M$. Let $u$ be a positive solution of (2) with $\alpha, c$ two real constants and $\alpha>0$. Then
(1) If $c>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{-\alpha-1} \leq & \frac{(n+N)(n+N+2) c_{1}{ }^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}  \tag{3}\\
& +\frac{(n+N) \sqrt{(n+N) K} c_{1}}{R}+2(n+N) K
\end{align*}
$$

(2) If $c<0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{-\alpha-1} \leq & (A+\sqrt{A})|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(n+N+2+\frac{n+N}{2 \sqrt{A}}\right)+\frac{(n+N) \sqrt{(n+N) K} c_{1}}{R} \\
& +\left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\right)(n+N) K, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=(n+N)(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)$.
Theorem 2. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete noncompact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f \in C^{2}(M)$ be a function satisfying $|\nabla f| \leq \theta$. Assume that $\infty$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor bounded from below by the constant $-K:=-K(2 R)$, where $R>0$ and $K(2 R) \geq 0$, in the metric ball $B_{p}(2 R)$ with radius $2 R$ around $p \in M$. Let $u$ be a positive solution of (2) with $\alpha, c$ two real constants and $\alpha>0$. Then
(1) If $c>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{-\alpha-1} \leq & \frac{n\left[(n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}+\frac{5 n c_{1} \theta}{R}+4 \theta^{2} \\
& +\frac{n c_{1} \sqrt{(n-1) K}}{R}+2 n K . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) If $c<0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{-\alpha-1} \leq & (B+\sqrt{B})|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}+\frac{n}{R^{2}}\left(\left(2+2 n+\frac{n}{\sqrt{B}}\right) c_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right)+\frac{n c_{1} \theta}{R}+\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{B}}\right) 8 \theta^{2}  \tag{6}\\
& +\frac{n c_{1} \sqrt{(n-1) K}}{R}+\left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right) n K
\end{align*}
$$

where $B=n(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)$.
From (1) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result immediately:
Corollary 1. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete noncompact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with nonnegative $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. Assume that two real constants $\alpha, c$ in (2) are positive. Then the equation (2) does not have a positive smooth solution.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $h=\log u$. Then one has from (2) that

$$
\Delta_{f} h=\frac{1}{u} \Delta_{f} u-|\nabla h|^{2}=-c u^{-\alpha-1}-|\nabla h|^{2} .
$$

Define $F=c u^{-\alpha-1}+|\nabla h|^{2}$, then we have $\Delta_{f} h=-F$. It is well known that for the $N$-Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, we have the Bochner formula (see [14]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} & \geq \frac{2}{n+N}\left|\Delta_{f} h\right|^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(\Delta_{f} h\right)\right\rangle-2 K|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& =\frac{2}{n+N} F^{2}-2\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K|\nabla h|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} F= & c \Delta_{f} u^{-\alpha-1}+\Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \Delta_{f} u  \tag{7}\\
& +\frac{2}{n+N} F^{2}-2\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K|\nabla h|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\xi$ be a cut-off function such that $\xi(r)=1$ for $r \leq 1, \xi(r)=0$ for $r \geq 2$, $0 \leq \xi(r) \leq 1$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \geq \xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \xi^{\prime}(r) \geq-c_{1} \\
\xi^{\prime \prime}(r) \geq-c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

for positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Denote $\phi$ by $\rho(x)=d(x, \rho)$ the distance between $x$ and $p$ in $M$. Let

$$
\phi(x)=\xi\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{R}\right) .
$$

