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# DICHOTOMIES FOR $\mathbf{C}_{0}(X)$ AND $\mathbf{C}_{b}(X)$ SPACES 
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Abstract. Jachymski showed that the set

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}:\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(i) x(i) y(i)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

is either a meager subset of $\mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}$ or is equal to $\mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}$. In the paper we generalize this result by considering more general spaces than $\mathbf{c}_{0}$, namely $\mathbf{C}_{0}(X)$, the space of all continuous functions which vanish at infinity, and $\mathbf{C}_{b}(X)$, the space of all continuous bounded functions. Moreover, we replace the meagerness by $\sigma$-porosity.
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## 1. Introduction

Among linear topological spaces there are spaces $X$ consisting of sequences or functions such that a natural multiplication is defined on pairs $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X^{2}$, however, its result need not necessarily belong to $X$. It is an interesting question about the size of the set of such "bad" pairs, for example from the Baire category point of view. Such a kind of studies was initiated in [1] and [5]. Balcerzak and Wachowicz [1] proved that the set

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}:\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x(i) y(i)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

is a meager subset of $\mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}$. This result was generalized by Jachymski in [5]:
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Theorem 1.1 [5]. Assume that $\alpha$ is any sequence of reals and let

$$
E:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}:\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(i) x(i) y(i)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $E$ is meager in $\mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}$;
(ii) $E \neq \mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}$;
(iii) $\alpha \notin l_{1}$, that is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\alpha(n)|=\infty$.

A natural question arise whether the above result can be further generalized, by considering more general spaces and replacing Baire category by $\sigma$-porosity. In this paper we give an affirmative answer to this question. The major idea is that we can consider $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(i) x(i) y(i)$ as an integral of the function $\alpha x y$ over the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with respect to the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$. Accordingly, we will consider the set $E$ of pairs $(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0}(X) \times \mathbf{C}_{0}(X)$ (or $\left.(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{b}(X) \times \mathbf{C}_{b}(X)\right)$ with a bounded sequence of integrals $\left(\int_{D_{n}}(f g h) \mathrm{d} \mu\right)$ for some fixed sequence $\left(D_{n}\right)$ and fixed function $h$. We will show that $E$ is equal to $\mathbf{C}_{0}(X) \times \mathbf{C}_{0}(X)$ (or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{b}(X) \times \mathbf{C}_{b}(X)\right)$, if $\sup \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$ or $E$ is small (namely, $\sigma$-porous), if $\sup \int_{D_{n}}|h|=\infty$.

We would like to mention that Balcerzak and Wachowicz in [1] showed also that the set $\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{L}^{1}[0,1] \times \mathbf{L}^{1}[0,1]: f \cdot g \in \mathbf{L}^{1}[0,1]\right\}$ is a meager subset of $\mathbf{L}^{1}[0,1] \times \mathbf{L}^{1}[0,1]$, and that this result was also extended by Jachymski in [5] (he considered general $\mathbf{L}^{p}(X)$ spaces and obtained a dichotomy analogous to that in Theorem 1.1).

In fact, Jachymski's results are applications of his nonlinear version of the BanachSteinhaus principle. At first we were interested in finding a generalization of this result in the direction of porosity, but it turned out that this is not possible (cf. [3]). That is why we decided to investigate the possibility of generalizing its applications. In particular, in [3] we extended the result from [5] connected with this $\mathbf{L}^{p}(X)$ spaces.

## 2. Notation and basic facts

Let $X$ be a metric space. $B(x, R)$ stands for the open ball with a radius $R$ centered at a point $x$. Let $\alpha \in(0,1]$. We say that $M \subset X$ is $\alpha$-lower porous [7] if

$$
\forall x \in M \liminf _{R \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\gamma(x, M, R)}{R} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{2}
$$

where

$$
\gamma(x, M, R)=\sup \{r \geqslant 0: \exists z \in X, B(z, r) \subset B(x, R) \backslash M\}
$$

Clearly, $M$ is $\alpha$-lower porous iff

$$
\forall x \in M \forall \beta \in(0, \alpha / 2) \exists R_{0}>0 \forall R \in\left(0, R_{0}\right) \exists z \in X, B(z, \beta R) \subset B(x, R) \backslash M
$$

