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#### Abstract

The rate of moment convergence of sample sums was investigated by Chow (1988) (in case of real-valued random variables). In 2006, Rosalsky et al. introduced and investigated this concept for case random variable with Banach-valued (called complete convergence in mean of order $p$ ). In this paper, we give some new results of complete convergence in mean of order $p$ and its applications to strong laws of large numbers for double arrays of random variables taking values in Banach spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a real separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ a sequence of random variables taking values in $\mathbb{E}$ ( $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s for short). Recall that $X_{n}$ is said to converge completely to 0 in mean of order $p$ if

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{p}<\infty
$$

This mode of convergence was investigated for the first time by Chow [2] for the sequence of real-valued random variables and by Rosalsky et al. [6] for the sequence
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of random variables taking values in a Banach space. In this paper, we introduce and study the complete convergence in mean of order $p$ to 0 of double arrays of $\mathbb{E}$-random variables. In Section 3 some properties of the complete convergence in mean of order $p$ are given and a new characterization of a $p$-uniformly smooth Banach space $\mathbb{E}$ in terms of the complete convergence in mean of order $p$ of double arrays of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s is obtained. These results are used in Section 4 to obtain some strong laws of large numbers for martingale difference double arrays of random variables taking values in Banach spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries and some useful lemmas

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, max $\{a, b\}$ will be denoted by $a \vee b$. Throughout this paper, the symbol $C$ will denote a generic constant $(0<C<\infty)$ which is not necessarily the same in each appearance. The set of all non-negative integers will be denoted by $\mathbb{N}$ and the set of all positive integers by $\mathbb{N}^{*}$. For $(k, l)$ and $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, the notation $(k, l) \preceq(m, n)$ (or $(m, n) \succeq(k, l))$ means that $k \leqslant m$ and $l \leqslant n$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a real separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\left\{S_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be an array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s.
(1) $S_{m n}$ is said to converge completely to 0 and we write $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{c} 0$ if

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|S_{m n}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)<\infty \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(2) $S_{m n}$ is said to converge to 0 in mean of order $p$ (or in $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ for short) as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ and we write $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{p}} 0$ as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$
E\left\|S_{m n}\right\|^{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

$S_{m n}$ is said to converge completely to 0 in mean of order $p$ and we write $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}}$ 0 if

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left\|S_{m n}\right\|^{p}<\infty
$$

(3) $S_{m n}$ is said to converge almost surely to 0 as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ and we write $S_{m n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$
P\left(\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{m n}\right\|=0\right)=1 .
$$

It is clear that $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0$ implies $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{p}} 0$ as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Markov inequality

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\left\|S_{m n}\right\|>\varepsilon\right\}<\infty \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

we also see that $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0$ implies $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{c} 0$ and $S_{m n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$.
For an $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v. $X$ and sub $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, the conditional expectation $E(X \mid \mathcal{G})$ is defined and enjoys the usual properties (see [7]).

A real separable Banach space $\mathbb{E}$ is said to be $p$-uniformly smooth $(1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2)$ if there exists a finite positive constant $C$ such that for any $L^{p}$ integrable $\mathbb{E}$-valued martingale difference sequence $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$,

$$
E\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\|^{p} \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}\right\|^{p}
$$

Clearly every real separable Banach space is 1-uniformly smooth and every Hilbert space is 2 -uniformly smooth. If a real separable Banach space is $p$-uniformly smooth for some $1<p \leqslant 2$ then it is $r$-uniformly smooth for all $r \in[1, p)$. For more details, the reader may refer to Pisier [5].

Let $\left\{X_{m n},(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s, let $\mathcal{F}_{i j}$ be the $\sigma$-field generated by the family of $\mathbb{E}$-random variables $\left\{X_{k l} ; k<i\right.$ or $\left.l<j\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{11}=\{\emptyset ; \Omega\}$.

The array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s $\left\{X_{m n},(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ is said to be an $\mathbb{E}$-valued martingale difference double array if $E\left(X_{m n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m n}\right)=0$ for all $(m, n) \succeq(1,1)$.