Using an argument of Calabi [1] (see also Cheng and Yau [4]), we can assume without loss of generality that the function $\phi$ is smooth in $B_{p}(2 R)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown by Qian[13] that

$$
\Delta_{f}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \leq(n+N)\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4 K \rho^{2}}{n+N}}\right)
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f} \rho & =\frac{1}{2 \rho}\left[\Delta_{f}\left(\rho^{2}\right)-2|\nabla \rho|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{n+N-2}{2 \rho}+\frac{n+N}{2 \rho}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{4 K \rho^{2}}{n+N}}\right) \\
& =\frac{n+N-1}{\rho}+\sqrt{(n+N) K} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} \phi & =\frac{\xi^{\prime \prime}(r)|\nabla \rho|^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{\xi^{\prime}(r) \Delta_{f} \rho}{R}  \tag{9}\\
& \geq-\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $G=\phi F$. We may assume that $G$ achieves its maximal value $Q$ at the point $x \in B_{p}(2 R)$ and assume that $Q$ is positive (otherwise the proof is trivial). Then at the point $x$,

$$
0=\nabla G=\phi \nabla F+F \nabla \phi
$$

and $\Delta_{f} G \leq 0$. Therefore, at the point $x$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq \Delta_{f} G=\Delta G-\langle\nabla f, \nabla G\rangle \\
= & \phi \Delta_{f} F+F \Delta_{f} \phi+2\langle\nabla \phi, \nabla F\rangle \\
= & \phi \Delta_{f} F+F \Delta_{f} \phi-2 F \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \\
\geq & \frac{2}{n+N} \phi F^{2}-2 \phi\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K \phi|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} F \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} F+c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \phi \Delta_{f} u,
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \frac{2}{n+N} G^{2}+2 G\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle-2 K \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G  \tag{10}\\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G+c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \phi^{2} \Delta_{f} u
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider the following two cases: (1) $c>0$; (2) $c<0$.
(1) When $c>0$, then we have $F=|\nabla h|^{2}+c u^{-\alpha-1}>0$ and $|\nabla h|<F^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle \leq|\nabla h||\nabla \phi| \leq \frac{c_{1}}{R} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\frac{2 c_{1}}{R} G^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G+\frac{1}{n+N} G^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

then (10) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \frac{2}{n+N} G^{2}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{R} G^{\frac{3}{2}}-2 K \phi|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G+c(\alpha+1)^{2} u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2}+c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2} F  \tag{11}\\
\geq & \frac{1}{n+N} G^{2}-\frac{(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G-2 K G \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G
\end{align*}
$$

From (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \leq & \frac{(n+N)(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(n+N) c_{1}}{R} \sqrt{(n+N) K}+2(n+N) K
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} F \leq G \leq & \frac{(n+N)(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}  \tag{12}\\
& +\frac{(n+N) c_{1}}{R} \sqrt{(n+N) K}+2(n+N) K
\end{align*}
$$

Now (1) of Theorem 1 follows easily from the inequality above.
(2) When $c<0$, if $F \leq 0$, then the estimate in (2) of Theorem 1 is trivial.

Hence we assume $F>0$. Under the assumption that $F>0$, one gets $|\nabla h|>F^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Since

$$
2 G\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle \leq \frac{1}{n+N} G^{2}+\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}
$$

then (10) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{n+N} G^{2}-\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}-2 K \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G+c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& +c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-2 \alpha-2} \phi^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{n+N} G^{2}-\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi F-\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1} \\
& -\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} G-J(2 R) \phi^{2} F-L(2 R) \phi^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(2 R)=2 K-c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}, \\
L(2 R)=|c| J(2 R)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}-c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-2 \alpha-2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{n+N} G^{2} \\
& -\left(\frac{(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}}+J(2 R)\right) G \\
& -\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}-L(2 R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \leq \frac{b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4 d}}{2} \leq b+\sqrt{d}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b= & (n+N) J(2 R)+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(n+N)(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
d= & (n+N) L(2 R)+\frac{(n+N)^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m=\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}, M=\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{d} & =\sqrt{(n+N) c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left[(\alpha+2) m^{2}-M^{2}\right]+\left[\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}|c|+2(n+N)|c| K\right] m} \\
& \leq \sqrt{(n+N) c^{2}(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) m^{2}+\left[\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}|c|+2(n+N)|c| K\right] m} \\
& \leq \sqrt{(n+N)(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}|c| m+\frac{\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+2(n+N) K}{2 \sqrt{(n+N)(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
G \leq & 2(n+N) K+A|c| m+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1+\sqrt{(n+N) K} R) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(n+N)(n+N+2) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\sqrt{A}|c| m+\frac{\frac{(n+N)^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}+2(n+N) K}{2 \sqrt{A}} \\
= & (A+\sqrt{A})|c| m+\frac{(n+N)\left[(n+N-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{(n+N) c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\left(n+N+2+\frac{n+N}{2 \sqrt{A}}\right) \\
& +\frac{(n+N) \sqrt{(n+N) K} c_{1}}{R}+\left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}\right)(n+N) K,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A=(n+N)(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) .
$$