Now, let $(X,\| \|)$ be a normed linear space. We say that $M$ is strongly ball porous if

$$
\forall R>0 \forall x \in X \forall \alpha \in(0,1) \exists y \in X(\|x-y\|=R \text { and } B(y, \alpha R) \cap M=\emptyset)
$$

Finally, we say that $M$ is $\sigma$ - $\alpha$-lower porous or $\sigma$-strongly ball porous if $M$ is a countable union of $\alpha$-lower porous sets or strongly ball porous, respectively. The notions of strong ball porosity are closely related to the notion of $R$-ball porosity (cf. [7]) and were discussed in [6] (cf. condition (2.7) in [6]). We say that $(X, \mu)$ is a topological measure space, if $X$ is a topological space and the measure $\mu$ is defined on a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X$ containing the family of all Borel subsets of $X$. We say that a topological measure space $(X, \mu)$ is inner regular, if $\mu(A)=\sup \{\mu(D): D \subset A, D$ is closed $\}$ for every $A \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(A)<\infty$.

Remark 2.1. Most authors define inner regularity by assuming that all measurable sets can be approximated from below by compact sets. However, there are measures (defined on locally compact spaces) which are inner regular in our sense, and some measurable sets cannot be approximated from below by compact sets (cf. [4, Sec. 53, Exercise 10]).

The proof of the following lemma is standard and straightforward, so we skip it.
Lemma 2.2. Let $(X, \mu)$ be inner regular and let $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and nonnegative. Then the space $(X, \eta)$, where $\eta(A):=\int_{A} h \mathrm{~d} \mu$ for measurable $A \subset X$, is also inner regular.

If $(X, \mu)$ is a topological Borel measure space, then by $\mathbf{L}_{\text {loc }}^{1}(X, \mu)\left(\mathbf{L}_{\text {loc }}^{1}\right.$ in short $)$ we denote the set of all locally integrable functions on $X$, that is, all measurable functions $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$ for every set $K \in \mathbf{K}(X)$ (by $\mathbf{K}(X)$ we denote the set of all compact subsets of $X$ ). By $\mathbf{C}_{b}(X)\left(\mathbf{C}_{b}\right.$ in short) we denote the set of all continuous real functions with bounded images. We view it as a Banach space with the standard supremum norm:

$$
\|f\|:=\sup \{|f(x)|: x \in X\}
$$

By $\mathbf{C}_{0}(X)\left(\mathbf{C}_{0}\right.$ in short) we denote the set of all continuous real functions on $X$ which vanish at infinity, that is

$$
\mathbf{C}_{0}:=\left\{f \in \mathbf{C}_{b}: \forall \varepsilon>0 \exists K \in \mathbf{K}(X), \forall x \in X \backslash K|f(x)|<\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

We view $\mathbf{C}_{0}$ also as a Banach space with the supremum norm. Note that the space $\mathbf{c}_{0}$ can be viewed as $\mathbf{C}_{0}(\mathbb{N})$, if we consider the discrete topology on $\mathbb{N}$. Finally, we view products $\mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$ as Banach spaces with the maximum norm:

$$
\|(f, g)\|:=\max \{\|f\|,\|g\|\}
$$

## 3. Results for products of $C_{0}$ SPACES

If $(X, \mu)$ is a topological measure space, $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any measurable function and $\left(D_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of measurable subsets of $X$, then we define

$$
E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}:\left(\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\} .
$$

Remark 3.1. For every measurable function $f$ and every measurable set $D$, if we say that the integral $\int_{D} f \mathrm{~d} \mu$ has some properties, then we clearly assume that it is well defined, i.e., the integral of the positive part of $f$ is finite, or the integral of the negative part of $f$ is finite. Hence the statement " $\left(\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded" is a shortcut for "for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu$ is well defined and $\left(\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded".

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ is a topological measure space which is inner regular and such that the topological space $X$ is locally compact and $\sigma$-compact. Let $h \in \mathbf{L}_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ and let $\left(D_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of $X$ such that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$. Then the set $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0}$ is $\sigma$-strongly ball porous.

Proof. Since $X$ is $\sigma$-compact and locally compact, it is normal and there exists an increasing sequence of compact sets $\left(K_{n}\right)$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, K_{n} \subset \operatorname{Int} K_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_{n}=X([2$, Theorem 3.8.2 and Exercise 3.8.C] $]$. To prove the result, we have to consider two cases.