The following lemmas are necessary for proving the main results in the paper.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a $p$-uniformly smooth Banach space for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ and let $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s satisfying $E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$. Then

$$
E \max _{\substack{1 \leqslant k \leqslant m \\ 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n}}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(X_{i j}-E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)\right)\right\|^{p} \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i j}\right\|^{p},
$$

where the constant $C$ is independent of $m$ and $n$.
Proof. The proof is completely similar to that of Lemma 2 of Dung et al. [3] after replacing $S_{k l}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} V_{i j}$ by $S_{k l}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(X_{i j}-E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)\right)$.

The following lemma is a version of Lemma 3 of Adler and Rosalsky [1] for arrays of positive constants.

Lemma 2.2. Let $p>0$ and let $\left\{b_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be an array of positive constants with $b_{i j}^{p} / i j \leqslant b_{m n}^{p} / m n$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$ and $\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} b_{m n}^{p} / m n=\infty$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{i j}^{p}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m n}{b_{m n}^{p}}\right) \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty
$$

if and only if

$$
\liminf _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{r m, s n}^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}}>r s \quad \text { for some integers } r, s \geqslant 2
$$

Proof. Set $c_{m n}=\frac{b_{m n}^{p}}{m n},(m, n) \preceq(1,1)$ then $c_{i j} \leqslant c_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$ and $\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} c_{m n}=\infty$. It is required to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j c_{i j}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c_{m n}}\right) \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{r m, s n}}{c_{m n}}>1 \quad \text { for some integers } r, s \geqslant 2 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.2) holds, then exits $\delta>1$ and $n_{o} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{r m, s n} \geqslant \delta c_{m n}$ for all $m \vee n \geqslant n_{o}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j c_{i j}} & \leqslant \sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=m r^{k}}^{m r^{k+1}-1 n s^{l+1}-1} \sum_{j=n s^{l}}^{k l c_{k l}} \leqslant \sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{c_{m r^{k}, n s^{l}}} \\
& \leqslant(r-1)(s-1) \frac{1}{c_{m n}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\delta^{k}}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have (2.1).
Conversely, assume that (2.2) does not hold. Then $\liminf _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} c_{r m, s n} / c_{m n}=1$ for any $r, s \geqslant 2$, then $c_{r m, s n}<2 c_{m n}$ for any $r, s \geqslant 2$ and an infinite numbers pair of values of $(m, n)$ and so,

$$
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i j c_{i j}}>\sum_{i=m}^{m r} \sum_{j=n}^{n s} \frac{1}{i j c_{i j}} \geqslant \frac{(\log r)(\log s)}{c_{r m, s n}}>\frac{(\log r)(\log s)}{2 c_{m, n}}
$$

Since $r, s$ is arbitrary, (2.1) does not hold as well.

## 3. The complete convergence in mean

From now on, $\mathbb{E}$ be a real separable Banach space and for each double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$; we always denote $\mathcal{F}_{i j}$ is $\sigma$-field generated by the family of $\mathbb{E}$-random variables $\left\{X_{k l} ; k<i\right.$ or $\left.l<j\right\}, \mathcal{F}_{11}=\{\emptyset ; \Omega\}$,

$$
S_{k l}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} X_{i j} \text { and } S_{k l}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(X_{i j}-\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)\right) ;
$$

$\left\{b_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a sequence of positive constants satisfying $b_{i j} \leqslant b_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$ and $\lim _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} b_{m n}=\infty$.

Firstly, we show a condition under which the complete convergence in mean order $p$ implies the convergence a.s. and the convergence in $\mathcal{L}_{p}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sup _{m, n} \frac{b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}}{b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}}<\infty . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{(m n)^{1 / p} b_{m n}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 \quad \text { for some } 1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{m n}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_{p} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $A_{m n}=\left\{(k, l),\left(2^{n}, 2^{m}\right) \preceq(k, l) \prec\left(2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1}\right)\right\}$. We see that

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{(m, n) \succeq(0,0)} & E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}}\right)^{p}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leqslant \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(0,0)} E\left(\frac{M \max (k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)}{}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|\right. \\
b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}
\end{array}\right)^{p}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant M^{p} \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(0,0)} \sum_{(k, l) \in A_{m n}} \frac{4}{k l} E\left(\frac{\max _{(i, j) \preceq(k, l)}\left\|S_{i j}\right\|}{b_{k l}}\right)^{p} \\
& \leqslant 4 M^{p} \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} \frac{1}{m n} E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}}\right) \\
& \leqslant 4 M^{p} \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{(m n)^{1 / p} b_{m n}}\right)^{p}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}}\right)^{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $(k, l) \in A_{n m}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(\frac{\max _{(i, j) \preceq(k, l)}\left\|S_{i j}\right\|}{b_{k l}}\right)^{p} \leqslant E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{k l}}\right)^{p}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad \leqslant E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}}\right)^{p} \leqslant M^{p} E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}}\right)^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we conclude that $\left(\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{l} X_{i j}\right\|\right) / b_{m n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{p}} 0$ as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$.