Therefore, we obtain (2) of Theorem 1.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $h=\log u$. Then we have

$$
\Delta_{f} h=-c u^{-\alpha-1}-|\nabla h|^{2} .
$$

Denote by $F=c u^{-\alpha-1}+|\nabla h|^{2}$, then we have $\Delta_{f} h=-F$. Applying the Bochner formula to $h$, we get (see [14]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2}=2\left|D^{2} h\right|^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla h, \nabla\left(\Delta_{f} h\right)\right\rangle+2 \operatorname{Ric}_{f}(\nabla h, \nabla h) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D^{2} h\right|^{2} & \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta h)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{n}[F-\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle]^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n} F^{2}-\frac{2}{n} F\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

then we derive from (15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq \frac{2}{n} F^{2}-\frac{4}{n} F\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle-2\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K|\nabla h|^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} F= & c \Delta_{f} u^{-\alpha-1}+\Delta_{f}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1}|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \Delta_{f} u  \tag{17}\\
& +\frac{2}{n} F^{2}-\frac{4}{n} F\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle-2\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K|\nabla h|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\xi$ be a cut-off function such that $\xi(r)=1$ for $r \leq 1, \xi(r)=0$ for $r \geq 2$, $0 \leq \xi(r) \leq 1$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \geq \xi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \xi^{\prime}(r) \geq-c_{1} \\
\xi^{\prime \prime}(r) \geq-c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

for positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Denote $\phi$ by $\rho(x)=d(x, \rho)$ the distance between $x$ and $p$ in $M$. Let

$$
\phi(x)=\xi\left(\frac{\rho(x)}{R}\right)
$$

Using an argument of Calabi [1] (see also Cheng and Yau [4]), we can assume without loss of generality that the function $\phi$ is smooth in $B_{2 R}(p)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \leq \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ric}_{f} \geq-K$ and $|\nabla f| \leq \theta$, we have from the Theorem 1.1 in [14]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{f} \rho & \leq \sqrt{(n-1) K} \operatorname{coth}\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{n-1}} \rho\right)+\theta \\
& \leq(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{\rho}+\sqrt{\frac{K}{n-1}}\right)+\theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{f} \phi & =\frac{\xi^{\prime \prime}(r)|\nabla \rho|^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{\xi^{\prime}(r) \Delta_{f} \rho}{R}  \tag{19}\\
& \geq-\frac{(n-1+\sqrt{(n-1) K} R+\theta R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $G=\phi F$. We assume that $G$ achieves its maximal value $Q$ at the point $x \in B_{p}(2 R)$ and assume that $Q$ is positive (otherwise the proof is trivial). Then at the point $x$,

