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#### Abstract

The existence of solutions for boundary value problems for a nonlinear discrete system involving the $p$-Laplacian is investigated. The approach is based on critical point theory.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}$ be the set of all natural numbers, integers and real numbers, respectively. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathbb{Z}(a)=\{a, a+1, \ldots\}, \mathbb{Z}(a, b)=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ when $a \leqslant b$. Consider the boundary value problem for nonlinear discrete systems involving the $p$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)+\lambda \nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(M+1)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter, $M>1$ is a fixed positive integer, $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta u(t)=u(t+1)-u(t), \phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s, 1<p<\infty$ and $F: \mathbb{Z}(0, M) \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differential in $x$ for every $t \in \mathbb{Z}(0, M)$.

As is known, the critical-point theory is an important tool when dealing with the existence of solutions of differential equations (see [8]-[14], [18]). For difference

[^0]equations, there have also been some results (see [1]-[6], [15], [16], [19]). In particular, by using the Linking Theorem, Guo and Yu have successfully proved the existence of periodic solutions for the difference equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} x(t-1)+f(t, x(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

when either $f(t, y)$ is superlinear in the second variable $y$ or $f(t, y)$ is sublinear in the second variable in [5] and [6], respectively. In [19], Zhou, Yu and Guo generalized such results to discrete systems. In [15], by the Local Linking Theorem, and in [16], by the Saddle Point Theorem, Xue and Tang proved the existence of periodic solutions for discrete systems. Especially, in [1], by a suitable version of Clark's Theorem, in the case $p=2$ and $m=1$, Bai and Xu have established

Theorem A. Assume that the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right) f:[0, M+1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ there exists an $\alpha>0$ such that $f(t, \alpha)=0$ and $f(t, x)>0$ for $x \in(0, \alpha)$;
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right) f(t, x)$ is odd in $x$.
Then there exists a $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that if $\lambda>\lambda^{*}$, (1.1)-(1.2) with $p=2$ has at least $M$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions. Furthermore, each solution $u$ satisfies $|u(t)| \leqslant \alpha, t \in \mathbb{Z}(0, M+1)$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x)=(t-M)|x|^{2}+M|x|^{3 / 2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We verify that $F$ does not satisfy condition $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$. In fact, when $m=1$, then

$$
f(t, x)=\frac{\partial F(t, x)}{\partial x}=2(t-M) x+\frac{3}{2} M x^{1 / 2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

Furthermore, when $x \in(0, \infty)$ and $t=M$, we have

$$
f(M, x)=\frac{3 M}{2} x^{1 / 2}>0
$$

which shows that there is no $\alpha>0$ such that $f(M, \alpha)=0$. Therefore, it is worth while to further study the existence of multiple solutions to system (1.1)-(1.2).

Moreover, in [17], we have also treated system (1.1)-(1.2) with $p=2$ by using Clark's Theorem. However, now we find that the results in [17] can be done better.

In this paper, by using the critical point theorems, we obtain, also for the one dimensional case, some solvability conditions for system (1.1)-(1.2). To be precise,
for $\lambda$ large enough and under suitable growth conditions on $F$, we establish the existence of at least $m M$ solutions to system (1.1)-(1.2) (Theorem 3.1). On the other hand, for $\lambda>0$, under coercivity conditions, at least one solution can be guaranteed (Theorem 3.2). Moreover, some useful consequences of our main results are pointed out (Corollaries 3.1-3.4). Finally, some examples of applications of our results, involving functions like $F$ in (1.4), are given.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notation and lemmas, which come from [1], [11], and [19].

In the following statement, for any $m \in \mathbb{N},(\cdot, \cdot)$ will denote the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ defined by

$$
(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i} \cdot v_{i}, \quad \forall u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

and $|\cdot|$ will denote the corresponding norm in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, i.e.

$$
|u|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

Let $S$ be the set of sequences

$$
u=(u(0), u(1), \ldots, u(M+1))=\{u(t)\}_{t=0}^{M+1}
$$

where $u(t)=\left(u_{t 1}, \ldots, u_{t m}\right)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For any $u, v \in S, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a u+b v$ is defined by

$$
a u+b v:=\{a u(t)+b v(t)\}_{t=0}^{M+1} .
$$

Then $S$ is a vector space.
For any given positive integer $M, E_{M}$ is defined as a subspace of $S$ by

$$
E_{M}=\{u=\{u(t)\} \in S: u(0)=u(M+1)=0\}
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad \forall u \in E_{M}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\left(E_{M},\|\cdot\|\right)$ is a Banach space and $\operatorname{dim} E_{M}=m M$.