Case 1. $\int_{D_{n_{0}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that

$$
E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0} \subset\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}: \int_{D_{n_{0}}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty\right\}=\bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{N}} F_{u}^{0}
$$

where for any $u>0$,

$$
F_{u}^{0}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}: \int_{D_{n_{0}}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu<u\right\} .
$$

Hence it is enough to show that for every $u>0$, the set $F_{u}^{0}$ is strongly ball porous. Let $u>0, R>0,(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Put

$$
A_{f}^{1}:=\{x \in X: f(x) \geqslant 0\} \text { and } A_{f}^{-1}:=X \backslash A_{f}^{1}=\{x \in X: f(x)<0\} .
$$

In the same way we define $A_{g}^{1}$ and $A_{g}^{-1}$. Then for some $s \in\{-1,1\}^{2}$, we have

$$
\int_{A_{f}^{s(1)} \cap A_{g}^{s(2)} \cap D_{n_{0}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty .
$$

Assume, without loss of generality, that $s=(1,1)$, and set $C:=A_{f}^{1} \cap A_{g}^{1} \cap D_{n_{0}}$. By the properties of the sequence $\left(K_{n}\right)$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C \cap K_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu>\frac{u}{((1-\alpha) R)^{2}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $K_{n}$ and $X \backslash \operatorname{Int} K_{n+1}$ are closed and disjoint, by the Tietze theorem there exists a continuous function $w: X \rightarrow[0, R]$ such that $w(x)=R$ for $x \in K_{n}$ and $w(x)=0$ for $x \notin \operatorname{Int} K_{n+1}$. Put

$$
\tilde{f}:=f+w \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{g}:=g+w .
$$

Since $w$ is equal to 0 outside the compact set $K_{n+1}$, we get $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$. Moreover, since $K_{n} \neq \emptyset$, we have $\|f-\tilde{f}\|=\|g-\tilde{g}\|=R$. It is enough to show that $B((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \alpha R) \cap F_{u}^{0}=\emptyset$. Let $(a, b) \in B((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \alpha R)$ and observe that for any $x \in C \cap K_{n}$,

$$
a(x) \geqslant \tilde{f}(x)-\alpha R=f(x)+R-\alpha R \geqslant R(1-\alpha) .
$$

In the same way we get $b(x) \geqslant(1-\alpha) R$. Hence and by (3.1),

$$
\int_{D_{n_{0}}}|a b h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant \int_{C \cap K_{n}}((1-\alpha) R)^{2}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \stackrel{(3.1)}{>} u
$$

so $(a, b) \notin F_{u}^{0}$ and the proof in Case 1 is complete.
Case 2. $\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that for every $(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$ there exists $M>0$ such that for every $x \in X,|f(x)|,|g(x)|<M$. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \int_{D_{n}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu \leqslant M^{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$. Thus for every $(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral $\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu$ is well defined. It is enough to show that for each $u>0$ the set

$$
E_{u}^{0}=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0}(X) \times \mathbf{C}_{0}(X):\left|\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right| \leqslant u \text { for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is strongly ball porous. Let $u>0, R>0,(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then there is a compact set $K$ such that for any $x \in X \backslash K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leqslant \frac{1-\alpha}{2} R \quad \text { and } \quad|g(x)| \leqslant \frac{1-\alpha}{2} R . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M>0$ be such that $|f(x)|,|g(x)|<M$ for all $x \in X$. Now since $\int_{K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$, $\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\infty>\int_{D_{n} \backslash K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu>\frac{u+\left(2+\int_{D_{n} \cap K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu\right)(M+2 R)^{2}}{\frac{1}{4}(1-\alpha)^{2} R^{2}}+2 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the properties of the sequence $\left(K_{n}\right)$, there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left(D_{n} \backslash K\right) \cap K_{n_{1}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu>\int_{D_{n} \backslash K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $C:=\left(D_{n} \backslash K\right) \cap K_{n_{1}}, A_{h}^{1}:=\{x \in X: h(x) \geqslant 0\}$ and $A_{h}^{-1}:=X \backslash A_{h}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.2 there exist closed sets $C^{+} \subset C \cap A_{h}^{1}$ and $C^{-} \subset C \cap A_{h}^{-1}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C \backslash\left(C^{+} \cup C^{-}\right)}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{C^{+} \cup C^{-}} & |h| \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{3.6}
\end{align*} \stackrel{(3.5)}{>} \int_{C}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-1 \stackrel{(3.4)}{>} \int_{D_{n} \backslash K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-2 .
$$