$$
0=\nabla G=\phi \nabla F+F \nabla \phi
$$

and $\Delta_{f} G \leq 0$. This shows that

$$
\nabla F=-\frac{F}{\phi} \nabla \phi
$$

Therefore, at the point $x$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \Delta_{f} G=\phi \Delta_{f} F+F \Delta_{f} \phi+2\langle\nabla \phi, \nabla F\rangle \\
= & \phi \Delta_{f} F+F \Delta_{f} \phi-2 F \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \\
\geq & \frac{2}{n} \phi F^{2}-\frac{4}{n} \phi F\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle-2 \phi\langle\nabla h, \nabla F\rangle-2 K \phi|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{(n-1+\sqrt{(n-1) K} R+\theta R) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} F-\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} F \\
& +c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \phi \Delta_{f} u,
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \frac{2}{n} G^{2}-\frac{4}{n} \phi G\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle+2 G\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle-2 K \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G-\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G  \tag{20}\\
& +c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2}-c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-2} \phi^{2} \Delta_{f} u .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider two cases: (1) $c>0 ;(2) c<0$.
(1) When $c>0$, we have $F=|\nabla h|^{2}+c u^{-\alpha-1}>0$ and $|\nabla h|<F^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle| \leq|\nabla h||\nabla \phi| \leq \frac{c_{1}}{R} F^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& |\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle| \leq|\nabla h||\nabla f| \leq F^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla f|,
\end{aligned}
$$

then from (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \frac{2}{n} G^{2}-\frac{4}{n}|\nabla f| G^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{R} G^{\frac{3}{2}}-2 K \phi|\nabla h|^{2}-\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G+c(\alpha+1)^{2} u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& +c(\alpha+1) u^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2} F  \tag{21}\\
\geq & \frac{2}{n} G^{2}-\frac{4}{n}|\nabla f| G^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{R} G^{\frac{3}{2}}-2 K G-\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Schwarz inequality, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{4}{n}|\nabla f|+\frac{2 c_{1}}{R}\right) G^{\frac{3}{2}} & \leq n\left(\frac{2}{n}|\nabla f|+\frac{c_{1}}{R}\right)^{2} G+\frac{1}{n} G^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{4}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{4 c_{1}}{R}|\nabla f|+\frac{n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) G+\frac{1}{n} G^{2} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (22) into (21) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{n} G^{2}-\left(\frac{4}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{4 c_{1}}{R}|\nabla f|\right) G-2 K G \\
& -\frac{(n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G-\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \leq \frac{n\left[(n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}+\frac{5 n c_{1} \theta}{R}+4 \theta^{2}+\frac{n c_{1} \sqrt{(n-1) K}}{R}+2 n K \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} F \leq G \leq & \frac{n\left[(n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{5 n c_{1} \theta}{R}+4 \theta^{2}+\frac{n c_{1} \sqrt{(n-1) K}}{R}+2 n K .
\end{aligned}
$$

We complete the proof of (1) in Theorem 2.
(2) When $c<0$, if $F \leq 0$, then the estimate in (2) of Theorem 2 is trivial. Hence we assume $F>0$ and hence $|\nabla h|>F^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Noticing

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 G\langle\nabla h, \nabla \phi\rangle \leq 2 \frac{c_{1}}{R} G \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla h| \leq \frac{1}{2 n} G^{2}+\frac{2 n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}, \\
\frac{4}{n} \phi G\langle\nabla h, \nabla f\rangle \leq \frac{4}{n} \phi G|\nabla h||\nabla f| \leq \frac{1}{2 n} G^{2}+\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

