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The solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices was defined by E. L. Marsden. In this paper we propose a slightly simplified construction.

If $\mathscr{L}=\langle L, U, \cap, \perp, 0,1\rangle$ is an orthomodular lattice we let $\mathscr{L}^{(t+1)}$ denote the ideal of the lattice $\mathscr{L}$ generated by all elements of the form $[x, y]=(x \cup y) \cap$ $\cap\left(x^{\perp} \cup y\right) \cap\left(x \cup y^{\perp}\right) \cap\left(x^{\perp} \bigcup y^{\perp}\right)$ where $x, y \in L^{(i)}$ and $\mathscr{L}(0)=\mathscr{L}$. We shall say that $\mathscr{L}$ is solvable if there exists an $n \geqq 0$ such that $L^{(n)}=\{0\}$.

The aim of this note is the proof of the following theorem which is an equivalent of Marsden's result [3], Th. 9:

Theorem. An orthomodular lattice is solvable iff it is distributive.
Let $\mathscr{L}$ denote a uniquely complemented lattice where the mapping $\varphi: a \mid \rightarrow a^{\prime}$ is antitone. Since $\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=a$, it is easy to see that $\left(a^{\prime} \cap b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \geqq a \cup b$ and that $(a \cup b)^{\prime} \leqq a^{\prime} \cap b^{\prime}$. From this we get $(a \cup b)^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \cap b^{\prime}$ and $(a \cap b)^{\prime}=a^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime}$. We summarize these facts in a useful variant of a known result ([1], Th. 17, p. 44):

Proposition. A uniquely complemented lattice is Boolean iff the mapping $\varphi: a \mid \rightarrow a^{\prime}$ is antitone.

As an immediate consequence of this result we state (cf. [2]).
Corollary. A uniquely complemented lattice satisfying the condition $x \cap y=$ $=0 \Rightarrow x^{\prime} \leqq y$ is Boolean.
Proof. If $a \leqq b$, then $b^{\prime} \cap a=0$ and so we have $b^{\prime} \leqq a^{\prime}$.
We shall say for brevity that a lattice $\mathscr{L}$, satisfies the condition $\left(P_{i}\right), i \geqq 0$, if $\mathscr{L}$ is orthomodular and if every interval $[0 ; v], v \in L^{(i)}$, is a uniquely complemented lattice.

Lemma. If $\mathscr{L}$ satisfies $\left(P_{i}\right), i \geqq 1$, then $\mathscr{L}$ satisfies also ( $P_{i-1}$ ).
Proof (We shall use the identity (ii) of [1], p. 54, without making explicit references). Let $[0 ; u]$ be an interval of $\mathscr{L}^{(i-1)}$. Then $[0 ; u]$ is an orthomodular lattice where $a^{+}=a^{\perp} \cap u$ is the orthocomplement of $a \in[0 ; u]$. Let $a^{\star} \in[0 ; u]$ be such that $a \cup a^{\star}=1$ and $a \cap a^{\star}=0$. We shall show that $a^{\star}=a^{+}$: Denote by $\langle p, q\rangle$ the element $(p \cup q) \cap\left(p^{+} \cup q\right) \cap\left(p \cup q^{+}\right) \cap\left(p^{+} \cup q^{+}\right)$. Since $\langle p, q\rangle \leqq[p, q]$, we have $\langle p, q\rangle \in L^{(i)}$ whenever $p, q \in[0 ; u]$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=\left\langle a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right), a^{\star} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right\rangle= \\
&=\left\{\left(a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right) \cup\left(a^{\star} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right)\right\} \cap \\
& \cap\left\{\left(a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right) \cup\left(a^{\star+} \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right)\right\} \cap \\
& \cap\left\{\left(a \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right) \cup\left(a^{\star} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right)\right\} \cap \\
& \cap\left\{\left(a \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right) \cup\left(a^{\star+} \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last three members $\{\ldots\}$ are equal to $u$. Thus $f=m_{1} \cup m_{2}$ where $m_{1}=$ $=a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right), \quad m_{2}=a^{\star} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)$. Similarly we get $h=\left\langle n_{1}, n_{2}\right\rangle=$ $=n_{1} \cup n_{2}$ where $n_{1}=a \bigcap\left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right), n_{2}=a^{\star+} \cap\left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right)$. But $h, f \in L^{(i)}$ implies that also $m_{1}, m_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2} \in L^{(i)}$. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1} \cup n_{1} & =\left(a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right) \cup\left(a \cap\left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right)\right)= \\
& =\left[\left(a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)\right) \cup a\right] \cap\left(a^{+} \bigcup a^{\star}\right)= \\
& =\left(a \cup a^{+}\right) \cap\left(a \bigcup a^{\star+}\right) \cap\left(a^{+} \bigcup a^{\star}\right)= \\
& =\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right) \cap\left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right)=\xi \geqq m_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\xi \geqq \eta^{\perp}$ where $\eta^{\perp}=m_{2} \bigcup n_{1}$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right) \cap\left(a^{\star+} \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right)=a^{\star+} \\
& \left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right) \bigcap\left(a^{+} \cup\left(a \cap a^{\star+}\right)\right)=a^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi \cap \eta=\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right) \cap\left(a^{+} \cup a^{\star}\right) \cap\left(a^{\star+} \cup\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)\right) \cap\left(a^{+} \cup\left(a \cap a^{\star+}\right)\right)= \\
=a^{\star+} \cap a^{+}=\left(a \cup a^{\star}\right)^{+}=u^{+}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

By orthomodularity, $\xi=\eta^{\perp}$ and thus $m_{2} \bigcup n_{1}=\xi$. We have also $m_{1} \cap n_{1} \leqq$ $\leqq a^{+} \cap a=0, m_{2} \cap n_{1} \leqq a^{\star} \cap a=0$. Since $[0 ; \xi]$ is uniquely complemented it follows that $m_{1}=m_{2}=m_{1} \cap m_{2}=\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right) \cap\left(a^{+} \cap a^{\star}\right)^{+}=0$. So we have $m_{1}=a^{+} \cap\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)=0, \quad a^{+} \geqq\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)^{+}$and the orthomodularity implies that $a^{+}=\left(a \cup a^{\star+}\right)^{+}=a^{+} \cap a^{\star} \leqq a^{\star}$. From $m_{2}=0$ we obtain similarly $a^{\star} \leqq a^{+}$and the lemma is proved.
Proof of Theorem. If $\mathscr{L}$ is orthomodular and $L^{(n)}=\{0\}$, then the condition $\left(P_{n}\right)$ holds and, by Lemma, the condition $\left(P_{0}\right)$ holds also. Since $1 \in L, \mathscr{L}$ is a uniquely complemented lattice where $k^{\perp}$ is the complement of $k \in L$ and since $\mathscr{L}$ is an ortholattice, the mapping $\varphi: k \mid \rightarrow k^{\dot{L}}$ is antitone.
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