Since $C^{+}, C^{-}$and $X \backslash \operatorname{Int} K_{n_{1}+1}$ are closed and disjoint, by the Tietze theorem there exist continuous functions $w_{1}: X \rightarrow[-R, R]$ and $w_{2}: X \rightarrow[0, R]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \triangleright w_{1}(x)=w_{2}(x)=R \text { for } x \in C^{+} ; \\
& \triangleright w_{1}(x)=-R \text { for } x \in C^{-} ; \\
& \triangleright w_{2}(x)=R \text { for } x \in C^{-} ; \\
& \triangleright w_{1}(x)=w_{2}(x)=0 \text { for } x \notin \operatorname{Int} K_{n_{1}+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\tilde{f}:=f+w_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{g}:=g+w_{2} .
$$

Since $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are equal to zero outside the compact set $K_{n_{1}+1}$, we get $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathbf{C}_{0}$. Since $C^{+} \cup C^{-}$is nonempty, we have that $\|\tilde{f}-f\|=R$ and $\|\tilde{g}-g\|=R$. To prove the
theorem it is enough to show that $B((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \alpha R) \cap E_{u}^{0}=\emptyset$. Let $(a, b) \in B((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \alpha R)$ and note that for any $x \in C^{+}$we have, by (3.2),

$$
a(x) \geqslant \tilde{f}(x)-\alpha R=f(x)+R-\alpha R \stackrel{(3.2)}{\geqslant}-\frac{1-\alpha}{2} R+(1-\alpha) R=\frac{1-\alpha}{2} R,
$$

and (by the same computations) $b(x) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) R$. Moreover, for any $x \in C^{-}$we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(x) \leqslant \tilde{f}(x)+\alpha R=f(x)-R+\alpha R \stackrel{(3.2)}{\leqslant} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} R-(1-\alpha) R=-\frac{1-\alpha}{2} R, \\
& b(x) \geqslant \tilde{g}(x)-\alpha R=g(x)+R-\alpha R \stackrel{(3.2)}{\geqslant}-\frac{1-\alpha}{2} R+(1-\alpha) R=\frac{1-\alpha}{2} R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for every $x \in C^{+} \cup C^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x) b(x) h(x) \geqslant \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{4} R^{2}|h(x)| . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $x \in X$ we have (recall that $|f(x)|,|g(x)|<M$ for $x \in X$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{|a(x)|,|b(x)|\} & \leqslant \max \{|\tilde{f}(x)|,|\tilde{g}(x)|\}+\alpha R  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leqslant \max \{|f(x)|,|g(x)|\}+2 R<M+2 R .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_{n}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu= & \int_{D_{n} \backslash K} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{D_{n} \cap K} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
= & \int_{\left(D_{n} \backslash K\right) \backslash K_{n_{1}}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{C} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{D_{n} \cap K} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
= & \int_{\left(D_{n} \backslash K\right) \backslash K_{n_{1}}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{C^{+} \cup C^{-}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{C \backslash\left(C^{+} \cup C^{-}\right)} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& +\int_{D_{n} \cap K} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
(3.7),(3.8) \geqslant & -(M+2 R)^{2} \int_{\left(D_{n} \backslash K\right) \backslash K_{n_{1}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu+\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{4} R^{2} \int_{C^{+} \cup C^{-}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& -(M+2 R)^{2} \int_{C \backslash\left(C^{+} \cup C^{-}\right)}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-(M+2 R)^{2} \int_{D_{n} \cap K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
(3.4),(3.5) \geqslant & \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{4} R^{2} \int_{C^{+} \cup C^{-}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-2(M+2 R)^{2} \\
& -(M+2 R)^{2} \int_{D_{n} \cap K}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { (3.6) }>u \text {. }
$$

Hence $(a, b) \notin E_{u}^{0}$.