we have from (20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{n} G^{2}-\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2}-\frac{2 n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}} \phi|\nabla h|^{2}-2 K \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2}-\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G \\
& -\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G+c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1} \phi^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
& +c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-2 \alpha-2} \phi^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{n} G^{2}-\left(\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \phi F-\left(\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) \phi|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1} \\
& -\frac{2 c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}} G-\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R} G-J(2 R) \phi^{2} F-L(2 R) \phi^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(2 R)=2 K-c(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}, \\
L(2 R)=|c| J(2 R)\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}-c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-2 \alpha-2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & \frac{1}{n} G^{2} \\
& -\left(\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{(2 n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta) c_{1}}{R}+J(2 R)\right) G \\
& -\left(\frac{8}{n}|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}-L(2 R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \leq \frac{b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4 d}}{2} \leq b+\sqrt{d} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=n J(2 R)+8|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{n\left[(2 n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}}+\frac{n c_{1}(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta)}{R} \\
& d=n L(2 R)+\left(8|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m=\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}, M=\left(\sup _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{-\alpha-1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{d} & =\sqrt{n c^{2}(\alpha+1)\left[(\alpha+2) m^{2}-M^{2}\right]+\left(2 n K+8|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)|c| m} \\
& \leq \sqrt{n c^{2}(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) m^{2}+\left(2 n K+8|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{2 n^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)|c| m} \\
& \leq \sqrt{n(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}|c| m+\frac{n K+4|\nabla f|^{2}+\frac{n^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}}{\sqrt{n(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from (24) and $|\nabla f| \leq \theta$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \leq & 2 n K+B|c| m+8 \theta^{2}+\frac{n\left[(2 n+2) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right]}{R^{2}} \\
& +\frac{n c_{1}(\sqrt{(n-1) K}+\theta)}{R}+\sqrt{B}|c| m+\frac{n K+4 \theta^{2}+\frac{n^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{R^{2}}}{\sqrt{B}} \\
= & (B+\sqrt{B})|c| m+\frac{n}{R^{2}}\left(\left(2+2 n+\frac{n}{\sqrt{B}}\right) c_{1}^{2}+(n-1) c_{1}+c_{2}\right)+\frac{n c_{1} \theta}{R} \\
& +\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{B}}\right) 8 \theta^{2}+\frac{n c_{1} \sqrt{(n-1) K}}{R}+\left(2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\right) n K,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B=n(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)
$$

The proof of (2) in Theorem 2 is completed finally.

## Acknowledgement

This research is supported by NSFC of China (No. 11001076) and NSF of Henan Provincial Education department (No. 2010A110008).

## References

[1] E. Calabi: An extension of E.Hopf's maximum principle with application to Riemannian geometry. Duke Math. J. 25 (1957) 45-46.
[2] L. Chen, W.Y. Chen: Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 35 (2009) 397-404.
[3] L. Chen, W.Y. Chen: Gradient estimates for positive smooth $f$-harmonic functions. Acta Math. Sci. 30(B) (2010) 1614-1618.
[4] S.Y. Cheng, S.T. Yau: Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. 28 (1975) 333-354.
[5] Z.M. Guo, J.C. Wei: Hausdorff dimension of ruptures for solutions of a semilinear equation with singular nonlinearity. Manuscripta Math. 120 (2006) 193-209.
[6] S.Y. Hsu: Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation under Ricci. arXiv: 0806.4004.
[7] G.Y. Huang, B.Q. Ma: Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifolds. Arch. Math. (Basel) 94 (2010) 265-275.
[8] J.Y. Li: Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities for nonlinear parabolic and nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. 100 (1991) 233-256.
[9] X.D. Li: Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds. J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005) 1295-1361.
[10] L. Ma: Gradient estimates for a simple elliptic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. 241 (2006) 374-382.
[11] L. Ma, B.Y. Liu: Convexity of the first eigenfunction of the drifting Laplacian operator and its applications. New York J. Math. 14 (2008) 393-401.
[12] L. Ma, B.Y. Liu: Convex eigenfunction of a drifting Laplacian operator and the fundamental gap. Pacific J. Math. 240 (2009) 343-361.
[13] Z.M. Qian: A comparison theorem for an elliptic operator. Potential Analysis 8 (1998) 137-142.
[14] G.F. Wei, W. Wylie: Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. J. Differential Geometry 83 (2009) 377-405.
[15] Y.Y. Yang: Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008) 4095-4102.
[16] Y.Y. Yang: Gradient estimates for the equation $\Delta u+c u^{-\alpha}=0$ on Riemannian manifolds. Acta. Math. Sin. 26(B) (2010) 1177-1182.

Authors' address:
Department of Mathematics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, Henan, P.R. China
E-mail: bqma@henannu.edu.cn

Received: 6 March, 2011
Accepted for publication: 13 September, 2011
Communicated by: Geoff Prince


[^0]:    2010 MSC: Primary 58J05, Secondary 35J60.
    Key words: Gradient estimates, Positive solution, Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor.