Let $X$ be a real Banach space. For $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, we say that $\varphi$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (henceforth denoted by (PS)) if any sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\} \subset X$ for which $\varphi\left(u_{m}\right)$ is bounded and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

Denote by $\theta$ the zero element of $X$. $\Sigma$ indicates the family of sets $A \subset X \backslash\{\theta\}$ where $A$ is closed in $X$ and symmetric with respect to $\theta$, i.e. $u \in A$ implies $-u \in A$.

Now, we state the main tools used to investigate system (1.1)-(1.2).
Lemma 2.1 (see [8]). Assume that $\varphi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below (above) and satisfies the (PS) condition. Then

$$
c=\inf _{u \in E} \varphi(u) \quad\left(c=\sup _{u \in E} \varphi(u)\right)
$$

is a critical value of $\varphi$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [11, Theorem 9.1]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $\varphi$ an even function belonging to $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(\theta)=0$, bounded from below and satisfying the (PS) condition. Suppose that there is a set $K \in \Sigma$ such that $K$ is homeomorphic to $S^{j-1}\left(j-1\right.$ dimension unit sphere) by an odd map and $\sup _{K} \varphi<0$. Then $\varphi$ has at least $j$ distinct pairs of nonzero critical points.

## 3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. For any $u \in E_{M}$,

$$
\frac{1}{(2 p M)^{p}}\|u\|^{p} \leqslant \sum_{t=0}^{M}|\Delta u(t)|^{p} \leqslant 2^{p}\|u\|^{p}
$$

Proof. It follows from $u(0)=u(M+1)=0$ and the Hölder inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=0}^{M}|\Delta u(t)|^{p} & =\sum_{t=0}^{M}|u(t+1)-u(t)|^{p} \leqslant \sum_{t=0}^{M}(|u(t+1)|+|u(t)|)^{p} \\
& \leqslant 2^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{M}|u(t+1)|^{p}+2^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{M}|u(t)|^{p} \\
& =2^{p-1} \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p}+2^{p-1} \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p}=2^{p}\|u\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the right-hand side has been proved. In order to prove the left-hand side, we need the inequality

$$
\left|x^{p}-y^{p}\right| \leqslant p|x-y|\left(x^{p-1}+y^{p-1}\right) \quad \text { for all } x \geqslant 0, y \geqslant 0
$$

which is an immediate consequence of the Lagrange differential mean value theorem (or see [7]).

Since for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}(0, M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta|u(s)|^{p} & =|u(s+1)|^{p}-|u(s)|^{p} \\
& \leqslant p| | u(s+1)|-|u(s)||\left(|u(s+1)|^{p-1}+|u(s)|^{p-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant p|u(s+1)-u(s)|\left(|u(s+1)|^{p-1}+|u(s)|^{p-1}\right) \\
& =p|\Delta u(s)|\left(|u(s+1)|^{p-1}+|u(s)|^{p-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

thus by the Hölder inequality and $u(0)=u(M+1)=0$ we obtain that for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(t)|^{p}=\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \Delta|u(s)|^{p}= & \sum_{s=0}^{t-1}\left[p|\Delta u(s)||u(s+1)|^{p-1}+p|\Delta u(s)||u(s)|^{p-1}\right] \\
\leqslant & \sum_{s=0}^{M} p|\Delta u(s)||u(s+1)|^{p-1}+\sum_{s=0}^{M} p|\Delta u(s)||u(s)|^{p-1} \\
\leqslant & p\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|\Delta u(s)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|u(s+1)|^{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
& +p\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|\Delta u(s)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|u(s)|^{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
= & 2 p\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|\Delta u(s)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{s=1}^{M}|u(s)|^{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we get

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p} \leqslant 2 p M\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|\Delta u(s)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \cdot\left(\sum_{s=1}^{M}|u(s)|^{p}\right)^{(p-1) / p}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant 2 p M\left(\sum_{s=0}^{M}|\Delta u(s)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

from which, one has

$$
\frac{1}{(2 p M)^{p}}\|u\|^{p} \leqslant \sum_{t=0}^{M}|\Delta u(t)|^{p},
$$

and our conclusion is proved.