As an immediate corollary we have the following strengthening of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 3.3. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ and $h$ are as in the formulation of Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(D_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of measurable sets. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0}$ is $\sigma$-strongly ball porous in $\mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$;
(ii) $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0} \neq \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$;
(iii) $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$.

Proof. Implication $(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{ii})$ is trivial, implication $(\mathrm{iii}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$ is stated in Theorem 3.2. Now let $N>0$ be such that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<N$ and let $(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$. Then $\|f\|,\|g\|<M$ for some $M>0$, so for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right| \leqslant M^{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<M^{2} N .
$$

Hence $(f, g) \in E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{0}$. This gives (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).
Corollary 3.4. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ is as in the formulation of Theorem 3.2. Additionally, let $\mu(K)<\infty$ for every compact set $K \subset X$, and let $G^{0}:=\{(f, g) \in$ $\left.\mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}: f g \in \mathbf{L}^{1}\right\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $G^{0}$ is $\sigma$-strongly ball porous;
(ii) $G^{0} \neq \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{0}$;
(iii) $\mu(X)=\infty$.

Proof. The result follows from Corollary 3.3 by taking $h=1$ and the sequence $\left(D_{n}\right)$ such that $D_{n}=X$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.5. If $X$ is a Banach space, then we say that $M \subset X$ is c-porous if its convex hull conv $M$ is nowhere dense. In an obvious way we define $\sigma$-c-porous sets. As we proved in [6], every c-porous set is strongly ball porous, and the converse is not true. However, we did not know if there exists a set which is $\sigma$-strongly ball porous and is not $\sigma$-c-porous. It turns out that the set

$$
E:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{c}_{0} \times \mathbf{c}_{0}:\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(i) x(i) y(i)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

satisfies this condition. This result will be included in the paper which is under preparation.

## 4. Results for products of $C_{b}$ Spaces

Now we will investigate the case when the space $\mathbf{C}_{0}$ is replaced by the space $\mathbf{C}_{b}$. It turns out that very similar results hold, but obtained in a slightly different way. On the one hand, the assumptions will be weaker, but on the other, the porosity will be also weaker than the strong ball porosity.

Let $(X, \mu)$ be a topological measure space. If $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function and $\left(D_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of measurable sets, then we define

$$
E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{b}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}:\left(\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ is a topological measure space which is inner regular, and such that the topological space $X$ is normal. Let $h$ be any measurable function on $X$ and $\left(D_{n}\right)$ a sequence of measurable sets such that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$. Then the set $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{b}$ is $\sigma-\frac{1}{2}$-lower porous in $\mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$.

Proof. Consider two cases:
Case 1. $\int_{D_{n_{0}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$ for some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$.
We deal with this case in a way similar (but even simpler) to that in the proof of Case 1 of Theorem 3.2, so we skip the proof.

Case 2. $\int_{D_{n_{0}}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<\infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Clearly, for every $(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}^{b} \times \mathbf{C}^{b}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral $\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu$ is well defined. It is enough to show that for any $u>0$, the set

$$
E_{u}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}:\left|\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right| \leqslant u \text { for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is $\frac{1}{2}$-lower porous. Hence let $u>0$. It is enough to show that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\forall(f, g) \in E_{u} \forall R>0 \exists \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathbf{C}^{b}\left(\|f-\tilde{f}\|=\|g-\tilde{g}\|=\frac{3}{4}\right. \\
\text { and } \left.B\left((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \frac{1}{4} R\right) \cap E_{u}^{b}=\emptyset\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $(f, g) \in E_{u}$ and $R>0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu>\frac{2 u+2+2 R+R^{2}+\frac{1}{4} R^{2}}{\frac{1}{8} R^{2}}>2 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $A_{f}^{1}, A_{f}^{-1}, A_{g}^{1}, A_{g}^{-1}, A_{h}^{1}$ and $A_{h}^{-1}$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Moreover, for any $s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}$, define

$$
A^{s}:=D_{n} \cap A_{f}^{s(1)} \cap A_{g}^{s(2)} \cap A_{h}^{s(3)}
$$

Clearly, the family $\left\{A^{s}: s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}\right\}$ is a decomposition of $D_{n}$ into eight pairwise disjoint measurable sets. For any $s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}$, let $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=s(1) \cdot s(2) \cdot s(3)$. In this way we obtain a natural decomposition of $D_{n}$ into two sets

$$
C:=\bigcup_{\operatorname{sgn}(s)=1} A^{s} \quad \text { and } \quad F:=\bigcup_{\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1} A^{s} .
$$