By (3.4) and the Markov inequality, for all $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(0,0)} P\left(\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\| \geqslant \varepsilon b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{4 M^{p}}{\varepsilon^{p}} \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{(m n)^{1 / p} b_{m n}}\right)^{p}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{2^{m} 2^{n}}} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0 \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

By the same argument as in (3.6), we have

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{l} X_{i j}\right\|}{b_{m n}} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0 \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.
The following theorem shows that the rate of the convergence of strong laws of large numbers may be obtained as a consequence of the complete convergence in mean.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s. If

$$
\frac{1}{\left(m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}\right)^{1 / p} b_{m n}} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 \quad \text { for some } 1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{m n}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)<\infty \quad \text { for every } \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $\alpha<1, \beta<1$ and $\left\{b_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ satisfying (3.1), (3.7) implies

$$
P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} \frac{\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{k l}}>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{m^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\beta}}\right) \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text { for every } \varepsilon>0
$$

Proof. By Markov inequality, for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{m n}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}} \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} E\left(\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{b_{m n}}\right)^{p}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have (3.7).
Let $\alpha<1, \beta<1$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$, and set $A_{m n}=\left\{(k, l),\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{m-1}\right) \prec(k, l) \preceq\right.$ $\left.\left(2^{m}, 2^{n}\right)\right\}$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{(i, j) \succeq(1,1)} \sum_{m=2^{i-1}}^{2^{i}-1} \sum_{n=2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}-1} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \sum_{(i, j) \succeq(1,1)} \sum_{m=2^{i-1}}^{2^{i}-1} \sum_{n=2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}-1} 2^{-i \alpha} 2^{-j \beta} P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq\left(2^{i-1}, 2^{j-1}\right)} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \sum_{(i, j) \succeq(1,1)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} P\left(\sup _{(u, v) \succeq(i, j)} \max _{(k, l) \in A_{u v}} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \sum_{(i, j) \succeq(1,1)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} \sum_{(u, v) \succeq(i, j)} P\left(b_{2^{u-1} 2^{v-1}}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{u}, 2^{v}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C \sum_{(u, v) \succeq(1,1)} P\left(b_{2^{u-1} 2^{v-1}}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{u}, 2^{v}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \sum_{(i, j) \preceq(u, v)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{(u, v) \succeq(1,1)} 2^{u(1-\alpha)} 2^{v(1-\beta)} P\left(b_{2^{u} 2^{v}}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq\left(2^{u}, 2^{v}\right)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right) \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{(m, n) \succeq(1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{m n}^{-1} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right)<\infty \quad(\text { by }(3.7)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right),(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}\right\}$ are non-increasing in $(m, n)$ for order relationship $\preceq$ in $\mathbb{N}^{* 2}$, it follows that

$$
P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} b_{k l}^{-1}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{m^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\beta}}\right) \quad \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text { for all } \varepsilon>0 .
$$

Now we establish sufficient conditions for complete convergence in mean of order $p$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a $p$-uniformly smooth Banach space for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$. Let $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be a double array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s such that $E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{m n}^{-p}<\infty . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(m, n) E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(m, n)=\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{i j}^{-p}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{b_{m n}} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}^{*}\right\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}^{*}\right\|^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}} & \leqslant C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i j}\right\|^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}} \quad \text { (by Lemma 2.1) } \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{i j}\right\|^{p}\left(\sum_{m=i}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{m n}^{p}}\right) \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(i, j) E\left\|X_{i j}\right\|^{p}<\infty(\text { by }(3.9))
\end{aligned}
$$