Lemma 3.2. For any $u, v \in E_{M}$, the following useful equality holds:

$$
-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(\Delta\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right), v(t)\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right)
$$

Proof. In fact, it follows from $u(0)=u(M+1)=v(0)=v(M+1)=0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(\Delta\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right), v(t)\right)=-\sum_{t=1}^{M} \Delta\left(|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p-2} \Delta u(t-1), v(t)\right) \\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2} \Delta u(t)-|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p-2} \Delta u(t-1), v(t)\right) \\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t)\right) \\
&+\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p-2}(u(t)-u(t-1)), v(t)\right) \\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t)\right)+\left(|u(1)|^{p-2} u(1), v(1)\right) \\
&+\sum_{t=2}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t-1)|^{p-2}(u(t)-u(t-1)), v(t)\right) \\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t)\right)+\left(|u(1)|^{p-2} u(1), v(1)\right) \\
&+\sum_{t=1}^{M-1}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t+1)\right) \\
&=-\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t)\right)+\left(|u(1)|^{p-2} u(1), v(1)\right) \\
&+\sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), v(t+1)\right) \quad(\text { since } v(M+1)=0) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2}(u(t+1)-u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right. \\
&+\left(|u(1)-u(0)|^{p-2}(u(1)-u(0)), v(1)-v(0)\right) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{M}\left(|\Delta u(t)|^{p-2} \Delta u(t), \Delta v(t)\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

In Theorem 3.1 below, we will assume that $F(t, x)$ satisfies the following conditions: ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(0, M), F(t, 0)=0$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), F(t, x)$ is even in $x$;
$\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ there exists $r>0$ such that, for all $u \in E_{M}$ with $\|u\|=r, \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))>0$.
Consider the functional $\varphi$ defined on $E_{M}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u)=\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left[\frac{1}{p}|\Delta u(t)|^{p}-\lambda F(t, u(t))\right]=\sum_{t=0}^{M} \frac{1}{p}|\Delta u(t)|^{p}-\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the functional $\varphi$ on $E_{M}$ is continuously differentiable. Moreover, since for any $u, v \in E_{M}, v(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle & =\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left[\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right)-\lambda(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t))\right] \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right)-\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u, v \in E_{M}$ (see [9]). Then $u \in E_{M}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t)), \Delta v(t)\right)=\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $v$, we conclude that

$$
\Delta\left(\phi_{p}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)+\lambda \nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

Since $u \in E_{M}$, we have $u(0)=u(M+1)=0$ and hence $u \in E_{M}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ if and only if $u$ satisfies system (1.1)-(1.2). Thus the problem of finding the solutions to system (1.1)-(1.2) is reducing to that of seeking the critical points of the functional $\varphi$ on $E_{M}$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ and the condition ( $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ )

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

Then, if $\lambda>2^{p} r^{p} /(p \delta)$ with $\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))$, system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Proof. By virtue of $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$, it is easy to verify that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\varphi(\cdot)$ is even. Next, we show that $\varphi$ is coercive, that is $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u)=\infty$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ with $\varepsilon<1 /\left(\lambda p(2 p M)^{p}\right)$, by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$, there is a $\varrho_{1}>0$ such that $F(t, x) \leqslant \varepsilon|x|^{p}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $|x|>\varrho_{1}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Let $a_{\varrho_{1}}=\max \{|F(t, x)|: t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), x \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{m},|x| \leqslant \varrho_{1}\right\}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leqslant \varepsilon|x|^{p}+a_{\varrho_{1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. If there is a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E_{M}$ and a constant $c$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant c, n=1,2, \ldots$, then by Lemma 3.1 and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \geqslant \frac{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} & =\frac{1}{p} \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{M}\left|\Delta u_{n}(t)\right|^{p}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}-\frac{\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M} F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p(2 p M)^{p}}-\frac{\lambda}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}} \sum_{t=1}^{M}\left(\varepsilon\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p}+a_{\varrho_{1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p(2 p M)^{p}}-\lambda \varepsilon-\frac{\lambda M a_{\varrho_{1}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have $1 /\left(p(2 p M)^{p}\right)-\lambda \varepsilon \leqslant 0$, which contradicts $\varepsilon<$ $1 /\left(\lambda p(2 p M)^{p}\right)$. Therefore, $\varphi$ is coercive. Furthermore, it is easy to observe that $\varphi$ is bounded from below and the (PS) condition follows at once from the coercivity of $I$, as the space $E_{M}$ has finite dimension.