Then for every $x \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) g(x) h(x)=|f(x) g(x) h(x)| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every $x \in F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) g(x) h(x)=-|f(x) g(x) h(x)| \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, we have that either $\int_{C}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu$ or $\int_{F}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu$. Assume, without loss of generality, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will define auxiliary sets

$$
A_{f, 1}^{1}:=\left\{x \in A_{f}^{1}:|f(x)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} R\right\} \text { and } A_{f, 2}^{1}:=\left\{x \in A_{f}^{1}:|f(x)|<\frac{1}{2} R\right\}
$$

In the same way we define $A_{f, 1}^{-1}, A_{f, 2}^{-1}, A_{g, 1}^{1}, A_{g, 2}^{1}, A_{g, 1}^{-1}$ and $A_{g, 2}^{-1}$.
Now put

$$
A_{p}^{s}:=D_{n} \cap A_{f, p(1)}^{s(1)} \cap A_{g, p(2)}^{s(2)} \cap A_{h}^{s(3)}
$$

for $s \in\{1,-1\}^{3}$ and $p \in\{1,2\}^{2}$. Clearly, for any $s \in\{1,-1\}^{3}$, the family $\left\{A_{p}^{s}: p \in\right.$ $\left.\{1,2\}^{2}\right\}$ is a decomposition of $A^{s}$ into 4 pairwise disjoint measurable sets. By virtue of the regularity of $(X, \mu)$ and Lemma 2.2 we can find closed sets $C^{s} \subset A^{s}$ for each $s$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=1$, and closed sets $F_{p}^{s} \subset A_{p}^{s}$ for each $s$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and $p \in\{1,2\}^{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu<1,  \tag{4.5}\\
& \int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|f h| \mathrm{d} \mu<1,  \tag{4.6}\\
& \int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|g h| \mathrm{d} \mu<1,  \tag{4.7}\\
& \int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu<1, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
C^{\prime}:=\bigcup\left\{C^{s}: s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}, \operatorname{sgn}(s)=1\right\} \subset C
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime}:=\bigcup\left\{F_{p}^{s}: s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}, \operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1, p \in\{1,2\}^{2}\right\} \subset F
$$

Clearly, $C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}$ is a closed subset of $X$. Hence and by the fact that the sets from the family $\left\{C^{s}: \operatorname{sgn}(s)=1\right\} \cup\left\{F_{p}^{s}: \operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1, p \in\{1,2\}^{2}\right\}$ are closed and pairwise disjoint, by the Tietze theorem we can define continuous functions $w_{1}: X \rightarrow$ $\left[-\frac{3}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]$ and $w_{2}: X \rightarrow\left[-\frac{3}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]$ such that
$\triangleright$ if $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=1$, then for $x \in C^{s}$,

$$
w_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \\
-\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x)<0,
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \\
-\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x)<0,
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

$\triangleright$ if $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and $p=(1,1)$, then for $x \in F_{p}^{s}$,

$$
w_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \\
\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x)<0,
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \\
\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x)<0,
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

$\triangleright$ if $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and $p=(1,2)$, then for $x \in F_{p}^{s}$,

$$
w_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \\
-\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x)<0,
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \\
\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x)<0,
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

$\triangleright$ if $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and $p=(2,1)$ or $p=(2,2)$, then for $x \in F_{p}^{s}$,

$$
w_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \\
\frac{3}{4} R, & \text { if } f(x)<0,
\end{aligned} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \\
-\frac{1}{4} R, & \text { if } g(x)<0 .
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

We are ready to define functions $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$. Put

$$
\tilde{f}:=f+w_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{g}:=g+w_{2} .
$$

By (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C^{\prime}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \stackrel{(4.5)}{>} \int_{C}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-1 \stackrel{(4.4)}{\geqslant} \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-1 \stackrel{(4.1)}{>} 0, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $C^{\prime}$ is nonempty and therefore $\|\tilde{f}-f\|=\frac{3}{4} R$ and $\|\tilde{g}-g\|=\frac{3}{4} R$. To complete the proof, it is enough to show that $B\left((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \frac{1}{4} R\right) \cap E_{u}=\emptyset$. To do this, take any $(a, b) \in B\left((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \frac{1}{4} R\right)$.