A characterization of $p$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces in terms of the complete convergence in mean of order $p$ is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$, let $\mathbb{E}$ be a real separable Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mathbb{E}$ is of $p$-uniformly smooth.
(ii) For every double array of random variables $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ with values in $\mathbb{E}$ such that $E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq$ ( $m, n$ ), and every double array of positive constants $\left\{b_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ with $b_{i j} \leqslant b_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{i j}^{p}}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m n}{b_{m n}^{p}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m n \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}}<\infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{b_{m n}} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}^{*}\right\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For every double array of random variables $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ with values in $\mathbb{E}$ such that $E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq$ $(m, n)$, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{(n m)^{p}}<\infty \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}^{*}\right\|}{(m n)^{(p+1) / p}} \stackrel{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii), because by (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(m, n) E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}<\infty
$$

which implies by Theorem 3.3 that (3.13) holds.
(ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii): we choose $b_{m n}=(m n)^{(p+1) / p}$, then

$$
\liminf _{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{k m, l n}^{p}}{b_{m n}^{p}}=(k l)^{p+1}>k l \quad(k \geqslant 2, l \geqslant 2)
$$

and, by Lemma 2.2 , (3.11) holds and by (3.14), (3.12) holds. Thus by (ii), we have the conclusion (3.15).
(iii) $\rightarrow$ (i): let $\left\{X_{n}, \mathcal{G}_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be an arbitrary martingale differences sequence such that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{p}}{n^{p}}<\infty
$$

For $n \geqslant 1$, set $X_{m n}=X_{n}$ if $m=1$, and $X_{m n}=0$ if $m \geqslant 2$. Then $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq\right.$ $(1,1)\}$ is an array of random variables with

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{(m n)^{p}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{p}}{n^{p}}<\infty
$$

By (iii) and noting that $\mathcal{F}_{1 n}=\sigma\left\{X_{i} ; i<n\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ for all $n>1$, hence $E\left(X_{m n} \mid\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{F}_{m n}\right)=0$ for all $(m, n) \succeq(1,1)$, we have

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{(m n)^{(p+1) / p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0
$$

and by Theorem 3.1 (with $b_{m n}=m n$ ) then $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) / m n \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$ as $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $m=1$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $1 / n \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

Then by Theorem 2.2 in [4], $\mathbb{E}$ is $p$-uniformly smooth.
For $b_{m n}=m^{\alpha+1 / p} n^{\beta+1 / p}(\alpha, \beta>0)$, from (ii) of Theorem 3.4 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a p-uniformly smooth Banach space for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$. Let $\alpha, \beta>0$ and let $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be an array of $\mathbb{E}$-valued r.v.'s such that $E\left(X_{i j} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$. If

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{n^{\alpha p} m^{\beta p}}<\infty
$$

then

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}^{*}\right\|}{m^{\alpha+1 / p} n^{\beta+1 / p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 .
$$

## 4. Applications to the strong law of large numbers

By applying the theorems about complete convergence in mean in Section 3 we establish some results on strong laws of large numbers for double arrays of martingale differences with values in $p$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a p-uniformly smooth Banach space for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ and let $\left\{X_{m n},(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-valued martingale differences double array. If

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{n^{\alpha p} m^{\beta p}}<\infty
$$

then

$$
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { in } \mathcal{L}_{p} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.1, we have

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{m^{\alpha+1 / p} n^{\beta+1 / p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0 .
$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 with $b_{m n}=m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$, we have

$$
\left.\frac{\max _{(k, l)} \npreceq(m, n)}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|\right) \quad 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { in } \mathcal{L}_{p} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The following theorem is a Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type law of large numbers for double arrays of martingale differences.

Theorem 4.2. Let $1 \leqslant r \leqslant s<q<p \leqslant 2$, let $\mathbb{E}$ be a $p$-uniformly smooth Banach space. Suppose that $\left\{X_{m n},(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-valued martingale differences double array which is stochastically dominated by an $\mathbb{E}$-random variable $X$ in the sense that for some $0<C<\infty$,

$$
P\left\{\left\|X_{m n}\right\| \geqslant x\right\} \leqslant C P\{\|X\| \geqslant x\}
$$