Define

$$
K=\left\{u \in E_{M}:\|u\|=r\right\}
$$

We can find that $0 \notin K$ and $K$ is closed in $E_{M}$ and symmetric with respect to 0 . It is clear that $K$ is homeomorphic to $S^{m M-1}$ by an odd map.

Clearly, by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$, we get $\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))>0$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 and $\lambda>2^{p} r^{p} /(p \delta)$ that, for any $u \in K$,

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{M}|\Delta u(t)|^{p}-\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) \leqslant \frac{2^{p}}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) \leqslant \frac{2^{p} r^{p}}{p}-\lambda \delta<0 .
$$

Thus all the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied and then $\varphi$ has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of nonzero critical points. Consequently, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions. Thus we have completed the proof.

Remark 3.1. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is easy to know that if $F(t, x)$ satisfies only the condition $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$, then $\varphi$ is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS) condition. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, if $\lambda>0$, then (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ and the following condition:
( $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) there exists $\alpha \in[0, p)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\alpha}}<\infty \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

Then, if $\lambda>2^{p} r^{p} /(p \delta)$ with $\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))$, system (1.1)-(1.2) has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Proof. For every $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, put

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\alpha}}=A(t)
$$

Then by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{4}\right)$, the inequality $A(t)<\infty$ holds for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$.
Now, we distinguish two cases.
Case (i): If for every $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), A(t)>-\infty$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\varrho_{2}(t)>0$ such that $F(t, x) \leqslant(A(t)+\varepsilon)|x|^{\alpha}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $|x|>\varrho_{2}(t)$. Moreover, let

$$
a_{\varrho_{2}}(t)=\max \left\{|F(t, x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{m},|x| \leqslant \varrho_{2}(t)\right\} .
$$

Then, for every $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, we have

$$
F(t, x) \leqslant|A(t)+\varepsilon||x|^{\alpha}+a_{\varrho_{2}}(t) \leqslant\left(A_{1}+\varepsilon\right)|x|^{\alpha}+a_{\varrho_{2}},
$$

where

$$
A_{1}=\max _{t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)}|A(t)|, \quad a_{\varrho_{2}}=\max _{t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)} a_{\varrho_{2}}(t) .
$$

Since $\alpha<p$, we have

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leqslant 0
$$

which shows that $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ in Theorem 3.1 holds.
Case (ii): If there exist $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)(1 \leqslant k \leqslant M)$ such that $A\left(t_{i}\right)=-\infty$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, then for any $G_{1}>0$ there exists $\varrho_{3}\left(t_{i}\right)>0$ such that $F\left(t_{i}, x\right) \leqslant-G_{1}|x|^{\alpha}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \geqslant \varrho_{3}\left(t_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
a_{\varrho_{3}}\left(t_{i}\right)=\max \left\{\left|F\left(t_{i}, x\right)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{m},|x| \leqslant \varrho_{3}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then we have, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{i}, x\right) \leqslant G_{1}|x|^{\alpha}+a_{\varrho_{3}}\left(t_{i}\right) \leqslant G_{1}|x|^{\alpha}+a_{\varrho_{3}}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}(1, k), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\varrho_{3}}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k} a_{\varrho_{3}}\left(t_{i}\right)$.
For $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$, since $A(t)>-\infty$, similarly to the argument in case (i) we can get, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leqslant\left(A_{2}+\varepsilon\right)|x|^{\alpha}+a_{\varrho_{4}}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & =\max \left\{|A(t)|: t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}\right\}, \\
a_{\varrho_{4}} & =\max \left\{a_{\varrho_{4}}(t): t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), it is easy to obtain that there exist $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \leqslant C_{1}|x|^{\alpha}+C_{2}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we also get

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leqslant 0
$$

which shows that $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ holds. By Theorem 3.1, we complete the proof.
Remark 3.2. Corollary 3.1 shows that when $p=2$, Theorem 3.1 in [17] coincides with our Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ and the following condition:
( $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ) there exist $\mu \in(0, p)$ and $R>0$ such that

$$
(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leqslant \mu F(t, x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$.
Then, if $\lambda>2^{p} r^{p} /(p \delta)$ with $\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)),(1.1)-(1.2)$ has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions.