Let $s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}$ be such that $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=1$. Then for any $x \in C^{s}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \text { then } a(x) \geqslant \tilde{f}(x)-\frac{1}{4} R=f(x)+\frac{1}{2} R, \\
& \text { if } f(x)<0, \text { then } a(x) \leqslant \tilde{f}(x)+\frac{1}{4} R=f(x)-\frac{1}{2} R, \\
& \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \text { then } b(x) \geqslant \tilde{g}(x)-\frac{1}{4} R=g(x)+\frac{1}{2} R, \\
& \text { if } g(x)<0, \text { then } b(x) \leqslant \tilde{g}(x)+\frac{1}{4} R=g(x)-\frac{1}{2} R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (4.2), for every $x \in C^{s}$ we have $a(x) b(x) h(x)=|a(x) b(x) h(x)|$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{C^{s}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu & =\int_{C^{s}}|a b h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant \int_{C^{s}}\left(|f(x)|+\frac{1}{2} R\right)\left(|g(x)|+\frac{1}{2} R\right)|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& \geqslant \int_{C^{s}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu+\int_{C^{s}} \frac{1}{4} R^{2}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \int_{C^{s}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\frac{1}{4} R^{2} \int_{C^{s}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C^{\prime}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \geqslant \int_{C^{\prime}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\frac{1}{4} R^{2} \int_{C^{\prime}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}$ be such that $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and let $p=(1,1)$. By the definition of $A_{p}^{s}$, for any $x \in F_{p}^{s}$ we have $|f(x)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} R$ and $|g(x)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} R$. Then by the definition of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, for every $x \in F_{p}^{s}$ we have:
if $f(x) \geqslant 0$, then $0 \leqslant a(x) \leqslant f(x)$ and if $f(x)<0$, then $0 \geqslant a(x) \geqslant f(x)$,
if $g(x) \geqslant 0$, then $0 \leqslant b(x) \leqslant g(x)$ and if $g(x)<0$, then $0 \geqslant b(x) \geqslant g(x)$.
Hence by (4.3), for every $x \in F_{p}^{s}$ we have $a(x) b(x) h(x)=-|a(x) b(x) c(x)|$, so

$$
\int_{F_{p}^{s}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu=-\int_{F_{p}^{s}}|a b h| \mathrm{d} \mu \geqslant-\int_{F_{p}^{s}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \int_{F_{p}^{s}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu .
$$

Let $s \in\{-1,1\}^{3}$ be such that $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and let $p=(1,2)$. Then for $x \in F_{p}^{s}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } f(x) \geqslant 0, \text { then } a(x) \geqslant \tilde{f}(x)-\frac{1}{4} R=f(x)+\frac{1}{4} R-\frac{1}{4} R \geqslant 0, \\
& \text { if } f(x)<0, \text { then } a(x) \leqslant \tilde{f}(x)+\frac{1}{4} R=f(x)-\frac{1}{4} R+\frac{1}{4} R \leqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } g(x) \geqslant 0, \text { then } b(x) \leqslant \tilde{g}(x)+\frac{1}{4} R=g(x)-\frac{3}{4} R+\frac{1}{4} R \leqslant 0, \\
& \text { if } g(x)<0, \text { then } b(x) \geqslant \tilde{g}(x)-\frac{1}{4} R=g(x)+\frac{3}{4} R-\frac{1}{4} R \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (4.3), for every $x \in F_{p}^{s}$ we have $a(x) b(x) h(x)=-|a(x) b(x) h(x)|$, so

$$
\int_{F_{p}^{s}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \geqslant 0 \geqslant-\int_{F_{p}^{s}}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \int_{F_{p}^{s}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