for all $(m, n) \succeq(1,1)$ and $x>0$.
If $E\left(X_{i j} I\left(\left\|X_{i j}\right\| \leqslant i^{1 / q} j^{1 / r}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{m n}$ for all $(i, j) \preceq(m, n)$ and $E\|X\|^{q}<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { in } \mathcal{L}_{s} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $(m, n) \succeq(1,1)$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{m n} & =X_{m n} I\left(\left\|X_{m n}\right\| \leqslant m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right), Z_{m n}=X_{m n} I\left(\left\|X_{m n}\right\|>m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right) \\
U_{m n} & =Y_{m n}-E\left(Y_{m n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m n}\right), V_{m n}=Z_{m n}-E\left(Z_{m n} \mid \mathcal{F}_{m n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $X_{m n}=U_{m n}+V_{m n}$.
First,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|Y_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{\left(m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right)^{p}} & \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right)^{p}} \int_{0}^{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} p x^{p-1} P\left\{\left\|X_{m n}\right\|>x\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right)^{p}} \int_{0}^{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} p x^{p-1} P\{\|X\|>x\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} P\left\{\|X\|>t^{1 / p} m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right\} \mathrm{d} t \\
& =C \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\frac{\|X\|}{t^{1 / p} n^{1 / r}}>m^{1 / q}\right\}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =C E\left(\|X\|^{q}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{t^{q / p}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{q / r}}\right) \mathrm{d} t<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Corollary 3.1, it follows that

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} U_{i j}}{m^{1 / q+1 / p} n^{1 / r+1 / p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0
$$

and by Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} U_{i j}}{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { in } \mathcal{L}_{p} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} U_{i j}}{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { in } \mathcal{L}_{s} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next,

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|Z_{m n}\right\|^{s}}{\left(m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right)^{s}}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s / q} n^{s / r}} \int_{0}^{\infty} s x^{s-1} P\left\{\left\|Z_{m n}\right\|>x\right\} \mathrm{d} x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s / q} n^{s / r}} \int_{0}^{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} s x^{s-1} P\left\{\left\|X_{m n}\right\|>m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s / q} n^{s / r}} \int_{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}}^{\infty} s x^{s-1} P\left\{\left\|X_{m n}\right\|>x\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
\leqslant & C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s / q} n^{s / r}} \int_{0}^{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} x^{s-1} P\left\{\|X\|>m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s / q} n^{s / r}} \int_{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}}^{\infty} x^{s-1} P\{\|X\|>x\} \mathrm{d} x \\
= & C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\frac{\|X\|}{n^{1 / r}}>m^{1 / q}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{s-1} P\left\{\|X\|>t m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}\right\} \mathrm{d} t\right) \\
\leqslant & C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^{q}}{n^{q / r}}+\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{s-1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{\frac{\|X\|}{n^{1 / r} t}>m^{1 / q}\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right) \\
\leqslant & C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^{q}}{n^{q / r}}+\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{s-1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^{q}}{n^{q / r} t^{q}}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right) \\
\leqslant & C E\|X\|^{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{q / r}}\left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{q-s+1}} \mathrm{~d} t+1\right)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Corollary 3.1, it follows that

$$
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} V_{i j}}{m^{1 / q+1 / s} n^{1 / r+1 / s}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{\S}} 0
$$

and by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sup _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} V_{i j}}{m^{1 / q} n^{1 / r}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_{s} \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and since the inequality $E\|X+Y\|^{s} \leqslant 2^{s-1}\left(E\|X\|^{s}+E\|Y\|^{s}\right)$ holds for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant 2$ we have (4.1). The proof is completed.

Finally, we establish the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers.
Theorem 4.3. Let $0<r<p, 0<s<p$, let $\mathbb{E}$ be a $p$-uniformly smooth Banach space for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$ and $\left\{X_{m n} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}$ an $\mathbb{E}$-valued martingale differences double array. If

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{m n}\right\|^{p}}{n^{p-r} m^{p-s}}<\infty
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{(k, l) \succeq(m, n)} \frac{\left\|S_{k l}\right\|}{k l}>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{m^{r} n^{s}}\right) \text { as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text { for every } \varepsilon>0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (ii) in Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 2.2 (with $\left\{b_{m n}=m^{1+(1-r) / p} \times\right.$ $\left.\left.n^{1+(1-s) / p} ;(m, n) \succeq(1,1)\right\}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{m^{1+(1-r) / p} n^{1+(1-s) / p}} \max _{(k, l) \preceq(m, n)}\left\|S_{k l}\right\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_{p}} 0
$$

and by Theorem 3.2 (with $\alpha=1-r, \beta=1-s$ ), we have (4.4).
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