Proof. Choose $R_{1}$ such that $R_{1}>R$. Similarly to the argument in [12], for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} /\{0\}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(s)=F(t, s x), \quad Q(s)=y^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\mu}{s} y(s), \forall s \geqslant \frac{R_{1}}{|x|} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(s)=\frac{1}{s}[(\nabla F(t, s x), s x)-\mu F(t, s x)] \leqslant 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geqslant R_{1} /|x|$. It follows from (3.7) that $y(s)=F(t, s x)$ is a solution of the first order linear ordinary differential equation

$$
y^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\mu}{s} y(s)+Q(s)
$$

which implies that

$$
F(t, s x)=s^{\mu}\left(\int_{1}^{s} r^{-\mu} Q(r) \mathrm{d} r+F(t, x)\right)
$$

for $s \geqslant R_{1} /|x|$. Moreover, by the continuity of $F(t, x)$ and (3.8), we have

$$
C_{3} \geqslant F\left(t, R_{1} x /|x|\right) \geqslant\left(R_{1} /|x|\right)^{\mu} F(t, x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \geqslant R_{1}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, where

$$
C_{3}=\max \left\{|F(t, x)|: t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M),|x| \leqslant R_{1}\right\} .
$$

Hence,

$$
F(t, x) \leqslant \frac{C_{3}}{R_{1}^{\mu}}|x|^{\mu}+C_{3}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Since $\mu<p$, this implies

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}} \leqslant 0
$$

which shows that $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ holds. By Theorem 3.1, we complete the proof.
Remark 3.3. If $F(t, x)$ satisfies either condition $\left(I_{4}\right)$ or $\left(I_{5}\right)$, then $\varphi$ is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS) condition. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, if $\lambda>0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $F$ satisfies $F(0,0)=0$ and the following condition:
( $\mathrm{I}_{6}$ ) there exists a constant $\beta>p$ such that

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\beta}}>0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

Then, if $\lambda>0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.
Proof. For every $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, put

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\beta}}=B(t)
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{I}_{6}\right), B(t)>0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$ and $B_{1}=\min _{t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)} B(t)>0$.
Now, we distinguish two cases.
Case (i): If $B(t)<\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, then for any $0<\varepsilon<B_{1}$ there exists $\varrho_{5}(t)>0$ such that $F(t, x) \geqslant(B(t)-\varepsilon)|x|^{\beta}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \geqslant \varrho_{5}(t)$. Let

$$
a_{\varrho_{5}}(t)=\max \left\{|F(t, x)|:|x| \leqslant \varrho_{5}(t)\right\} .
$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant(B(t)-\varepsilon)|x|^{\beta}-(B(t)-\varepsilon) \varrho_{5}^{\beta}(t)-a_{\varrho_{5}}(t) \geqslant\left(B_{1}-\varepsilon\right)|x|^{\beta}-a_{\varrho_{5}},
$$

where

$$
a_{\varrho_{5}}=\max _{t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)}\left\{(B(t)-\varepsilon) \varrho_{5}^{\beta}(t)+a_{\varrho_{5}}(t)\right\} .
$$

Case (ii): If there exist $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)(1 \leqslant k \leqslant M)$ such that $B\left(t_{i}\right)=\infty$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$, then for any $G_{2}>0$ there exists $\varrho_{6}\left(t_{i}\right)>0$ such that $F\left(t_{i}, x\right) \geqslant G_{2}|x|^{\beta}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>\varrho_{6}\left(t_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
a_{\varrho_{6}}\left(t_{i}\right)=\max \left\{\left|F\left(t_{i}, x\right)\right|: x \in \mathbb{R}^{m},|x| \leqslant \varrho_{6}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then we obtain that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{i}, x\right) \geqslant G_{2}|x|^{\beta}-G_{2} \varrho_{6}^{\beta}\left(t_{i}\right)-a_{\varrho_{6}}\left(t_{i}\right) \geqslant G_{2}|x|^{\beta}-a_{\varrho_{6}}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}(1, k), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\varrho_{6}}=\max _{i \in \mathbb{Z}(1, k)}\left\{G_{2} \varrho_{6}^{\beta}\left(t_{i}\right)+a_{\varrho_{6}}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}$.
For $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}$, since $B(t)<\infty$, similarly to the argument in case (i), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $0<\varepsilon<B_{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geqslant\left(B_{2}-\varepsilon\right)|x|^{\beta}-a_{\varrho_{7}}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B_{2}=\min \left\{|B(t)|: t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}\right\}>0
$$