In the same way we can show that for any $s$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(s)=-1$ and $p=(2,1)$ or $p=(2,2)$ we have that

$$
\int_{F_{p}^{s}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \geqslant 0 \geqslant \int_{F_{p}^{s}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F^{\prime}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \geqslant \int_{F^{\prime}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by $(4.1),(4.5),(4.6),(4.7),(4.8),(4.9),(4.10)$ and $(4.11)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_{n}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu= & \int_{C^{\prime}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{F^{\prime}} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
(4.10),(4.11) \geqslant & \frac{1}{4} R^{2} \int_{C^{\prime}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu+\int_{C^{\prime}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{F^{\prime}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)} a b h \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
(4.9) \geqslant & \frac{1}{8} R^{2}\left(\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-2\right)+\int_{D_{n}} f g h \mathrm{~d} \mu-\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& -\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|a b h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
(4.8) \geqslant & \frac{1}{8} R^{2}\left(\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-2\right)-u-1-\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}(|f|+R)(|g|+R)|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
\geqslant & \frac{1}{8} R^{2}\left(\int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-2\right)-u-1-\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|f g h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& -R\left(\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|f h| \mathrm{d} \mu+\int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|g h| \mathrm{d} \mu\right) \\
& -R^{2} \int_{D_{n} \backslash\left(C^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime}\right)}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& 1 \\
(4.5)-(4.8) \geqslant & \frac{1}{8} R^{2} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu-\frac{1}{4} R^{2}-u-1-1-2 R-R^{2} \stackrel{(4.1)}{>} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(a, b) \notin E_{u}$ and the proof of part (ii) is complete.
As an immediate corollary, we have the following dichotomies (we skip the proofs since they are very similar to the proofs of the analogous corollaries in the previous section).

Corollary 4.2. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ and $h$ are as in the formulation of Theorem 4.1, and let $\left(D_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of measurable sets. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{b}$ is $\sigma-\frac{1}{2}$-lower porous in $\mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$;
(ii) $E_{h,\left(D_{n}\right)}^{b} \neq \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$;
(iii) $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{D_{n}}|h| \mathrm{d} \mu=\infty$.

Corollary 4.3. Assume that $(X, \mu)$ is as in the formulation of Theorem 4.1. Let

$$
G^{b}:=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}: f g \in \mathbf{L}^{1}\right\} .
$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $G^{b}$ is $\sigma-\frac{1}{2}$-lower porous in $\mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$;
(ii) $G^{b} \neq \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b}$;
(iii) $\mu(X)=\infty$.

## 5. Final remarks

Let $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a signed measure on $X$, i.e., $\mu$ is a countably additive functional such that either $\sup \{\mu(A): A \in \Sigma\}<\infty$ or $\inf \{\mu(A): A \in \Sigma\}>-\infty$. Then there exist measurable disjoint sets $X^{+}$and $X^{-}$such that $X=X^{+} \cup X^{-}$and for all $A \subset X^{+}$we have $\mu(A) \geqslant 0$ and for all $A \subset X^{-}$we have $\mu(A) \leqslant 0$ (this decomposition is called a Hahn decomposition). Now let $|\mu|$ be a variation of $\mu$, that is

$$
|\mu|(A)=\mu\left(A \cap X^{+}\right)-\mu\left(A \cap X^{-}\right) \text {for a measurable set } A .
$$

(cf. [4, Sec. 28 and 29] for more information on signed measures). Set $h(x):=1$ for $x \in X^{+}$and $h(x):=-1$ for $x \in X^{-}$. Then for every measurable function $f$ we have that

$$
\int_{X} f h \mathrm{~d}|\mu|=\int_{X} f \mathrm{~d} \mu .
$$

It means that if one of the above integrals is defined, then the other is also defined and they are equal.

This shows that every signed measure can be generated by some measurable function $h$, and hence the presented results can easily be adapted to signed measures. However, note that the function $h(x)=1$ for $x>0$ and $h(x)=-1$ for $x \leqslant 0$ does not generate any signed measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Hence our approach is more general.

Also, it can easily be seen that the presented results remain valid with a very similar but more technically complicated proofs, if we write them in a more general way, namely, if we consider the sets (here $k \geqslant 2$ )

$$
\left\{\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{C}_{0}:\left(\int_{D_{n}} f_{1} \ldots f_{k} h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{b} \times \ldots \times \mathbf{C}_{b}:\left(\int_{D_{n}} f_{1} \ldots f_{k} h \mathrm{~d} \mu\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text { is bounded }\right\}
$$
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