and

$$
a_{\varrho_{7}}=\max \left\{(B(t)-\varepsilon) \varrho_{7}^{\beta}(t)+a_{\varrho_{7}}(t): t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M) /\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right\}\right\}
$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), it is easy to obtain that there exist $C_{4}>0$ and $C_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geqslant C_{4}|x|^{\beta}-C_{5}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the cases (i) and (ii) imply that there exist $C_{6}>0$ and $C_{7}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geqslant C_{6}|x|^{\beta}-C_{7} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p} \leqslant M^{1-p / \beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{\beta}\right)^{p / \beta}
$$

Consequently, since $\beta>p, \lambda>0$, and bearing in mind (3.12) and Lemma 3.1, for all $u \in E_{M}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=0}^{M}|\Delta u(t)|^{p}-\lambda \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{p}}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda C_{6} \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{\beta}+\lambda M C_{7} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{p}}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda C_{6} M^{1-\beta / p}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{p}\right)^{\beta / p}+\lambda M C_{7} \\
& =\frac{2^{p}}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda C_{6} M^{1-\beta / p}\|u\|^{\beta}+\lambda M C_{7} \rightarrow-\infty \quad(\text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $I$ is bounded from above and the (PS) sequence must be bounded in $E_{M}$. Then the (PS) sequence has a convergent subsequence, since $E_{M}$ has finite dimension. Hence, $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. By Lemma 2.1, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution. We have completed the proof.

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $F(0,0)=0$ and the following assumptions:
( $\mathrm{I}_{7}$ ) there exist $\gamma>0$ and $L>0$ such that

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant \gamma|x|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>L$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$;
( $\mathrm{I}_{8}$ ) there exists $\mu>p$ such that

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{p}} \leqslant 0
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$.
Then, if $\lambda>0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.
Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 1 in [13]. By ( $\mathrm{I}_{8}$ ), there is a constant $R_{2}>L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leqslant D_{1}|x|^{p} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R_{2}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, where $D_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu-p) \gamma$. By the continuity of $F$ and $\nabla F$, there exists a constant $D_{2}$ such that

$$
\mu F(t, x)-(\nabla F(t, x), x) \leqslant D_{2}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \leqslant R_{2}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Hence, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla F(t, x), x) \geqslant \mu F(t, x)-D_{1}|x|^{p}-D_{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Define

$$
f(s)=F(t, s x), \quad \forall s \geqslant \frac{R_{2}}{|x|}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} /\{0\}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Then we deduce from (3.13)

$$
f^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{s}(\nabla F(t, s x), s x) \geqslant \frac{\mu}{s} F(t, s x)-D_{1} s^{p-1}|x|^{p}=\frac{\mu}{s} f(s)-D_{1} s^{p-1}|x|^{p},
$$

which implies that

$$
g(s)=f^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\mu}{s} f(s)+D_{1} s^{p-1}|x|^{p} \geqslant 0 .
$$

By solving the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=\left(\int_{R_{2} /|x|}^{s} \frac{g(r)-D_{1} r^{p-1}|x|^{p}}{r^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} r+D_{3}\right) s^{\mu} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \geqslant R_{2} /|x|$, and

$$
f\left(\frac{R_{2}}{|x|}\right)=D_{3}\left(\frac{R_{2}}{|x|}\right)^{\mu} .
$$

Then, we get

$$
D_{3}=\left(\frac{|x|}{R_{2}}\right)^{\mu} f\left(\frac{R_{2}}{|x|}\right) .
$$

By (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s) & =\left[\int_{R_{2} /|x|}^{s} \frac{g(r)-D_{1} r^{p-1}|x|^{p}}{r^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} r+D_{3}\right] s^{\mu} \\
& =\left[\int_{R_{2} /|x|}^{s} \frac{g(r)}{r^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} r-D_{1}|x|^{p} \int_{R_{2} /|x|}^{s} r^{p-1-\mu} \mathrm{d} r+D_{3}\right] s^{\mu} \\
& \geqslant D_{3} s^{\mu}+\left[\frac{D_{1}|x|^{p}}{\mu-p} s^{p-\mu}-\frac{D_{1}}{(\mu-p) R_{2}^{\mu-p}}|x|^{\mu}\right] s^{\mu} \\
& \geqslant\left[R_{2}^{-\mu} f\left(\frac{R_{2}}{|x|}\right)-\frac{D_{1}}{(\mu-p) R_{2}^{\mu-p}}\right]|x|^{\mu} s^{\mu} \\
& =\left[R_{2}^{-\mu} F\left(t, \frac{R_{2}}{|x|} x\right) \frac{D_{1}}{(\mu-p) R_{2}^{\mu-p}}\right]|x|^{\mu} s^{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
F(t, x)=f(1) \geqslant\left[R_{2}^{-\mu} F\left(t, \frac{R_{2}}{|x|} x\right)-\frac{D_{1}}{(\mu-p) R_{2}^{\mu-p}}\right]|x|^{\mu}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R_{2}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. By ( $\mathrm{I}_{7}$ ), the above inequlity and $D_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu-p) \gamma$, we have

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant D_{4}|x|^{\mu}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R_{2}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, where $D_{4}=\left(\gamma-\left(D_{1} /(\mu-p)\right) \times\right.$ $R_{2}^{p-\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma R_{2}^{p-\mu}>0$. Because of the continuity of $F(t, x)$, there is a positive constant $D_{5}$ such that

$$
|F(t, x)| \leqslant D_{5}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \leqslant R_{2}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Let

$$
D_{6}=D_{4} R_{2}^{\mu}+D_{5}
$$

Then we have

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant D_{4}|x|^{\mu}-D_{6}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\mu}} \geqslant D_{4}>0
$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.2 with $\beta=\mu$, we complete the proof.
The next result involves the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies $F(0,0)=0$ and the $(A R)$ condition, that is
( $\mathrm{I}_{9}$ ) there exists $\xi>p$ and $K>0$ such that

$$
0<\xi F(t, x) \leqslant(\nabla F(t, x), x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>K$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$.
Then, if $\lambda>0$, (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution.
Proof. Choose $R_{3}$ such that $R_{3}>K$. Define

$$
f(s)=F(t, s x), \quad \forall s \geqslant \frac{R_{3}}{|x|}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} /\{0\}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Then we deduce from ( $\mathrm{I}_{9}$ )

$$
f^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{s}(\nabla F(t, s x), s x) \geqslant \frac{\xi}{s} F(t, s x)=\frac{\xi}{s} f(s),
$$

which implies that

$$
g(s)=f^{\prime}(s)-\frac{\xi}{s} f(s) \geqslant 0
$$

By solving the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=\left(\int_{R_{3} /|x|}^{s} \frac{g(r)}{r^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} r+D_{7}\right) s^{\xi} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \geqslant R_{3} /|x|$, and

$$
f\left(\frac{R_{3}}{|x|}\right)=D_{7}\left(\frac{R_{3}}{|x|}\right)^{\xi} .
$$

Then, we get

$$
D_{7}=\left(\frac{|x|}{R_{3}}\right)^{\xi} f\left(\frac{R_{3}}{|x|}\right) .
$$

By (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s) & =\left[\int_{R_{3} /|x|}^{s} \frac{g(r)}{r^{\xi}} \mathrm{d} r+D_{7}\right] s^{\xi} \\
& \geqslant D_{7} s^{\xi} \geqslant R_{3}^{-\xi} f\left(\frac{R_{3}}{|x|}\right)|x|^{\xi} s^{\xi}=R_{3}^{-\xi} F\left(t, \frac{R_{3}}{|x|} x\right)|x|^{\xi} s^{\xi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
F(t, x)=f(1) \geqslant R_{3}^{-\xi} F\left(t, \frac{R_{3}}{|x|} x\right)|x|^{\xi}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R_{3}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. By ( $\left.\mathrm{I}_{9}\right)$, we know that $F(t, y)>0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|y|=R_{3}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Hence, the above inequality implies that

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant D_{8}|x|^{\xi}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x|>R_{3}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$, where $D_{8}=R_{3}^{-\xi} \min _{|y|=R_{3}} F(t, y)>0$. By the continuity of $F(t, x)$, there is a constant $D_{9}>0$ such that

$$
|F(t, x)| \leqslant D_{9}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ with $|x| \leqslant R_{3}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)$. Let

$$
D_{10}=D_{8} R_{3}^{\xi}+D_{9}
$$

Then we have

$$
F(t, x) \geqslant D_{8}|x|^{\xi}-D_{10} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\liminf \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{\xi}} \geqslant D_{8}>0
$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.2 with $\beta=\xi$, we complete the proof.

## 4. Examples

In this section, some examples will be given to illustrate our results.
Example 4.1. Consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u(t-1)+\lambda \nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M),  \tag{4.1}\\
u(0)=u(M+1)=0, \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F$ is defined by (1.4). Then $p=2$. It is easy to see that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, since

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{2}\right)^{3 / 4} \leqslant \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{3 / 2},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) & =\sum_{t=1}^{M}(t-M)|u(t)|^{2}+M \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{3 / 2}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \geqslant(1-M) \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{2}+M\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{2}\right)^{3 / 4} \\
& =(1-M)\|u\|^{2}+M\|u\|^{3 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, there exists $0<r<M^{2} /(M-1)^{2}$ such that for all $u \in E_{M}$ with $\|u\|=r$, $\sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))>0$. Thus $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ is verified. It is easy to obtain that

$$
\limsup _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{2}}=t-M \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

which implies ( $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ) holds. By (4.3), we have

$$
\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) \geqslant \inf _{\|u\|=r}\left\{(1-M)\|u\|^{2}+M\|u\|^{3 / 2}\right\}=(1-M) r^{2}+M r^{3 / 2}
$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, we know that if $\lambda>2 r^{2} /\left((1-M) r^{2}+M r^{3 / 2}\right)=2 \sqrt{r} \times$ $((1-M) \sqrt{r}+M)^{-1}$, system (4.1)-(4.2) has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of solutions.

Example 4.2. Consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta\left(\phi_{4}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)+\lambda \nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M),  \tag{4.4}\\
u(0)=u(M+1)=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
F(t, x)=(t-M)|x|^{4}+M|x|^{7 / 2}
$$

It is easy to see that $F$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, since

$$
\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{4}\right)^{7 / 8} \leqslant \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{7 / 2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) & \geqslant \sum_{t=1}^{M}(t-M)|u(t)|^{4}+M \sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{7 / 2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \geqslant(1-M)\|u\|^{4}+M\left(\sum_{t=1}^{M}|u(t)|^{4}\right)^{7 / 8} \\
& =(1-M)\|u\|^{4}+M\|u\|^{7 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, there exists $0<r<M^{2} /(M-1)^{2}$ such that for all $u \in E_{M}$ with $\|u\|=r$, $\sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t))>0$. Thus $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ is verified. It is easy to obtain that

$$
\liminf \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{4}}=t-M \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

which implies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ holds. By (4.6), we have

$$
\delta=\inf _{\|u\|=r} \sum_{t=1}^{M} F(t, u(t)) \geqslant \inf _{\|u\|=r}\left\{(1-M)\|u\|^{4}+M\|u\|^{7 / 2}\right\}=(1-M) r^{4}+M r^{7 / 2}
$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, we know that if $\lambda>4 r^{4} /\left((1-M) r^{4}+M r^{7 / 2}\right)=4 \sqrt{r} \times$ $((1-M) \sqrt{r}+M)^{-1}$, system (4.5)-(4.6) has at least $m M$ distinct pairs of solutions.

Example 4.3. Consider the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta\left(\phi_{4}(\Delta u(t-1))\right)+\lambda \nabla F(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M),  \tag{4.7}\\
u(0)=u(M+1)=0, \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
F(t, x)=(M+1-t)|x|^{5}+g(t)|x|^{3}+(h(t), x),
$$

and $h: \mathbb{Z}(0, M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{5}}=M+1-t>0 \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}(1, M)
$$

Let $\beta=5$ in Theorem 3.2. Then, if $\lambda>0$, system (4.7)-(4.8) has at least one solution.
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